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 I. Introduction

1. This general comment is a revision of general comment No. 10, which was adopted in 2007. It reflects the developments that have occurred during the intervening decade through the promulgation of various resolutions and other guiding documents on violence against children in juvenile justice, the knowledge about child and adolescent development, the Committee’s own jurisprudence and various concerns, including negative trends relating to the minimum age of criminal responsibility and the persistent use of deprivation of liberty, and emerging issues, such as children recruited and used by non-State armed groups, or terrorist or violent extremist groups, and children in customary justice systems.
2. The Committee acknowledges that the preservation of public safety is a legitimate aim of the justice system, including the juvenile justice system. However, in the Committee’s view this aim is best served by full respect for and implementation of the principles of juvenile justice as enshrined in the Convention on the Rights of the Child (hereafter: CRC). The present general comment replaces the original general comment No. 10 (2007), and preserves the same spirit and philosophy.
3. The Committee notes with appreciation the many efforts to establish juvenile justice systems in compliance with CRC. However, it is also clear that many States parties still have a long way to go in achieving full compliance, in particular in the areas of prevention, the development and expansive implementation of diversion measures, the setting of an appropriate minimum age of criminal responsibility, and ensuring the use of deprivation of liberty only as a measure of last resort and for the shortest appropriate period of time.
4. In the years since general comment No. 10 (2007) was adopted, several declarations and guidelines have been adopted by international and regional bodies, which promote access to justice and child-friendly justice. These frameworks are broader in scope than children in conflict with the law, as they include children in all aspects of the justice systems, including child victims and witnesses of crime, and children in welfare proceedings and before administrative tribunals. These developments, valuable though they are, fall outside of the scope of this revised general comment.

 II. The objectives of the present general comment

1. The objectives of the present general comment are:
* To underscore that the CRC requirement to develop and implement a comprehensive juvenile justice policy should not be limited to the implementation of the specific provisions contained in articles 37 and 40 of CRC, but should also take into account the general principles enshrined in articles 2, 3, 6 and 12, and in all other relevant articles of CRC, such as articles 4 and 39;
* To provide clarity on the setting of a minimum age of criminal responsibility, the upper age limit of the juvenile justice system, and related matters;
* To encourage the establishment and full implementation of alternative measures that can be applied at all stages of the process;
* To ensure the guarantees for a fair trial for those children who are not diverted to alternative measures and to ensure the application of appropriate dispositions for children who are convicted and the avoidance of deprivation of liberty, except as a measure of last resort, and if used, for the shortest appropriate period of time and in appropriate conditions.

 III. Terminology

1. The main terms used in the international normative framework of juvenile justice:

Children in conflict with the law: children alleged as, accused of, or recognized as having infringed the penal law;

Deprivation of liberty: any form of detention or imprisonment or the placement of a person in a public or private custodial setting, from which this person is not permitted to leave at will, by order of any judicial, administrative or other public authority;[[1]](#footnote-2)

Diversion: measures for dealing with children in conflict with the law, taken by designated authorities, without resorting to judicial proceedings;

Juvenile justice: refers to legislation, norms and standards, procedures, mechanisms and provisions, institutions and bodies specifically applicable to children considered as offenders. This revised general comment does not refer to children as ‘juveniles’. The Committee acknowledges and encourages the trend towards using terms such as ‘youth justice’ and ‘child justice’, which are positive developments as they aim to reinforce the dignity and worth of children in conflict with the law;

Minimum age of criminal responsibility: the minimum age below which children shall be presumed not to have the capacity to infringe the criminal law;

Age of criminal majority: the criminal majority is the upper age limit beyond which the juvenile justice system does not apply and a person who commits a crime is treated as an adult in the ordinary criminal justice system;

Status offence: act that is considered illegal when committed by a child, but not when committed by an adult (e.g., running away, school truancy, disobeying parents).

 IV. Juvenile justice: the leading principles of a comprehensive policy

1. Before elaborating on the requirements of CRC in more detail, the Committee will first mention the leading principles of a comprehensive policy for juvenile justice. In the administration of juvenile justice, States parties have to apply systematically the general principles contained in articles 2, 3, 6 and 12 of CRC, as well as the fundamental principles of juvenile justice enshrined in articles 37 and 40.

 Non-discrimination (art. 2)

1. States parties have to take all necessary measures to ensure that all children in conflict with the law are treated equally. Particular attention must be paid to de facto discrimination and disparities, which may be the result of a lack of a consistent policy and involve vulnerable groups of children, such as street children, children belonging to racial, ethnic, religious or linguistic minorities, indigenous children, girl children, children with disabilities and children who are repeatedly in conflict with the law (recidivists). In this regard, training of all professionals involved in the administration of juvenile justice is important (see paragraph 123 below), as well as the establishment of rules, regulations or protocols which enhance equal treatment of child offenders and provide redress, remedies and compensation.
2. Many children in conflict with the law are also victims of discrimination, e.g. when they try to get access to education or to the labour market. It is necessary that measures are taken to prevent such discrimination, inter alia, as by providing former child offenders with appropriate support and assistance in their efforts to reintegrate in society, and to conduct public campaigns emphasizing their right to assume a constructive role in society (art. 40 (1)).
3. It is quite common that criminal codes contain provisions criminalizing behavioural problems of children, such as vagrancy, truancy, runaways and other acts, which often are the result of psychological or socio-economic problems. It is particularly a matter of concern that girls and street children are often victims of this criminalization. These acts, also known as Status Offences, are not considered to be such if committed by adults. The Committee recommends that the States parties abolish the provisions on status offences in order to establish an equal treatment under the law for children and adults. In this regard, the Committee also refers to article 56 of the Riyadh Guidelines which reads: “In order to prevent further stigmatization, victimization and criminalization of young persons, legislation should be enacted to ensure that any conduct not considered an offence or not penalized if committed by an adult is not considered an offence and not penalized if committed by a young person.”
4. In addition, behaviour such as vagrancy, roaming the streets or runaways should be dealt with through the implementation of child protective measures, including effective support for parents and/or other caregivers and measures which address the root causes of this behaviour.

 Best interests of the child (art. 3)

1. In all decisions taken within the context of the administration of juvenile justice, the best interests of the child should be a primary consideration. Children differ from adults in their physical and psychological development, and their emotional and educational needs. Such differences constitute the basis for the lesser culpability of children in conflict with the law. These and other differences are the reasons for a separate juvenile justice system and require a different treatment for children. The protection of the best interests of the child means, for instance, that the traditional objectives of criminal justice, such as repression/retribution, must give way to rehabilitation and restorative justice objectives in dealing with child offenders. This can be done in concert with attention to effective public safety.

 The right to life, survival and development (art. 6)

1. This inherent right of every child should guide and inspire States parties in the development of effective national policies and programmes for the prevention of juvenile delinquency, because it goes without saying that delinquency has a very negative impact on the child’s development. Furthermore, this basic right should result in a policy of responding to juvenile delinquency in ways that support the child’s development. The death penalty and a life sentence without parole are explicitly prohibited under article 37 (a) of CRC (see paragraphs 90-92 below). The use of deprivation of liberty has very negative consequences for the child’s harmonious development and seriously hampers his/her reintegration in society. In this regard, article 37 (b) explicitly provides that deprivation of liberty, including arrest, detention and imprisonment, should be used only as a measure of last resort and for the shortest appropriate period of time, so that the child’s right to development is fully respected and ensured (see paragraphs 95-107 below).[[2]](#footnote-3)

 The right to be heard (art. 12)

1. The right of the child to express his/her views freely in all matters affecting the child should be fully respected and implemented throughout every stage of the process of juvenile justice (see paragraphs 54-56 below). The Committee notes that the voices of children involved in the juvenile justice system are increasingly becoming a powerful force for improvements and reform, and for the fulfilment of their rights.

