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The Permanent Mission of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland avails itself of this opportunity to renew to the Office of the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights assurances of its highest consideration.
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QUESTIONNAIRE

I. LEGAL FRAMEWORK

This part of the questionnaire aims to determine how your country’s legal framework addresses violence against children, including prevention of violence, protection of children from violence, redress for victims of violence, penalties for perpetrators and reintegration and rehabilitation of victims.

International human rights instruments

1. Describe any developments with respect to violence against children which have resulted from your country’s acceptance of international human rights instruments, including, for example, the Convention on the Rights of the Child and its optional protocols, the Palermo Protocol or regional human rights instruments. Provide information on cases concerning violence against children in which your country’s courts or tribunals have referred to international or regional human rights standards.


Annex F: Enclosed are copies of the UK’s first and second reports to the ILO on Convention 182, including the UK Programme of Action on Child Labour, and Convention 138 (on minimum age of employment).

UK Declarations on Optional Protocol on the Involvement of Children in Armed Conflict

The United Kingdom will take all feasible measures to ensure that members of its armed forces who have not yet attained the age of 18 years old do not take a direct part in hostilities.

The United Kingdom understands that Article 1 of the Optional Protocol would not exclude the deployment of members of their armed forces under the age of 18 to take a direct part in hostilities where:

a) There is a genuine military need to deploy their unit or ship to an area in which hostilities are taking place; and

b) By reason of the nature and urgency of the situation;

- It is not practicable to withdraw such persons before deployment; or

- To do so would undermine the operational effectiveness of their ship or unit, and thereby put at risk the successful completion of the military mission and/or the safety of other personnel.

The UK ratified the OP on 24 June 2003. The understanding on Article 1 was affirmed. A declaration on minimum recruitment age (16) was also made, together with a description of the safeguards to ensure such recruitment is not forced or coerced, as required by Article 2.
CRC Optional Protocol on the sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography

The United Kingdom signed the Optional Protocol at the Millennium Summit in September 2000 and is committed to ratifying it at the earliest opportunity. Before we can do so, we need to introduce a number of changes to our domestic law to ensure that we are fully compliant with the instrument. Some of these changes, on the trafficking of children for sexual exploitation and the exploitation of children through prostitution and pornography were made in the Sexual Offences Act 2003. Other changes are being made in the Immigration and Asylum Bill.

However, the Optional Protocol also requires the criminalisation of behaviour in other areas such as illegal adoptions and the transfer of human organs. This may require primary legislation to allow us to ratify the instrument.

The Home Office is currently co-ordinating an audit exercise to identify any outstanding gaps in compliance. This will give us a better idea of how much is left to be done, and how quickly we will be able to ratify this important instrument.

Northern Ireland Assembly

In January 2001, the former First Minister and then Deputy First Minister announced the intention of the Executive to put in place measures to safeguard and promote the rights and needs of children and young people in Northern Ireland. Specifically, the Executive agreed to establish a Commissioner for Children and Young People as an independent and external watchdog whilst also putting in place a 10 year cross-cutting strategy for children and young people within Government to ensure that the rights and needs of children were at the heart of policy-making. The strategy will have as its foundation the rights contained in the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCRC). It is due to be published for consultation in September 2004 and finalised by Spring 2005.

Recent Legislation;

Children (NI) Order 1995
Protection of Children and & Vulnerable Adults (NI) order 2003
Commissioner for Children and Young People (NI) order 2003

Legal provisions on violence against children

2. Describe how forms of violence against children are addressed in your country’s constitution, legislation and subsidiary legislation, and, where appropriate, customary law.

3. Provide details of any specific legislative provisions on:
   - Prevention of all forms of physical, sexual and mental violence, injury or abuse, neglect or negligent treatment, and sexual abuse;
   - Protection of children from all forms of violence;
   - Redress, including compensation, for child victims of violence;
   - Penalties for perpetrators of violence against children;
   - Reintegration and rehabilitation of child victims of violence.

nex B: “Examples of Good Practice/Case Studies”: Delivering IBIS Project Plan on Addressing Violence in Schools.

*The Children Act 1989*

The Children Act 1989 became law in October 1991. It was designed to promote the interests of all children; representing the most fundamental reform of legislation affecting children. The Act draws together the private and public laws relating to children to produce a more practical and consistent code. It brings together the laws relating to individuals and the law relating to public authorities, and strikes a balance between family autonomy and the rights of children.

Under Section 47 of the Children Act 1989, Local Authorities have a statutory duty to make enquiries where they have reasonable cause for concern that a child may need protection. All referrals and allegations, whatever their origin, must be taken seriously. The main objectives of such enquiries are to establish the facts about the circumstances giving rise to the concern; decide if there are grounds for concern; identify sources and level of risk and decide protective or other action in relation to the child and any others.

In December 1999, the Government issued revised guidance on how all agencies with child protection responsibilities – social services; NSPCC; police; health; education; probation; and the voluntary sector – should work together to safeguard children and promote their welfare. *Working Together to Safeguard Children*, emphasises the importance of agencies working together to help families and children before abuse and neglect has taken place. In parallel with *Working Together to Safeguard Children*, the new *Framework for Assessing Children in Need and their Families* was produced in April 2000. This assessment guidance focuses on assessing the development needs of children and the capacity of their parents and families to respond to these needs in the short and longer term. It is underpinned by a knowledge of the impact that adult problems such as alcohol and drugs misuse, domestic violence, mental health and sex offending can have on a child’s development.

In May 2003, the Government launched a clear and concise set of recommendations for practitioners who have concerns about the welfare of children. The booklet *What to Do If You’re Worried a Child Is Being Abused* was published jointly by the Department of Health; the Home Office; the Department for Education and Skills; the Department for Culture, Media and Sport; the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister; and the Lord Chancellor’s Department and is aimed at all practitioners who come into contact with children, parents and/or families in the course of their work.

Up to November 2002, it was asserted by the Prison Service that the Children Act 1989 did not apply to children held in Young Offenders Institutions. However, in November 2002 the High Court found this to be wrong, and the Act did apply “subject to the requirements of imprisonment”. It also confirmed the Human Rights Act also applies to those in custody. This judgement is part of a general process of “mainstreaming” children in custody, so that services and rights available to all children are also available to those in custody.

*The Sexual Offences Act 2003*
The Sexual Offences Act 2003 provides a comprehensive package of reforms to deal with sexual violence and abuse. Since the enactment of the Sex Offenders Act 1997, sex offenders convicted or cautioned for certain sexual offences have been required to notify the police of their personal details (name; any other name they use; home address; date of birth) and any subsequent changes to these details. They must also notify the police if they stay at another address in the UK for 14 days or more or if they intend to travel overseas. These requirements are often known as the ‘sex offenders register’.

The notification requirements have proved an invaluable tool for helping the police to manage sex offenders living in the community. The purpose of the requirements is so that police know the identity and whereabouts of serious sex offenders in their area. This helps them to manage the risks posed by such offenders and assists in the investigation of future sexual crimes.

On 31 March 2004 there were 24,572 registered sex offenders living in the community. The Association of Police Chief Officers (ACPO) has estimated that compliance with the register is approximately 97%. Failure to comply with the notification requirements is a criminal offence with a maximum penalty of 5 years’ imprisonment.

The Sexual Offences Act 2003, which came into force on 1 May 2004, further strengthens the notification requirements. The key changes are:

- Offenders now have to notify a change to their notified details (such as name or address) within 3 days of the change taking place (previously this was 14 days)
- Offenders have to notify any address in the UK at which they reside for 7 days or more, whether that is 7 days consecutive or 7 days within any 12 month period (previously this was 14 days)
- All offenders have to re-confirm their notified details annually ("periodic notification")
- All notifications have to be made in person and the police may take fingerprints and photographs at initial notification, whenever an offender notifies any changes to his details and at periodic notification

Offenders have to notify their National Insurance numbers

Part 2 of the Sexual Offences Act 2003 has also introduced new civil preventative orders which are intended to further protect the public from sex offenders and those who pose a risk of sexual harm:

Notification Orders:
This is a new order which can be made, on application by a chief police officer, in respect of individuals who have been convicted, cautioned etc abroad for sexual offences equivalent to sexual offences listed in Schedule 3 of the 2003 Act. The effect of the order is to make such offenders subject to the notification requirements of Part 2 of the 2003 Act as if they had been convicted, cautioned etc in the UK of a relevant offence.

Sexual Offences Prevention Orders (SOPOs):
A SOPO may be made were there is a risk of serious sexual harm from the defendant. The court may set such prohibitions as are considered necessary to protect the public,
any particular member of the public from serious sexual harm. It is possible to make a SOPO in respect of an offender convicted, cautioned etc of certain sexual offences (listed in Schedule 3 of the Act) or certain violent offences (listed in Schedule 5 of the Act) where such an order is necessary to protect the public from serious sexual harm.

Foreign Travel Orders: This is a new order which will enable the courts, in certain circumstances and on application by a chief officer of police, to prohibit those convicted of sexual offences against children aged under 16 from travelling overseas where there is evidence that they intend to cause serious sexual harm to children in a foreign country.

Risk of Sexual Harm Orders (RSHOs): This is a new order, similar to the SOPO, which aims to restrict the activities of those involved in grooming children for sexual activity. A previous conviction, caution etc for a sexual offence is not a prerequisite in applying for a RSHO. The court may set such prohibitions as are considered necessary to protect children, or any particular child, aged under 16, from sexual harm from the defendant.

Disqualification Orders: In addition the **Criminal Justice and Court Services Act 2000** provides for individuals convicted of one of a list of specified sexual and violent offences committed against a child (listed in schedule 4 of the Act), to be disqualified from working with children. The scheme is carefully balanced, for adult offenders who receive a sentence of more than 12 months’ imprisonment, the presumption is that courts will make a disqualification order unless they are satisfied that it is unlikely the offender will commit any further offence against a child. This presumption increases the certainty of its application. Disqualification orders can also be given to juveniles or to adults with a lesser sentence. It is life long and imposes potentially significant restrictions on an offender. Offenders given a disqualification order are prevented from working with children in paid or unpaid positions whose normal duties involve caring for, training, supervising or being in sole charge of children, and positions whose normal duties involve unsupervised contact with children under arrangements made by a responsible person, for example, a parent.

The Sexual Offences Act 2003 which came into force on 1st May 2004 sets out a clear new legal framework to provide greater protection for all children from sexual abuse and exploitation. Key new offences include sexual activity with a child and causing or inciting a child to engage in sexual activity, punishable by a maximum of 14 years imprisonment; and engaging in sexual activity in the presence of a child, and causing a child to watch a sexual act, which have a maximum penalty of ten years imprisonment.

The Act includes a range of new offences specifically designed to offer children under 13 the maximum protection under the law. A child under 13 can never legally consent to sex and intercourse with a child under 13 is automatically be classified as rape with the maximum penalty of life imprisonment. Although a child between the ages of 13-16 cannot legally consent to sex either, it is recognised that in some cases consent may be ostensible and, because of this, sexual intercourse with a child between these ages is not automatically classified as rape.

In recognition of the increasingly international nature of sexual offences against children, and the new risks posed by the internet, the Act creates new offences of arranging or facilitating commission of a child sex offence and meeting a child following
ueal grooming, with penalties of 14 and 10 years respectively.

The Act also contains a series of abuse of position of trust offences with penalties of 5 years. These were originally introduced in the Sexual Offences (Amendment) Act 2000 and offer protection to those who are under 18 who are vulnerable to abuse because of the particularly strong relationship which exists with the adult in a position of trust.

The Act creates for the first time, a new set of offences specifically dealing with the exploitation of children through prostitution and pornography which provide protection for all children up to the age of 18. With the exception of paying for the sexual services of a girl under 13, which attracts a maximum penalty of life, these offences attract maximum penalties ranging from 7 to 14 years.

The Act replaces the offences of incest and incitement of girls under 16 to commit incest, contained in the 1956 Act, with new familial child sex offences, which widen the definition of family relationships to better reflect the modern family and offer more protection to children and includes all sexual activity not just intercourse. The maximum penalty for the new offences is 14 years, compared with the penalty of 7 years for the offences in the 1956 Act.

A child victim of a violent crime would be eligible for compensation under the Criminal Injuries Compensation scheme. Under the Scheme, compensation is based on a tariff (scale) of awards for injuries of comparable severity, ranging from a level one award of £1,000 (for e.g. Minor physical abuse of a child {isolated or intermittent assault(s) resulting in weals, hair pulled from scalp etc}, or Minor sexual assault/abuse of a child {non-penetrative indecent physical act(s) over clothing}) to a level 25 award (for e.g. permanent and very serious brain damage, or quadriplegia). In addition, in more serious cases, compensation additional to the tariff award can be paid for loss of earnings or future earning capacity and the costs of special care - subject to a maximum cap of £500,000 in any one case.

In any case involving personal injury, loss or damage, the criminal courts are required to consider making a compensation order in the victim's favour, and to give reasons if they do not make a compensation order. The compensation is paid by the offender - through the courts - to the victim. However, a court compensation order is a sentence of the court (like a fine), and its primary purpose is to punish the offender, not necessarily to compensate the victim or to compensate the victim in full. Like any financial penalty imposed by the courts, the compensation order must be set with regards to the offender's means and his ability to pay. Thus the order may not be for the full extent of the victim's loss or injury, and may be paid in instalments, and may not be paid in full.

A victim can sue for damages in the civil courts the person who causes them injury. However, victims cannot get compensation twice (or more) over for the same thing. If compensation is obtained from more than one source, it is taken into account and the compensation from the second source is abated accordingly.

Under the provisions of the Criminal Justice Act 2003, there are new sentences for offenders who have committed specified sexual or violent offences. These offences include offences against children.

Offenders who commit one of these offences and are considered by the court to fit into this category may be given an extended or indeterminate sentence. In the case of an
...ended sentence, the prisoner may be released anywhere between the halfway point and the end of the custodial term and will remain on licence (i.e. liable to be returned to custody immediately if they commit another offence or if their behaviour gives cause for concern) to the end of the entire sentence. In the case of an indeterminate sentence, offenders may be released anywhere between the completion of the minimum term and the end of the offender’s life, with supervision on licence for a minimum of 10 years after release.

In the case of the most serious offenders receiving a discretionary life sentence, release may occur anywhere between the completion of the minimum term and the end of the offender’s life with supervision for the remainder of his life. Such release will be at the discretion of the Parole Board.

In the case of murder, the Act introduced a set of starting points to be used by judges when determining the minimum term to be spent in prison before someone convicted of murder may be considered for parole. Murder of a child involving abduction or a sexual or sadistic element attracts the highest starting point (whole life). This means that the offender would spend the rest of their life in prison with no possibility of parole. The judge retains the discretion to set a minimum term different from this starting point after taking into consideration the aggravating and mitigating circumstances in each individual case.

Domestic Violence

Children are very much the silent victims of domestic violence. They may witness it or be subjected to it, but often their voice is not heard. More than a third of children in a violent home know what is happening. That figure rises to up to a half if the violence is repeated. Children may attempt to stop the violence and so put themselves at risk.

Growing up in a household with domestic violence can have a negative impact on, among other things, school attainment and the likelihood of school exclusion. According to a recent Barnardo’s report, experiencing or witnessing domestic violence could have long-lasting emotional effects on children through adolescence and into adulthood, affecting their ability to create and maintain relationships; self-esteem, self-confidence and stability; education; and career prospects.

Because children are affected by domestic violence, measures to tackle and prevent domestic violence will help children.

The domestic violence in measures in the Domestic Violence, Crime and Victims Bill involve:

- Making breach of a non-molestation order under the Family Law Act 1996 a criminal offence. Breach will be punishable by up to five years imprisonment on indictment. This makes it an offence for which a police officer can arrest without a warrant. This measure will also compel the court to consider, whenever it is deciding whether to issue an occupation order, whether it should also issue non-molestation order;

- Amending the Family Law Act 1996 so that courts making occupation orders no longer have regard to the fact that cohabitants have not given each other the commitment involved in marriage, and instead look at the level of commitment involved in the relationship;
• Giving cohabiting same-sex couples the same access to non-molestation and occupation orders under the Family Law Act 1996 as opposite-sex couples;

• Making couples who never cohabited or been married eligible for non-molestation and occupation orders under the Family Law Act 1996:

  • Domestic Violence homicide reviews:
    • Issuing guidance on holding such reviews;
    • Imposing a duty on chief police officers, local probation boards local authorities, strategic health authorities, primary care trusts, local health boards, NHS trusts, and equivalent bodies for Northern Ireland to have regard to the guidance;
    • Taking a power for the Secretary of State to amend the list of agencies with a duty to have regard to the guidance.
    • Taking a reserve power for the Secretary of State to direct that an agency sets up or takes part in a review in a particular case.

• Making common assault an arrestable offence by adding it to the list of offences for which a police officer may arrest without a warrant;

• Enabling courts to impose restraining orders under the Protection from Harassment Act 1997 when sentencing for any offence. At present, such orders may only be imposed on offenders convicted of harassment or causing fear of violence;

• Enabling courts to impose restraining orders under the Protection from Harassment Act 1997 on acquittal for any offence (or if a conviction has been overturned on appeal) if they consider it necessary to protect a person from harassment; and

• Giving any person mentioned in a restraining order under the Protection from Harassment Act 1997 a right to make representations in court if an application is made to vary or terminate the order. We will introduce Rules of Court to place a duty on the court to inform anyone mentioned in a restraining order of such an application.

Under the Family Law Act 1996, the court can make non-molestation orders to prevent the molestation of a person 'associated' (in various ways defined by the Act, e.g. by marriage, family relationship, etc.) with the respondent or to prevent the molestation of a relevant child. In considering whether to make a non-molestation order, the court must consider the safety and well-being of, among others, any relevant child. Similarly, when deciding whether or not to make an occupation order under the Act (occupation order regulate the occupation of the family home), the court must consider, among other things, the housing needs of the parties and any relevant child.

Under the Children Act 1989, the welfare of the child is the court’s paramount concern. The Act was passed to reform the law relating to children; to provide for local authority services for children in need and others; to amend the law with respect to children’s homes, community homes, voluntary homes and voluntary organisations; to make provision with respect to fostering, child minding and day care for young children and adoption; and for connected purposes.
From January 2005 the Government will implement section 120 of the Adoption and Children Act 2002, which amends the definition of 'harm' in the Children Act 1989 so that it includes the harm a child may suffer as a result of witnessing the ill-treatment of another person. If it judges that a child is suffering 'harm', a court may, on the application of any local authority or authorised person, make an order placing the child in care or under supervision.

The Children Bill

There was an inquiry held by Lord Laming into the tragic death of Victoria Climbie, who died as a result of child abuse at the hands of her carers, and which highlighted a number of failings in children's services. The recommendations from Lord Laming's report have provided the impetus for much of the work outlined in a new piece of legislation, The Children Bill. The Children Bill was introduced into the House of Lords on 3 March 2004 and at the time of writing, had just been introduced into the House of Commons. The Department for Education and Skills, who lead on the Bill, are hoping the Bill will receive Royal Assent around November 2004. The Bill provides the legislative framework for the necessary reform of children's services, placing a strong emphasis on strengthening the arrangements for safeguarding and protecting children's welfare. If passed, the Bill will provide for:

- A Children's Commissioner for England who will be a children's champion, independent of Government.

- Local authorities and other public (including health and police), private and voluntary organisations being required to co-operate to improve outcomes for children. Children's Trusts are the government's preferred model for delivering this level of co-operation.

- A new duty on Health Services, the Police and other relevant local bodies to have regard to safeguarding children and promote their welfare in the course of normal functions.

- The removal of some of the barriers to effective delivery on the ground. The pooling of resources, and the sharing of information between agencies will be enabled.

- Authorities will also have a duty to set up Local Safeguarding Children Boards with representatives from partner agencies, including housing, health, police and probation. The Boards will coordinate the functions of all partner agencies in relation to safeguarding.

- A new clear focus for local accountability through the appointment of a single Director of Children's Services and a Lead Council Member for children's services for each local authority.
• Statutory provision for integrated inspections across children’s services, and any further powers that are needed for effective intervention.

• A specific new duty to promote the educational achievement of looked after children.

Current work to implement the reforms is to a large extent contingent upon the passage of the Bill through Parliament. However, preparatory or developmental work is being taken forward in a number of areas, for example

• Regional seminars will be held during the summer to help Area Child Protection Committees and their partners develop ideas for the new Local Safeguarding Children Boards (LSCBs) and think about how they can start moving towards being LSCBs.

• 10 Information Referral and Tracking (IRT) Trailblazers are testing new ways of information sharing and multi-agency working. The Trailblazers will inform the development of the information databases that the Children Bill provides for. The lack of communication between professionals in contact with Victoria Climbié, so that individual concerns were not brought together into a clear picture of the harm being caused, was a key feature of the case. A database of all children in a local authority area will be available to any children’s work professional, who will be able to see if any other professional has flagged a concern. The nature and details of the concern would not be visible, but the two professionals would be able to communicate and discuss the case. A Common Assessment Framework will support information sharing and promote a shared understanding of children and families’ needs.

• A project run with the Regulatory Impact Unit – Making A Difference - to find local, practical ways of reducing bureaucracy in the areas of communication and relations between agencies; information and data demands made on front line staff; and the burdens of paperwork and duplicated form filling.

• Initial work on setting up a Sector Skills Council for Social Care, Children and Young People, which will drive forward reform of the children’s workforce. Common training modules for professionals working with children are envisaged so that there is shared understanding and professional vocabulary. A Shadow Board of the Children, Young People and Families Workforce Council for England has been agreed with relevant stakeholders, and an interim Chair appointed. A decision on the license application is expected at the end of September 2004 following consultation with relevant parties. We are also developing a pay and workforce strategy for the children’s workforce.

• 35 Children’s Trust Pathfinders in England are developing the best ways to integrate children’s services through: identifying local needs and priorities; planning and commissioning services to meet those needs; and pooling budgets and resources. We expect that most areas will have a Children’s Trust by 2006, and all to have one by 2008.

• Ongoing work to delivering integrated services, for example in extended Schools and children’s centres:
  o there are now 136 extended schools - our target is 240 by 2006, with at
least one in every LEA;

- 67 children’s centres have been opened: we aim to have 1,700 in operation by 2008, covering each of the 20% of most disadvantaged wards in England

**Scottish Executive**

The provisions contained in Part 1 of the Sexual Offences Act (SOA) do not apply to Scotland. However the provisions in Part 2 of the Act in relation to Notifications and orders in respect of sex offenders do.

Sexual offences involving children and young people are contained in both statute and common law in Scotland. The majority of sex offences are dealt with by common law and the penalties for such offences are limited only by the court in which they are prosecuted. Any common law offence prosecuted on indictment in the High Court may attract up to life imprisonment. The maximum penalties for statutory offences are set out in the relevant statutes.

One area which is being addressed in Scotland is the offence of meeting a child following sexual grooming. Existing legislation can catch most cases but the Executive wants to ensure that there are no gaps in the law in this area. (A consultation document with our proposal was published on 2 July and the legislation is broadly inline with that in SOA).

4. Indicate whether any specific legislative provisions address all forms of violence including physical, sexual and psychological violence, injury or abuse, neglect or negligent treatment and sexual exploitation against children which take place in:
   - The family/ home;
   - Schools and pre-school care and education (both formal and non-formal, state and private);
   - Military schools;
   - Institutions including care, residential, health and mental health;
   - The context of law and public order enforcement including in detention facilities or prisons;
   - The neighbourhood, street and the community, including in rural areas;
   - The workplace (informal and formal);
   - Sports and sporting facilities.

**The family/home**

The Sexual Offences Act 2003 makes it an offence for someone to intentionally touch a child family member under the age of 18 in a sexual manner. The offences of sexual activity with a child family member and inciting a child family member to engage in sexual activity replace the old offences of incest and incitement of girls under 16 to commit incest contained in the Sexual Offences Act 1956. In order to reflect today’s changing family structures, the Sexual Offences Act has widened the definition of ‘family’. As well as blood relations, the Act covers wider family members who are, or have been, living in the same household. This includes foster parents and foster siblings, step parents, cousins, step-brothers and step-sisters. People who regularly care for a child and live in their household – such as a lodger who regularly babysits – are also included under the definition of family during the time they live in the household. These offences have a maximum penalty of 14 years imprisonment.