 Dignity (art. 40 (1))

1. CRC provides a set of fundamental principles for the treatment to be accorded to children in conflict with the law:
* *Treatment that is consistent with the child’s sense of dignity and worth*. This principle reflects the fundamental human right enshrined in article 1 of UDHR, which stipulates that all human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights. This inherent right to dignity and worth, to which the preamble of CRC makes explicit reference, has to be respected and protected throughout the entire process of dealing with the child, from the first contact with law enforcement agencies and all the way to the implementation of all measures for dealing with the child;
* *Treatment that reinforces the child’s respect for the human rights and freedoms of others*. This principle is in line with the consideration in the preamble that a child should be brought up in the spirit of the ideals proclaimed in the Charter of the United Nations. It also means that, within the juvenile justice system, the treatment and education of children shall be directed to the development of respect for human rights and freedoms (art. 29 (1) (b) of CRC and general comment No. 1 on the aims of education). It is obvious that this principle of juvenile justice requires a full respect for and implementation of the guarantees for a fair trial recognized in article 40 (2) (see paragraphs 49-81 below). If the key actors in juvenile justice, such as police officers, prosecutors, judges and probation officers, do not fully respect and protect these guarantees, how can they expect that with such poor examples the child will respect the human rights and fundamental freedom of others?;
* *Treatment that takes into account the child’s age and promotes the child’s reintegration and the child’s assuming a constructive role in society*. This principle must be applied, observed and respected throughout the entire process of dealing with the child, from the first contact with law enforcement agencies all the way to the implementation of all measures for dealing with the child. It requires that all professionals involved in the administration of juvenile justice be knowledgeable about child development, the dynamic and continuing growth of children, what is appropriate to their well-being, and the pervasive forms of violence against children;
* *Respect for the dignity of the child requires that all forms of violence in the treatment of children in conflict with the law must be prohibited and prevented*. Reports received by the Committee show that violence occurs in all phases of the juvenile justice process, from the first contact with the police, during pretrial detention and during the stay in treatment and other facilities for children sentenced to deprivation of liberty. The committee urges the States parties to take effective measures to prevent such violence and to make sure that the perpetrators are brought to justice and to give effective follow-up to the recommendations made in the report on the United Nations Study on Violence Against Children presented to the General Assembly in October 2006 (A/61/299).
1. The Committee acknowledges that the preservation of public safety is a legitimate aim of the justice system. However, it is of the opinion that this aim is best served by a full respect for and implementation of the leading and overarching principles of juvenile justice as enshrined in CRC.

 V. Juvenile justice: the core elements of a comprehensive policy

1. A comprehensive policy for juvenile justice must deal with the following core elements: the prevention of child offending; interventions without resorting to judicial proceedings and interventions in the context of judicial proceedings; the minimum age of criminal responsibility and the age of criminal majority/ upper age limit of the juvenile justice system; the guarantees for a fair trial; the deprivation of liberty including pre-trial detention and post-trial incarceration; the after care and reintegration services and the monitoring of these measures.

 A. Prevention of child offending

1. One of the most important goals of the implementation of CRC is to promote the full and harmonious development of the child and to prepare him or her to live an individual and responsible life in a free society, in which he/she can assume a constructive role with respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms (preamble, arts 6, 29 and 40). In this regard, parents have the responsibility to provide the child, in a manner consistent with his/her evolving capacities, with appropriate direction and guidance in the exercise of his/her rights as recognized in the Convention. In the light of these and other provisions of CRC, it is obviously not in the best interests of the child if he/she grows up in circumstances that may cause an increased or serious risk of becoming involved in criminal activities. Various measures should be taken for the full and equal implementation of the rights to an adequate standard of living (art. 27), to the highest attainable standard of health and access to health care (art. 24), to education (arts. 28 and 29), to protection from all forms of physical or mental violence, injury or abuse (art. 19), and from economic or sexual exploitation (arts. 32 and 34), and to other appropriate services for the care or protection of children.
2. A juvenile justice policy without a set of measures aimed at preventing child offending suffers from serious shortcomings. States parties should fully integrate into their comprehensive national policy for juvenile justice the Riyadh Guidelines (1990), which emphasise prevention policies that facilitate the successful socialization and integration of all children, in particular through the family, the community, peer groups, schools, vocational training and the world of work, as well as through voluntary organizations. Prevention programmes should focus on support for families in particular those in vulnerable situations, the involvement of schools in teaching basic values, and extending special care and attention to young persons at risk. In this regard, particular attention should also be given to children who drop out of school or otherwise do not complete their education. The use of peer group support and a strong involvement of parents are recommended. The States parties should also develop community-based services and programmes that respond to the special needs, problems, concerns and interests of children, and that provide appropriate counselling and guidance to their families.
3. Articles 18 and 27 of the Convention confirm the importance of the responsibility of parents or legal guardians for the upbringing of their children, but at the same time CRC requires States parties to provide the necessary assistance to parents (or other caregivers), in the performance of their parental responsibilities. The measures of assistance should not only focus on the prevention of negative situations, but should also emphasise the promotion of the social potential of parents. There is a wealth of information on home- and family-based prevention programmes, such as parent training, programmes to enhance parent-child interaction and home visitation programmes, which can commence when the child is very young. In addition, investment in early childhood development and education correlates with a lower rate of future violence and crime.
4. States parties should fully promote and support the involvement of children, parents, community leaders and other key actors (e.g. representatives of NGOs, probation services and social workers) in the development and implementation of prevention programmes. The quality of this involvement is a key factor in the success of these programmes.

 B. Interventions/diversion (see also section E below)

1. Two kinds of interventions can be used by State authorities for dealing with children in conflict with the law:
2. measures without resorting to judicial proceedings (diversion); and
3. measures in the context of judicial proceedings.

In both interventions, the Committee reminds States parties that utmost care must be taken to ensure that the child’s human rights and legal safeguards are thereby fully respected and protected.

 Interventions without resorting to judicial proceedings (diversion)

1. According to article 40 (3) of the CRC, the States parties shall seek to promote measures for dealing with children in conflict with the law without resorting to judicial proceedings, whenever appropriate. In addition to avoiding stigmatization, this approach has good results for children and is in the interests of public safety, and has proven to be more cost-effective.
2. The diversion of matters away from the criminal/juvenile justice processing to a range of appropriate programmes should be the preferred manner of dealing with child offenders in the majority of cases. States parties should continually extend the range of offences for which diversion is possible, including serious offences where appropriate.
3. It is left to the discretion of States parties to decide on the exact nature and content of the measures of diversion, and to take the necessary legislative and other measures for their implementation. Nonetheless, on the basis of the information provided in the reports from some States parties, it is clear that a variety of community-based programmes have been developed, such as community service, supervision and guidance by social workers or probation officers, family conferencing and other forms of restorative justice including restitution to and compensation of victims.
4. States parties should take measures of diversion as an integral part of their juvenile justice system, and ensure that children’s human rights and legal safeguards are thereby fully respected and protected (art. 40 (3) (b)).
5. The Committee emphasizes the following:
* Diversion should be used only when there is compelling evidence that the child committed the alleged offence, that he/she freely and voluntarily admits responsibility, and that no intimidation or pressure has been used to obtain that admission and, finally, that the admission will not be used against him/her in any subsequent legal proceeding;
* The child must freely and voluntarily give consent to the diversion, a consent that should be based on adequate and specific information on the nature, content and duration of the measure, and on the consequences of a failure to cooperate, carry out and complete the measure.;
* The law should indicate the cases in which diversion is possible, and the powers of the police, prosecutors and/or other agencies to make decisions in this regard should be regulated and reviewed. All State officials and actors participating in the diversion process should receive the necessary training and support;
* The child must be given the opportunity to seek legal or other appropriate assistance on the appropriateness of the diversion offered by the competent authorities, and on the possibility of review of the measure;
* The completion of the diversion by the child should result in a definite and final closure of the case. Although confidential records can be kept of diversion for administrative and review purposes, they should not be viewed as “criminal records” and a child who has been previously diverted must not be seen as having a previous conviction. If any registration takes place of this event, access to that information should be given exclusively and for a limited period of time, e.g. for a maximum of one year, to the competent authorities authorized to deal with children in conflict with the law.