**Schools and pre-school care and education (both formal and non-formal, state and private)**
Sexual Offences Act 2003 re-enacts and extends the abuse of position of trust offences set out in the Sexual Offences (Amendment) Act 2000. The offences, based on the child sex offences in the 2003 Act, protect children under the age of 18 from abuse from adults who are in a specified position of trust in relation to them, such as employment in a residential home, detention centre or in an educational establishment. Someone in a position of trust could be prosecuted for engaging in sexual activity with, or in the presence of, a child in their care, causing or inciting a child in their care to engage in sexual activity or causing a child in their care to watch a sexual act. The offences are primarily designed to protect children aged 16 and 17 who, although they are over the age of consent, are vulnerable to abuse because of the particularly strong relationship that exists with the adult in the defined circumstances. The adults included within the offence will normally have a special position of power and authority in the child’s life and may have a key influence in relation to his future; they may either be acting in loco parentis to the child or may regularly meet the child unsupervised on a one to one basis. The offence has a maximum penalty of 5 years imprisonment.

Increased protection is also afforded to people (including children) with a mental disorder in the Sexual Offences Act 2003. The Act makes it an offence for people providing care, assistance or services to someone in connection with a mental disorder to engage in sexual activity with that person. This includes people involved in the face to face care of the person with a mental disorder, whether on a primary or ancillary level, and whether on a paid or voluntary basis. It can include, for example, not only doctors, nurses and social workers but also receptionists, cleaning staff, advocates or voluntary helpers. The care workers offence, which has a maximum penalty of five years, would normally only be used where children are 16 or over, as there are other child sex offences with higher penalties which protect younger children from abuse.

**Military Schools**

The UK does not operate “military schools” as such. There are schools that exist primarily for the purpose of educating the children of Service personnel, such as the Services Children’s Schools in Germany and elsewhere, but these fall within the same legislative provision on these matters as any other UK school.

**Institutions including care, residential, health and mental health**

The Care Standards Act 2000 made provision that all residential accommodation for children living away from home should be registered and inspected by an independent regulatory agency, the Commission for Social Care Inspection (CSCI). From April 2002, all regulated agencies, (these include children’s homes, boarding schools, residential special schools and fostering services) have had to demonstrate to CSCI that they are following the Regulations that apply to their service and are providing care at least to the level specified by prescribed National Minimum Standards.

The Regulations and National Minimum Standards provide a comprehensive framework for safeguarding children. For example, there are Regulations and related standards concerning:

- Child Protection
- Behaviour Management
- Countering Bullying

Corporal punishment and all punitive or coercive measures against children are explicitly prohibited. Care for all children living away from home must be provided in a context that meets their needs and recognises their rights.
See also the answer given to *schools and pre-school care and education* for sexual offences.

**The context of law and public order enforcement including in detention facilities or prisons**

Prison Service Order (PSO) 4950 entitled “Regimes for Under 18 Year Olds” requires, among other things, that:

- All establishments should have in place a child protection policy based on an approved template attached to the PSO;

- Governors must work with their local Area Child Protection Committee (ACPC) to ensure that appropriate local arrangements – including a child protection committee and child protection co-ordinator – are in place to protect and safeguard the children in the establishment’s care;

- Where a member of staff believes that a child has been subjected to significant harm while in custody, or before, the matter should be recorded and formally reported and other prescribed action taken;

- Governors must operate an anti-bullying strategy and self harm and suicide procedures.


YOIs hold young people up to the age of 21, so the rules don’t just apply to children. The rules don’t specifically address protection from abuse, but do cover such things as complaints; visiting rights; medical attention; and assistance from Boards of Visitors (now called Independent Monitoring Boards).

STCs only hold children. The STC rules also don’t specifically address protection from abuse, but they do (unlike the YOI rules) make specific mention of measures to reduce the risk of suicide and self harm. They also require the appointment of independent persons to make representations on behalf of young people.

**The workplace**

The Health and Safety Commission (HSC) and the Health and Safety Executive (HSE), established under the Health and Safety at Work Act 1974 are responsible for the regulation of almost all the risks to health and safety arising from work activity in Britain, including work related violence.

For the purposes of guidance on work related violence (WRV), HSE defines WRV as “any incident in which a person is abused, threatened, or assaulted in circumstances relating to their work.”

The Home Office and HSE published the latest statistics on violence at work in February 2004 in the British Crime Survey. These show that risk increases with age; 1.8 per cent of men and 2.6 per cent of women aged 45 and over had been victims of violence; compared with 1.4 per cent and 0.8 per cent of women aged 16 to 24.
nder Section 2 of the Health & Safety at Work Act 1974, all employers have a legal
duty to ensure, so far as reasonably practicable, the health, safety and welfare of their
employees. This duty includes risks arising from violence at work.

The legal position is contained in Section 3 of the 1974 Act and Regulation 3(1)(b) of
the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999. The current HSE
Section 3 policy is that HSE does not generally seek to enforce health and safety at
work legislation where public and worker safety are adequately enforced by more
specific detailed law enforced by another authority. There are mechanisms in the
Health and Safety at Work Act and the Management of Health & Safety at Work
Regulations to deal with violence to children in the workplace, irrespective of whether
they are legitimately employed, illegally employed (see prohibitions on child
employment below), on a work experience scheme, working in a volunteer capacity or
just present in someone else’s workplace; though this is qualified with reference to
Section 3 policy.

Employers also have duties under the Management of Health & Safety at Work
Regulations 1999 to assess the risks to their employees and to take appropriate
measures to prevent or reduce the risk. This includes the need to protect employees,
including young workers between 16 and 18, from exposure to reasonably foreseeable
violence. There are also specific provisions in the Management of Health & Safety at
Work Regulations which are relevant to children and young people, i.e. Regulations
10(2) and 19.

The UK has some of the strictest laws on child employment and has ratified both of the
International Labour Organisation (ILO) Conventions aimed at combating child labour –
Convention 138 on minimum age for employment and Convention 182 on the
elimination of the worst forms of child labour.

The Children and Young Persons Act 1933 and local authority byelaws made under the
Act provide substantial protection for children involved in employment. No child below
the age of 16 is allowed to do full-time work. The general principle is that children are
not allowed to do any work at all below the age of 14, (with some restricted exceptions
for children undertaking performances). The increase in the age limit from 13 to 14
years was brought about by the Children (Protection at Work) Regulations 1998 which
together with MHTSWR 1999 implement the Protection of Young People at Work
Directive). However children between the ages of 13-16 may be employed by their
parent or guardian in light agricultural or horticultural work on an occasional basis or in
light work specified in local authorities byelaws, subject to the issue of a work permit by
the local authority. Light work is defined in the CYP Act as being work that is not
harmful to a child’s safety, health, development, attendance at school or participation in
work experience. A local authority will issue a work permit, subject to confirmation
that a risk assessment has been undertaken, only when it is satisfied that there is no
risk to the child’s health, safety or moral welfare.

**In Scotland the Children and Young Persons (Scotland) Act affords similar
protection.**

In addition, the Employment of Women, Young Persons and Children Act 1920,
enforced by the Health and Safety Executive, prohibits children under minimum school
leaving age (16) from being employed in industrial undertakings such as factories and
construction sites.
Employers must take specific factors into account when assessing the risks to health and safety of young employees or those on work experience, primarily based on their physical and psychological immaturity and inexperience of work (Reg 19 MHSWR). They must inform their young workers, and the parents of children of compulsory school age, of the results of the risk assessment and the measures they take to eliminate or control the risks (Reg 10 MHSWR).

Under the Health and Safety (Training for Employment) Regulations 1990, a person is to be treated as being at work for the purposes of HSWA, if he is being provided with relevant training, which is defined as being work experience provided pursuant to a training course or training for employment (with some exceptions).

**Wales Assembly**

Part II, Section 61(4)(b) of the School Standards and Framework Act, 1998 requires head teachers to determine measures to all forms of bullying among pupils.

(4) The head teacher shall determine measures (which may include the making of rules and provision for enforcing them) to be taken with a view to-

(a) promoting, among pupils, self-discipline and proper regard for authority;
(b) Encouraging good behavior and respect for others on the part of the pupils and, in particular, preventing all forms of bullying among pupils;
(c) Securing that the standard of behavior of pupils is acceptable; and
(d) Otherwise regulating the conduct of pupils.

The Act also ensures that the school policy on preventing bullying is familiar to pupils, parents and staff within the school.

(7) The measures determined by the head teacher under subsection (4) shall be publicised by him in the form of a written document as follows-

(a) He shall make the measures generally known within the school and to parents of registered pupils at the school; and

(b) He shall in particular, at least once in every school year, take steps to bring them to the attention of all such pupils and parents and all persons employed, or otherwise engaged to provide their services, at the school.

The Welsh Assembly Government issued statutory guidance on bullying in September 2003 (*Respecting Others: Anti-Bullying Guidance*). This requires all head teachers and governing bodies to have a policy to prevent all forms of bullying among pupils.

5. Indicate if corporal punishment of children, in any setting, including in the family, is explicitly prohibited in your legal system. Provide details of any legal defences available to those who administer corporal punishment to children, including in the family. Provide information on penalties applicable to those who administer corporal punishment to children, including in the family.

The practice of Corporal Punishment has been completely outlawed in Schools, both independent and maintained, since 2000 and in other formal child care settings since 2003.
Domestic law still provides a legal defence to an alleged crime of violence against a child if the person against whom the allegation is made is a parent (or someone acting in loco parentis) administering reasonable physical punishment. This means that the manner, quality and any instrument used must be considered reasonable. The phrase ‘reasonable chastisement’ dates back to 1860 Law (R v Hopley). Since the inception in 2000 of the Human Rights Act 1998, the Attorney General has been monitoring the use of this defence, which has only been used in a handful of cases during that time.

Although the Reasonable Chastisement defence has been criticised (both within the UK and in Europe) The Government maintains that, particularly since the implementation of the Human Rights Act 1998, domestic law offers protection to children equivalent to that required by the European Convention. There remains a tension however over this issue between the rights described in Article 3 (degrading treatment) and Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life).

A parent striking a child may be charged with a criminal offence at common law, or under statute, in the same way that an alleged adult would. There are also offences of cruelty under the Children and Young Persons Act 1933.

The Government does not want to interfere with legitimate and necessary rights of parents to create a supportive environment for their own families in their own ways. Discipline and self-discipline are essential parts of that.

Secure Accommodation Institutions and Children’s Homes

Under regulation 17 (1) of the Children’s Homes Regulation Act 2001 no measure of control, restraint or discipline which is excessive or contrary to Sub-Section (5) shall be used at any time on children accommodated in a children’s home. Sub-Section 17(5) of the regulations provides that no form of corporal punishment can be used as a disciplinary measure on children accommodated in a children’s home. It does not prevent a person taking any action immediately if necessary to prevent injury to any person or serious damage to property. All children’s homes are required to comply with the regulations.

Wales Assembly

In Wales, the Welsh Assembly Government has taken a firm stand against physical punishment of children, and is funding a public education programme to promote the concept of positive parenting. This includes the production of materials on effective ways of addressing children’s behaviour, including positive alternatives to physical discipline.

Northern Ireland Assembly

In the family context, physical punishment is not prohibited by the law and a parent can claim the defence of reasonable chastisement if charged with a criminal offence or faced with a claim for civil damages following the physical punishment of his or her child.

Scottish Executive

Under regulation 10 of the Residential Establishments Child Care (Scotland) Regulations 1996 each establishment should have a clear written policy on the management of challenging behaviour, including the use of restraint, and staff have appropriate training. Corporal punishment is not be used.

6. Provide information on whether the penal code permits corporal punishment and/or
capital punishment as a sentence for crimes committed by under 18 year olds.

The penal code does not permit either corporal or capital punishment as a sentence for crimes committed, regardless of the age of the offender.

7. Provide details on whether bullying/hazing and sexual harassment are explicitly addressed by legislation.

Annex A - The Protection from Harassment Act 1997; Crime and Disorder Act 1998 Section 32; Criminal Justice and Police Act 2001 Section 44;

Bullying/hazing and sexual harassment are not explicitly addressed by general legislation. However, for the purposes of the Protection from Harassment Act 1997 (full text attached at Annex A), the term “harassment” extends to any course of conduct which causes another alarm or distress. As such, bullying/hazing and sexual harassment are all capable of constituting an offence under that Act.

Under section 1 of the Protection from Harassment Act 1997 the term “harassment” applies to a course of conduct which harasses or alarms another which causes that person distress. It is for the courts to decide, on the facts of each case, whether the ‘course of conduct’ meets the test of the offence. The conduct has to take place on at least two occasions.

Section 2 of the Act makes it a criminal offence for a person to pursue a course of conduct which he knows, or ought to know, will cause another harassment. This lower level offence will catch the sort of persistent conduct which, although it may not make the victim fear that violence will be used, nonetheless can have devastating effects. It carries a penalty of a maximum of 6 months’ imprisonment and/or a fine of up to £5,000.

Section 4 of the Act makes it a criminal offence for a person to pursue a course of conduct which he knows, or ought to know, will cause another to fear violence. This higher-level offence will catch the most serious cases where behaviour is so threatening that victims fear for their safety. It carries a penalty of 5 years’ imprisonment and/or an unlimited fine.

Racially or religiously aggravated forms of the offences under sections 2 and 4 were added to by section 32 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 (relevant section attached at Annex A). These are punishable by up to 7 years’ imprisonment and/or an unlimited fine.

Section 44 of the Criminal Justice and Police Act 2001 amends the Protection from Harassment Act 1997 to make it clear that the legal sanctions that apply to a campaign of harassment by an individual against another also apply to a campaign of collective harassment by two or more people (relevant section attached at Annex A).

In addition to these offences, Section 3 of the Protection from Harassment Act 1997 provides a civil remedy which enables a victim to seek an injunction against a person who is harassing them or may be likely to do so. There is no need for a person to have been convicted of one of the offences under the Act in order for an injunction to be granted against them. If a court is satisfied that harassment has taken place or is anticipated, then they may grant the injunction. Breach of such an injunction is a criminal offence which carries a maximum penalty on indictment of 5 years’
prisonment and/or an unlimited fine.

Bullying, including sexual harassment, is explicitly addressed in legislation pertaining to children's homes.

All children’s homes are required to meet the Children’s Homes National Minimum Standards published in 2002 by the Secretary of State for Health under Section 23(1) of the Care Standards Act 2000.

Standard 18 is specifically intended to protect children from bullying:

‘the registered person and the staff create an atmosphere where bullying is known to be unacceptable. There is a policy on countering bullying, which is known to children and staff and is effective in practice’

This policy covers all types of bullying, including sexual harassment. For example, it specifies bullying on the grounds of gender.

The Commission for Social Care inspection is responsible for the inspection of children’s homes against these standards and encourages improvement in the quality of care provided.

Northern Ireland Assembly

In 2001 the Department of Education issued the good practise guidance on discipline strategies Pastoral care in Schools: ‘Promoting Positive Behaviour’ to all schools. This guidance included detailed advice on tackling bullying.

In November 2002 a good practise anti-bullying guide for post-primary schools, launched by Save the Children, was distributed to all post-primary schools.

The Department is committed to producing a similar guide for primary schools in due course. Preparatory work on guidance for the primary sectors has begun and a target date for publication is mid 2005.

In November 2003 The Parents Advice Centre with the Department’s support, produced a booklet for parents giving advice on how to identify the signs of bullying and what parents can do to tackle the situation.

8. Provide information on the way in which harmful or violent traditional practices, including but not limited to female genital mutilation, child marriage or honour crimes are addressed in your country.

Annex A – The Female Genital Mutilation Act 2003

Annex B – “examples of good practice and case studies”: Tower Hamlets College; “Watch Over Me” Soap Series

Female genital mutilation, FGM, has probably never been legal in the UK as it almost certainly would constitute an offence against the person. However, the Prohibition of Female Circumcision Act 1985 removed any ambiguity there may have been in law by making the practice explicitly illegal.
The Female Genital Mutilation Act 2003 (full text attached at Annex A) came into force on 3 March 2004 and repeals and re-enacts the Prohibition of Female Circumcision Act 1985 and closes a loop-hole by making it an offence for the first time for UK nationals or permanent UK residents to carry out FGM abroad, or to aid, abet, counsel or procure the carrying out of FGM abroad, even in countries where the practice is legal. To reflect the serious harm that FGM causes, the Act also increases the maximum penalty for both performing and procuring FGM from 5 years’ to 14 years’ imprisonment. Other than life imprisonment, this is the highest sentence that can be imposed and will hopefully have a greater deterrent effect.

Legal protection against FGM is also provided by the Children Act 1989. If a local authority has reason to believe that a child is likely to suffer significant harm, it is obliged to make such enquiries as it considers necessary to enable it to decide whether it should take any action to safeguard or promote the child’s welfare. Under the Act, a Prohibited Steps Order can also be made to prevent parents carrying out a particular act without the consent of the Court, for example, removing the child from the UK so that mutilation can be carried out abroad.

The Department for Education and Skills operates the Working Together to Safeguard Children strategy, produced in 1999. This states that in local areas where there are communities or individuals who traditionally practice FGM, the Area Child Protection Committee’s policy should focus on a preventative strategy involving community education.

In relation to forced marriages and honour killings, a number of strategies have or are being developed by various statutory bodies.

**Police**

The Policy Standards Unit in the Home Office are undertaking a piece of work on the early recognition factors leading to domestic homicides in the black and minority ethnic communities; developing a risk-assessment toolkit; and producing good practice guidance on black and minority ethnic issues and prevention of honour killings.

Also, the National Centre for Policing Excellence is currently developing guidelines on police handling of domestic violence cases under the guidance of the Association of Chief Police Officers (ACPO). Issues of particular relevance to the black and minority ethnic communities and guidance on relevant operational issues such as the use of interpreters are being mainstreamed throughout the guidance.

The existing guidelines “Dealing with Cases of Forced Marriage for Police” are soon to be updated.

The Police are also arranging conferences on these issues. The first was held on 22 April 2004, which provided the launch of the first UK-wide working group on forced marriages. This will comprise non-governmental organisations; statutory organisations; and the Association of Chief Police Officers (ACPO) Working Group on Forced Marriages. The latter has reviewed progress to date and is developing a two-year action plan, while a commissioning group will commission and monitor the activities of the UK-wide working group.

A second conference is planned through the Metropolitan Police and will be a national
Jlti-agency conference on forced marriage and honour crimes. It will comprise the police, social services and Crime and Disorder Partnerships.

**Social Services**

Social services published the Social Service Guidance on Forced Marriage in March 2004. This provides guidance for social workers involving forced marriage.

A handbook for lawyers will also be published in the summer of 2004.

**Education**

It is planned that Section 175 of the Education Act will come into effect in June 2004. This places a duty on schools, local education authorities and further education corporations to promote the welfare of children and have regard to guidance issued by the Secretary of State.

Following this, the ‘Safeguarding Children’ guidance will be published in June. This covers the issues of domestic violence and the effects on children; forced marriage and FGM. Adherence to this new guidance will form part of the routine Office for Standards in Education (OFSTED) inspections from the time of its publication.

9. Provide information on the applicability of specific provisions to address all forms of violence against children to non-citizens and stateless children, including asylum seekers and displaced children. If specific provisions do not apply to such children, provide details of protection offered to them.

There are no specific provisions for this group. Asylum and refugee children are subject to exactly the same duties of care as any citizen children would be. If there was violence targeted at this particular group it would fall more into a racism issue. The Education Act introduced the provision that every school should have a bullying policy and this must address racist bullying.

10. Provide information on any difference in the definition of violence and the applicable legal framework according to:
   - The sex or sexual orientation of the victim and/or of the perpetrator;
   - The age of the victim and/or of the perpetrator;
   - The relationship between the victim and the perpetrator, including, but not limited to infanticide, sexual violence in marriage, incest and sexual abuse within the family, and physical chastisement.

**Northern Ireland Assembly**

The Family Homes and Domestic Violence (Northern Ireland) order 1998 establishes a legal framework for dealing with violence within domestic relationships. The order allows the court to make a non-molestation order, which directs one person to stop molesting the other, or an occupation order, which regulates occupation of the home (this order can also be obtained where violence is not an issue). At present, same-sex partners cannot obtain an occupation order. However, following a recent review of the legislation, it has been proposed that such orders should be available to same-sex partners who are subject to domestic violence. The legislation is, therefore, due to be amended.

11. Provide information on any recent comprehensive review of the legal framework to address violence against children.

Annex D: Full text of ‘Setting the Boundaries’ a Home Office publication Reforming the law on sex offences;

The Government set up the Sex Offences Review in January 1999 with a view to modernising and strengthening existing legislation. The aim of the Review was to have a clearly defined range of sexual offences, with sentences that adequately reflect the gravity of the crimes committed. The independent review body was charged with proposing new non-discriminatory offences that would protect individuals, especially children and the more vulnerable, from abuse and exploitation.

The sixty-two recommendations of the Review were published in the consultation document Setting the Boundaries in July 2000. More than 700 responses were received during the consultation period, which closed on 1 March 2001. The Review’s proposals were intended to form a coherent package of measures to protect all victims of sexual violation, with new offences to protect vulnerable people and a new structure of penalties.

The Government published the Command Paper Protecting the Public: strengthening protection against sex offenders and reforming the law on sexual offences in November 2002. This paper was a statement of broad intent of the offences which the Government introduced into the Sexual Offences Act 2003 (full text attached at Annex A) which was implemented on 1 May 2004.

Also, the Welsh Assembly Government recently commissioned a review of safeguards for vulnerable children in Wales. This is likely to report in 2005/06

http://www.wales.gov.uk/subichildren/content/svcr-invite-evidence-e.htm

Northern Ireland Assembly

The Office of Law Reform has conducted a consultation exercise on physical punishment in the home. Just over 1700 written responses were received, revealing a marked difference of opinion between individuals, who tended to support the continuing use of physical punishment, and organisations/healthcare professionals, who tended to want it banned. The Office has published an analysis of the responses and, together with other interested Departments, will be considering the submissions made and will continue to monitor developments, both at home and abroad.

12. Provide information on any studies and surveys which have been undertaken to assess the impact of legal measures to address violence against children.

Courts tasked with addressing violence against children

13. Identify those parts of the court structure in your country tasked with addressing violence against children. Indicate if your family or juvenile courts have specific responsibility for this issue.

(CIVIL JURISDICTION)
The Children Act 1989 invokes a system of jurisdiction for a wide range of family proceedings in magistrates' family proceedings courts, county courts and the High Court. Rules governing the allocation and transfer of cases either vertically or horizontally within tiers, ensures that cases are directed to the most appropriate court.

In practice the majority of public law cases are heard entirely in the magistrates' family proceedings court but in certain circumstances they may be transferred to a higher court.

Where a child is deemed to be at risk or there are concerns for their welfare, provisions such as care orders, supervision orders, emergency protection orders etc, as laid out in Parts 4 and 5 of the Children Act 1989, may need to be invoked. These are dealt with in family proceedings courts or in some circumstances by the county courts or other, higher, courts.

Northern Ireland Assembly

The Children (NI) Order 1995 deals with the care, upbringing and protection of children and has, as its core aim, the promotion and protection of their welfare. That aim is based on principles that are not just confined to court proceedings but encompass the provision of social services.

The principles of most relevance to the courts are, namely:

- the Welfare Principle- the child's welfare is the paramount consideration when courts determine any question concerning the upbringing of a child.
- the No Delay Principle- a duty imposed on courts to draw up a timetable with a view to determining proceedings without delay and to give directions to ensure that the timetable is met.
- the Non-Intervention Principle- courts should not intervene in the continuum of the parental role unless absolutely necessary to ensure the welfare of the child.