 Interventions in the context of judicial proceedings (disposition)

1. When judicial proceedings are initiated by the competent authority, the principles of a fair and just trial must be applied (see section D below). At the same time, the juvenile justice system should provide ample opportunities to deal with children in conflict with the law by using social and/or educational measures, and to strictly limit the use of deprivation of liberty, and in particular pre-trial detention, as a measure of last resort. From the moment of arrest, and during the disposition phase of the proceedings, deprivation of liberty must be used only as a measure of last resort and for the shortest appropriate period of time (art. 37 (b)). This means that States parties should have in place a well-trained probation service to allow for the maximum and effective use of measures such as guidance and supervision orders, probation, community monitoring or day report centres, and the possibility of early release from detention.
2. The Committee reminds States parties that, pursuant to article 40 (1) of CRC, promoting reintegration requires that a child who is or has been in conflict with the law should be protected from actions or attitudes that hamper the child’s full participation in his/her community, such as stigmatization, social isolation, or negative publicity.

 C. Age and children in conflict with the law

 The minimum age of criminal responsibility

1. The minimum age of criminal responsibility is the age below which children are considered by law not to have the capacity to infringe the criminal law. Children who commit an offence at an age below that minimum cannot be held responsible in a criminal law process. Children at or above the minimum age at the time of the commission of an offence but younger than 18 years (see paragraph xx below) can be formally charged and subject to juvenile justice procedures. However, these procedures, including the final outcome, must be in full compliance with the principles and provisions of the Convention as elaborated in the present general comment. The Committee reminds states parties that the relevant age is the age at the time of the commission of the offence.
2. It is a fact that even young children do commit offences, but if they do so when below the minimum age of criminal responsibility the operation of law dictates that they cannot be formally charged and held responsible in a criminal law process. For these children special protective measures can be considered on a case-by-case basis by the appropriate civil or administrative authorities.
3. Art. 40 (3) of CRC requires States parties to seek to promote, inter alia, the establishment of a minimum age below which children shall be presumed not to have the capacity to infringe the penal law, but does not mention a specific minimum age in this regard. The Committee understands this provision as an obligation for States parties to set a minimum age of criminal responsibility. The reports submitted by States parties demonstrate a wide range of minimum ages of criminal responsibility, ranging from a very low level of age 7 or 8 to the commendably high level of age 14 or 16. In the light of this wide range of minimum ages for criminal responsibility, the Committee decided in general comment No. 10 (2007) to provide the States parties with clear guidance regarding the minimum age of criminal responsibility.
4. International standards recommend that the minimum age of criminal responsibility shall not be fixed at too low an age level, bearing in mind the facts of emotional, mental and intellectual maturity. In line with this rule, the Committee recommended States parties not to set a minimum age at too low a level and to increase the existing low minimum age to an internationally acceptable level. In the original general comment No. 10 (2007), the Committee had considered 12 years as the absolute minimum age. However, the Committee finds that this age indication is still low. States parties are encouraged to increase their minimum age to at least 14 years of age. At the same time, the Committee commends States parties that have a higher minimum age, for instance 15 or 16 years of age. The Committee recommends that State parties should under no circumstances reduce the minimum age of criminal responsibility, if its current penal law sets the minimum age of criminal responsibility at an age higher than 14 years.
5. States parties should provide detailed information in their reports to the Committee regarding how children below the minimum age of criminal responsibility set in their laws are treated when they are in conflict with the law, and what kind of legal safeguards are in place to ensure that their treatment is as fair and just as that of children at or above the minimum age.
6. The Committee wishes to express its concern about the practice of allowing exceptions to a minimum age of criminal responsibility, which permit the use of a lower minimum age of criminal responsibility in cases where the child, for example, is accused of committing a serious offence or where the child is considered mature enough to be held criminally responsible. The Committee strongly recommends that States parties set a minimum age of criminal responsibility that does not allow, by way of exception, the use of a lower age.
7. If there is no proof of age and it cannot be established that the child is at or above the minimum age of criminal responsibility, the child shall not be held criminally responsible (see also paragraph xx below).

 The upper age limit of juvenile justice (or age of criminal majority)

1. The upper age limit to the juvenile justice system/ the age of criminal majority is the limit of age after which a person who commits a crime is considered an adult and answers of this offence before the adult criminal courts. The upper age limit of the juvenile justice system/ criminal majority is universally fixed at 18 years of age and corresponds to the definition of a child contained in article 1 of the Convention. This means that every person under the age of 18 years at the time of the alleged commission of an offence has the right to be treated in accordance with the rules of juvenile justice, in a specific and specialized system, different from the criminal one applicable to adults.

 The application of juvenile justice system

1. The juvenile justice system should apply to children who are above the minimum age of criminal responsibility but below the age of 18 years at the time of the commission of the offence.
2. In relation with the upper age limit of the juvenile justice system, the Committee wishes to remind States parties that they have recognized the right of every child in conflict with the law to be treated in accordance with the provisions of art. 40 of the Convention. These special rules – both in terms of special procedural rules and of rules for diversion and special measures – should apply, starting at the minimum age of criminal responsibility set in the States party, for all children who, at the time of their alleged commission of an offence, have not yet reached the age of 18 years.
3. The Committee, therefore, recommends that those States parties which limit the applicability of their juvenile justice rules to children under the age of 16 years (or lower), or which allow by way of exception that certain children are treated as adult offenders (for example, because of the category of the offence), should change their laws with a view to achieving a non-discriminatory full application of their juvenile justice rules to all persons under the age of 18 years at the time of the offence (see also general comment No. 20 (2016) on the implementation of the rights of the child during adolescence, paragraph 88).
4. Juvenile justice systems should also extend protection to child offenders who were below the age of 18 at the time of the commission of the offence but who turn 18 during the trial or during the period of sentence.
5. The Committee notes with appreciation that some States parties allow for the application of the rules and regulations of juvenile justice to persons aged 18 and older, usually until the age of 21, whether as a general rule or by way of exception.

 Systems with two minimum ages of criminal responsibility

1. Several States parties use two minimum ages of criminal responsibility: children in conflict with the law who at the time of the commission of the crime are at or above the lower minimum age but below the higher minimum age are assumed to be criminally responsible only if they have the required maturity in that regard. The assessment of this maturity is often left to the court/judge, sometimes without the requirement of involving a psychological expert (who are often not available in developing states), and results in practice in the use of the lower minimum age in cases of serious crimes. The system of two minimum ages is often not only confusing, but leaves much to the discretion of the court/judge and may result in discriminatory practices.

 Birth certificates and age determination

1. A child who does not have a birth certificate must be provided with one promptly and free of charge, whenever it is required to prove age. If there is no proof of age by birth certificate, the authority, with the assistance of social workers, if available, should accept all documentation such as notification of birth, extracts from birth registries, baptismal or equivalent documents, or school reports. Documents that are available should be considered genuine unless there is proof to the contrary. Authorities should allow for interviews with parents or testimony by parents regarding age, or for permitting questionnaires to be filed by teachers or religious or community leaders who know the age of the child.
2. Only if these measures prove unsuccessful, the child may be subjected to a comprehensive assessment of the child’s physical and psychological development, conducted by specialist paediatricians or other professionals who are skilled in combining different aspects of development. Such assessments should be carried out in a prompt, child- and gender-sensitive, gender-sensitive and culturally appropriate manner, including interviews of children and, as appropriate, accompanying adults, in a language the child understands. States should refrain from using medical methods based on, inter alia, bone and dental exam analysis, which may be inaccurate, with wide margins of error, and can also be traumatic and lead to unnecessary legal processes. In the case of inconclusive evidence, the child shall have the benefit of the doubt.

 Continuation of the juvenile justice measures after criminal majority

1. When a child in conflict with the law is the object of a measure of probation, education or curative treatment, or stays in a placement or is detained in a centre for children in conflict with the law, reaching 18 years does not mean the end of the juvenile justice specialised measures. The Committee recommends to States parties to ensure that these young persons can continue the completion of the programme or sentence in conditions suited to their age, maturity and needs and are not sent to centres for adults.

 Offences committed before and after 18 years and offences committed with adults

1. In cases where a young offender commits several offences, some occurring before and some after the age of 18 years, States parties should consider providing for procedural rules which allow the juvenile justice system to be applied in respect of all the offences when there are reasonable grounds to consider the author of these offences as being able to benefit from the specialized regime of the juvenile justice system.
2. In cases where a child commits an offence together with an adult or a group of adults, the proceedings and provisions of the specialized regime of the juvenile justice system applies to the child, whatever the conditions reserved for adults.

 D. The guarantees for a fair trial

1. Art. 40 (2) of CRC contains an important list of rights and guarantees to ensure that every child in conflict with the law receives fair treatment and trial. Most of these guarantees can also be found in article 14 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR). However, the implementation of these guarantees for children requires specific aspects. The Committee wishes to emphasize the importance of the quality of the persons involved in the administration of juvenile justice for the implementation of the guarantees. Continuous and systematic training of professionals (police officers, prosecutors, legal and other representatives of the child, judges, probation officers, social workers, psychologists and others) is crucial. These professionals should be able to work in interdisciplinary teams, be well informed about the child’s, and particularly about the adolescent’s physical, psychological, mental and social development, as well as about the special needs of the most vulnerable children (see paragraphs xx-xx above).
2. Since girls in the juvenile justice system may be easily overlooked because they are a minority of child offenders, special attention should be paid to the particular needs of girls, e.g. in relation to prior abuse, special health, including psychological and mental health needs.
3. All the guarantees recognized in article 40 (2) of CRC are minimum standards, meaning that States parties can and should try to establish and observe higher standards.

 No retroactive juvenile justice (art. 40 (2) (a))

1. Art. 40 (2) (a) of the Convention (see also article 15 of ICCPR) affirms that no one shall be held guilty of any criminal offence on account of any act or omission which did not constitute a criminal offence, under national or international law, at the time it was committed. This is also applicable to children meaning that no child can be charged with or sentenced under the criminal law for acts or omissions which at the time they were committed were not prohibited under national or international law. In the light of the fact that many States parties have recently strengthened and/or expanded their criminal law provisions to prevent and combat terrorism, the Committee recommends that States parties ensure that these changes do not result in retroactive or unintended punishment of children. No child shall be punished with a heavier penalty than the one applicable at the time of his/her infringement of the penal law. But if a change of law after the act provides for a lighter penalty, the child should benefit from this change.

 The presumption of innocence (art. 40 (2) (b) (i))

1. The presumption of innocence is fundamental to the protection of the human rights of children in conflict with the law. It means that the burden of proof of the charge(s) brought against the child is on the prosecution. The child alleged as or accused of having infringed the criminal law has the benefit of the doubt and is only guilty if the charges have been proved beyond reasonable doubt. The child has the right to be treated in accordance with this presumption and it is the duty of all public authorities or others involved to refrain from prejudging the outcome of the trial. States parties should provide information about child development to ensure that this presumption of innocence is respected in practice. Due to the lack of understanding of the process, immaturity, fear or other reasons, the child may behave in a suspicious manner, but the authorities must not assume that the child is guilty without proof of guilt beyond any reasonable doubt.

 The right to be heard (art. 12)

1. Article 12 (2) of the Convention requires that a child be provided with the opportunity to be heard in any judicial or administrative proceedings affecting him/her, either directly or through a representative or an appropriate body in a manner consistent with the procedural rules of national law.[[3]](#footnote-4)
2. The child’s right to be heard is fundamental for a fair trial. He or she has the right to be heard directly and not only through a representative or an appropriate body at all stages of the process, starting with the pre-trial stage when the child has the right to remain silent and no adverse inference should be drawn if he or she elects not to testify; and applies throughout the stages of adjudication and of implementation of the imposed measures. A child who is considered to be criminally responsible should be considered competent to effectively participate in all aspects of the trial.
3. The child should be given the opportunity to express his/her views concerning the measures that may be imposed, and the specific concerns he/she may have should be given due weight. (see paragraph xx below). Treating the child as a participant rather than a passive object contributes to an effective response to his/her behaviour, and improves the possibility of successful completion of the measures and of reintegration.

 The right to effective participation in the proceedings (art 40 (2) (b) (iv))

1. A fair trial requires that the child in conflict with the law is able to effectively participate in the trial, and the child therefore needs to comprehend the charges, and possible consequences and penalties, in order to direct the legal representative, to challenge witnesses, to provide an account of events, and to make appropriate decisions about evidence, testimony and the measure(s) to be imposed. This includes a requirement that the proceedings be conducted in a language the child fully understands but if not, to be assisted by a free interpreter. Article 14 of the Beijing Rules provides that the proceedings should be conducted in an atmosphere of understanding to allow the child to participate and to express himself/herself freely. Taking into account the child’s age and maturity may also require modified courtroom procedures and practices.

 Prompt and direct information of the charge(s) (art. 40 (2) (b) (ii))

1. Every child alleged as or accused of having infringed the criminal law has the right to be informed promptly and directly of the charges brought against him/her. Prompt and direct means as soon as possible, and that is when the police, the prosecutor or the judge initially takes procedural steps against the child. When the authorities decide to deal with the case with a diversion measure, the child must be informed of the charge(s) on which this is based, and must understand his or her legal options. This is part of the requirement of article 40 (3) (b) of CRC that legal safeguards should be fully respected. The child should be informed in a language he/she understands, including a “translation” of the formal legal jargon into child-friendly language.
2. Providing the child with an official document is insufficient and an oral explanation is necessary. Providing this information to parents/guardians/legal or other assistants is insufficient. The authorities should not leave the explanation of the charges to such persons. It is the responsibility of the authorities (police, prosecutor, judge) to ensure that the child understands each charge brought against him/her. It is most appropriate if both the child and the parents/ legal guardians receive the information in such a way that they can understand the charge(s) and the possible consequences.

 Legal or other appropriate assistance (art. 40 (2) (b) (ii))

1. States shall ensure that the child is guaranteed legal or other appropriate assistance from the outset of the proceedings in the preparation and presentation of his/her defence. The CRC does require that the child be provided with assistance, which is not necessarily under all circumstances legal but it must be appropriate. A number of States parties have made reservations regarding this guarantee (art. 40 (2) (b) (ii) of CRC), apparently assuming that it requires exclusively the provision of legal assistance and therefore by a lawyer. In general comment No. 10 (2007), this Committee recommended such reservations should be withdrawn.
2. The Committee has become concerned, however, that many children in some States parties are facing charges in courts and being deprived of liberty, without having the benefit of legal representation. The Committee notes that in terms of 14 (3) (d) of ICCPR the right to legal representation is a minimum guarantee in the criminal justice system for all persons, and should equally apply to children. While this article allows the person to defend him/herself in person in any case where “the interests of justice so require”, that person will be assigned legal assistance.
3. In the light of this, the Committee is concerned that children are being provided with less protection than international law guarantees for adults. The Committee recommends that states provide legal representation for all children who are facing charges in juvenile courts. If children are being diverted to programmes or are being assisted in a system which does not result in convictions, criminal records or deprivation of liberty, ‘other assistance’ may be an acceptable form of assistance, although states that can provide legal representation for children during all processes should do so. Legal representation shall be provided free of charge to the child.
4. Where other appropriate assistance is permissible (e.g. social worker or para-legal), that person must have sufficient knowledge and understanding of the various legal aspects of the process of juvenile justice and must be trained to work with children in conflict with the law. It is left to the discretion of States parties to determine how this assistance is provided but it should be free of charge. The legal or other appropriate assistance should be present not only in the trial before the court or other judicial body but also in all other stages of the juvenile justice process, beginning with the interviewing (interrogation) of the child by the police, the decision of the prosecutor (or other competent body) to bring charges against the child, and the final decision by the court or other competent judicial body.
5. As required by article 14 (3) (b) of ICCPR, the child and his/her assistant must have adequate time and facilities for the preparation of his/her defence. Communications between the child and his/her assistant, either in writing or orally, should take place under such conditions that the confidentiality of such communications is fully respected in accordance with the guarantee provided for in article 40 (2) (b) (vii) of CRC, and the right of the child to be protected against interference with his/her privacy and correspondence (art. 16 of CRC)