Other legislation that impacts on the operation of the courts since the introduction of the Children Order is:

- The Family Homes and Domestic Violence (NI) Order 1998-
  a) where there has been domestic violence in the home, the court must consider the risk of harm to the child from witnessing domestic violence before making a residence or contact (Article 8) order. When a court is considering whether or not to make such an order in favour of someone who has a non-molestation order made against him, the court will have to consider whether the child is at risk of harm as a result of seeing or hearing the ill-treatment of another person if the order is made.
  b) the court has power to remove a suspected abuser from the family home instead of removing the child under an interim care order or emergency protection order. Proceedings involving children should be heard at the level of court appropriate to the circumstances of the case and proceedings relating to the same child should be heard in the same court. This allows for the provision of a consistent, integrated and flexible set of remedies available in all family proceedings and courts.

The framework of the courts is as follows:

1) The Youth Court - criminal proceedings where the defendant is a youth
2) The Magistrates' Court
The Crown Court
4) The Domestic Proceedings Court

There are designated courts within the Family Justice system to deal specifically with child protection issues, namely:

5) Family Proceedings Court
6) Family Care Centre:
   a) appeals/transfers from Family Proceedings Court.
   b) transfers from Domestic Proceedings Court.

7) The County Court:
   a) appeals from the Magistrates' Court.
   b) appeals from Domestic Proceedings Court.
   c) transfers to the Divorce Court (for consolidation of proceedings).
8) The High Court:
   a) appeals/transfers from Family Care Centres.
   b) transfers from Family Proceedings Courts (for consolidation of proceedings).
   c) proceedings in relation to Wardship.
   d) transfers to the Matrimonial Court (for consolidation of proceedings).

Minimum age for sexual activity

14. Provide information on any legislatively defined minimum age required for valid consent to sexual activity. Is this age different for girls and boys? Is this age different in respect of heterosexual and homosexual activities?


The legal age of consent to sexual activity for both boys and girls is 16 under the Sexual Offences Act 2003 (full text attached as Annex A).

This applies to both homosexual and heterosexual activities.

15. Provide information on the minimum age of marriage for women and men.

16 for both male and female, though the female would have to have parental consent under the age of 18.

Sexual exploitation of children

16. Provide information on legislation and other measures to prevent the commercial sexual exploitation of children, including through prostitution and other unlawful sexual activities. Provide details on means to ensure that child victims of such exploitation are not criminalized. Provide information on legislation or other measures to prohibit all forms of sale or trafficking in children, including by their parents.


The Sexual Offences Act 2003 (full text attached at Annex A) introduced a range of new offences to deal with the sexual exploitation of children. This includes new
ences to tackle trafficking and other forms of exploitation, with high maximum penalties, and also increased penalties for existing offences to tackle pimping. It provides a greater level of protection from sexual exploitation for children of both sexes up to the age of 18 than earlier legislation.

Children under the age of 13 are deemed not to be capable of giving legally significant consent to any form of sexual activity. Any sexual intercourse with a child under 13 will be charged as rape. The statutory age of consent under which no sexual activity can lawfully take place is set at 16; any sexual activity with a child under 16 is unlawful.

There are offences specifically aimed at those who exploit or abuse children through prostitution, which provides protection for children in these circumstances up to the age of 18. These are:

- Paying for the sexual services of a child

The maximum penalty is life imprisonment when the child is under 13 and the sexual services involve vaginal/anal penetration and/or oral penile penetration; 14 years when the child is under 13 and the sexual services are non-penetrative, or where the child is 13-15; and 7 years where the child is 16-17.

- Causing or inciting prostitution or pornography
- Maximum penalty is 14 years and/or an unlimited fine.

- Controlling a child prostitute or a child involved in pornography
- Maximum penalty is 14 years and/or an unlimited fine.

- Arranging or facilitating child prostitution or pornography
- Maximum penalty is 14 years and/or an unlimited fine.

- Trafficking into, within or out of the UK for sexual exploitation
- Maximum penalty is 14 years and/or an unlimited fine.

Through published guidance, the Government has stressed the need to treat children involved in prostitution as abused children and provides advice to the relevant agencies on ways to ensure their welfare. Whilst it is possible for a child to be prosecuted for loitering or soliciting, this is only to be used as a last resort to deal with those young people who persistently return to prostitution. There are very few such convictions. A review of prostitution, expected to be published shortly, will consider changes to this aspect of the law.

In September 2001 the Department of Health published a 'National Plan for Safeguarding Children from Commercial Sexual Exploitation' (attached at Annex B). This is an important part of the Government’s drive to improve safeguards for children. It explains what the Government, the devolved administrations and partner agencies are doing, and plan to do, to protect those children who are induced or coerced into
sexual activities for the commercial advantages of others. The Plan is reviewed on an annual basis. As a result of the last review, changes are being made to ensure that it provides a stronger focus for the UK's efforts to safeguard children from sexual exploitation.

Further, the United Kingdom signed the Optional Protocol on the Sale of Children, Child Prostitution and Child Pornography at the Millennium Summit in September 2000 and is committed to ratifying it at the earliest opportunity. Before we can do so, we need to introduce a number of changes to our domestic law to ensure that we are fully compliant with the instrument. Some of these changes, on the trafficking of children for sexual exploitation and the exploitation of children through prostitution and pornography were made in the Sexual Offences Act 2003. Other changes are being made in the Immigration and Asylum Bill currently before Parliament.

However, the Optional Protocol also requires the criminalisation of behaviour in other areas such as illegal adoptions and the transfer of human organs. This may require primary legislation to allow us to ratify the instrument.

The Home Office is currently co-ordinating an audit exercise to identify any outstanding gaps in compliance. This will give us a better idea of how much is left to be done, and how quickly we will be able to ratify this important instrument.

**Pornography and harmful information**

17. Provide information on legislation and other measures to prohibit the production, possession and dissemination of child pornography. In particular, please provide information on any controls on pornography produced and/or disseminated via the internet.


Section 1(1)(a) of the Protection of Children Act 1978 (full text attached at Annex A) and section 160 of the Criminal Justice Act 1988 (relevant section attached at Annex A) deal with "indecent photographs or pseudo-photographs of children". The offences include making; taking; distributing; advertising; or possessing such material.

The word "indecent" is not defined by the Act. However, in the case of R v Oliver and others, the judgement delivered at the Court of Appeal categorised the nature of indecent material into 5 levels:

- Level 1 – images depicting erotic posing with no sexual activity;
- Level 2 – sexual activity between children, or solo masturbation by a child;
- Level 3 – non-penetrative sexual activity between adults and children;
- Level 4 – penetrative sexual activity between adults and children;
- Level 5 – sadism or bestiality.

A photograph is defined in section 7(2)-(5) of the Protection of Children Act 1978, and includes a negative; data capable of conversion into a photograph; film; and any form of video recording.

Pseudo-photographs are described as an image, whether made by computer graphics or otherwise, which appears to be a photograph. Throughout the Protection of Children Act 1978 pseudo-photographs are put on the same footing as actual photographs.
Section 1(1)(b) and (c) of the Protection of Children Act 1978 covers the distribution of "indecent photographs or pseudo-photographs of children" over the internet.

In certain circumstances Internet Service Providers (ISPs) can be prosecuted for an offence contrary to the Protection of Children Act 1978; if they have been made aware of the illegal content they are carrying. The Electronic Commerce Directive (00/31/EC) placed limitations on the liability of internet intermediaries (such as ISPs) and refers to "actual knowledge" of the material they are carrying.

The Sexual Offences Act 2003 amends Section 7(6) of the Protection of Children Act 1978 to state that a "child" is a person under 18 years of age. The Sexual Offences Act also created the following offences:

Section 48 – causing or inciting child pornography in any part of the World;
Section 49 – controlling a child involved in pornography; and
Section 50 – arranging or facilitating child pornography.

All these offences carry a maximum sentence of 14 years’ imprisonment.

Further, certain sexual offences committed in another country can give rise to prosecution under Section 7 of the Sex Offenders Act 1997, which confers jurisdiction on the courts of England and Wales. These offences include offences contrary to the Protection of Children Act 1978.

18. Provide information on any legislation or guidelines to protect children from injurious information and material transmitted through the media, Internet, videos, electronic games, etc.


In terms of legislation, Section 12 of the Sexual Offences Act 2003 creates an offence of causing, for the purpose of sexual gratification, a child to watch a sexual act, which includes causing a child to look at an image of such an act. This offence carries a maximum penalty of 10 years’ imprisonment.

The Government established a Task Force on Child Protection on the Internet in 2001. This is chaired by the Home Office Minister Paul Goggins and brings together representatives of the law enforcement agencies; children’s charities; the Internet industry; Government and opposition parties. Members of the Task Force give freely of their time to support its aim to make the United Kingdom the best and safest place in the world for children to use the internet, and to help protect children the world over from abuse fuelled by criminal misuse of new technologies.

In January 2004, the Task Force launched its third national awareness campaign to help keep children safe on the internet. These have followed research that has shown that parents are ill-informed about their children’s use of the internet and chat rooms, and that young people give out their personal details without considering the consequences. The campaign was carried out between January and March 2004 through cinema, radio and online advertising, and highlights the measures that can be taken to promote safety online, and the new risks posed by the emerging mobile phone technology. There is also a leaflet for parents called ‘Keeping your child safe on the Internet’, which is being widely distributed in conjunction with the campaign.

In 2003 the Task Force developed and published models of good practice for providers
chat, instant messaging and web services. These encourage clear and accessible safety messages, advice and user-friendly ways of reporting abuse. The Task Force is currently reviewing these models. Copies of the good practice models can be found at www.homeoffice.gov.uk/crimpol/crimreduc/internet/index.html.

The Task Force have held discussions with the mobile telephone operators in the UK who, following those discussions, recently published a self-regulatory Code of Practice for new forms of content on mobile phone services. Amongst other things the code requires mobile providers to classify commercial content unsuitable for customers under 18 where appropriate and to undertake a process of age verification; to moderate chat rooms made available to customers under 18; and to allow parents and carers to apply filters to the mobile operator’s Internet access services so that the Internet content thus accessible is restricted. The Code of Practice can be found at www.o2.co.uk/abouto2/ukcodeofpractice.html.

Also under consideration by the Task Force is the issue of the moderation of interactive services including chat rooms, and the issuing of guidance relating to rating, filtering and monitoring products designed to assist parents in managing their children’s safe use of the Internet. This latter work is also considering setting criteria against which such products can be evaluated and considering organisations which can undertake assessment of such products against the criteria.

**Reporting obligations relating to violence against children**

19. Provide information on legislation, regulations or administrative directives requiring reporting of all forms of violence against and abuse of children in all settings to appropriate bodies. If reporting legislation, regulations or administrative directives exist, please indicate whether all citizens are required to report, or whether the obligation falls on certain professional groups only. Provide details of any sanctions for non-reporting.

**Referrals to social services departments**

The Government’s child protection guidance, Working together to Safeguard Children (1999), clearly states that if somebody believes that a child may be suffering, or may be at risk of suffering significant harm, then she/he should always refer his or her concerns to the local authority social services department. In addition the social services department, the police and the NSPCC have powers to investigate and intervene in these circumstances. While professionals should seek, in general, to discuss any concerns with the family and, where possible, seek their agreement to making referrals to social services, this should only be done where discussion and agreement seeking will not place a child at increased risk of significant harm.

When a parent, professional, or another person contacts a social services department with concerns about a child’s welfare, it is the responsibility of the social services department to clarify with the referrer (including self-referrals from families): the nature of concerns; how and why they have arisen; and what appear to be the needs of the child and family. This process should always identify clearly whether there are concerns about abuse or neglect, what is their foundation, and whether the children may need urgent action to make them safe from harm.

When responding to referrals from the wider community, it should be borne in mind that personal information about referrers, including identifying details, should only be disclosed to third parties (including subject families and other agencies) with the
nsent of the referrer. In all cases where the Police are involved, the decision about when to inform the parents will have a bearing on the conduct of Police investigations.

Referrals may lead to no further action, directly to the provision of services or other help – including from other agencies – and/or to a fuller initial assessment of the needs and circumstances of the child which may, in turn, be followed by full section 47 enquiries. Where social services decide to take no further action at this stage, feedback should be provided to the referrer. In the case of public referrals, this should be done in a manner consistent with respecting the confidentiality of the child.

Furthermore, although it is not statutory guidance, the Government’s booklet What to Do if You’re worried A Child is Being Abused, makes clear that everyone working with children must refer any concerns about child abuse or neglect to social services or the police.

**Secure Accommodation and Children’s Homes**

Under Regulation 30 of the Children’s Homes regulations 2001 certain significant events must be notified by the registered person to certain bodies. These include:

a. Death of a child accommodated in the home – The Commission for Social Care Inspection (CSCI), placing authority, local and health authorities.

b. Refererral to the Secretary of State following abuse by an individual working at the home/ any serious complaint about the home or staff/serious incident involving calling the Police to the home/serious accident sustained by a child/instigation of any child protection enquiry – Secretary of State and CSCI, placing authority.

c. Involvement or suspected involvement of a child accommodated at the home in prostitution – CSCI, placing authority and police.

The parents of any child accommodated in the home should be notified by the registered person of any significant incident affecting the child’s welfare unless to do so is not reasonably practicable or would place the child’s welfare at risk.

The ‘registered person’ means any person who is the registered provider of the registered manager of the home.

A failure to comply with the Regulations is an offence which may result in the Commission bringing proceedings against the registered person.

**Reporting Obligations relating to Violence against Children.**

**Legislation**

Section 142 of the Education Act 2002 gives the Secretary of State the power to issue a direction barring or restricting a person from providing education or from carrying out work which brings them into regular contact with children under the age of 18. A direction may be issued on the following grounds:

a) On the grounds that the person is included (otherwise than provisionally) in the list kept by the Secretary of State under section
1 of the Protection of Children Act 1999 (list of individuals considered unsuitable to work with children);

b) On the grounds that the person is unsuitable to work with children

c) On the grounds relating to the person’s misconduct;

d) On the grounds related to the person’s health; or

e) In relation to taking part in the management of an independent school, on grounds relating to the person’s professional incompetence or on any of the other grounds listed above.

Sections 15 and 15A of the Teaching and Higher Education Act 1998 provide that a relevant employer, contractor or agent shall provide prescribed information to the Secretary of State, the National Assembly for Wales, or the General Teaching Councils for England and Wales, as appropriate, following a person’s dismissal, resignation with grounds mentioned in section 142 of the Education Act 2002 (a-e above).

Regulations

Regulations 4 of the Education (Prohibition from Teaching or Working with Children) Regulations 2003 provides that a relevant employer or agent must make a report to the Secretary of State and provide the information set out in schedule 1 to the regulations:

- Where they have ceased to use a person’s services,
- Where they might have ceased to use a person’s services had the person not ceased to provide those services,
- Where they have terminated arrangements for a person,
- Where they might have terminated the arrangements had the person not terminated them, or
- Where they might have refrained from making new arrangements had the person not ceased to make himself available for work

On grounds that the person is unsuitable to work with children, on grounds relating to that person’s misconduct or on grounds relating to the person’s health where an issue is raised concerning the safety and welfare of children.

The equivalent requirement for proprietors of independent schools is in regulation 8 of the Education (Provision of information by independent Schools) (England) Regulations 2003.

A relevant employer is:

a. a local education authority;

b. a person exercising a function relating to the provision of education on behalf of a local education authority;
c. the proprietor of a school (including the governing body of a maintained school); or

d. the governing body of a further education institution

An agent is a person who makes arrangements for another person to carry out work at the request of or with the consent of a relevant employer (whether or not under a contract).

Agents can include employment agencies such as teacher supply agencies, contractors who provide services (e.g. catering) in schools or further education institutions, voluntary organisations which provide people to work with children, initial teacher training institutions and further education institutions which provide courses of study for nursery nurses and other childcare workers.

Sanctions

If the Secretary of State thinks that a local education authority or the governing body of a school has failed to comply with the duty to report, section 496 of the Education Act 1996 gives him the power to direct them to comply with the duty.

The equivalent sanction for agents is in section 15 of the Teaching and Higher Education Act 1998.

The sanction for independent schools is in regulations 9 of the Education (Provision of information by independent Schools) (England) Regulations 2003. This provides that if the registration authority is satisfied that the proprietor of a school has failed to supply information to the Secretary of State, it may remove the school from the register.

Administrative directives

Home Office circular 45/1986 sets out arrangements for the police to report to the Department and to employers any convictions and cautions involving teachers (including former teachers and student teachers) and ancillary staff in any type of school or further education institution, proprietors of independent schools and youth workers.

Further Legislation

Since the High Court judgement by Lord Justice Munby in November 2002, which judged that the Children's Act 1989 applies to children in custody, the Prison Service in partnership with the Youth Justice Board (YJB), has taken a number of measures to improve the implementation of the juvenile regimes, and to enhance the protection given to the young people in care.

A comprehensive review of the child safeguarding arrangements in YOIs has been carried out by Prison Service and YJB staff under the oversight of a Steering Group, which includes members of the Social Services Inspectorate and HM Inspectorate of Prisons. This has examined, in every established in the country holding children;

- measures to address self-harm and suicide
- measures to address bullying and peer abuse
- measures to address harm from adults
- monitoring and reporting arrangements
- measures to address historic child abuse
- management arrangements
- training arrangements
- arrangements in place with local ACPS and local authority services

A report on the position in the Prison Service juvenile estate as a whole and each individual establishment was launched in November 2003 and will provide a platform for child safeguarding improvement plans for each establishment and the estate generally.

The following other initiatives have been, or are being, undertaken:

- A new training package has been developed for staff working with juveniles, including an enhanced child protection module.
- A new ethical framework governing the use of physical intervention and restraint for juveniles placed in each sector of the secure estate is being developed.
- The use of segregation for juveniles has been reviewed and revised instructions issued in PSO 1700*.
- A new advocacy scheme is being introduced for juveniles and is already in place in a number of establishments.
- Introduction of 25 social workers to the juvenile estate funded by the YJB.

**Wales Assembly**

Regulations and National Minimum Standards under the Care Standards Act require staff in regulated situations to report cases of abuse. Non-reporting in some situations can be a disciplinary offence.

**Complaints procedures**

20. Provide information on any complaints procedures relating to all forms of violence against children perpetrated in:
- The family/ home;
- Schools and pre-school care and education (both formal and non-formal, state and private);
- Military schools;
- Institutions, state and private, including care, residential, health and mental health;
- The context of law and public order enforcement including in detention facilities or prisons;
- The neighbourhood, street and the community, including in rural areas;
- The workplace (informal and formal);
• Sports and sporting facilities.

21. Indicate whether children or persons acting on their behalf can access these procedures. Indicate whether legal aid is available to facilitate submission of complaints, and the circumstances in which legal aid will be available.

22. Describe steps which have been taken to raise awareness of possibilities to submit complaints about violence against children.

Questions 20-22

Complaints procedures in the Work Place

HSE takes the issue of Child employment very seriously, and when it comes across breaches of the legislation which HSE enforces in the course of regulatory contact with industry or when it receives complaints, HSE always considers taking enforcement action, including prosecutions. Concerns about health and Safety in the workplace can be registered with Infoline, HSC’s national public enquiry centre. Infoline can be contacted by telephone, e-mail, minicom, fax, or letter.

• Although it is not a complaints procedure, under the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 1995 (RIDDOR) employers must notify their enforcing authority (HSE or local authority) in the event of an accident at work to any employee resulting in death, major injury, or incapacity for normal work for three or more days. This includes any act of non-consensual physical violence done to a person at work.

• Under the Safety Representatives and Safety Committees Regulations 1977 (a) and The Health and Safety (Consultation with Employees) Regulations 1996 (b), employers must inform and consult with employees in good time on matters relating to their health and safety. Employee representatives, either appointed by recognised trade unions under (a) or elected under (b) may make representations to their employer on matters affecting the health and safety of those they represent. This includes issues associated with WRV.

The Context of law and public order enforcement including in detention facilities or prisons;

• Young Offender Institutions Rule 8 – Information for inmates- provides for making requests and complaints. This is underpinned by PSO 210*, provides a procedure for making requests or complaints to the Governor or IMB relating to the young Person’s detention.

Guidance under Prison Service Order 210*,

• Complaints are separated from requests (in that requests will be dealt with using the applications system; anything which proceeds beyond that stage is regarded as a complaint);

• Complaint forms are freely available to prisoners;

• Completed forms are posted by prisoners into locked boxes on wings;

• Ordinary complaints are considered and responded to in three stages, entirely
within establishments:

Stage 1 is normally a response from the prisoner's wing officer;
Stage 2 is a response from someone at management level;
Stage 3 (the final appeal stage) is a response from the governing governor; thereafter a prisoner can go to the Ombudsman;

- The headquarters appeal stage is abolished. There will no longer be any involvement by Headquarters in individual complaints, other than in the case of reserved subjects and confidential access to the Area Manager.

- The applications system, the role of Boards of Visitors, confidential access and the arrangements for considering requests or complaints about reserved subjects are largely unchanged from existing procedures.

The PSO aims where possible to be less prescriptive, allowing establishment's discretion where appropriate and subject to certain common basic procedures and standards.

- There are also the Independent Monitoring Boards (IMB) which have a statutory duty to satisfy themselves about the treatment of prisoners and, in particular, to hear prisoners' requests or complaints.

- Prisoners may ask the IMB to look at a request or complaint and to review a decision and reply given by the establishment in response to a request or complaint.

- Each IMB has a responsibility, as part of its 'watchdog' role in the establishment, to monitor the system for dealing with requests and complaints.

- Prisoners may submit a complaint under confidential access to the IMB Chairperson.

Secure Accommodation and Children's Homes

Local authorities, voluntary organisations and registered children's homes are required by section 24 of the Children's Homes Regulations 2002 to have a procedure for considering representations (including complaints) about children's services. A copy of the procedures shall be supplied on request to children living in the home, their parents, staff in the home etc. The copy should include the name, address and telephone number of the Care Standards Commission (now the Commission for Social Care Inspection).

The national Minimum Standards for Children's Homes (2002) require that children, and where appropriate their families, significant others and independent visitors, are provided with information on how to complain, including how they can secure access to an advocate.

Provision was made in the Adoption and Children Act 2002 for advocacy services to be available for children and young people making a complaint/representations under the children Act 1989. Guidance and Regulations were issued to all local authorities on 1st April 2004. A young person's guide to the legislation is being prepared. The
The government has commissioned research on advocacy from the Thomas Coram Research Unit, due to be published in 2004. The legislation requires all local authorities with social services responsibilities to make sure that advocacy services are provided for children and young people making or intending to make a complaint under section 24D or section 26 of the Children Act. The regulations and guidance also require local authorities to provide information about advocacy services and to offer help in finding an advocate for the child, when they are making or intending to make representations (including complaints).

**Wales Assembly**

Regulations under the Care Standards Act require regulated bodies (residential care homes etc) to have in place a written complaints procedure. These procedures can be applicable to instances of violence against children. Normally, however, such cases should be reported to appropriate statutory agencies (social services, police, who would be responsible for determining further action.

Regulations under the Care Standards Act require procedures for children for children to able to make complaints or for these to be made on their behalf.

Regulated providers have to provide staff and service users with details of complaints procedures.

**Northern Ireland Assembly**

- Schools and pre-school care and education (both formal and non-formal, state and private);

All schools are required by law to have a child protection policy and this will include how concerns about a child's welfare should be handled. All employers in the schools' sector have procedures to be followed in the event of a complaint of child abuse against a member of staff. These procedures must take account of the current guidance to schools set out in DENI Circular 1999/10—"Pastoral Care in Schools: Child Protection".

- Sports and sporting facilities.