 Decisions without delay and with involvement of parents (art. 40 (2) (b) (iii))

1. The Committee reiterates that, for children in conflict with the law, the time between the commission of the offence and the final response to this act should be as short as possible. The longer this period, the more likely it is that the response loses its desired positive, pedagogical impact, and the more the child will be stigmatized. The term “promptly” relating to notification of charges (art. 40 (2) (b) (ii) of CRC) and the term “without delay” (art. 40 (2) (b) (iii) of CRC) relating to determination of matter, are both stronger than the term “without undue delay” of article 14 (3) (c) of ICCPR.
2. The Committee recommends that the States parties set and implement time limits for the period between the commission of the offence and the completion of the police investigation, the decision of the prosecutor (or other competent body) to bring charges against the child, and the final decision by the court or other competent judicial body. These time limits should be much shorter than those set for adults, but the shortened time frames should still allow for the child’s rights and the legal safeguards to be fully respected.
3. Parents or legal guardians should also be present at the proceedings. However, the judge or competent authority may decide, at the request of the child or of his/her legal or other appropriate assistance or because it is not in the best interests of the child (art. 3 paragraph 1 of CRC), to limit, restrict or exclude the presence of the parents from the proceedings.
4. The Committee recommends that States parties explicitly provide by law for the maximum possible involvement of parents or legal guardians in the proceedings against the child because they can provide general psychological and emotional assistance to the child. This involvement shall in general contribute to an effective response to the child’s infringement of the penal law. To promote parental involvement, parents must be notified of the apprehension of their child as soon as possible.
5. At the same time, the Committee regrets the trend in some countries to introduce the punishment of parents for the offences committed by their children ; this practice may operate to the detriment of the child and will is unlikely to contribute to their becoming active partners in the social reintegration of their child.

 Freedom from compulsory self-incrimination (art. 40 (2) (b) (iii))

1. In line with article 14 (3) (g) of ICCPR, CRC requires that a child is not compelled to give testimony or to confess or acknowledge guilt. Torture, cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment in order to extract an admission or a confession constitutes a grave violation of the rights of the child (art. 37 (a) of CRC) and is wholly unacceptable. No such admission or confession can be admissible as evidence (article 15 of the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment).
2. There are many other less violent ways to coerce or to lead the child to a confession or self-incriminatory testimony which are also to be avoided. The term “compelled” should be interpreted in a broad manner and not be limited to physical force or other clear violations of human rights. The age of the child, the child’s development, the length of the interrogation, the child’s lack of understanding, the fear of unknown consequences or of a suggested possibility of imprisonment may lead him/her to a confession that is not true.
3. The child being questioned must have access to legal or other appropriate assistance, and must be able to request and secure the presence of his/her parent(s) during questioning. The court or other judicial body, when considering the voluntary nature and reliability of an admission or confession by a child, must take into account the age of the child, the length of custody and interrogation, and the presence of legal or other counsel, parent(s), or independent representatives of the child. Police officers and other investigating authorities should be well trained to avoid interrogation techniques and practices that result in coerced or unreliable confessions or testimonies.

 Presence and examination of witnesses (art. 40 (2) (b) (iv))

1. The guarantee in article 40 (2) (b) (iv) of CRC underscores that the principle of equality of arms (equality or parity between defence and prosecution) should be observed in the administration of juvenile justice. The term “to examine or to have examined” refers to the fact that there are distinctions in the legal systems, particularly between the accusatorial and inquisitorial trials. In the latter, the defendant is often allowed to examine witnesses although he/she rarely uses this right, leaving examination of the witnesses to the lawyer or, in the case of children, to another appropriate body. However, it remains important that the lawyer or other representative informs the child of the possibility to examine witnesses and to allow him/her to express his/her views in that regard (art. 12 of CRC).

 The right to appeal (art. 40 (2) (b) (v))

1. The child has the right to appeal against the decision finding him/her guilty of the charge(s) and against the measures imposed. This appeal should be decided by a higher, competent, independent and impartial authority or judicial body. This guarantee is similar to the one expressed in article 14 (5) of ICCPR. This right of appeal is not limited to the most serious offences.
2. Several States parties have made reservations regarding this provision in order to limit this right of appeal by the child to the more serious offences and/or imprisonment sentences. In the light of article 41 of CRC, the Committee recommends that the States parties withdraw their reservations to the provision in article 40 (2) (b) (v).

 Free assistance of an interpreter (art. 40 (2) (vi))

1. If a child cannot understand or speak the language used by the juvenile justice system, he/she has the right to have the free assistance of an interpreter. This assistance should not be limited to the court trial, but should also be available at all stages of the juvenile justice process. It is also important that the interpreter has been trained to work with children, because the use and understanding of their mother tongue might be different from that of adults
2. The Committee also wishes to draw the attention of States parties to children with communication disabilities. In accordance with the special protection measures provided to children with disabilities in article 23 of CRC, the Committee recommends that States parties ensure that such children provided with adequate and effective assistance by well-trained professionals. See also in this regard the Committee’s general comment No. 9 (2006) on the rights of children with disabilities.

 Full respect of privacy (arts. 16 and 40 (2) (b) (vii))

1. The right of a child to have his/her privacy fully respected during all stages of the proceedings reflects the right enshrined in article 16 of CRC. “All stages of the proceedings” includes from the initial contact with law enforcement (e.g. a request for information and identification) at least up until the final decision by a competent authority, or release from supervision, custody or deprivation of liberty, even if the child turns 18 during the course of the proceedings or release from custody. The rationale for the nonpublication rule, and its continuation following the child turning 18 years, is that publication causes ongoing stigmatization, which is likely to have a negative impact on his/her ability to have access to education, work, housing or to be safe. This means that the goals of juvenile justice to promote the child’s reintegration and assumption of a constructive role in society is seriously impeded. Consequently, public authorities should be most vigilant concerning press releases, articles and publications related to offences allegedly committed by persons who were children at the time of the offence, and limit them to very exceptional cases. They must take measures to guarantee that children are not identifiable via such releases, articles or publications. This should extend to all forms of social media. All persons who violate the right to privacy of a child in conflict with the law should be sanctioned with disciplinary and where necessary with criminal sanctions.
2. The Committee recommends that the States parties protect the identity of a person who committed a crime while still a child, with the provision that a court may make an order allowing the publication of so much information as may be necessary. A court should only permit such publication where it has determined, after hearing submissions that it is in the interests of justice to do so.
3. Furthermore, the Committee recommends to the State parties to introduce rules permitting automatic removal from the criminal records of children who committed an offence upon reaching the age of 18, and in the case of serious offences to allow removal at the request of the child, if necessary under certain conditions (e.g. not having committed an offence within two years after the last conviction).
4. The Committee recommends that all States parties introduce the rule that court and other hearings of a child in conflict with the law be conducted behind closed doors. Exceptions to this rule should be very limited and clearly stated in the law. If the verdict/sentence is pronounced in public at a court session, it should be done in such a way that the identity of the child is not revealed. Furthermore, the right to privacy also means that the court files and records of child offenders should be kept strictly confidential and closed to third parties except for those directly involved in the investigation and adjudication of, and the ruling on, the case. With a view to avoiding stigmatization and/or prejudgements, records of child offenders should not be used in subsequent cases in adult proceedings involving the same offender (see the Beijing Rules, rules 21.1 and 21.2), or to enhance future sentencing.