The Department of Culture and Learning have recognised that sport is an every-day activity for many children and makes a significant contribution to their well-being and development. Sports organisations have become increasingly aware that some individuals who want to harm children will use sport as a medium to gain access to young people. All sports have a role in recognising and responding to concerns that a child may be being abused within another setting, such as the family home. By encouraging all sporting organisations to implement the Code of Ethics and Good Practice for Children’s Sport (SCNI 2000) DCAL believe that everyone in children’s sport will benefit – children, parents/guardians and sports leaders.

- Indicate whether children or persons acting on their behalf can access these procedures. Indicate whether legal aid is available to facilitate submission of complaints, and the circumstances in which legal aid will be available.

Any person in Northern Ireland may apply for legal advice and assistance from a
licitor where a question of Northern Ireland law is involved. This advice and assistance is intended to cover issues such as advice, writing letters, entering into negotiations, obtaining an opinion and the preparation of a tribunal case, however, it stops short of representation in court. To be eligible for legal advice and assistance an applicant must be assessed, which is undertaken by the solicitor, against specific financial limits.

23. Provide information on any special procedural or evidentiary rules which may apply in proceedings with respect to violence against children.

24. Provide information on the usual outcome of complaints of violence against children (e.g. compensation, punishment of perpetrators, perpetrator rehabilitation, family therapy).

25. Provide information on the usual outcome of legal proceedings in which children and juveniles are found guilty of perpetrating violence (e.g. imprisonment, corporal punishment, community service, perpetrator rehabilitation, family therapy).

II. INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND RESOURCES TO ADDRESS VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN

The aim of this section is to establish if your country has an institution coordinating multi-sectoral activities concerning violence against children, which include prevention, protection, redress, reintegration and rehabilitation.

26. Are there any Governmental authorities, structures and mechanisms, including at federal, state/provincial, municipal and local level which are currently responsible for addressing violence against children?

If YES, identify these authorities, structures and mechanisms and describe how coordination is ensured.

Local Safeguarding Children Boards

The Government plans to require local authorities to establish Local Safeguarding Children Boards, via the Children Bill. The overall objective of LSCBs, which will replace the Area Child Protection Committees (ACPCs) will be to coordinate the work of key local agencies in relation to safeguarding children.

What will these LSCBs do?

It is likely that their functions will be wider than those of ACPS, including a greater focus on safeguarding and the prevention of harm more generally and a responsibility for strategic planning in relation to safeguarding children.

How will LSCBs differ from ACPCS?

The Joint Chief Inspectors’ report, Safeguarding Children, found that arrangements for ACPCs were not working well in some areas. This often stemmed from the low prioritisation given to safeguarding children by some of the bodies involved, which could manifest itself in lack of resources for child protection and of senior management commitment. LSCBs will have a wider remit – see above – and a legal basis. Also they will be strengthened by a new duty – introduced in the Children Bill – for key local agencies to have regard to the need to safeguard children and promote their welfare.

How will the Voluntary Sector be involved?
The Government is giving careful consideration to the requirements for membership of LSCBs but will ensure that there is scope for the voluntary sector to participate.

Wales assembly

The National Assembly for Wales established an independent, statutory Children’s Commissioner for Wales with a wide-ranging remit covering all children in Wales. He took up the office on 1 March 2001.

The Commissioner is an independent children’s champion, whose principal aim in exercising any of his functions is to promote and safeguard the rights and welfare of children in Wales by:

- Making sure that the views of children are heard and understood about everything that affects them;
- Fighting for and protect their rights;
- Ensuring they can complain effectively;
- Offering advice and information or tell children and young people where to find it;
- Reviewing the effect on children and young people of any activity or proposed activity of the Assembly, a local authority, health trust or Assembly Sponsored Public Body;
- Assisting in individual cases if appropriate.

The Commissioner’s main functions are:

Review of functions - The Commissioner may review the effect on children in Wales of any activity or proposed activity of the Assembly, a local authority or Assembly Sponsored Public Body.

Review of complaints, whistleblowing and advocacy procedures – The Commissioner may review and monitor the arrangements put in place by the Assembly, or by other service providers, for dealing with complaints. Whistleblowing and advocacy, or the absence of such arrangements.

Examination of particular cases – the Commissioner may examine cases of particular children who have been affected by the activities of the Assembly or other public bodies. The Commissioner may only exercise this function if he considers matters of principle are involved which have a more general application or relevance to the rights and welfare of children than those in the particular case at issue.

Report and Recommendations – the Commissioner may produce reports as a result of exercising any of the above functions and the reports may include recommendations.

Assistance – the Commissioner may assist a child, including financially, in respect of proceedings or disputes involving matters that come within his remit or in relation to the operation of complaints’ arrangements that he monitors.

Advice and information – the Commissioner may provide advice and information, in
connection with his functions, to any person.

The Commissioner has carried out a substantial programme of work in his first two years in office. Two significant pieces of work which have a bearing on violence against children are:

- The Clywch inquiry into safe practices and procedures in relation to children and the performing arts which was published on 1 July 2004.
- The 'Telling Concerns' review of complaints, whistle-blowing and children's advocacy services, was published in February 2003. The report made a number of recommendations to the Assembly Government and local authorities.

**Northern Ireland Assembly**

Within Northern Ireland, each Government Department is responsible for addressing issues that arise within its remit. So, for example, the Department for Education will deal with bullying in schools or the Department for Health, Social Services and Public Safety will issue guidance on childcare and deal with cases of violence against, or the neglect of, children in the home.

In the context of domestic violence, a recent comprehensive review highlighted the need for the effective and efficient co-ordination of services and systems. The various Departments are working closely to ensure that the systems "dovetail" and provide adequate protection.

Relevant authorities include Health Boards, Trusts, Northern Ireland Office, Police Service NI.

27. Is there a lead Government authority tasked with responsibility for addressing violence against children?

   If YES, provide details.

**Northern Ireland Assembly**

As stated above, the various Departments liaise, as appropriate and no one Department holds the key.

The Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety, Police Service NI and the Court Service, for example, work together if a crime has been committed and prosecution occurs.

28. Are specific financial and/or human resources allocated by your country to address violence generally?

   If YES, indicate the extent of these allocations.

29. Does your country allocate specific financial and/or human resources to activities to address violence against children?
If YES, provide details.

30. Do international or bilateral donors provide resources to your country for activities to address violence against children?

If YES, indicate the extent of these resources and the way in which they are used.

Northern Ireland Assembly

Peace Initiatives Institute are currently providing financial resources to run a pilot media campaign in Northern Ireland to address issues of bullying, racism and sectarianism amongst young children.

31. Does your country provide any assistance to other countries’ efforts to respond to the problem of violence against children?

If YES, provide details.

Contribution from Rhiannon Rees Department for International Development;

- Violence against children occurs in many different forms and situations. Many children and young people are subjected to violence in their homes and in their communities. There are also many who are particularly vulnerable to violence because of their situation: for example as street children; as child workers, particularly in the worst forms of child labour, such as child prostitutes or child soldiers; as victims of child trafficking and in situations of armed conflict, where they may be abducted or become child soldiers. The following examples below demonstrate the variety of the UK Government’s responses to these different situations.

- However the UK Government takes the view that many of these practices persist where poverty denies people their rights and a decent livelihood. It is through supporting programmes to eliminate poverty that we will eventually eliminate these abuses. The goal of the UK Government Department for International Development (DFID) is the eradication of poverty and most of the programmes it supports are intended to contribute to improving the livelihoods of poor people and helping them realise their rights. DFID supports developing countries’ own development agendas as set out in their poverty reduction strategies and in its dialogues with overseas governments one of its main aims is to seek to ensure that the needs of the poor, particularly the most vulnerable groups, are fully taken into account in these.

Country Development Programmes

DFID provides support from its country development programmes for a number of partner Governments specifically for their efforts to combat violence against children. The following are a few examples;

Jordan

a) DFID has supported the Government of Jordan in becoming a pioneering country in combating family violence and child abuse. This includes support for:

1) The family Protection Project, a partnership between several
Government Ministries and Non-Government Organisations, which has since 1999 introduced a multi-agency rights-based approach to domestic violence;

2) **The Arts for Child Safety Project**, managed by a partnership of the Ministry of Education and Jordan River Foundation, which aims to teach 5-8 year-old schoolchildren how to protect themselves; also building the institutional capacity of the **Jordan River Foundation Shelter** for abused children;

3) **The Family Protection Department**, which is responsible for dealing with child abuse cases in the General Security Department. A video-recording facility has been established and the law amended so that video interviews with children are admissible as evidence. Senior judges visited the UK for training and to see CCTV systems in operation in family courts;

4) **A Course on Family Protection** in a government university.

**Nicaragua**

b) DFID has supported the Government of Nicaragua in the development of a **Strategy for violence prevention and reduction**. A key feature is the Education for Life Programme, which has benefited 3,843 Students in 217 schools in Managua. This includes an innovative curriculum, including training to resist the use of drugs, Sex education and HIV/Aids prevention information.

**Romania**

c) DFID has also supported the Government of Romania, alongside UNICEF, the European Community and USAID, in developing a new legislative package in the field of child protection. As a result, the recently enforced ‘Law on the protection and promotion of the rights of the child’, which is the core of the new legislative package, complies with the provisions of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, the European Human Rights Convention and other related international treaties ratified by Romania. DFID, with the World Bank, is also supporting the reform of the social assistance system. This includes changes in the current legislation on domestic violence.

**Bolivia**

d) In Bolivia, DFID contributes to the Defensor del Pueblo- Human Rights Ombudsman—who is responsible for following up complaints over violations of citizens’ rights.

**Safety, security and Access to Justice (SSAJ)**

e) DFID’s programmes on Safety, Security and Access to justice (SSAJ) aim to reduce insecurity and violence against the poor, including (but not limited to) children. They include activities aimed at:

1) Strengthening and reforming both state and non-state policing systems to enhance their capacity to ensure security and prevent and address crime and violence;

2) Enhancing access to justice for victims of crime and violence (including domestic violence), including victim support.
Some of these programmes pay particular attention to juvenile justice, which includes ensuring that juveniles do not suffer violence while in the custody of the justice system (the SSAJ programme in Malawi is one example).

**Child Labour**

The UK Government is committed to helping to eliminate child labour, particularly the worst forms such as child slavery and all forms of abusive or exploitative child labour. DFID supports many programmes that aim to reduce the vulnerability of poor households, and particularly the women and children who live in them, by enabling them to generate sufficient income to invest in the education and nutrition of the children without needing to resort to high risk strategies such as commercial sex work. We also support many programmes to end exploitative child labour and improve livelihood opportunities and access to education, health, justice and protection for such children, who are vulnerable to abuse and trafficking. We are also tackling the problem of child labour through our basic education projects and our support to socially responsible business such as the Ethical Trading Initiative.

32. If your country has a national human rights institution, such as a human rights commission or ombudsman, or a child-specific human rights institution, does it have any role or competence in the area of violence against children, including receiving complaints?

   If YES, provide details.

The Children Bill which is currently being debated in Parliament includes clauses that will establish a Children’s Commissioner for England. He/she will represent the views and interests of children, and report to parliament on progress made against the five outcomes that Children and Young people themselves have identified, through consultation, as being the most important yardsticks to improving their lives.

These are;

- Physical and mental health.
- Protection from harm and neglect
- Education training and recreation
- The contribution made by them to society
- Emotional, social, and economic well-being

Part 1 of the Bill establishes a Children’s Commissioner to represent the views and Interests of children and report to Parliament on progress against the outcomes for Children and Young people. The Commissioner will be free to report on any issue of concern, not just in relation to services provided by the public sector, but on other areas which touch children’s lives, such as the media and commercial organisations. We recognise that the UNCRC provides an important context for the Commissioner’s work, and the legislation makes clear that the Commissioner must have regard to the UNCRC.
The Bill does not propose that the Commissioner should pursue individual cases but gives him/her the power to review complaint procedures and to carry out inquiries into cases of particular importance as indentified by the Secretary of state. As part of the Commissioner’s strategic role, he will however be able to look into the complaints systems in place and work with ombudsmen and statutory bodies to ensure these systems are effective and accessible, and suggest improvements taking into account the views and interests of children, whilst promoting good practice and highlighting any areas of concern in his annual report to Parliament. It will remain the responsibility of those providing and monitoring complaints mechanisms to ensure that they are effective and child friendly.

The Commissioner will have discretion to conduct an inquiry into an individual case which raises an issue of wider public policy and does not duplicate or cut across any other existing investigation

Domestic Violence, Crime and Victims Bill

Establishment of Commissioner for Victims and Witnesses

The Bill will establish a Victims and Witnesses Commissioner to champion the interests of victims and witnesses across Government.

- The Commissioner’s primary functions are to promote the interests of victims and witnesses, take steps to encourage good practice in their treatment and keep the code of practice under review. The Commissioner cannot exercise these powers regarding individual victims or witnesses.

- He/She may also be required to provide advice on victims and witness issues to authorities under his remit.

- He/She will have powers to commission research, make recommendations to an authority within his remit or make a report to the Secretary of State – who will be required to lay any such report before Parliament.

- Authorities within his remit include all of the CJS organisations and wider Government departments. S/he will also highlight concerns to relevant agencies in terms of social issues in relation to victims’ needs, for example the level of support and services they are offered in housing, health and education.

**What powers will the Victims’ and Witnesses’ Commissioner have?**

- The Victims’ Commissioner will champion victims’ interests on a whole range of issues – not just relating to the criminal justice system but in other parts of the public sector, such as health and housing. The Commissioner will make recommendations to improve the response of every organisation that comes into contact with victims of crime. S/he will be set up on a statutory basis as part of the Victims and Witnesses Bill legislation.

**How will the findings of the Commissioner be made known?**
The Commissioner will have to issue annual reports before parliament, and when necessary to name and shame reluctant Departments to take action to improve policy and practice in relation to victims and witnesses.

Will the Commissioner’s powers make a difference? The Commissioner’s primary role will be to raise awareness of victims’ issues and represent their interests to the Government and the public sector. For example, s/he would have the power to review victim and witness-related policy or proposed legislation in any Government department and make recommendations for improvements in existing policy or for completely new policies to be implemented.

**What other areas of responsibility will the Commissioner have?**

- S/he will also highlight concerns to relevant agencies in terms of social issues in relation to victims’ needs, for example the level of support and services they are offered in housing, health and education. S/he will have a crucial role in monitoring the effectiveness of the new Victims’ Code of Practice and the national strategy.

**Will the Commissioner be consulting with victims?**

- One of the Commissioner’s other roles will be to consult with victims’ groups to gauge their views and concerns over Government provision for victims and witnesses. The Commissioner is also likely to have a seat on the Victims Advisory Panel. This will be one of many ways in which the Commissioner is likely to hear at first hand from victims of crime.

**Doesn’t the Commissioner just create an extra layer of bureaucracy?**

- Criminal Justice Ministers aside, there is currently no one in the Criminal Justice System championing the causes of victims and witnesses but they go further than the CJS in any event. Victims and witnesses need a voice across Government.

**When is the Commissioner likely to be established?**

- 2005/06

- An independent body at the centre of the Government to have a powerful impact on a wide range of victims issues.

- Will raise awareness of victim and witnesses rights.

- A completely dedicated voice to help break down barriers.

- Will have the resources to identify, investigate and tackle difficult issues for example in establishing gaps in support for Black and ethnic minority victims, or regional variances.
Clause 25: Commissioner for Victims and Witnesses

Clause 25 provides for a Commissioner for Victims and Witnesses to be appointed by the Secretary of State after consulting with the Lord Chancellor and Attorney General. The effect of providing that the Commissioner is a corporation sole (subsection (3)) is that the office of the Commissioner has legal personality and that the Commissioner (in his capacity as office-holder) can hold property, bring legal proceedings and employ staff. Subsections (4) and (5) provide that the Commissioner is not to be a Crown servant, which would be inappropriate to his independent role.

Subsection (6) introduces Schedule 5 which makes detailed provision about the Commissioner. Paragraph 1 provides for the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Lord Chancellor and the Attorney General to appoint a Deputy Commissioner who will carry on the Commissioner’s functions if he is unable to do so. Paragraphs 2, 5 and 6 concerns the terms of appointment of the Commissioner and Deputy Commissioner and paragraph 10 provides that they will be disqualified from sitting in Parliament or the Northern Ireland Assembly (office holders are usually disqualified from membership of the Scottish Parliament and National Assembly by order made by the relevant authority). Paragraphs 3 and 4 allow the Commissioner to appoint his own staff and to authorise them to carry out his functions. Paragraphs 8 and 9 provide for the Commissioner to prepare an annual plan, which will be subject to the approval of the Secretary of State and to prepare an annual report which he must sent to the Secretary of State, who will be required to lay the report before Parliament and publish it.

Clause 26: General functions of the Commissioner

Clause 26 outlines the functions of the Commissioner. Under subsection (1), the Commissioner’s primary functions are to promote the interests of victims and witnesses, take steps to encourage good practice in their treatment and keep the code issued under clause 21 under review. Subsection (2) provides for various ways in which he can carry out these functions, including making a report to the Secretary of State, commissioning research and making recommendations to an authority within his remit (as to which see clause 30).

Subsection (3) requires that where the Commissioner makes a report to the Secretary of State under subsection (2), the Secretary of State must provide copies to the Lord Chancellor and Attorney General, and publish and lay the report before Parliament.

Clause 27: Advice

Clause 27 gives a duty to the Commissioner to provide advice on victims and witnesses issues when requested by any Government Minister.

The authorities within the Commissioner’s remit may ask the Commissioner to give specific advice in connection with the information they provide, through whatever medium, to victims and witnesses.

Clause 28: Restrictions on exercise of functions
Clause 28 excludes the Commissioner from exercising his functions on behalf of individual victims or witnesses. He cannot, for example, ask the police or Crown Prosecution Service to bring or reconsider a particular charge against an individual offender, or ask for the courts to impose a particular sentence. On the other hand, the Commissioner will not be excluded from commenting on charging or sentencing policy, or any other wider policy issue relating to victims and witnesses.

**Clause 29: Victims and Witnesses**

Clause 29 provides definitions of victim and witness, for the purpose of clauses 25 to 28 (which outline the Commissioner’s functions). These definitions are wide enough to include, for example in subsection (3), victims of offences in respect of which no offence was reported to the police or no suspect was charged or convicted and at subsection (4) witnesses who are not actually called to give evidence. The Commissioner is not to be excluded from considering the position of those victims who, for whatever reason, choose not to report crime, or those witnesses who do not come forward to make statements or give evidence.

Under subsections (4) and (6), the definition of witness does not include witnesses who are or would be defendants in criminal proceedings.

**Clause 30: Authorities within the Commissioner’s remit**

Clause 30 introduces Schedule 6 which lists the authorities which fall within the Commissioner’s remit. The list includes authorities which might have a more general impact on victims and witnesses, not just authorities with an interest in the criminal justice system. Subsections (2) and (3) also provides the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Attorney General and Lord Chancellor, with the power to amend this list by order. By virtue of clause 37(4), this power is subject to the affirmative resolution procedure.

**Northern Ireland Assembly**

The Commissioner for Children and Young People for Northern Ireland has the principal aim of safeguarding and promoting the rights and best interests of children and young people. The Commissioner can review existing complaints arrangements of relevant authorities, can assist children and young people to bring complaints and can, in certain circumstances, investigate complaints.

33. Are there any particular parliamentary structures (for example special committees) to address violence against children?

If YES, provide details.

The **Children Group** exists to raise greater awareness in the Houses of Parliament of aspects of the well-being of the nation’s children aged 0-18 years, and our obligations under the Convention on the Rights of the Child; and to work with children, young people, children’s organisations, and politicians from all sides to promote first class government policy for children.

The **All Parliamentary Party Group on Domestic Violence and the All Parliamentary Party Group on Children.**
The Groups hold joint meetings annually to discuss new issues around domestic violence and children (both witnessing and experiencing domestic violence), although this is an informal arrangement between the clerk of the All Parliamentary Party Group and the clerk of the Domestic Violence group, and is also dependent on the views of both groups' Officers. There are currently no APPGs on child protection or violence. However it is covered by the remits of the APPG for children, the APPG for Children in Wales, the APPG for young people in care, the APPG for Youth Affairs, and the APPG for Parents and Families. All Party Groups provide a key forum for discussing issues, and can put pressure on the Government and others by its members/officers writing letters, asking PQs, raising debates, and questioning Ministers in meetings in the same way that any other MP/Peer can, although current work around children's issues has become more co-ordinated, in both the Houses of Commons and Lords. However it is not a formal channel in the way as a select committee.

The All Parliamentary Party Group for Children has been has been established since 1981, and the National Children's Bureau has clerked it since 1983. Over the years child abuse (prevention, offenders, issues) has come up several times. In 1983 the Group held a meeting to discuss child abuse; in 1988 and 1994 the Group looked at the Treatment of Sexual Abusers; in 1995 the prevention of child abuse; the Full Stop Campaign (NSPCC) in 1999; two meetings on physical punishment in the home in 2000; and more broadly the Green Paper Every Child Matters in 2003, and then a series of meetings when the paper itself was published through the autumn of 2003.

The National Children's Bureau writes Child Impact Statements on several pieces of legislation each year for the APPGC. However the aim is to look at the likely effect of legislation on children and young people, and as such will not always consider 'violence towards children'.

34. Have there been any recent parliamentary initiatives to address violence against children?

If YES, please give details.

The Domestic Violence, Crime and Victims Bill is currently being considered by Parliament, it completed Committee stage in the House of Commons on 6 July. One of the provisions of this Bill, Clause 5, introduces a new offence of causing or allowing the death of a child or a vulnerable adult. The offence will apply where a child or vulnerable adult dies as a result of the unlawful conduct of a member of the household who had frequent contact with the victim; and the defendant was or should have been aware that victim was at significant risk of serious physical harm and either caused the death or did not take all reasonable steps to prevent the death; and the death occurred in anticipated circumstances. It will not be necessary to show whether the accused caused the death or failed to prevent the death.

This measure puts a clear responsibility on the members of a household with frequent contact with the child to take action if they are aware of the risk described above. Otherwise they will bear some responsibility for the death. It will also help close a present loophole in the law whereby those co-accused of a death may escape just by remaining silent or blaming each other. The maximum penalty for the offence will be 14 years imprisonment. There are accompanying procedural measures which will mean that if a charge of murder/manslaughter is also brought, the question of whether there is a case to answer on that charge will be left until the end of all the evidence. Procedural measures will also allow inferences to be drawn from a defendant's silence.
appropriate circumstances.

The offence and the procedural measures build on recent work by the Law Commission and the NSPCC which highlighted this problem.

**Wales Assembly**

The Welsh Assembly Government has appointed an Assembly Member to lead a review of the progress that has been made in safeguarding vulnerable children in Wales, including those at risk of violence. This review will consider the delivery of services, collecting evidence from children and young people, providers of services, and the Children’s Commissioner. It will focus on how lessons have been learnt and how guidance on good practice has put into action, and it will make recommendations on any actions that might be needed to improve service delivery.

http://www.wales.gov.uk/subichildren/content/svcr-invite-evidence-e.htm

**III. ROLE OF CIVIL SOCIETY IN ADDRESSING VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN**

*The aim of this section is to elicit information on civil society activities relating to violence against children.*

35. Describe significant civil society initiatives addressing violence against children in your country, including the types of institutions involved (such as academic institutions, professional associations, women’s associations, student associations, community-based groups, faith-based groups, child and youth-led groups, trade unions, employer’s organizations, national non-governmental organizations, international non-governmental organizations) and the major activities engaged in (including advocacy, awareness raising, research, prevention, rehabilitation and treatment of children harmed by violence, provision of services, provision of resources).

**Annex E; Summary of the 1995 report of the Commission on Children and Violence convened by the Gulbenkian Foundation in the UK**

36. Describe the support provided by your Government for these activities and the efforts made to coordinate civil society and government initiatives.

**Safeguarding Children and Supporting Families Grants**

Following the Machinery of Government changes in June 2003, the Department for Education and Skills (the DfES) acquired responsibility for a number of distinct schemes for awarding and monitoring grants to the voluntary and community sector, including the section 64 grant scheme. We are currently developing a strategy for how the new Children, Young people and Families Directorate will work with partners in the voluntary and community sectors. As part of this we are reviewing central funding streams to see how we can improve coherence and impact, and also streamline the diverse procedures associated with the different schemes, in line with the Government’s commitment to simplify funding streams to the voluntary and community sector.

The new **DfES Safeguarding Children And Supporting Families Grant** are awarded to voluntary organisations whose work is in line with the Government’s priorities in relation to safeguarding children and supporting families. Core funds may be awarded or funds can be given towards the running of a particular project. The grant is payable under Section 64 of the Health Service s and Public Health Act 1968 as amended and is only to be used for the purpose set out in the application.
Wales Assembly

The National Assembly for Wales has funded a post, jointly managed by the National Family and Parenting institute and Children in Wales, to develop a positive parenting programme for Wales. Booklets offering advice and guidance to parents on non-physical forms of discipline have been distributed in three pilot areas, and it is planned to distribute these throughout all parts of Wales during the next year.

Northern Ireland Assembly

Major voluntary organizations eg NSPCC, Children Are Unbeatable, organizations campaign to ban physical punishment of children.

37. Describe the role played by the media in addressing violence against children.

Northern Ireland Assembly

Consideration will be given, as part of the development of the ten year strategy for children and young people, as to how the media can help tackle attitudes towards children and young people.
CHILDREN AS ACTORS IN ADDRESSING VIOLENCE

This section is designed to extract information on children’s activities to address violence.

38. Provide information on the involvement and consultation of children in designing activities, and in implementation and monitoring of programmes and policies to address violence against them. Provide details, including ages and other details of the children involved.

Northern Ireland

The views of children and young people were specifically canvassed during the Office of Law Reform’s consultation exercise on physical punishment in the home (see question 11 above).

A special version of the Consultation Paper, written in a style and language that was accessible to children and young people, was circulated. The issues were also explored directly with children in group exercises and materials and worksheets were sent to every school in Northern Ireland and posted on two specially designed websites for children (4 – 11) and young people (12-16).

Groups of specially trained facilitators visited schools and homework clubs to explain the background to the consultation process and field any queries.

The facilitators used the “Splodge methodology”, which was devised by Save the Children Fund, in face-to-face discussions with younger children. “Splodge” is a character who quickly builds up a rapport with the children and encourages them to have their say. Facilitators travelled across Northern Ireland and, with Splodge’s help, elicited views on the key issues.

39. Describe the involvement, if any, of children in designing special procedural or evidentiary rules applying in court proceedings with respect to hearings concerning violence against children. Provide details including ages and other details of the children involved.

Northern Ireland Assembly

Children have no involvement in the development of court rules although drafts are placed on the NI Courts Service website.

40. Describe the amount and type of resources made available to support children’s participation in activities to address violence against children.

V. POLICIES AND PROGRAMMES TO ADDRESS VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN

A comprehensive policy for dealing with violence against children is one that addresses multiple forms of violence against children, that works across the different settings in which violence occurs, and which includes components for prevention, protection, victim medical, psychological, legal and social assistance, victim rehabilitation and reintegration, and perpetrator interventions. Such policy is distinguished from specific programmes that address selected sub-types of violence against children or its effects in specific populations and settings.
Does your Government have a comprehensive policy concerning violence children?

The Children Act 1989

In October 1991, The Children Act 1989 became law. It was designed to promote the interests of all children; representing the most fundamental reform of legislation affecting children. The Act draws together the private and public laws relating to children to produce a more practical and consistent code. It brings together the laws relating to individuals and the law relating to public authorities, and strikes a balance family autonomy and the rights of children.

Section 47

Local authorities have a statutory duty, under Section 47 of the Children Act 1989, to make enquiries where they have reasonable cause for concern that a child may need protection. All referrals and allegations, whatever their origin, must be taken seriously. The main objectives of such enquiries are to establish the facts about the circumstances giving rise to the concern; decide if there are grounds for concern; identify sources and level of risk and decide protective or other action in relation to the child and any others.


In December 1999, the Government issued revised guidance on how all agencies with child protection responsibilities - social services, NSPCC, police, health, education, probation and the voluntary sector – should work together to safeguard children and promote their welfare. Working Together to Safeguard Children, emphasises the importance of agencies working together to help families and children before abuse and neglect has taken place.

In parallel with Working Together, the new Framework for Assessing Children in Need and their Families was produced in April 2000. This assessment guidance focuses on assessing the development needs of children and the capacity of their parents and families to respond to these needs in the short and longer term. It is underpinned by a knowledge of the impact that adult problems such as alcohol and drug misuse, domestic violence, mental health and sex offending can have on children’s development.

The 'What To Do If You're Worried A Child Is Being Abused' booklet

In May last year, the Government launched a clear and concise set of recommendations for practitioners who have concerns about the welfare of children. The booklet 'What To Do If You're Worried A Child Is Being Abused' was published jointly by the Department of Health, Home Office, the Department for Education and Skills, the Department for Culture, Media and Sport, the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister and the Lord Chancellor's Department and is aimed at all practitioners who come into contact with children, parents and/or families in the course of their work.
Northern Ireland Assembly

Co-operating to Safeguard Children are child protection guidelines and the Children (Northern Ireland) Order (1995) (The Children Order) is the domestic law that sets the frame. All policy must also take account of Section 75 of the Northern Ireland Act 1998 which places a duty on public authorities to have regard to the need to promote equality of opportunity between 9 different categories of which age is one.

42. Does your Government deliver, or provide direct support for delivery by other agencies, of specific programmes aimed at preventing and responding to violence against children?

If YES, please provide available summary reports, or URLs, of these programmes, and indicate, using the table below, which settings and types of violence are addressed by these programmes:

Northern Ireland Assembly

Direct support is provided by trusts and voluntary organizations supported by statutory funding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Physical</th>
<th>Sexual</th>
<th>Psychological</th>
<th>Neglect</th>
<th>HTPs</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family/Home</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbourhood/Community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

43. Does your Government monitor the impact of these policies and programmes directed towards violence against children?

If YES, describe the monitoring systems and provide a URL or other reference where the system and outcomes are described in greater details.

Commission for Social Care Inspection

Launched in April 2004, the Commission for Social Care Inspection is the single independent inspectorate for social care in England. The Commission was created by the Health and Social Care (Community health and Standards) Act 2003.

CSCI incorporates the work formerly done by:

- The Social Services inspectorate (SSI)
- SSI/Audit Commission Joint Review Team
- The National Care Standards Commission (NCSC)

The Commission has a much wider remit than its predecessor organisations. And its creation is a significant milestone for social care. Bringing together the inspection, regulation and review of all social care services into one organisation allows for a more
tional and integrated system.

For the first time, one single organisation has a total overview of the whole social care industry. The Commission will be able to provide a complete picture of social care in England:

- Locally and nationally
- In adult services and children’s services
- For people who use social services
- For local councils, voluntary and private providers
- For government

The Commission for Social Care inspection’s primary function is to promote improvements in social care. It will do this by putting the people who use social care services firmly at the centre of our work. It will be guided by what social care services tell them and it will act in their interests.

44 Does your Government participate in any internationally coordinated activities concerning violence against children?

If YES, please provide details.

The DAPHNE II Programme

The DAPHNE II programme is the follow-up of the Daphne programme (2000-2003) and initiative (1997-1999). These two community actions funded 303 projects in all areas of violence for a total amount of EUR 33 millions.

Daphne II’s remit is wide: to facilitate multi-sectoral action to combat violence against children, young people and Women. Violence is understood in the widest possible sense, from sexual abuse to domestic violence, from commercial exploitation to bullying in schools, from trafficking to discrimination-based violence against the disabled, Minority, migrant or other vulnerable people.

The actions to be funded are also wide-ranging, but centred particularly on those areas where serious gaps have been identified: research and data gathering, training and information exchange, and innovative action to be tested, all carried out in the context of networking across European borders, across sectors of specialisation, and with a view to constructing platforms of knowledge that would allow collaborative action, good practice identification and transferability into other Member States.

The Daphne II programme closely follows the lines of the first programmes, and covers the same areas of violence in favour of the same beneficiary groups and supports the same kind of activities: networking of organisations, exchange of good practices and awareness raising actions. However, Daphne II proposes a number of improvements with a view to enhancing the impact of the programme.

- First, the budget is EUR 50 million for the period 2004-2008. This increased budget will allow the programme and individual actions to take account of the consequences of enlargement to Central and Eastern European countries, and
responds to the demand from organisations that in the past regularly exceeded funds available. The annual budgets will be EUR 8.3 million in 2004, 10.2 million in 2005 and 10.5 million for each of the next three years.

- The activities that can be supported are more comprehensive:

- Identification and exchanges of and experience with a view in particular to implementing preventive measures and assistance to victims;

- Mapping surveys, studies and research

- Field work with the involvement of the beneficiaries, particularly children and young people, in all phases of project, implementation and evaluation;

- Creation of sustainable multidisciplinary networks:

- Developments and implementation of treatment programmes and support for victims and people at risk, including children and young people who witness domestic violence;

- Development and implementation of treatment programmes for perpetrators, whilst ensuring the safety of victims;

- Development and implementation of awareness-raising activities targeted to specific audiences, design of materials to supplement those already available, or adaption and use of existing materials in other geographical areas or for other target groups;

- Dissemination of the results obtained under the Daphne I and II programmes including their adaptation, transfer and use by other beneficiaries or in other geographical areas.

- Assessment and development of activities contributing to positive treatment for people vulnerable to violence, that is an approach which encourages respect for them and promotes their well-being and self-fulfilment.

Organisations from the 25 Member States, as of 1 May 2004, are fully eligible to participate. This programme is also open to the participation of organisations from the EFTA/EEA countries, in accordance with the conditions laid down in the EEA Agreement and to organisations from Bulgaria, Romania 1 and Turkey 2. For these last three countries, specific conditions must be first met before their organisations can be funded. However, the participation of the organisations without funding from the European Commission is allowed.

From the total budget of EUR 50 million, the Commission will use 15% at its own initiative to improve the programme’s impact and to play a more proactive role with a view to the dissemination of good practices. Activities to be covered are threefold. First, to develop indicators on violence, so that the quantified impact of policies and projects can be measured. Complementary to these indicators, it will seek to set up a procedure for regular and sustainable data collection, preferably with assistance of EUROSTAT, in order to be able to quantify violence in the Union more accurately. A second axis will be to extract and deduce policy issues, wherever possible, from the work achieved by funded projects, with the aim of suggesting common policies on violence at community level and reinforcing judicial practice. Finally, the third axis
would be to disseminate, on a Europe-wide scale, good practices stemming from projects.

The UK Department for International Development (DFID) co-operates with a number of different agencies and Non-Governmental organisations (NGOs), as well as partner governments, in implementing co-ordinated programmes to combat violence against children, which as noted under question 31 above, occurs in many different forms and situations. These include support for national programmes for example in Romania, where DFID has worked with the Romanian Government, UNICEF, the European Community and USAID.

**United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF)**

The UK Government makes a major contribution to the work of the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF). In 2003 the UK was the second largest donor of overall core and non-core contributions to UNICEF. In that year UNICEF spent a total of US$123, or 10% of its overall programme expenditure (including non-core and emergency funding), on protecting children from violence, abuse, exploitation and discrimination, in 2004 DFID increased its contribution to UNICEF’s core resources to £19 million, in addition to contributions to non-core resources.

**Children in Armed Conflict**

DFID is supporting a 3-year programme to improve UNICEF’s capacity to predict and affectively respond to the increased frequency and intensity of civil strife, armed conflict and natural disasters. One focus of this programme is to respond effectively, reliably and in a timely manner to the needs of children and women in unsuitable situations. Of the £12.2 committed to this programme, £3.66m is earmarked to UNICEF’s programme to advocate for the promotion and protection of the rights of children affected by armed conflict.

DFID also funds the **Women’s Commission for Refugee Women and Children’s Watchlist** on children and armed conflict programme. This aims to provide a monitoring and reporting structure to identify key factors related to the impact or threat of armed violence on children. The Watchlist also aims to influence international policy makers to take practical action to protect children and to strengthen regional and international networks of NGOs to protect children more effectively. DFID has committed £150,000 over two years for this programme. DFID also recently contributed £70,000 to a one-year global research programme by **Viva Rio** on the situation of children in organised violence.

The UK Government supported the **Office of the Special Representative of the Secretary-General for Children and Armed Conflict** between April 2001 and November 2003 with a grant of $3m to assist the Special Representative to broaden and deepen awareness of the issue of children affected by armed conflict.

**Child Labour**

DFID supports national governments and non-governmental organisations, such as Anti-Slavery international, in specific programmes to eliminate child labour and specifically targeted international programmes, such as the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF)’s work on the rehabilitation of child soldiers and other children affected by conflict and the International Labour Organisations’s Programme for the Elimination
Child Labour (IPEC)

Some examples of current and recent projects specifically to tackle the problems of prostitution and trafficking (including of children) are given below:

Collaboration with the International Labour Organisation (ILO)

a) **Through a £15m Partnership Framework Agreement with ILO**, DFID is funding programmes in West Africa and South East Asia to prevent forced labour and trafficking. In West Africa DFID is focusing its efforts on Ghana, Nigeria, Senegal and Togo.

b) In addition, DFID has provided over US$ 15 million to the ILO’s **international Programme for the elimination of Child Labour (IPEC)**, covering activities in 84 countries. Through IPEC DFID is for example, supporting work in the Greater Mekong region (parts of Cambodia, China, Laos, Thailand and Vietnam) which involved a number of interlinked interventions to raise awareness and prevent trafficking, and to withdraw women and children from labour (and sexual exploitation and reintegrate them back into their own or new communities.

c) In **West Africa DFID supported a project with the NGO Anti-Slavery International for Eradicating Child Domestic Servitude and Trafficking to establish and develop a network of NGOs in six countries in Western Africa committed to the eradication of abusive employment and cross-border recruitment of young children as domestic workers.**

d) DFID also collaborated with the International Organisation for Migration on a project for Training of Officials Working with Trafficked People.

VI. DATA COLLECTION, ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH

This section aims to provide an overview of information systems and information about violence against children that may be used to inform, plan and monitor policy, legal and programmatic interventions concerning violence against children.

45 Over the past five years, has there been any victimization, epidemiological or other population-based surveys of any forms of violence against children in your country?

If YES, provide details or references, or attach.

The Crime and Justice Survey (C&JS) is a Home Office funded in-home face-to-face survey that aims to look at the nature of offending. The sample of 10,000 includes a boost of young people form the age of ten. In addition to offending the survey contains a module on victimisation, and violent incidents and bullying are covered within this. Findings from the 2003 sweep of the survey will be published in the next few months and the 2004 sweep including an expanded victimisation questionnaire is in the field.

The Youth Justice Board (YJB) has funded the Youth Survey for several years now. The 2003 Youth Survey conducted by MORI includes a victimisation module. The report from that survey is available at the Youth Justice Board web site:
The Edinburgh study of Youth Transitions and Crime is a longitudinal study that is following a cohort of people from the age of 12 at the University of Edinburgh Scottish Executive and the Nuffield Foundation, it mainly looking at pathways into offending, but victimisation is an element of the study. Web site includes latest available reports:

46 Have there been any small-scale or representative interview studies with parents and children on violent victimisation of children?
   If so, please give details.

47 Over the past five years, has your Government conducted or commissioned any scientific research projects on the problem of violence against children?
   If YES, indicate the subject of this research and where the findings of these projects may be consulted in more detail.

Northern Ireland

Research Unit in the Office of the First Minister and Deputy First Minister commissioned research in relation to children and the conflict in Northern Ireland in June 2004. The report was called 'Children and the Conflict in Northern Ireland: it details the experiences and perspectives of 3-11 year olds' and although not about violence against/on children, it deals with the impact that growing up in a conflict situation has on the attitudes and prejudices of children.

48 Have studies or surveys been undertaken into the impact of legal measures to address violence against children?
   If YES, provide details or references, or attach.

49 Does your Government have a system for formal inquiries into all child deaths in which it is known or suspected that violence may have played any part?

   Provide details.

Serious Case Reviews (Safeguarding Children)

Background

- When a child dies, and abuse or neglect are known or suspected to be a factor in the death, the Area Child Protection Committee (ACPC) should always conduct a review into agencies' and professionals' involvement with the child and family. ACPCs should always consider whether a review should take place where a child sustains a potentially life-threatening injury or serious and permanent impairment of health and development, or has been subjected to a particularly serious and permanent impairment of health and development, or has been subjected to particularly serious sexual abuse, and the case gives rise to concerns about inter-agency working to protect children.

The purpose of Serious Case Reviews is to:

1. Establish whether there are lessons to be learned from the case about the way in which local professionals and agencies work together to safeguard children;
Identify clearly what those lessons are, how they will be acted upon, and what is expected to change as a result; and
3. To improve inter-agency working and better safeguard children.

**Proposed changes in the Children Bill**

- The Children Bill will replace Area Child Protection Committees with statutory Local Safeguarding Children Boards (LSCBs), which will have a more strategic and proactive role in ensuring children are effectively safeguarded. The Government intends to make Serious Case Reviews a function of LSCBs through regulations made under the Children Bill. Moreover, the Children Bill also contains provisions for guidance to be made to the key partners of LSCBs. The Government will ensure that this guidance reinforces the necessity of conducting a Serious Case Review into every child death where abuse or neglect are known or suspected to have played a part, regardless of which agencies have been previously involved with that child. The Government believes this will include all cases where a child has been murdered by a family member.

- In addition, the Government intends to strengthen this function of review and investigation by requiring LSCBS to appoint local screening teams to look into all unexpected child deaths. The Government envisages the purpose of screening teams are being to help support a better understanding of the causes of unexpected child deaths both locally and nationally, whilst supporting grieving parents and ensuring investigations into unexpected child deaths are conducted properly.

- The Government’s intention is that the screening team will investigate all unexpected child deaths, and report to the LSCB. Where their investigation indicates that abuse or neglect played a part in the death, they will recommend that the LSCB that a Serious Case Review is carried out. But the Government does not think that all unexpected child deaths will require a Serious Case Review, for example, to cases where a child has been killed in a tragic traffic accident.

**Proposed Changes in the Domestic Violence, Crime and Victims Bill**

- The Bill will introduce a system of reviews in cases where the death of a person aged 16 or over has, or appears to have, resulted from violence, abuse or neglect by:
  a) a person to whom he was related or with whom he was or had been in an intimate personal relationship, or
  b) a member of the same household as himself, held with a view to identifying the lessons to be learnt from the death.

  [Further details on pp. 7-8, above.] These reviews are modelled on and designed to complement the system of Serious Case Reviews of suspicious child deaths.

**The National Suicide Prevention Strategy**

The National Suicide Prevention Strategy for England, published in September 2002, highlighted the need to promote mental health among children and young people (aged
The National Institute for Mental Health in England (NIMHE) who are taking forward the implementation of the strategy will ensure a co-ordinated approach in this objective by working alongside its child and adolescent fellow and the National Clinical Director for Children.

NIMHE has established a Violence Abuse and Mental Health Project to work alongside the suicide prevention strategy implementation programme. Its purpose is to address the health and mental health implications of child sexual abuse, domestic violence and sexual violence for professional and services responding to the needs of victims, survivors and abusers, including children and adolescents. In addition, NIMHE’s new 5 year plan to tackle stigma and discrimination on mental health grounds has recognised the importance of working with young people in reducing stigmatising attitudes and promoting a more positive understanding of mental health.

50 Are regular (e.g. annual) reports published describing the statistical profile of the known or suspected violent deaths investigated by the system?

If YES, what proportion of all homicide deaths are under the age 18?

......%  
The Latest publication is Home Office Statistical Bulletin 01/04 available at:

http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/hosbpubs1.html

Proportion of homicide deaths under 16 was 10 per cent in 2002/03 (under 18 figure not published).

For England and Wales, the Office for National Statistics publishes figures on deaths from accidents and violence based on information collected at death registration, after investigation by the coroner. An epidemiological definition of homicide is used (one person has been killed by another, not accidentally). Figures are published annually in DH4 Mortality Statistics injury and Poisoning.


Further explanation and analysis of homicide data was published in Rooney C and Devis T Recent trends in deaths from homicide in England and Wales Health Statistics

51 If reports on the national profile of known and suspected violent deaths are published by your Government, indicate how the data is broken down for the purpose of reporting (check all that apply):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manner of death (homicide, suicide, undetermined)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External causes of death (firearm, strangulation, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographical location of incident (address)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scene of occurrence (home, school, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time and date of incident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victim-perpetrator relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
52 Provide the total number of reported cases of violence against children in 2000, 2001, 2002 and 2003.

53 Provide the total number of convictions and reported cases for the various categories of crimes of violence recorded against children in 2000, 2001, 2002 and 2003.

**Annex G:** Crimes recorded by the police; persons cautioned and defendants proceeded against at magistrates' courts and convicted at all courts for violent and sexual offences against young people where the age of the victim is identified by the offence. The Excel workbook gives the most recent available data for recorded crime, persons cautioned, proceeded against and convicted for those offences against children where the age of the victim can be identified. Please note that 2003 data for persons cautioned, proceeded against and convicted will not be available until late 2004.

**VII. AWARENESS, ADVOCACY AND TRAINING**

*This section is aimed at gathering information on any awareness-raising, advocacy and training activities relating to violence against children which may have been conducted by your Government.*

54 Over the last five years has your Government conducted or commissioned any campaigns for raising awareness of and preventing violence against children?

If YES, please describe any recent campaigns, including the settings and types of violence that were the subjects of the campaigns and the target audience (general public, caregivers, teachers, etc.).

55 How were the campaign messages and information disseminated (check all that apply)?

| Print media | Radio | Television | Theatre | Schools | Others |

56 Over the last five years, has your Government provided, commissioned or sponsored training programmes in the area of violence against children?

If YES, indicate which of the following areas were addressed by the last such training programmes and which provider groups received training (check all that apply).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medical Professionals (including paediatricians, nurses, psychiatrists and dentists)</th>
<th>Prevention</th>
<th>Protection</th>
<th>Redress</th>
<th>Rehabilitation</th>
<th>Penalties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public health practitioners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social workers and Psychologists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers and other educators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court officials (including judges)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prison officers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile offenders personnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution personnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents/guardians</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scottish Executive

Social Work Services Inspectorate for Scotland has a key role in seeking to ensure the safety and protection of vulnerable young people who are looked after by Local Authorities and who are cared for in secure units for their own safety and or that of others.

All new buildings are approved in terms of design to try and minimise opportunities for self harm by the young people and to offer an environment which is as homelike as possible. The units are approved once they are operational and inspected routinely every three years and twice yearly by the Care Commission. Inspections cover all aspects of the care of young people, and pay attention to the way in which they are treated. In particular to note if staff are trained in methods of restraint and that appropriate records are kept of occasions when restraint is used upon young people and, or they are locked in their rooms during the day time as a means of restraint.

SWSI has commissioned the Scottish Institute for Residential Child Care to produce guidance on safe and appropriate methods and policies of restraint of children and young people detained in secure accommodation.

SE is working with a number of agencies to develop multi-disciplinary training materials in child protection. These are aimed at helping professionals to identify when children are being abused by violence and other means, and to give workers the knowledge and skills to help their parents and carers to bring up children in a secure and non-violent environment.

SWSI is undertaking work to learn more about the lives, expectations and experiences of children looked after by local authorities. This is likely to lead to a better understanding of issues such as bullying and family violence which may affect many looked after young people.
Annex A

UK Legislation

The Sexual Offences Act 2003


The Children Act 1989


The Criminal Justice Act 2003


Health and Safety at Work Act 1974

http://www.healthandsafety.co.uk/haswa.htm

The Protection from Harassment Act 1997


The Crime and Disorder Act 1998


Criminal Justice and Police Act 2001 Section 44


Care Standards Act 2000


The Female Genital Mutilation Act 2003


School Standards and Framework Act 1998


The Human Rights Act 1998

The Family Law Act 1996

The Protection of Children Act 1978

The Criminal Justice Act 1988

The Adoption and Children Act 2002


The Children Scotland Act 1995

Diseases and Dangerous and Safety Committee Regulations 1977

The Health and safety (constitution with employees) Regulations 1996.
Annex B Examples Good Practice

Relating to Section A Legal Framework (Q4 + Q20) Bullying/violence in Schools/Complaints Procedures?