 E. Measures (see also section B above)

 Diversion throughout the proceedings

1. The decision to take a child through the juvenile justice system does not mean the child must go through a formal court process. In line with the observations made above in section B, the Committee emphasizes that the competent authorities - in most States the public prosecutor - should continuously explore the possibilities of avoiding a court process or conviction, through diversion and other measures. In other words, efforts to achieve an appropriate conclusion of the case by offering measures like the ones mentioned above in section B should start before a trial commences and should continue throughout the proceedings. The nature and duration of these measures offered by the police, the prosecution or the judge may still be demanding, and legal or other appropriate assistance for the child is then necessary. The performance of such a measure should be presented to the child as a way to suspend the formal court process, which will be terminated if the measure has been carried out in a satisfactory manner.
2. In this process of offering diversion at the level of the police, prosecutor or investigating judge, the child’s human rights and legal safeguards should be fully respected. In this regard, the Committee refers to the recommendations set out in paragraph xx above, which equally apply here.

 Dispositions by the juvenile court/judge

1. After a fair and just trial in full compliance with article 40 of CRC (see section D above), a decision is made regarding the measures which should be imposed on the child found guilty of the alleged offence(s). The laws must provide the court/judge, or other competent, independent and impartial authority or judicial body, with a wide variety of non-custodial measures to avoid institutional care and deprivation of liberty, which are listed in a non-exhaustive manner in article 40 (4) of CRC, to assure that deprivation of liberty be used only as a measure of last resort and for the shortest possible period of time (art. 37 (b) of CRC).
2. The Committee emphasizes that the reaction to an offence should always be in proportion not only to the circumstances and the gravity of the offence, but also to the personal circumstances (age, lesser culpability, circumstances and needs including if appropriate the mental health needs of the child), as well as to the various and particularly long‑term needs of the society. A strictly punitive approach is not in accordance with the leading principles for juvenile justice spelled out in article 40 (1) of CRC (see paragraphs xx-xx above). In cases of serious offences by children, measures proportionate to the circumstances of the offender and to the gravity of the offence may be considered, including considerations of the need for public safety and sanctions. In the case of children, such considerations must weigh in favour of the child’s right to have his/her best interests considered as a primary consideration and to promote his/her reintegration.
3. Recognizing the harm caused by deprivation of liberty to children and adolescents, and its negative effects on their prospects for successful reintegration, the Committee recommends that States parties should set a maximum penalty for children accused of crimes, which should be considerably shorter than the duration of custodial sentences for adults for similar offences. Any exceptions to the maximum period should be kept to an absolute minimum, and must abide by the principle of proportionality.
4. As far as non-custodial measures are concerned, there is a wide range of experience with the use and implementation of such measures. States parties should benefit from this experience, and develop and implement such measures by adjusting them to their own culture and tradition. Measures amounting to forced labour or to torture or inhuman and degrading treatment must be explicitly prohibited, and those responsible for such illegal practices should be brought to justice.
5. The Committee reiterates that corporal punishment as a sanction is a violation of these principles as well as of article 37 of CRC which prohibits all forms of cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment or punishment (see also the Committee’s general comment No. 8 (2006) on the right of the child to protection from corporal punishment and other cruel or degrading forms of punishment (arts. 19; 28, para. 2; and 37, inter alia)).
6. After these general remarks, the Committee wishes to draw attention to the measures prohibited under article 37 (a) of CRC, and to deprivation of liberty.

 Prohibition of the death penalty

1. Article 37 (a) of CRC reaffirms the internationally accepted standard (see for example article 6 (5) of ICCPR) that the death penalty cannot be imposed for a crime committed by a person who was under 18 years of age. Although the text is clear, some States parties assume that the rule only prohibits the execution of persons below the age of 18 years. However, under this rule the explicit and decisive criterion is the age at the time of the commission of the offence. It means that the death penalty may not be imposed for a crime committed by a person who was under 18 years at the time of the commission of the offence, regardless of his/her age at the time of the trial or sentencing or of the execution of the sanction.
2. The Committee recommends the few States parties that have not yet abolished the death penalty for all offences committed by persons below the age of 18 years to do so, and to suspend the execution of all death sentences for those persons until the necessary legislative measures abolishing the death penalty for children have been fully enacted, and measures taken for the commuting of sentences. The imposed death penalty should be changed to a sanction that is in full conformity with CRC.

 No life imprisonment without parole

1. No child who was under the age of 18 at the time he/she committed an offence should be sentenced to life without the possibility of release or parole. For all sentences imposed upon children the possibility of release should be realistic and regularly considered. In this regard, the Committee refers to article 25 of CRC providing the right to periodic review for all children placed for the purpose of care, protection or treatment. The Committee reminds the States parties which do sentence children to life imprisonment with the possibility of release or parole that this sanction must fully comply with and strive for the realization of the aims of juvenile justice enshrined in article 40 (1) of CRC. This means inter alia that the child sentenced to this imprisonment should receive education, treatment, and care aiming at his/her release, reintegration and ability to assume a constructive role in society. This also requires a regular review of the child’s development and progress in order to decide on his/her possible release. Given the likelihood that life imprisonment of a child will make it very difficult, if not impossible, to achieve the aims of juvenile justice despite the possibility of release, the Committee strongly recommends States parties to abolish all forms of life imprisonment for offences committed by persons who were under the age of 18 at the time of commission of the offence.

 Monitoring the execution of measures, including placements

1. Specialized services such as probation, counselling or supervision should be established together with specialized facilities including for example non-residential community centres. Where necessary, facilities for residential care and treatment of child offenders may be established. Effective coordination, monitoring and quality control of the activities of all these specialized units, services and facilities should be promoted in an ongoing manner. Monitoring and evaluation of all measures must be included. In particular in case of placement or of detention, States parties have to plan the conditions of implementation, in accordance with paragraphs xx-xx above.
2. In addition, States parties have to conduct regular evaluations of their practice of juvenile justice, in particular of the effectiveness of, and compliance with, the measures taken, including those concerning discrimination, reintegration and recidivism, preferably carried out by independent academic institutions. Research, as for example on the disparities in the administration of juvenile justice which may amount to discrimination, and developments in the field of juvenile justice, such as effective diversion programmes or newly emerging juvenile justice strategies, will indicate critical points of success and concern.

 F. Deprivation of liberty, including pre-trial detention and post-trial incarceration

1. Article 37 of CRC contains the leading principles for the use of deprivation of liberty, the procedural rights of every child deprived of liberty, and provisions concerning the treatment of and conditions for children deprived of their liberty.

 Basic principles

1. The leading principles for the use of deprivation of liberty are: (a) the arrest, detention or imprisonment of a child shall be in conformity with the law and shall be used only as a measure of last resort and for the shortest appropriate period of time; and (b) no child shall be deprived of his/her liberty unlawfully or arbitrarily.
2. The Committee notes with concern that, in many countries, children languish in pre-trial detention for months or even years, which constitutes a grave violation of article 37 (b) of CRC. An effective wide range of diversion options to avoid criminal justice proceedings should be available (see sections B and D above), for the States parties to realize their obligation under article 37 (b) of CRC to use deprivation of liberty only as a measure of last resort. The use of non-custodial measures must be carefully structured to reduce the use of pre-trial detention as well. In addition, even when children are to be tried in the juvenile justice system the States parties should take adequate legislative and other measures to reduce the use of pre-trial detention.
3. Use of pre-trial detention as a punishment violates the presumption of innocence. The law should clearly state the conditions that are required to determine whether to place or keep a child in pre-trial detention, in particular to ensure his/her appearance at the court proceedings, and whether he/she is an immediate danger to himself/herself or others. The duration of pre-trial detention should be limited by law and be subject to regular review.
4. In application of the principle of the shortest period of time, States parties should provide regular opportunities in their specialized juvenile justice system to permit early release from custody, including police custody, into the care of parents or other suitable adults. There should be a discretion to release with or without conditions, such as reporting to a police station or probation officer, and the payment of monetary bail should generally not be a requirement. Decisions regarding pre-trial detention, including its duration, should be accorded urgency and should be made as soon as possible by a competent, independent and impartial authority or a judicial body, and the child should be provided with the necessary legal and other appropriate assistance.