Addressing Issues of Violence in Schools What elements of the IBIS (Improving Behaviour in Schools Programme) will this project deliver?

"We will work with the teacher unions to commission research on good practice in Violence Avoidance, Conflict Resolution and Peer Mediation in schools in order to address current concerns and, evaluate whether our toolkit is having an impact. Research outcomes will determine further action on developing training materials for staff in all schools on Conflict Resolution and Violence Avoidance linked to the existing programme of Restorative Justice training. This will be tied into work in Europe co-ordinated by the Council of Europe and to ongoing meetings with the French Ministry."

It also ties in closely with Section A: Effective whole school policies, consistently applied, and behaviour training for all staff. “To achieve this we will provide training support and advice for school leadership teams in all secondary schools, and in primary pilot and BIP schools (including Lead Behaviour Professionals where appointed). They will manage implementation and monitor the improvement in behaviour and attendance in line with their policy."

The project also supports Section G: Social and Emotional Behaviour Training. Violence Avoidance and particularly Conflict Resolution and Peer Mediation programmes which form an integral part of any social and emotional behaviour work.

Any anti-bullying programme (Section C) is supported by work on Violence Reduction and Conflict Resolution and Peer Mediation because bullying falls within the definition of violence in school.

1. What is the need and focus for work on violence reduction and conflict resolution?

1.1 Headline events such as shootings and stabbings create national concern. People also infer from international events such as the Columbine shootings that we should work to avoid escalations to serious violence in school. Although such incidents are very rare, the Department should be taking steps to avoid them.

1.2 Some unions express concern about levels of violence towards teachers and there is a current survey of teacher perceptions of violence in schools underway, commissioned by the NAS/UWT. Unions report that there are more serious violent incidents towards staff than the data collected via teacher absence statistics would indicate. Joint working with the unions on determining effective strategies could help reduce such regrettable occurrences.
1.3 School children report high levels of concern about safety at school from outbreaks of violence. There is some localised evidence of an increase in violent attacks and the carrying of offensive weapons in school. We need to review this evidence and to join up current information so as to identify patterns in violent behaviour.

1.4 How to implement violence reduction conflict resolution and peer mediation should be important elements in behaviour training programmes for staff. There is also a requirement for teaching materials and whole school programmes. We should research the effectiveness of what is currently available here and internationally and draw on the results for inclusion in the SEBS programme for pupils in England.

1.5 A number of allied initiatives contribute to work on violence reduction. Police in schools via the Safer Schools Partnership, the National Healthy Schools Standards and development of Restorative Justice Programmes are relevant to strategies for reducing violence. There should be a concerted programme to link these initiatives and develop an overall strategy for schools to adopt.

1.6 There is ongoing work in Europe, and now internationally, through the European and International Observatory on Violence in Schools. We are engaged with them in writing staff training materials for use in European schools. The work underway in England on Restorative Justice has attracted considerable interest and is in parallel with work in Australia. We should make sure that we learn from the experience in other countries. Particularly many countries in Europe have developed community action to support schools in violence reduction. We should join this work up to both the Safer Schools Partnership and the extended schools or full service schools initiatives.

1.7 The same is the case for the initiative to develop stronger links with colleagues in the French Ministry. The meetings have a focus on violence reduction in schools and further work in this country will contribute to the success of this liaison work.

2. What is the purpose of a project in violence reduction and conflict resolution?

2.1 Project in violence reduction and conflict resolution will have the following objectives:

- To identify best practice in violence avoidance, conflict resolution and peer mediation in schools.

- To reduce instances of violent crime in schools in support of Government objectives to reduce street crime.

- To support the KS3 and Primary Strategy Team and develop appropriate guidance and training materials for school staff on violence avoidance and conflict resolution.

- To identify and develop appropriate and effective teaching materials.
for inclusion within SEBS teaching programmes.

- To develop current programmes in the Safer Schools Partnership by targeting training and support to address the identified patterns of violent behaviour in schools.

- To work in partnership with unions and develop a joint programme to reduce violent attacks on school staff.

- To contribute to international development and research in the area of violence reduction and conflict resolution.

- To support current programmes on anti-bullying with evidential material on how to combat violence within schools.

3. **Who else will join the partnership for the project?**

3.1 An essential feature of this project is the involvement of teacher associations and unions. We will develop partnership working with SHA, NAHT, NAS/UWT and ATL, drawing on their experience and developing a joint approach.

3.2 Researchers in the field will be commissioned to gather data on effective practice and collate existing research in the UK and internationally.

3.3 The KS3 Strategy and Primary Pilot teams will be fully involved and the work will support the B&A strategy by developing training and teaching materials. Relevant elements of the Restorative Justice programme will be incorporated, particularly into peer mediation developments.

3.4 The European Observatory for violence in schools and the recently launched UK Observatory will be included. The UK Observatory will be particularly important to support 4.2 and this project could contribute a focus to their early work.

3.5 Colleagues in the French Ministry working on violence reduction in schools.

4. **How will the project operate?**

4.1 The project will be overseen by the IBAD programme board and monitored to deliver on agreed outcomes.

4.2 The organisation of the project will be supported by a management group chaired by a member of the IBAD programme board and with representation from KS3, Primary Strategy, SHA, NAHT, NUT, NAS/UWT, ATL, RJ Team and UK Observatory.

4.3 The project organiser will be accountable to the programme board.

4.4 IBAD will provide an administrative base supporting the work of seconded professionals, working from their existing bases and around
the country, but drawn together regularly at the base to deliver the agreed programme.

4.5 Staffing will comprise consultants and researchers commissioned to carry out specific work

4.6 The project will be organised by Chris Gittins and the Behaviour and Attendance Training programme team in IBAD.

4.7 Consultants and researchers will work as a team to:

- pull together current research and information in the UK and internationally.

- develop evidence of effective practice in violence reduction and conflict resolution.

- advise on an appropriate range of actions which will reduce violence in schools.

- Specify training materials for school staff which will complement those already in existence for Restorative Justice and will fit into the KS3 and Primary Pilot training programmes.

- Specify materials on conflict resolution, violence reduction and peer mediation for inclusion in SEBS teaching

- contribute to European and international work in this field.

- establish a monitoring programme so that the effectiveness of actions are regularly reviewed.
Violence Reduction in Schools

VIRIS

Background
This programme is not based on responding to specific high level events incidents but rather on helping schools to develop or establish a non-violent ethos where relationships between pupils, staff and the community reduce all levels of violence.

Significant violence is rare in schools. However high profile incidents of violence in and around schools both in UK and abroad raise concerns periodically. One union, NASUWT, has expressed concerns about levels of violence towards teachers. The Metropolitan Police are exploring the idea of making metal detectors available to schools. They are also concerned about pupil violence on the way to and from school. Parental perception, as measured by a recent TES survey is that behaviour in school is seen as improving but that extreme violence causes concern and calls for automatic exclusion. Some school children report high levels of anxiety about outbreaks of violence and the carrying of weapons. A recent MORI poll for the YJB reported 1% of students claiming to have carried a knife to school at any point.

Programme aim
This programme aims to help schools develop or establish an ethos of non violence.

It will do this by:
1. Identifying best practice in violence reduction.
2. Developing skills in conflict resolution for example through restorative justice and peer mediation.
3. Identifying the links with agencies involved with schools for example through the safer Schools Partnership.
4. Working with Unions and others to gather examples of effective practice.
5. Working in collaboration with the UK Observatory on School Violence and colleagues in Europe and elsewhere to gather and share effective practice.
6. Helping schools develop their curriculum to address non violence especially in Personal Social and Health Education (PSHE) and Citizenship.

DfES Strategies
This programme links with DFES strategies as follows:
1. The developing Social Emotional and Behavioural Skills programmes in primary and secondary schools
2. The Primary National Strategy focus on behaviour and attendance
3. KS3 Strategy for Behaviour and Attendance
4. Developing strategies as a result of the Anti Bullying campaign

The work is being done in collaboration with DfES teams with a focus on school or pupil’s safety and security.

Outcomes

Guidance will be published, in an appropriate format, to schools in April 2005 based on evidence of effective practice.

Training strategies will be developed and linked to the PNS and KS3 training programme as well as the Specialist leader programme for Behaviour and Attendance. This will be available in summer 2005

Further development work will be undertaken in dealing with the issues raised by acts of extreme violence.

Work progress

1. Programme leader has been appointed (seconded secondary Headteacher from Oxfordshire)

2. Post doctoral evaluator has been appointed in partnership with Surrey University to gather case study materials and draw conclusions about why strategies are effective.

3. Reference group has been established to ensure that all Unions are engaged with developing the good practice.

4. Links are being made with other agencies to a broad perspective

5. Links with Home Office and Youth Justice Board teams are being created to ensure strategic coherence with legal and policing issues.

6. Survey of current DfES guidance being undertaken
Good Practice  
Relating to Section 1 Legal Framework Question 7  

Tower Hamlets College  

The Home Office is part funding a project at Tower Hamlets College which is designed to address forced marriage.  

The Tower Hamlets area is one of the highest at-risk areas for child poverty, social exclusion and forced marriage. Domestic Violence and forced marriages have also been found to have close links with violence against young women from siblings and other family members ("honour crimes") and the high incidents of self-harm experienced by young women in the borough.  

Tower Hamlets College has developed an innovative programme that addresses these issues within a family-welfare-centred framework. The aim is to train adult and young students to become competent trainers and peer-supporters to work within their communities to initiate dialogue that influences attitudes and practices. The Tower Hamlets pilot leads to a qualification for all students who complete the course with a certificate. There is also a parenting course, to enable parents to examine their values, beliefs and practices in relation to their cultural and religious background and legal and human rights in Britain.  

This pilot programme will be evaluated and in the medium term, this programme could become a model for other colleges. Tower Hamlets College will also be hosting national conferences and training on BME-related domestic violence issues, so that the good practice they are developing can be shared with others.  

Watch Over Me" soap series  

We have sought to address this directly through the commissioning of a second series of the very popular "Watch Over Me" teenage soap opera series. The first series was launched by the Home Secretary and the Secretary of State for Education earlier this year and addressed personal safety. The second series which Home Office has commissioned and is funding - will address issues of domestic violence in general and the issue of forced marriage in particular. As with the first series, a free copy will go to every secondary school.  

Mental Health Response  

There is a high correlation between domestic violence and mental ill health, particularly for Asian women who are at greater risk of suicide, who may face social isolation and pressure to prevent dishonour to the family and community.  

A Women's Mental Health Strategy has been produced highlighting the needs of domestic violence victims in the BME Communities in implementation guidance. NIMHE has addressed the particular issues victims from BME
communities face in the mental health strategy. It is intended that this issue is further mainstreamed through NIMHE's continuing work on mental health.
• Share good practice by putting the West Sussex and Hillingdon trafficking protocols, and the protocol set up by Kent Police, Social Services and Immigration Services for dealing with children who may have been trafficked, on the Area Child Protection Committee web-site.

• Develop a "best practice toolkit" to help those who deal with illegal immigrants and trafficking victims to distinguish victims in genuine need, and to deal with them appropriately. The toolkit will include strands on legislation, enforcement and co-operation, as well as victim support. In common with other toolkits it will be a web-based document, to ensure the widest possible access for practitioners and those who may come into contact with possible trafficking crime or victims of trafficking. It will be important for practitioners, chiefly police and immigration officers, who will use it to identify victims and ensure that the right procedures are followed to provide the victims with the support and help they need. It will be a comprehensive summary of best practice based on 'what works' (already underway).

• Identify relevant policy initiatives, and consider how best to ensure these provide co-ordinated services for children who have been trafficked. Links to Law Enforcement Agencies

• Continue the work done within the Reflex strategy. This strategy sets out a multi-agency plan to respond to the rapid growth in people smuggling and human trafficking in order to achieve the key Reflex aims of: reducing the profits made by criminal gangs; raising the risks they face; and reducing the opportunities for them to exploit. It will do this by gathering intelligence on organised immigration crime groups, disrupting their activity and targeting the infrastructure which supports the criminality. For this reason, Reflex activity must take place globally, in four broad theatres of operation: financial, overseas, at the UK’s borders and inside the UK. This work will be ongoing over the period 2003-2005.

• Introduce a new foreign travel order which will prohibit child sex offenders from travelling to particular countries where it is believed that there is a risk that they will offend against children. (lead HO) Amend the regulations covering the notification of foreign travel by registered sex offenders from 8 days to 3 days.
Work with overseas countries

- Continue work with the UN, EU partners, NGOs and source and transit countries to address the problem of child trafficking and to detect, disrupt and prosecute the organised criminal trafficking networks that target the EU (ongoing).

- Develop contacts and share best practice with law enforcement agencies/NGOs in countries of concern to prevent, detect or prosecute the activities of British paedophiles.

Participation

- Develop a network of children's voluntary organisations which work to enable children and young people to participate in discussions about commercial sexual exploitation, building on the work to follow on from the 'Voicing Our Views' research project.

Information

- Undertake a small-scale scoping study to provide an overview of existing research and data about: i) the extent of commercial sexual exploitation of children in the UK and the characteristics of those that perpetrator such abuse; and ii) "what works" in practice, in relation to both preventive strategies and approaches that assist young people to exit and recover from abusive situations. Thomas Coram Research Unit has been commissioned to undertake this study in August and September this year. The work will involve:

  i) Reviewing literature within the UK, including a search for unpublished reports through contacting relevant organisations and agencies. DN

  ii) Identifying sources of statistical information on the extent of commercial sexual exploitation of children in the UK, and obtaining such information; and

  iii) Undertaking a selective review of relevant international literature (with a particular focus on Europe) concerning the effectiveness of interventions or strategies that have been used in other countries, both to prevent commercial sexual exploitation of children and to help them to exit and recover from such abuse.

A report will be prepared containing brief summaries of relevant research and an analysis of key issues and gaps in the data.

- Compile a comprehensive list of all projects related to the commercial sexual exploitation of children that have been funded through the Department of Health's Section 64 Scheme in recent years, in order to
be clearer about the work already done and to ensure that we learn from this (already underway).

**Children Involved in Prostitution**
- Undertake a further study to look at the implementation of the Government’s

**Safeguarding Children** Involved in Prostitution guidance, and its impact.

**Unaccompanied Asylum-seeking Children**
- To continue to work both to improve the information we have about the numbers and locations of these children, and to encourage a more consistent standard of care for them, including the pilot on safe case transfer being set up between Kent and Manchester (ongoing).

**Information**
- The initial review by the Thomas Coram Research Unit in 2003 may reveal the need for more detailed work in 2004 (for which a further bid for funding would need to be made) to explore with local authorities the issues raised; to identify local action being taken to address the needs of commercially sexually exploited children; and to identify, and pull together, examples of good practice that exist around the country.
- To disseminate the learning and good practice obtained from the Thomas Coram work

**Children Involved in Prostitution**
- Consider what action may be required in light of the outcome of the further study to review the implementation of the *Safeguarding Children Involved in Prostitution* guidance.

**Work with overseas countries**
- Continue work with the UN, EU partners, NGOs and “sending countries” to address the problem of child trafficking and to detect, disrupt and prosecute the organised criminal trafficking networks that target the EU (ongoing).
- Take forward international work on sex tourism

**2005**
- To be decided in light of the outcome of outcome of Thomas Coram work.
Annex C

JOINT COMMITTEE ON HUMAN RIGHTS: UN CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD

GOVERNMENT RESPONSE

General, Procedural and Structural Issues

1. We recommend that the UK’s next periodic report under the UNCRC should be prepared with much fuller involvement of children and young people should be structured to show-

the general principles of Government policy and action in the UK related to each of the Articles of the Convention;

a report on the activities relating to children’s rights issues, separately, of each central government department together with relevant NDPBs and inspectorates related to each department, and each of the devolved administrations, and some effort to capture related activities at local government level;

a specific response to each of the recommendations in the UN Committee’s previous Concluding Observations; and

a plan of strategic action in relation to children’s rights for the coming five years, indicating measures of success against which implementation can be judged. (Paragraph 13).

and

2. We recommend that the UK’s next periodic report under the UNCRC should be prepared with much fuller involvement of children and young people. (Paragraph 15).

The UN’s Reporting Guidelines for the Convention on the Rights of the Child require that reports are structured on a thematic basis under specified headings, not on an article-by-article basis, nor according to the activities of each Government Department. Given that the UN Committee criticised the UK for not following the Reporting Guidelines in its 2nd periodic report of 1999, the Government will ensure that the Reporting Guidelines are followed for the 3rd and 4th periodic reports due in 2008. This will mean that we will need to adopt the thematic approach prescribed by the UN rather than the approach advocated by the Joint Committee.

The Reporting Guidelines ask for the inclusion of information on the follow-up to the Committee’s Concluding Observations, and we will be including this information.
The Reporting Guidelines do not require the inclusion of a plan of strategic action, but do ask under the General Measures of Implementation heading for the inclusion of information on national children’s strategies where they exist and on Government mechanisms for co-ordinating the UNCRC. In the recent Green Paper “Every Child Matters”, we have set out a framework and outcomes for children in England, which we are consulting on publicly. We will include information on the development of this framework, and on the children’s frameworks and strategies in the Devolved Administrations, in the UK’s 2008 report.

In his evidence to the Joint Committee, John Denham provided information about the strategy that we are developing to strengthen co-ordination of the UNCRC across the UK. The Department for Education and Skills are working with the Devolved Administrations, other Whitehall Departments, NGOs and children themselves to develop this strategy. Information about this development will also be included in the UK’s 2008 report.

Greater involvement of children in the work of Government is a priority for us, and we agree that there should be much greater input from children into the next report to the UN Committee. With help from our existing strong relationships with children’s organisations, we have already started this dialogue - for example, through a series of seminars on child rights issues in which young people were involved; through a consultation for young people on child rights hosted on the DfES website earlier this year; and through the development of a Government child rights website for children and young people which we hope to launch in 2004.

3. We recommend that objective data on progress towards the elimination of child poverty should be included in the next periodic report. (Paragraph 16).

The Government has a Public Service Agreement (PSA) target to reduce by a quarter the number of children in low income households by 2004-05, as a contribution towards the broader target of halving child poverty by 2010 and eradicating it by 2020. Low income is defined as less than 60% of the median. The data source used by the Government to measure progress against the 2004-05 target is the Households Below Average Income data produced by the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) according to rules set out by the Office for National Statistics and audited by independent researchers.

DWP also produce a series of indicators in its annual report on poverty and social exclusion. These indicators cover employment, housing and health and education outcomes. A number of these indicators relate specifically to outcomes for children.

These indictors for children provide additional information on the success
of the Government's strategies to tackle child poverty. The fourth annual report published in September 2002, is available on DWP's website http://www.dwp.gov.uk/. The fifth annual report will be published in September 2003. DWP also plan to make these indicators available on their website and update them as new data becomes available.

For the longer-term goal of halving and eradicating child poverty, the Government is committed to finding a measure of child poverty that helps target policies most effectively and enables the public to hold the Government to account. Relative income will play a key part in any long-term measure of poverty. The long-term measure will be announced by the end of 2003.

The existing functional breakdown used for Government spending is based upon historical statistical precedents. Government statisticians are also considering implementing a new functional spending classification based on the UN classification of the Function of Government (COFOG). However neither of these functional breakdowns distinguishes children as a distinct category, although they do include a number of child specific areas. For example, education and family benefits within social protection. COFOG is an extremely well defined classification and internationally comparable. If it is possible to draw information on spending on children within this basis then we will be happy to do so.

4. We recommend that the Government's forthcoming overarching strategy for children and young people includes specific reference to the rights, principles and provisions of the Convention, and explains how these underpin its goals. (Paragraph 19).

The Government is no longer producing the overarching strategy for children and young people mentioned in this recommendation. The Green Paper, "Every Child Matters" published on 8 September 2003 covers many of the issues that the strategy would have addressed, and reflects much of the work that had gone into the development of the strategy. The Government is currently consulting on the proposals in that Green Paper.

5. We do not accept that the goal of incorporation of the Convention into UK law is unrealisable. We believe the Government should be careful not to dismiss all the provisions of the Convention on the Rights of the Child as purely "aspirational", and that children will be better protected by incorporation of at least some of the rights, principles and provisions of the Convention into UK law. (Paragraph 22).

And

6. We recommend, particularly in relation to policy-making, that Government demonstrate more conspicuously recognition of its
obligation to implement the rights under the Convention. (Paragraph 25).

And

7. We recommend that the Government consider incorporating child impact assessments in the explanatory notes to Government Bills. (Paragraph 27).

The promotion and protection of children and their interests – through legislation, policy and effective service delivery - is a priority for this Government, as is the greater involvement of children in Government decision-making.

The UN Committee, in its examination in 2002 of the UK's implementation of the UN Convention, has welcomed a wide range of UK legislation, including The Children (Leaving Care) Act 2000, the Homelessness Act 2000 and the Sex Offenders Act 1997.

We are committed to involving children, their parents/carers and children's organisations in the development of policy, legislation and services and have laid down, in November 2001, Core Principles for the Involvement of Children and Young People in Government decision-making. These Core Principles set out a common framework within which Government Departments must work to involve children from the outset right through to implementation and evaluation. We believe that this direct involvement of children and their representatives is an effective way to ensure that the best interests of children are taken into account.

As part of the Core Principles strategy, Departments across Whitehall have developed annual action plans setting out how they will involve children. Examples of where Departments have involved children and children's organisations include:

- HM Treasury – development of legislation around the Child Trust Fund;
- Department of Health – development of the Adoption and Children Act;
- Department for Education and Skills – 4,000 children contributed to the Consultation Paper on an improved 14-19 phase of education and training.

Copies of the Departmental Action Plans showing the full extent of children's involvement are available at www.cypu.gov.uk/corporate/publications.cfm

The Government has already involved children's organisations in the development of the Green Paper "Every Child Matters" and we are organising 50 events with children aged 8-18 years during the public consultation period. The views of children will inform the development of policies and legislation from the Green Paper.

Civil servants across Whitehall are, under the Core Principles strategy,
receiving training and awareness-raising in participation issues and child rights, including information on the UNCRC. In addition, the Department for Education and Skills is developing a CRC training package for civil servants which is currently being piloted before being rolled out across Whitehall. We believe that this will contribute to a greater recognition of child rights by policy makers and that this, coupled with children’s direct involvement, will result in legislation and policy that most effectively protects the child’s best interests.

In addition, we have announced our intention to establish a Children’s Commissioner for England to act as an independent children’s champion. One of the Commissioner’s key functions will be to develop effective ways to draw on children’s views and make sure that they are fed into policy making. The Commissioner will also test the success of policies in terms of their impact on children.

The Government notes the Joint Committee's intention to examine further the possibilities for incorporating the CRC and other unincorporated human rights instruments and we look forward to seeing practical suggestions for how this might be achieved.

**Children and the Criminal Justice System**

8. We recommend that the age of criminal responsibility be increased to 12 years. (Paragraph 38).

The Government knows from the 2003 self-reporting Youth Lifestyles Survey that offending careers can start very early. One in eight offenders claimed to have committed their first offence when they were 7 or younger. And the key watershed age for starting to offend was between 11 and 13, with 38% of mainstream and 41% of excluded offenders reporting this as the age they first committed an offence. We have invested a lot of time and effort in preventative work, but for cases where this does not succeed we believe that intervention in the early teens through the criminal justice system is very important to reducing further offending.

There is convincing evidence that intervening with young people early through the criminal justice system does divert them from a life of crime and improve their life chances. The most recent national statistics on reoffending, published by the Home Office in February 2003, show a 22.5% reduction in reconviction rates for young offenders dealt with in 2001 compared with 1997. These figures cover juveniles subject to reprimands, final warnings and all non-custodial sentences; custodial figures are due later this year. The improvements were greatest for younger juveniles. For 11 year boys it was 37.8% and for girls 66.1%. For 10 year old boys it was 16.7%; there were too few girls to make a reliable comparison.

All 10 and 11 year olds dealt with in 2001 – the most recent year for which we have published statistics - were dealt with in the community; none
were sent to custody. Most were given a police reprimand or final warning (6, 401) with only 926 receiving a court sentence. Most final warnings (around 70%) included interventions to tackle the risk of further offending.

As to court sentences, the standard one for first time offenders pleading guilty is the referral order. Once convicted the young person goes to a community panel, who agree a contract of reparation and a programme to tackle the underlying causes of the offending, such as help with education deficits and courses to help the young person cope with peer pressure, improve social skills and develop victim empathy.

More specialist interventions are also available such as drug and alcohol awareness programmes. Mentors are often also available and young people can be given help to make more constructive use of their leisure time.

Dealing with offending through the criminal justice system does not mean we ignore age and vulnerability. We take a holistic approach with young offenders. The local multi-agency Youth Offending Teams include social services professionals who can identify needs which cannot be met by the criminal justice system alone, and refer to child welfare departments for further investigation and support if appropriate. Given the need for an effective response to crime, we think such work is best done in support of rather than in place of youth justice.

9. We urge the Government to re-examine, with renewed urgency, sentencing policy and practice (and in particular the use of detention and training orders) and alternatives to custodial sentences, with the specific aim of reducing the number of young people entering custody and with a commitment to implementing Articles 37(b) and 40(4) of the Convention to the fullest extent possible. (Paragraph 41).

The Government has now issued consultation proposals on how it would like to develop its youth justice reforms further, in its youth justice companion document to the Children Green Paper, published on 8 September. We have invited responses by 1 December.

While the Government continues to believe that custody has to remain an option for the most serious and persistent youth offending, it has put forward a number of proposals designed to maximise the use of community interventions. The reprimands and final warnings scheme is proving much more effective than the previous police cautions at tackling early offending after the age of 10. We have sought views on how it might be extended in a way which still maintains public confidence in the response to youth offending. In the important area of bail and remands, we have made clear that we want to use supervised bail wherever possible with young offenders. Building on the Intensive Supervision and Surveillance Programme, which is already providing a high quality alternative to remand in custody, we have now also proposed
strengthening the bail criteria by requiring courts to consider and rejected bail options before remanding a juvenile in custody; and set out our aim to encourage the development of alternatives such as expansion of remand fostering and community bail hostels for young people in major cities.

The Government also proposes to improve the main juvenile community sentences, simplifying them by replacing 9 specialist sentences with an expanded Action Plan Order, usable on successive occasions and providing a very full menu of specific interventions. Fostering would be used for young people involved in serious or persistent offending which was directly related to their family environment. The current Anti-Social Behaviour Bill provides for this to be developed within the present Supervision Order – enabling a court to require a young offender to live with a foster parent for up to 12 months or in exceptional cases 18 months. Foster carers would be especially trained and receive support, and the young person would undertake structured day time activities including education and therapeutic support would also be given.

For offending which persists or reaches more serious levels, we are proposing a new Intensive Supervision or Detention Order which would include Intensive Supervision and Surveillance Programmes as an option alongside custody. Intensive supervision and surveillance would be the first option for courts, and custody would be available as a second option only where the offences were so serious that only a physical restriction of liberty could be justified. Where detention remains necessary, we are proposing steps to narrow the present artificial gap between custody and the community – so that its structure and restraints can be used as positively as possible to prepare for and manage effective resettlement.

10. We share the concern of the UN Committee that rehabilitative opportunities in young offender institutions are inadequate to meet the requirements of Article 40.1 of the CRC. We recommend that the Government initiate a review of the range of rehabilitative opportunities available to those in young offender institutions. (Paragraph 45).

The Prison Service and Youth Justice Board (YJB) have established a five-year Partnership Agreement designed to improve rehabilitative work in establishments, including good quality education and training provision while in custody. The YJB’s National Specification for Learning and Skills, published in early 2002, focuses on improving numeracy and literacy; ensuring continuity of mainstream educational placements; reintegration into full time education, training or employment; increasing employability through practical and vocational activities; addressing offending behaviour; and learning how to learn.
Where young people have to be held in custody we recognise the need to maintain good links with their home area. Under the Detention and Training Order, training and resettlement plans are drawn up and monitored jointly between the Youth Offending Team supervising officer and the establishment. This is designed to ensure that the time in custody and the period under supervision in the community are treated as a single training and rehabilitation programme, with opportunities to continue in the community educational and other progress which has been made in custody.

We also aim to hold young offenders near to their home areas, though population pressures in certain areas of the country have not always made this easy to achieve. The target is to ensure that by March 2004 90% of sentenced and remanded juveniles are held within 50 miles of home.

In the youth justice companion document to the Children Green Paper, published on 8 September, we have proposed further improvements to custody where it is necessary for young people. These include:

- Incorporating within the community supervision part of the sentence the full range of intervention options available under the expanded Action Plan Order
- Making fuller use of open and semi-secure conditions
- Greater use of temporary release, supported by electronic monitoring for training and resettlement purposes
- Considering further development of child protection measures, and
- Considering developing new-style custodial units nearer to major population centres. We have suggested that these might, for example, be relatively small, have limited internal security, a strong education, training and resettlement focus and be provided by a range of public, private and voluntary sector organisations.

11. We recommend that the Government, in its response to this report, set out the achievements of the safer custody strategy in reducing suicide and self harm amongst juveniles in detention, and its strategy for the further elimination of these incidents. (Paragraph 49).

Over the past few years juvenile suicides in custody have averaged 2 to 3 a year: 2 in 1999, 3 in 2000, 3 in 2001 and 2 in 2002. This is out of an average population of 3000. Every death of a young person is a tragedy, but it has to be recognised that young people who come into custody often have had troubled lives. Every effort is made to minimise the risks of their harming themselves in custody. The Prison Service and Youth Justice Board are taking a wide range of steps, as set out below.
Prison Service

Child protection measures form an integral part of the regimes. They include the reception process (incorporating a comprehensive vulnerability assessment) the induction process; Personal Officer work; anti-bullying strategies; and suicide and self-harm prevention policy. Each juvenile establishment has appointed a Child Protection Coordinator and established a Child Protection Committee. Some committees have representation from the local Area Child Protection Committee (ACPC), police and other relevant agencies.

The Service is also reviewing suicide and self-harm policies and the use of segregation and separation. Advocacy services are to be introduced in all juvenile establishments from later this year.

Youth Justice Board

The Board has also taken a number of key steps. In particular, it has spent £1million to provide 30 safer cells in Brinsford, Feltham, New Hall and Wetherby. The improved design minimises opportunity for injury and removes ligature points. It has also funded 24 hour health care in all establishments which take young people straight from court. “First night packs” are now provided for all young people entering custody. These contain phone cards, toiletries, reading material and pen and paper, sweets and a drink.

All secure facilities have written protocols on how to deal with alcohol and drug withdrawal by young people coming into custody, and measures have been taken to improve the transmission of information from Youth Offending Teams (YOTs) to secure establishments and to ensure the safety of the young person if vital information is absent.

The YJB have also commissioned a comprehensive review of child safeguarding arrangements in YOIs, carried out by Prison Service and YJB staff. This will examine, in every establishment in the country holding children, measures to address self-harm and suicide, bullying and peer abuse, harm from adults and historic child abuse, as well as monitoring, training and management arrangements.

12. The level of physical assault and the degree of physical restraint experienced by children in detention in our view still represent unacceptable contraventions of UNCRC Articles 3,6,19 and 37. These statistics do not provide reassurance that the Prison Service is implementing fully its responsibilities to respect the rights of children in custody. (Paragraph 52).

To support juveniles at risk of assault from others the YJB are commissioning advocacy services to work in the Prison Service estate, complementing those already operated in STCs and LASCHs. 30% of the secure estate will be covered by March 2004 and the whole estate by March 2005. This will reinforce the wide range of measures to support vulnerable trainees already
described in the response to Recommendation 11 above: full regimes including rigorous individual assessments and reviews; reception processes with vulnerability assessments, induction and personal officer scheme, anti-bullying strategies and interdepartmental work on Child Protection and safeguards.

Physical restraint has to be used in limited circumstances with children and young people in custody. Where other methods have been tried and do not work, it may be needed to prevent trainees from harming others or themselves, damaging property, escaping or provoking other people to do such things.

The Youth Justice Board, which purchases secure places, has commissioned a report this summer from the National Children’s Bureau. It shows that a range of physical restraint methods is used across the juvenile estate. Prison Service establishments use Control and Restraint, Secure Training Centres use Physical Control in Care and Local Authority Secure Children’s Homes several different methods adopted by the local authorities who run them. The differences reflect the varying ages, sizes and strengths of the children and young people detained there, varying staff ratios and establishments’ ability or otherwise to call on external help in difficult situations. However all juvenile establishments operate to common basic principles: physical restraint is to be used only as a last resort; minimum force must be used; it must be used for the shortest possible duration; and restraint must not be used as a punishment.

The YJB has now considered the report and concluded that a single system would not properly reflect the differences between the young people in the establishments and between the establishments themselves. However they have recognised the need for greater overall consistency. A Code of Practice will now be drawn up to which all local methods will have to conform. This will develop in more detail, and support with clearer procedures, the basic principles underlying the various systems. The key requirements will be:

- Not relying on pain to secure compliance
- Making any individual use of force subject to assessment of the risks to trainees, the establishment or security
- Debriefing exercises with any child who has been subject to restraint
- Rigorous monitoring of the use of restraint, and
- Restraint methods to be used only by trained staff.

13. We recommend the amendment of the Children Act at an early opportunity, to place a duty on the Prison Service, as well as on local authorities, to apply the Children Act to children in detention on a statutory footing. Measures must also be taken to make a reality of the obligation which has now been placed on social services. (Paragraph 55).
In his November 2002 judgement, Mr Justice Munby ruled that with the exception of one sentence, the policy set out in Prison Service Order 4950 ("Regimes for Under 18 Year Olds") complies with domestic law, in particular with the Children Act 1989, and more than adequately meets the Prison Service's obligations under human rights law. The finding of non-compliance was to the effect that the Children Act duties of local authorities do continue to apply where juveniles are in Prison Service custody, subject to the necessary requirements of that custody.

The Home Office, Department for Education and Skills (now responsible for children's social services policy), Prison Service and Youth Justice Board are working together to see what practical steps can now be taken to ensure that local authorities are able more effectively to discharge their Children Act duties towards juveniles in Prison Service custody.

In the Prison Service, putting Children Act obligations on Prison Service governors would create confusion about the responsibilities of different bodies. The Prison Service's legal obligations are already clear. The Munby judgement confirmed that the Service already has a legal obligation to safeguard the well-being of children in its care by virtue of Section 6(1) of the Human Rights Act and the ECHR Article 8.

To support this, other agencies are given the access to establishments they need to discharge their functions. Prison Service Order 4950 requires Governors to "ensure that staff of all agencies and services whose duties involve them in working in, with or for the young people in [their] care are enabled to discharge those duties effectively with the support and assistance of the establishment staff".

The Government has made in a commitment within the Green Paper to issue a revised Prison Service Order 4950 Regimes for Prisoners Under 18 Years Old. In addition, a revision of the Children Act 1989 guidance will include two volumes of core guidance accompanied by additional supplementaries. The core guidance to organisations will cover responsibilities towards children in custody and Youth Offending Institutions.

Moreover a substantial range of practical steps are being taken by the Prison Service, YJB and Department for Education and Skills to promote safer custody and better care for young people in Prison Service accommodation.

14. We recommend that, as a matter of urgency, the Government bring forward legislative proposals to provide children in custody with a statutory right to education and access to special needs provision equal to that enjoyed by all other children. (Paragraph 59).

At present we do not consider it helpful to extend the requirements of the statutory framework for SEN to children in custody. We will, however, continue to monitor carefully the valuable and rapid developments being undertaken to support, improve and expand the education for all children in custody, including those with special educational needs, and review whether
there is a need for further changes in the light of their impact. The Offenders Learning and Skills Unit has two team members allocated to monitoring and developing this work.

The overall reasons for this approach are that we believe that legislation would not be helpful during a time of rapid expansion, development and improvement of learning and skills provision in young offender institutions, and at a time of much recent and current SEN innovation additional SEN legislation would not be helpful.

The planned developments for learning and skills provision for trainees in custodial settings, arising from the National Specification for Learning and Skills (explained below) are being carefully planned and monitored.

15. We recommend that the Government reinforce its efforts to ensure there are sufficient suitable places under local authority care to allow the removal of all girls under 17 from prison custody into local authority secure accommodation by the end of 2003, and so enable the reservation relating to Article 37(c) of the Convention to be withdrawn. (Paragraph 62).

The Youth Justice Board has undertaken to remove all girls aged under 17 from Prison Service accommodation by the end of 2003. This will fulfil the commitment to remove all 15 and 16 year old girls that was made by the then Home Secretary in March 1999. However to dispense with the Reservation it would also be necessary to remove all 17 year olds from accommodation where they could mix with adults. The Convention covers all juveniles.

We are currently looking at what further steps might be taken to provide for a full or fuller separation of juvenile girls from older female offenders. There are many issues to consider, which cannot be resolved by focusing simply on age limits. What should happen, for example, when a juvenile offender reaches the age of 18 while in custody? Should they be moved at once to other accommodation to ensure that juveniles are kept absolutely separate from those who reach the age of 18 during their sentence? How would that affect the continuity of their training programme and sentence planning, throughcare and resettlement links with the YOT supervising officer? These questions are particularly acute when the release date is very soon after the offender’s 18th birthday.

More generally, the level of maturity of individual juveniles does not necessarily match their ages. Focusing exclusively on age limits can undervalue the efforts the YJB and the Prison Service make to identify and provide for individuals’ particular needs. Priority is rightly given to addressing the needs of vulnerable offenders, whether girls or boys.

The fact that there are many fewer juvenile girls in custody than boys creates practical problems in devising satisfactory arrangements for accommodating
them. The aim of keeping them as close as possible to their families is in
tension with the need to have groups of a reasonable size so as to provide a
viable regime for the purposes of education and association. These are
difficult issues. We shall be considering them with great care so as to find the
best way forward.

16. We recommend that the Government revisit the idea of completely
separating the organisation responsible for the custody of offenders
under the age of 18 from the Prison Service. These young people should
be looked after by a group of people whose outlook is firmly grounded
in a culture of respect for children’s human rights, devoted to
rehabilitation and care. (Paragraph 65).

Children who are in custody are not just children, they have also committed
serious and/or persistent crimes against the community. The Government
has to take responsibility on behalf of the community for protecting the public
and punishing their offending, alongside tackling the social and behavioural
factors which drive their offending and treating them appropriately for their
age group.

We are aware that the Committee and the UNCRC want us to minimise the
use of custody for juveniles (an objective the Government shares) but it is and
will remain the case that a substantial number, probably between 2,000 and
3,000 at any one time, will need to be accommodated in conditions of safety
and security. This is a large and challenging task, requiring significant long-
term investment and skills, which needs to be managed at national level and
which neither the local authority care system nor the non-government sector
have the capacity to take on.

But while the system does need to be managed centrally we do want to see a
range of local providers. The Youth Justice Board, which is responsible for
purchasing and commissioning juvenile secure accommodation, will continue
to look for ways of extending the diversity of providers. Whilst we recognise
that the younger and more vulnerable a juvenile is the greater the case for
dealing with them in small child – oriented establishments, we do not accept
that the Prison Service is an unsuitable organisation to run establishments for
juveniles. The great majority of juveniles in the care of the Prison Service are
in juvenile – only accommodation – which operates to standards and with staff
numbers and training suit the needs of juveniles.

Substantial improvements have been made in Prison Service custody for
juveniles over the past few years, and the current quality of provision needs to
be recognised. These include:

- The creation in April 2000 of a distinct estate for boys and
  the spending of £50m over 3 years on improved
  accommodation regimes and care;
• Child protection and safeguard measures including new Coordinators and Committees, an HQ team to develop policy and hold conferences focusing on good practice and strategies to tackle bullying, suicide and self harm;

• Education and training now at 15 hours a week, with a future target of 30, supported by new specialist staff in establishments;

• A range of further work on better information to trainees, reviewing segregation and restraint, health, substance misuse, voluntary sector partnership, enhanced thinking skills and a planned sex offender treatment programme.

Recent inspection reports (Werrington (March 2002), Warren Hill (November 2002) and Onley (January 2003)) testify to the improvements on the ground. The Government is nevertheless anxious to improve further its interventions for juvenile offenders, including custody where it is necessary. The Children Green Paper published on 8 September is accompanied by a youth justice companion document which invites views on a range of proposals for improvement over the next few years. The paper’s proposals include in particular how to strengthen bail as an alternative to remand in custody; by strengthening the presumption in favour of bail and by developing further good quality alternatives to custody. These include bail fostering and the development of fostering within community sentences (detailed in the answer to Recommendation 9) and community bail hostels in major cities.

The paper also proposes strengthening the education, training and employment content of the community supervision part of custodial sentences, greater use of open and semi-secure placements, development of a wider range of establishments including with a greater educational focus and developmental temporary release supported by electronic monitoring.

**Care and Protection**

17. **We stress the need for the Government to invest more energy into culturally sensitive, educative approaches for the eradication of the practice of female genital mutilation. (Paragraph 70)**

Government policy is for the eradication of the practice through education of practising communities. A key element is keeping relevant health professionals aware of the issues and informed as to what to do when they encounter it. We are working with the practising communities in England to educate them about the health hazards that FGM poses for girls and women and to persuade them to abandon the practice. We are supported in our stand against FGM by the major bodies in the medical profession who have issued guidance or position statements on FGM.
The Government provides support through the General Section 64 Grants scheme to a voluntary organisation, FORWARD. Through FORWARD we support a number of initiatives to meet the needs of women and girls affected with FGM. FORWARD have the expertise and knowledge to work closely with the communities most affected. In 2003-04 we are giving FORWARD a total of £90,000. Part of this money is being used to fund a Positive Partnerships with communities project.

The Private Members Bill that will restate and amend the law relating to FGM has successfully completed its passage through the House of Commons. Its second reading in the House of Lords is scheduled for the 12th September. We hope to get Royal Assent for the Bill by the end of October. We will then consider what, if any, further work is needed and where we need to concentrate our efforts.

18. We recommend that the Government systematically collect and analyse representative data on violence against children, including data collected from the children themselves, which should seek to include: the age and sex of the child, the nature of the violence, by whom it was allegedly committed, whether a prosecution was initiated and if so what the outcome was. (Paragraph 79).

The Government collects from social services departments statistics on the number of referrals of children to social services, initial and core assessments of children referred, and the number of enquiries carried out because a child is suspected to have suffered, or be at risk of suffering significant harm. It also receives information about the number of enquiries that lead to child protection conferences, and the number of conferences that lead to a child being considered in ongoing need of safeguarding, and so being placed on the child protection register (ie. number of children registered). Children may be registered under any one of four categories of abuse: neglect, physical abuse, sexual abuse, and emotional abuse.

The Government also collects information about children looked after by local authorities, including the 'category of need': the reason why the child is looked after. The possible categories include "abuse or neglect".

Crime statistics do include offences against children, but not in such a way as to allow the identification of individual victims. However, the 1992 British Crime Survey, and the 1998/9 Youth Lifestyle Survey, did collect information on the nature and extent of victimisation of young people aged 12-15. A new Crime and Justice Survey has been collecting further data in 2003 and is expected to report its findings during the course of 2004. The "victimisation module" of this latest survey considered about 2,700 persons aged 10-17, selected on a random basis from all parts of the country and income groups. It is currently envisaged that this will become an annual exercise.

19. We recommend that statistics record whether the defence of reasonable chastisement was invoked in cases of violence against children brought to the courts. (Paragraph 80).
The Government agrees that more detailed understanding of the use of the reasonable chastisement defence would be helpful in the Attorney General's reviews. Responsibility for data collection procedures would fall between the DCA, the Home Office, the CPS and the Attorney General's office and officials have started to consider the complex practicalities of how this might be done. Although the Government agrees with the idea in principle, it will need to examine whether the costs of putting such a data collection in place would outweigh the policy benefits.

20. We recommend that the Government demonstrate its commitment to the equal treatment of all children by withdrawing the reservation to the Convention on the Rights of the Child relating to immigration and nationality. (Paragraph 87)

The points raised by the Committee have been fully considered and in the light of legal advice the Government has decided to retain the Reservation as it stands. Many of the points raised by the Committee have been raised previously and the Committee was aware of our responses.

The Joint Committee emphasised three issues the Government feels it necessary to refute. These are:

(a) the segregated education scheme. Legal advice is that the provision of education may vary in accordance with the needs of the community, provided that the standard of education does not result in a significant educational disadvantage. We believe the standard provided is considered to be of an acceptably high level so as not to infringe the right to education.

(b) the trafficking of children. We believe that the UK does have child protection procedures in place for the protection of such children and that these children are not treated as perpetrators of crime but as victims of it. The Home Office has recently published the "Trafficking Toolkit" providing guidance for immigration and police officers on how to recognise a victim of trafficking and what to do if such a victim is encountered.

(c) the right to a fair hearing. Children do have access to and a right to a representative throughout the asylum application and appeals process and they are not required to give evidence at an appeal.

The Government will continue to keep the Reservation in respect of Immigration and Nationality matters under review.

21. We welcome the Government's decision to ratify the Optional Protocol on Children in Armed Conflict, but are concerned at the extent
to which the commitment to keep under 18s in the Armed Forces out of combat zones is undermined by the terms of the Declaration made on signature. (Paragraph 88).

The UK ratified the Optional Protocol to the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child on the involvement of children in armed conflict on 24 June 2003 and in so doing reaffirmed the Declaration made on signature. This Declaration is a statement of our understanding of the operation in unusual and unforeseeable circumstances of Article 1 of the Protocol about the requirement for States to take all feasible measures to ensure that members of their Armed Forces who have not yet attained the age of 18 years old do not take a direct part in hostilities. It in no way undermines our commitment to the Protocol. As explained in the Explanatory Memorandum that was laid before Parliament in February 2003 and which completed its sitting period without raising debate, we already do all that we reasonably can to prevent the deployment of under 18s into situations in which there is a genuine risk of them becoming directly involved in hostilities.

All three Services have procedures in place to review the deployment of each and every individual under the age of 18 in circumstances when there is deemed to be a greater than low risk of their direct involvement in hostilities. In such cases the default option is for them to be removed from their units unless the very rare, exceptional and unpredictable circumstances described by the declaration pertain. That is in addition to the Army's decision to cease the routine deployment of under 18s outside the UK other than for peacekeeping operations in which there are no hostile forces involved. Furthermore, there are relatively few under 18s on the trained strength, we do not deploy them in aircraft and, in line with UN policy, we do not deploy them on UN peacekeeping operations. None were deployed in recent operations in Iraq.

It is only in the exceptional circumstances that may be forced upon us in fast developing crises that it may not be practicable or possible to prevent the direct involvement of under 18s in hostilities. The purpose of the Declaration is simply to cover such rare occurrences and is not a signal of intent to deploy under 18s contrary to the spirit and terms of the Protocol.

22. We recommend that in its response to this report the Government set out its assessment of the extent to which its inclusion in the national policing plan has affected the resources devoted to child protection, and how it intends to monitor the resources devoted to this area in the future. (Paragraph 89)


Her Majesty’s Inspectorate of Constabulary (HMIC) were subsequently commissioned to undertake an assessment of the responses submitted by forces. A report of HMIC’s findings is expected to be published in the autumn.
There is currently no specific mechanism by which to monitor resources devoted to child protection. HMIC do not routinely monitor allocation of resources other than in the context of force inspections or thematic inspections where the question of resourcing and capacity are specifically relevant to the matter that they are scrutinising.