 Procedural rights (art. 37 (d))

1. Every child deprived of his/her liberty has the right to prompt access to legal and other appropriate assistance, as well as the right to challenge the legality of the deprivation of his/her liberty before a court or other competent, independent and impartial authority, and to a prompt decision on any such action.
2. The Committee encourages the State parties to fix an age limit for the use of deprivation of liberty and recommends that no child in conflict with the law below the age of 16 years old be deprived of liberty, either at the pre-trial or post-trial stage. Even above that age, deprivation of liberty should only be used as a measure of last resort and for the shortest period of time with the child’s best interests as a primary consideration.
3. Every child arrested and deprived of his/her liberty should be brought before a competent authority to examine the legality of the deprivation of liberty or its continuation within 24 hours. The Committee also recommends that the States parties ensure by strict legal provisions that the legality of a pre-trial detention is reviewed regularly, preferably on a weekly basis. In cases where conditional release of the child, e.g. by applying non-custodial measures, is not possible, the child should be formally charged with the alleged offences and be brought before a court or other competent, independent and impartial authority or judicial body not later than 30 days after his/her pre-trial detention takes effect. The Committee, conscious of the practice of adjourning court hearings many times and/or for long periods, urges the States parties to introduce legal provisions to ensure that the court/juvenile judge or other competent body makes a final decision on the charges not later than six months after they have been presented.
4. The right to challenge the legality of the deprivation of liberty includes not only the right to appeal, but also the right to access the court, or other competent, independent and impartial authority or judicial body, in cases where the deprivation of liberty is an administrative decision (e.g. the police, the prosecutor and other competent authority). The right to a prompt decision means that a decision must be rendered as soon as possible, e.g. within or not later than two weeks after the challenge is made.

 Treatment and conditions (art. 37 (c))

1. Every child deprived of liberty shall be separated from adults. A child deprived of his/her liberty shall not be placed in a centre of prison for adults. There is abundant evidence that the placement of children in adult centres or prisons compromises their basic safety and their future ability to remain free of crime and to reintegrate. The permitted exception to the separation of children from adults stated in article 37 (c) of CRC, “unless it is considered in the child’s best interests not to do so”, should be interpreted narrowly; the child’s best interests does not mean for the convenience of the States parties. States parties should establish separate facilities for children deprived of their liberty, which include appropriately trained personnel, and operate according to child-friendly policies and practices.
2. This rule does not mean that a child placed in a facility for children must be moved to a facility for adults immediately after he/she turns 18. Continuation of his/her stay in the facility for children should be possible if that is in his/her best interest and not contrary to the best interests of the children in the facility.
3. Every child deprived of liberty has the right to maintain contact with his/her family through correspondence and visits. In order to facilitate visits, the child should be placed in a facility that is as close as possible to the place of residence of his/her family. Exceptional circumstances that may limit this contact should be clearly described in the law and not be left to the discretion of the competent authorities.
4. The Committee draws the attention of States parties to the Havana Rules for the Protection of Juveniles Deprived of their Liberty (1990) and encourages their full implementation, while also taking into account as far as relevant the Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners and the UN Rules for the Treatment of Women Prisoners and Non-Custodial Measures for Women Offenders (Bangkok Rules) (see also rule 9 of the Beijing Rules). In this regard, the Committee recommends that the States parties incorporate these rules into their national laws and regulations, and make them available, in the national or regional language, to all professionals, NGOs and volunteers involved in the administration of juvenile justice.
5. The Committee emphasizes that, inter alia, the following principles and rules need to be observed in all cases of deprivation of liberty:
* Incommunicado detention is not permitted for persons under the age of 18 years;
* Children should be provided with a physical environment and accommodation conducive to the rehabilitative and reintegrative aims of residential placement. Due regard must be given to their needs for privacy, sensory stimuli, opportunities to associate with their peers, and to participate in sports, physical exercise, in arts, and leisure time activities;
* Every child of compulsory school age has the right to education suited to his/her needs and abilities, including to undertake exams, and designed to prepare him/her for return to society; in addition, every child should, when appropriate, receive vocational training in occupations likely to prepare him/her for future employment;
* Every child has the right to be examined by a physician or a health practitioner upon admission to the detention/correctional facility and shall receive adequate medical care throughout his/her stay in the facility, which should be provided, where possible, by health facilities and services of the community;
* The staff of the facility should promote and facilitate frequent contacts of the child with the wider community, including communications with his/her family, friends and other persons or representatives of reputable outside organizations, and the opportunity to visit his/her home and family. There must be no restriction on his or her ability to communicate confidentially and at any time with his or her lawyer or other assistant;
* Restraint or force can be used only when the child poses an imminent threat of injury to him or herself or others, and only when all other means of control have been exhausted. The use of restraint or force, including physical, mechanical and medical restraints, should be under close, direct and continuous control of a medical and/or psychological professional. It must never be used as a means of punishment. Staff of the facility should receive training on the applicable standards and members of the staff who use restraint or force in violation of the rules and standards should be punished appropriately;
* Any disciplinary measure must be consistent with upholding the inherent dignity of the child and the fundamental objectives of institutional care; disciplinary measures in violation of article 37 of CRC shall be strictly forbidden, including corporal punishment, placement in a dark cell, closed or solitary confinement, or any other punishment that may compromise the physical or mental health or well-being of the child concerned;
* Every child should have the right to make requests or complaints, without censorship as to the substance, to the central administration, the judicial authority or other proper independent authority, and to be informed of the response without delay. Children need to know about and have easy access to these mechanisms;
* Independent and qualified inspectors should be empowered to conduct inspections on a regular basis and to undertake unannounced inspections on their own initiative; they should place special emphasis on holding conversations with children in the facilities, in a confidential setting.

 G. Specific issues

 Children recruited and used by non-State armed groups, terrorist or violent extremist groups

1. The United Nations has verified numerous cases of recruitment and exploitation of children by non-State armed groups, terrorist and violent extremist organizations not only in conflict areas, but also in non-conflict areas, including children’s countries of origin, transit or return.
2. When under the control of such groups, children may become victims of multiple forms of violations: conscription, military training, being use in hostilities and/or terrorist acts, including suicide attacks, forced to carry out executions, used as human shields, or being victims of abduction, sale, trafficking, child marriages, or exploitation to carry out dangerous tasks, such as spying, manning check points, conducting patrols, or transporting military equipment. It has been reported that non-State armed groups or terrorist and violent extremist organization also force children to commit acts of violence against their own families or within their own communities to demonstrate loyalty and to discourage future defection.
3. States parties authorities face a number of challenges when dealing with these children. Some States parties have adopted a punitive approach with no or limited consideration of children’s rights, resulting in lasting consequences for the development of the child and having a negative impact on their opportunities for rehabilitation and social reintegration, which in turn may have serious consequences for the broader society. Often, these children are arrested, detained, prosecuted and put on trial for their actions, in conflict areas and, less so, also in their countries of origin or return.
4. The Committee reminds States parties that they should treat these children primarily as victims and refrain from charging and prosecuting them for mere association with a non-State armed group or a terrorist or violent extremist organization. If there have to be criminal charges laid against them – by their nature very serious ones –State parties should ensure that all children charged, regardless of the gravity or the context, are dealt with in terms of articles 37 and 40 of the CRC, and in line with this general comment. States parties should emphasize restorative justice, diversion from judicial proceedings and non-custodial measures. They should also adopt and preventive interventions to tackle social factors and root causes as well as rehabilitation and reintegration measures,[[4]](#footnote-5) including when implementing counter-terrorism related Security Council resolutions, such as resolutions 1373 (2001), 2178 (2014), and 2396 (2017).

 Customary Justice

1. For many children in conflict with the law in many countries, informal justice systems may be more accessible than the formal mechanisms and have the advantage of quickly and relatively inexpensively proposing responses tailored to cultural specificities, with particular impact on children. Informal justice systems can serve as an alternative to official proceedings against children, and are likely to contribute favourably to the change of cultural attitude concerning children and justice.
2. There is now a consensus among international humanitarian organizations that, in order to be effective and comprehensive, reform of justice sector programmes must integrate formal and informal aspects in the exercise of justice. Considering the potential tension between state justice and customary justice, reforms should proceed in stages and with a methodology that involves a full understanding of the comparative systems concerned, and which is acceptable to all stakeholder. Customary justice processes and outcomes must be aligned with constitutional law and with legal and procedural guarantees. It is important that unfair discrimination does not occur, if children committing similar crimes are being dealt with differently in parallel systems or fora.
3. Reconciling custom with state justice may pose difficulties for adherence to human rights standards, but ways should be found to infuse the principles of the Convention into customary law justice mechanisms. Restorative justice responses are likely to be achievable through customary justice systems, and may provide opportunities for learning that can benefit the formal juvenile justice system. In countries where customary justice systems are used by communities, interventions using such systems should be included in all strategies for holistic reform; strategies and reforms should be designed for specific contexts and the process must be driven by national actors.

 VI. The organization of juvenile justice

1. In order to ensure the full implementation of the principles and rights elaborated in the previous paragraphs, it is necessary to establish an effective organization for the administration of juvenile justice, and a comprehensive juvenile justice system. As stated in article 40 (3) of CRC, States parties shall seek to promote the establishment of laws, procedures, authorities and institutions specifically applicable to children in conflict with the penal law.
2. What the basic provisions of these laws and procedures are required to be, has been presented in the present general comment. More and other provisions are left to the discretion of States parties. This also applies to the form of these laws and procedures. They can be laid down in special chapters of the general criminal and procedural law, or be brought together in a separate act or law on juvenile justice.
3. A comprehensive juvenile justice system further requires the establishment of specialized units within the police, the judiciary, the court system, the prosecutor’s office, as well as specialized defenders or other representatives who provide legal or other appropriate assistance to the child.
4. The Committee recommends that the States parties establish juvenile courts either as separate units or as part of existing regional/district courts. Where that is not immediately feasible for practical reasons, the States parties should ensure the appointment of specialized judges or magistrates for dealing with cases of juvenile justice.
5. In addition, specialized services such as probation, counselling or supervision should be established together with specialized facilities including for example day treatment centres and, where necessary, facilities for residential care and treatment of child offenders. In this juvenile justice system, an effective coordination of the activities of all these specialized units, services and facilities should be promoted in an ongoing manner.
6. It is clear from many States parties’ reports that non-governmental organizations can and do play an important role not only in the prevention of juvenile delinquency as such, but also in the administration of juvenile justice. The Committee therefore recommends that States parties seek the active involvement of these organizations in the development and implementation of their comprehensive juvenile justice policy and provide them with the necessary resources for this involvement.

 VII. Awareness-raising and training

1. Children who commit offences are often subject to negative publicity in the media, which contributes to a discriminatory and negative stereotyping of these children and often of children in general. This negative presentation or criminalization of child offenders is often based on misrepresentation and/or misunderstanding of the causes of juvenile delinquency, and results regularly in a call for a tougher approach (e.g. zero-tolerance, three strikes and you are out, mandatory sentences, trial in adult courts and other primarily punitive measures). To create a positive environment for a better understanding of the root causes of juvenile delinquency and a rights-based approach to this social problem, the States parties should conduct, promote and/or support educational and other campaigns to raise awareness of the need and the obligation to deal with children alleged of violating the penal law in accordance with the spirit and the letter of CRC. In this regard, the States parties should seek the active and positive involvement of members of parliament, NGOs and the media, and support their efforts in the improvement of the understanding of a rights-based approach to children who have been or are in conflict with the penal law. It is crucial for children, in particular those who have experience with the juvenile justice system, to be involved in these awareness-raising efforts.
2. It is essential for the quality of the administration of juvenile justice that all the professionals involved, inter alia, in law enforcement and the judiciary receive appropriate training on the content and meaning of the provisions of CRC in general, particularly those directly relevant to their daily practice. This training should be organized in a systematic and ongoing manner and should not be limited to information on the relevant national and international legal provisions. It should include information on, inter alia, the social and other causes of juvenile delinquency, psychological and other aspects of the development of children, with special attention to girls and children belonging to minorities or indigenous peoples, the culture and the trends in the world of young people, the dynamics of group activities, and the available measures dealing with children in conflict with the penal law, in particular measures without resorting to judicial proceedings (see chapter V, section B and E, above).

 VIII. Data collection, evaluation and research

1. The Committee is deeply concerned about the lack of even basic and disaggregated data on, inter alia, the number and nature of offences committed by children, the use and the average duration of pretrial detention, the number of children dealt with by resorting to measures other than judicial proceedings (diversion), the number of convicted children and the nature of the sanctions imposed on them. The Committee urges the States parties to systematically collect disaggregated data relevant to the information on the practice of the administration of juvenile justice, and necessary for the development, implementation and evaluation of policies and programmes aiming at the prevention and effective responses to juvenile delinquency in full accordance with the principles and provisions of CRC.
2. The Committee recommends that States parties conduct regular evaluations of their practice of juvenile justice, in particular of the effectiveness of the measures taken, including those concerning discrimination, reintegration and recidivism, preferably carried out by independent academic institutions. Research, as for example on the disparities in the administration of juvenile justice which may amount to discrimination, and developments in the field of juvenile delinquency, such as effective diversion programmes or newly emerging juvenile delinquency activities, will indicate critical points of success and concern.
3. It is important that children are involved in this evaluation and research, in particular those who have been in contact with parts of the juvenile justice system. The privacy of these children and the confidentiality of their cooperation should be fully respected and protected. In this regard, the Committee refers the States parties to the existing international guidelines on the involvement of children in research.

 Annex

 Normative Framework

Juvenile Justice falls within the framework of children’s rights. It is an area in which international instruments have been developed which provide a clear articulation of systemic solutions to the issues that arise in the context of children in conflict with the law. The list of the most important instruments bound to juvenile justice to which this general comment refers:

* United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Administration of Juvenile Justice (the “Beijing Rules”), adopted by A/RES 40/33, 29 November 1985;
* United Nations Guidelines for the Prevention of Juvenile Delinquency (the “Riyadh Guidelines”), adopted by A/RES/45/112, 14 December 1990;
* United Nations Rules for the Protection of Juveniles Deprived of their Liberty, adopted by A/RES 45/113 of 14 December 1990;
* United Nations Minimum Standard Rules for Non-Custodial Measures (the “Tokyo Rules”), A/RES 45/110 of 14 December 1990;
* United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (the “Mandela Rules”) (ECOSOC 1977);
* Basic principles on the use of restorative justice programmes in criminal matters, adopted by ECOSOC resolution 2002/12;
* United Nations Rules for the Treatment of Women Prisoners and Non-Custodial Measures for Women Offenders (the “Bangkok Rules”**),** adopted by ECOSOC resolution 2010/16;
* United Nations Principles and Guidelines on Access to Legal Aid in Criminal Justice Systems, adopted by A/RES 67/458*,* 2012;
* Justice in Matters Involving Children in Conflict with the Law, Model Law on Juvenile Justice, UNODC, Vienna (2014) and its commentary.

1. See Havana Rules (1990) art. 11 lit. b). [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. Note that the rights of a child deprived of his/her liberty, as recognized in CRC, apply with respect to children in conflict with the law, and to children placed in institutions for the purposes of care, protection or treatment, including mental health, educational, drug treatment, child protection or immigration institutions. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. See also general comment No. 12 (2009) on the right of the child to be heard, paragraphs 57-64. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. Committee on the Rights of the Child, general comment No. 20 (2016) on the implementation of the rights of children during adolescence, paragraph 88. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)