We are working, through development of the Police Performance Assessment Framework programme which includes activity based costing, to get a more detailed and robust view of how resources are distributed force by force and to associate resource use with performance.

23. We would welcome information from the Government's responses to the UN committee's recommendations that legislation be reviewed to ensure children who are sexually exploited are not criminalised, and its view of the circumstances in which it is proper to penalise children and young people for sexual activity more generally. (Paragraph 90)

The Sexual Offences Bill, which is currently subject to UK Parliamentary process, includes a range of offences specifically designed to protect children from sexual abuse and exploitation. No criminal responsibility will rest with a child who is the victim of sexual crime. Where a child commits a sexual crime, full account will be taken of his age and all other relevant circumstances before deciding whether prosecution is in the public interest.

Where children engage in genuinely mutually agreed, non-exploitative sexual activity that is only unlawful because one or both of the participants are below the legal age of consent, we are planning to introduce additional safeguards to ensure that they are not prosecuted. The type of factors to be taken into account when deciding whether a child should be prosecuted will include the relevant ages of the parties; the emotional maturity of the parties and whether they entered into a sexual relationship willingly; any coercion or corruption by a person; and the relationship between the parties and whether there was any existence of a duty of care or breach of trust. The decision on whether children under 18 should be charged with child sex offences will be reserved for Crown Prosecutors, rather than the police.

We maintain that the age of consent for all sexual activity remains at 16. We consider that children under the age of 16 have the right to be protected from all forms of exploitative sexual activity and that the most effective way to deliver this protection is to prohibit all sexual activity with children of that age, regardless of ostensible consent. The age of consent recognises that all sexual activity below the age of 16 has the potential to cause physical or emotional distress to that person.

The review of sexual offences, Setting the Boundaries, considered the question of whether an age of consent should be retained and, if so, at what age it should be set and concluded that it should remain at 16 because "it was well established, well understood and well supported."
Further, Home Office funded report, “Sex offending against children: Understanding the Risk”, estimated that “adolescent sex offenders probably account for up to a third of all sex crime. Although many will offend against children, this is often secondary to the fact that the ages of their victims will be similar to their own”. The author, a Professor of Forensic Psychiatry at the University of Newcastle, is an acknowledged expert in this field. The figure of one third is an estimate but one arrived at after considering a number of studies both in the UK and in the US. It cannot therefore be assumed that children of similar age pose no danger to each other and it is important to have criminal offences in place to protect the under 16s from sexual exploitation by other children and young people.

Where children do commit sexual offences and prosecution is merited, we recognise that they may also be suffering, or at risk of, significant harm and in need of protection themselves. We are taking steps to ensure a co-ordinated approach on the part of youth justice, child welfare, education and health agencies, when deciding how to respond to these children. Children sentenced to detention for sexual crimes will be entitled to take part in sex offender treatment programmes in the same way as any adult and it is recognised that children are more likely to be open to treatment and rehabilitation than adults.

Those under 18 who are involved with prostitution can still be prosecuted but they would only be prosecuted in exceptional circumstances, for example where their offending is persistent or aggravated (e.g. by theft or assault), and where they repeatedly refuse offers of assistance to exit prostitution. The statistics show that prosecution is used extremely rarely. In 2000-2001, 2 girls under 16 were cautioned in England and Wales and four were prosecuted for loitering and soliciting for the purposes of prostitution (s1 of Street Offences Act 1959). In the same period, no boys under 16 were cautioned, proceeded against or found guilty of solicitation by men (s 32 of Sexual Offences Act 1956).

The Government acknowledges that it is a tragedy for any child to become involved in prostitution and that children involved in prostitution are primarily victims of abuse and adults who take advantage of them, whether by exploiting them as pimps or by buying sexual services from them. Home Office and Department of Health guidance issued in May 2000 and September 2001 advised that those under 18 who engage in prostitution are almost invariably victims and must be treated as such and that wherever possible criminal justice action should be pursued against those who abuse children through prostitution or seek to exploit them as prostitutes.

We are also in the process of scoping the issues for a review of prostitution and will be publishing a paper later this year. The paper will be the basis for a sensible debate about what we should do about prostitution in the 21st century. It will look at the law but also at the social exclusion issues which lead men and women (and children) into prostitution. The paper will also address the links between prostitution and drugs and other forms of organised crime, and the impact of prostitution on local communities.

24. We look forward to early legislative action and strongly support the signing and ratification of the Optional Protocol on the sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography by the UK. (Paragraph 91)

The UK intends to ratify the optional protocol at the earliest opportunity. We need to introduce a range of new offences to ensure that we are fully compliant with the instrument before we can ratify it, several of which, relating to trafficking for the purposes of sexual exploitation and the sexual exploitation of children are included in the Sexual Offences Bill currently before Parliament. The optional protocol however also requires the criminalisation of behaviour which does not fall within the scope of the Sexual Offences Bill such as trafficking people for the sake of exploiting their labour, transfer of organs and illegal adoptions. These measures will require primary legislation. It is not therefore possible to say when we will be in a position to ratify the instrument.

Civil Rights and Freedoms

25. We conclude that the time has come for the Government to act upon the recommendations of the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child concerning the corporal punishment of children and the incompatibility of the defence of reasonable chastisement with its obligations under the Convention. We do not accept that the decision of the Government not to repeal or replace the defence of reasonable chastisement is compatible with its obligations under the Convention on the Rights of the Child. (Paragraph 111)

However the Government believes that it is vitally important that children are protected with adequate safeguards from violence and also believes that the current legal framework provides this protection. The kind of punishment that results in injury is clearly not reasonable chastisement, and as such is already against the law.

The Government's position is that smacking is a matter for individual parents to decide. The Government’s public consultation in 2000 showed that around 70% of members of the public who responded were in favour of keeping the status quo regarding the physical punishment of children by parents.

Since October 2000, the Courts have been obliged to take note of the outcome in the European Court of Human Rights of the A v UK case. This means that certain factors must be taken into account by the Courts when
considering whether a punishment may be described as reasonable chastisement. These factors include the nature and duration of punishment, its physical and mental effects and the state of health and age of the child.

The Government has asked the Attorney General to keep the use of the reasonable chastisement defence under review to ensure that the legislation, including the Human Rights Act 1998, serves to provide children with adequate safeguards from violence that should never be capable of being justified as chastisement. His findings to date suggest that this defence is being used properly. The Government has asked him to continue this process of review.

The Government is working to support parents in other ways of coping with discipline in the home. The Green Paper addresses the issue of support for parents, while we continue to support organisations such as the National Family and Parenting Institute, and Parentline Plus, in providing practical help for parents with difficult children.
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Foreword  
by the Home Secretary

This consultation paper represents the completion of the first stage of a review of sex offences.  The review has been conducted independently of Ministers. The paper therefore sets out recommendations to Ministers. Before, however, the Government comes to any firm conclusions on how this most sensitive area of the law should be developed, we want to know the views of the public on all of the proposals in this report.

Rape and other sexual offences of all kinds are dreadful crimes which deeply affect the lives of victims and their families, and whole communities. Modernising and strengthening the law can make a direct contribution to our aim of creating a safe, just and tolerant society. We give particular priority to the protection of children, and welcome the emphasis the review has given to increasing this protection and also that of vulnerable people.

Last year I set up the review to consider the existing law on sex offences, and to make recommendations for clear and coherent offences that protect individuals, especially children and the more vulnerable, from abuse and exploitation, and enable abusers to be appropriately punished. Their recommendations also had to be fair and non-discriminatory in accordance with the European Convention on Human Rights and the Human Rights Act. This is their report.

The review was an open, consultative process which involved many people and drew in many strands of opinion. The review group approached their task in a careful way and started from first principles. They have recommended proposals for change and set out the reasoning behind them.

We are continuing that open and consultative process by seeking the views of the public and of interested organisations on all of the recommendations as well as the individual consultation points set out in the text. The review has recommended changes to us. We now need to consider how well these will work, whether they would increase protection, whether they would apply fairly, equitably and with justice and whether there would be any effects or consequences not yet identified.

The report recommends what is in effect a new code of sex offences to take us into the new century, raising important questions of social and legal policy. The report is only the beginning of a debate on the way forward. I await the response with interest.

Jack Straw
Home Secretary

This is the report of the review of sex offences that you announced on 25 January 1999. We have met on 35 occasions over the past 16 months, held 8 conferences and seminars and attended a range of other meetings and conferences. We have listened, thought, argued and discussed until we have developed a set of proposals for sex offences which are fair, just and fit for the twenty first century.

In developing these proposals we have taken full account of the findings of research, of the views of victims and survivors and of the experiences of other countries in law reform. We have drawn on the knowledge of practitioners in the criminal justice field and those who work with victims, children and the most vulnerable people in our society.

Undertaking this review has been challenging and often moving. There are grave problems in our society which the law does not tackle well at present and we have identified ways to improve that. We were impressed by the dedication of many committed people who do their best to ensure that it does deliver justice at present.

The members of the review have given unstintingly of their time, their expertise and their wisdom. Without them none of this would have been possible. Many hundreds of other people have also taken time and effort to inform our work, in person or in writing, and they too made an essential contribution. Colleagues and friends around the world also have given us time, information and wise advice from places as diverse as Canberra, California, Ottawa, Hobart, Wellington, Stockholm, Brussels, Washington, Melbourne and Sydney. And the Internet has provided us with a tool for searching, and occasionally finding, information and for communicating around the world. I am grateful to all of them.

And finally, this enterprise would never have got off the ground without Su McLean-Tooke who was in from the beginning, and Haydee Scarsbrook who joined us in June 1999.

Betty Moxon

April 2000
Executive Summary

0.1 The sex offences review was set a challenge – to examine the most personal and contentious area of the criminal law and recommend how it should be structured to deliver protection and help achieve a safe, just and tolerant society.

0.2 Why did the law need reviewing? It is a patchwork quilt of provisions ancient and modern that works because people make it do so, not because there is a coherence and structure. Some is quite new – the definition of rape for example was last changed in 1994. But much is old, dating from nineteenth century laws that codified the common law of the time, and reflected the social attitudes and roles of men and women of the time. With the advent of a new century and the incorporation of the European Convention of Human Rights into our law, the time was right to take a fresh look at the law to see that it meets the need of the country today.

0.3 The terms of reference of the review were:

“To review the sex offences in the common and statute law of England and Wales, and make recommendations that will:

• provide coherent and clear sex offences which protect individuals, especially children and the more vulnerable, from abuse and exploitation;

• enable abusers to be appropriately punished; and

• be fair and non-discriminatory in accordance with the ECHR and Human Rights Act.”

0.4 The process we used was open, inclusive and evidence based:

• a review structure that included key stakeholders on both the Steering Group and the advisory External Reference Group;

• a public consultation exercise with over 160 responses telling us what was wrong with the law and how it should be reformed;

• consultation conferences with criminal justice practitioners, academics, those who work with victims/survivors, children and vulnerable people, parliamentarians, faith groups and many other organisations and individuals including men’s and women’s groups;

• looking at evidence from research and from the experiences of other countries in reforming their law.

0.5 We also took full account of the European Convention on Human Rights and the implications of its incorporation into our law. The ECHR provided us with a dynamic policy making framework that enabled us to look at the role of the state in protecting its citizens, particularly the more vulnerable, from harm; the need to ensure a fair trial and that the interests of justice were upheld (Article 6), the right to a private life (Article 8) and the right to non-discrimination in the enjoyment of ECHR rights (Article 14).
0.6 The law on sex offences is the part of the criminal law which deals with the most private and intimate part of life – sexual relationships – when they are non-consensual, inappropriate or wrong. As such it embodies society’s view of what is right and wrong in sexual relations. Our guiding principle was that this judgement on what is right and wrong should be based on an assessment of the harm done to the individual (and through the individual to society as a whole). In considering what was harmful we took account of the views of victims/survivors and of academic research. The victims of sexual violence and coercion are mainly women. They must be offered protection and redress and the law must ensure that male victims/survivors are protected too. The law must make special provision for those who are too young or otherwise not able to look after themselves, and offer greater protection to children and vulnerable people within the looser structures of modern families. In order to deliver effective protection to all, the law needs to be framed on the basis that offenders and victims can be of either sex. We have recommended offences that are gender neutral in their application, unless there was good reason to do otherwise.

0.7 Our other key guiding principle was that the criminal law should not intrude unnecessarily into the private life of adults. Applying the principle of harm means that most consensual activity between adults in private should be their own affair, and not that of the criminal law. But the criminal law has a vital role to play where sexual activity is not consensual, or where society decides that children and other very vulnerable people require protection and should not be able to consent. It is quite proper to argue in such situations that an adult’s right to exercise sexual autonomy in their private life is not absolute, and society may properly apply standards through the criminal law which are intended to protect the family as an institution as well as individuals from abuse. In addition to this, the ECHR ensures that the state must uphold its responsibility to provide a remedy in law so that a complainant can seek justice.\(^1\)

0.8 We also thought it was vital that the law was clear and well understood, particularly in this field of sexual behaviour where there is much debate about the ground-rules. There is no Highway Code for sexual relations to give a clear indication of what society expects or will tolerate. The law should ensure respect for an individual’s own decisions about withholding sexual activity and protect every person from sexual coercion and violence.

0.9 In looking at the law on rape and sexual assault we recommend that these offences should be redefined in the following way:

- that rape be redefined to include penetration of the mouth, anus or female genitalia by a penis;
- a new offence of sexual assault by penetration to deal with all other forms of sexual penetration of the anus and genitalia;
- rape and sexual assault by penetration should be seen as equally serious, and both should carry a maximum penalty of life imprisonment;
- a new offence of sexual assault to replace other non-penetrative sexual touching now contained in the offence of indecent assault.

0.10 The review did consider whether there should be a lesser degree of offence to deal with acquaintance rape (sometimes called ‘date rape’), but was unanimous in rejecting this proposal. The evidence placed before the review was that rape by an acquaintance could not only be as traumatic as stranger rape, but that the betrayal of trust involved could cause further long-term psychological damage to the victim/survivor.

0.11 Both rape and sexual assault by penetration are dependant on lack of consent, as rape is at present, but this concept is so important that we recommend:

- consent should be defined as ‘free agreement’; and

\(^1\) X v Y v The Netherlands.
• the law should set out a non-exhaustive list of examples of when consent/free agreement is not present.

We recognise that both offences can be carried out intentionally by knowing that the complainant has not consented, or recklessly by not caring less whether consent has been given, and our recommendations are that:

• rape and sexual assault by penetration may be committed with intent or recklessly; and

• the definition of recklessness in sex offences should include the lack of any thought as to consent which can be described as 'could not care less about consent'.

In response to the many submissions that the review received, and the evidence how legislation in other countries operated, the review has made recommendations to limit the use of the defence of 'honest belief' in consent. A defence of honest belief in free agreement should not be available:

• if the accused did not take all reasonable steps in the circumstances to ascertain free agreement at the time; or

• where the defendant was in a state of self-induced intoxication at the time of the offence; or

• if the defendant was reckless as to free agreement.

These proposals will not affect the burden of proof or the presumption of innocence for the defendant, which is the 'golden thread' of English justice: the prosecution will have to prove beyond reasonable doubt that there was no free agreement. The final proposal in this area is to make the law clearer:

• we also suggest standard judges' directions to clarify the meaning of free agreement.

0.12 Other recommendations relating to rape and sexual assault by penetration include:

• a new offence of assault to commit a serious sex offence; and

• an offence of abduction with intent to commit a serious sex offence.

The review has also recommended an offence to replace burglary with intent to rape with:

• a new offence of trespass with intent to commit a serious sex offence.

Some of the existing offences which the review thought should be retained have been recast in more modern and effective terms and include:

• an offence of obtaining sexual penetration by threats or deception in any part of the world; and

• an offence of administering drugs etc., with intent to stupefy a victim in order that they may be sexually penetrated.

0.13 The age of consent is an important issue, and it was a matter of policy to endorse the age of consent at 16. We also believed that it was important, especially in the context of a national sexual health strategy and concerns about teenage pregnancy, not to deter those giving help, advice, treatment and support to children and young people in matters of sexual health or the young people from seeking such help. We considered whether there was an age below 16 which marked a level of development where children could not give recognised agreement in fact as well as in law to sexual activity and where such activity should be regarded as more serious. We decided:
• the age of consent should remain at 16;
• below the age of 13 (i.e. up to the 13th birthday of the child) children should not be able to consent in fact or in law.

0.14 In order to give further protection to children we recommend:
• a serious new offence of adult sexual abuse of a child to apply to all adult (over 18) sexual acts with a child under the age of consent (16);
• that unlike the offence of unlawful sexual intercourse, there should be no time limits to hinder prosecution of adult sexual abuse of a child;
• a limited defence of mistake of fact in age should be available.

We recognise the many international agreements in force respecting the right to honour the validity of a marriage in another jurisdiction, but recommend that:
• the defence of a belief in marriage should not be retained where a child is under the age of 13.

We were well aware of the evidence relating to adults who target children for their sexual gratification, and this is one of the reasons why we have proposed:
• an offence of persistent abuse of a child to reflect the course of conduct.

0.15 It is, however, a fact of life that some older children do agree to sexual activity and indulge in sexual experimentation, while others are actually abusive to other children. In order to maintain the age of consent and to deal with abusive behaviour by children we recommend:
• a more minor offence of sexual activity between children to apply to those under the age of 18 who have sex with children under the age of 16.

Where such activity was not coercive or abusive we thought that rather than becoming involved in the criminal justice system, children should be offered help and advice. The criminal law needs to be able to deal appropriately with children who coerce and abuse others. We recommend that:
• sentencing decisions should reflect specialist assessment of risk and the potential for longer term offending, and include treatment options.

0.16 The evidence placed before us of the commercial sexual exploitation of children was compelling. We recommend new offences to bear down heavily on those who use and abuse children in this way. These include:
• buying the sexual services of a child; or
• recruiting, inducing or compelling a child into commercial sexual exploitation; or
• participating in, facilitating or allowing the commercial sexual exploitation of a child; or
• receiving money or other reward, favour or compensation for the commercial sexual exploitation of a child.

We also thought that the protection of the law from commercial sexual exploitation should apply to those under the age of 18, who are defined as children in law.

0.17 We were profoundly moved by the extent of sexual abuse against vulnerable people and felt that the law needed considerable strengthening to tackle this while respecting the ability of those who could consent to sexual activity to have a private life. We recommend that:
• those who cannot understand the nature or potential consequences of sexual activity should not be able to consent to sex, and that a definition of capacity to consent be set out in law;

• sexual activity with a person without capacity to consent should be an offence and the seriousness of this offence should be reflected in the sentence available;

Where vulnerable people have some capacity to consent, we sought to establish their right to a private life whilst protecting them from exploitation by setting up a new offence of a breach of a relationship of trust. We have invited views as to which forms of care and to whom such an offence should apply. We recommend:

• a revised offence to prohibit sexual relations between those receiving treatment at hospital (whether as an in-patient or out-patient), or in residential care, and members of the staff (whether paid or unpaid) of those establishments;

• a new offence to prohibit sexual relations between those in the community who are in receipt of certain care services and people providing that care;

• a new offence to prohibit sexual relations between medical practitioners or any other who provides medical or therapeutic services and a patient or client in their care.

The latter offences should have a statutory defence for a pre-existing relationship, but only where there was some degree of capacity to consent. We also considered whether there should be a further offence for those in a position of authority over people who are confined in particular closed residential settings, such as prisons, secure units and detention centres. We felt that we did not have sufficient evidence to draw any conclusions and have invited comment.

0.18 The review was very concerned that the law did not deal adequately with sexual abuse that occurs in the family, particularly where there are changing partners and informal relationships, which can pose a greater risk for children. We recommend:

• replacing the old offence of incest with a more modern offence that would prohibit sexual relations between children under 18 and their blood relations, adoptive parents and siblings, step-parents, foster carers and those in a position of responsibility in the family;

• sexual relations between blood relatives and adoptive parents and their children should never be lawful.

0.19 We looked at the way the law treats gender issues and those of differing sexual orientation. We thought that the law should offer protection to men and women, boys and girls and recommend:

• the criminal law should offer protection from all non-consensual sexual activity. It should not treat people differently on the basis of their sexual orientation. Consensual sexual activity between adults in private that causes no harm to themselves or others should not be criminal.

We therefore felt that the law did not need to make particular provision for any same sex behaviour and recommend that:

• the offences of gross indecency and buggery should be repealed; those aspects of the offences providing protection for children, vulnerable people and animals would be replaced by our other proposals.

• other specific offences such as sections 4 and 16 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 and s4 of the Sexual Offences Act 1967 will no longer be necessary and should be repealed.
On that same basis we thought that the law on soliciting should apply equally to men and women, and be similar to the way in which street prostitution is regulated and recommend:

- section 32 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 should be repealed.

0.20 We were not looking at the legal basis for the regulation of prostitution, or in what circumstances it could or should be legal, but we did look at the offences of sexual exploitation of individuals in prostitution. We recommend:

- new offences for the sexual exploitation of adults which includes an offence for anybody in England and Wales to recruit people for sex work anywhere in the world; and
- a new offence of trafficking for the purposes of sexual exploitation.

0.21 We thought it important that the law should be able to deal with problems caused by inappropriate sexual behaviour in public places, including public toilets. We recommend:

- a new public order offence to enable the law to deal with sexual behaviour that a person knew or should have known was likely to cause distress, alarm or offence to others in a public place.

0.22 We considered a number of types of sexual behaviour that are at present, or could in future be, against the law. We recommend:

- a new, replacement, offence of bestiality;
- a new, replacement, offence of indecent exposure;
- a new offence of voyeurism to deal with the observation of people without their knowledge or consent when they have a reasonable expectation of privacy;
- a new offence of compelling others to do sexual acts; and
- a new offence of sexual interference with human remains.

0.23 Finally, we have thought about some of the implications of our proposals and we recommend a penalty framework for the offences we put forward. We discuss the parameters which could govern the requirement to register under the Sex Offenders Act 1997. We also consider the use of sex offender treatment and alternative verdicts.

0.24 We have thought long and hard about how a law fit for the next century should be framed. We have sought to balance many conflicting views to ensure that our proposals are fair, increase the protection of the law and meet the needs of a changing society. In some cases we have also set out some particular issues on which we specifically invite views, and these consultation points are set out in the main report. But all the recommendations we make are new and it is vital that they are considered in detail by the public. We would like views on any or all of our proposals, by 1 March 2001.

0.25 The full report and supporting evidence is available on the Home Office website. Copies of the report and, on request, the substantial volume of supporting evidence, are available from:

Haydee Scarbrook
Sex Offences Review
Sentencing & Offences Unit
50 Queen Anne’s Gate
London SW1H 9AT
020 7273 3443
Please write to us with your comments at the same address, or e-mail us at:
sex_offences_review.ho@gtnet.gov.uk

Your comments should be received by 1 March 2001.
List of Recommendations and Consultation Points

Recommendations:

1: The offence of rape should be retained as penile penetration without consent, and extended to include oral penetration.
   This should be defined as penetration of the anus, mouth or genitalia to the slightest extent, and, for the avoidance of doubt, surgically reconstructed male or female genitalia should be included in the definition in law. (Para 2.8.5)

2: Rape should not be subdivided into lesser or more serious offences. (Para 2.8.8)

3: There should be a new offence of sexual assault by penetration to be used for all other penetration without consent.
   This should be defined as penetration of the anus or genitalia to the slightest extent, and, for the avoidance of doubt, surgically reconstructed genitalia should be included in the definition.
   In circumstances where the means of penetration is not clear, the offence of sexual assault by penetration would apply. (Para 2.9.2)

4: Consent should be defined in law as “free agreement”. (Para 2.10.5)

5: The law should set out a non-exhaustive list of circumstances where consent was not present. (Para 2.10.6)
6: The law should include a non-exhaustive list of examples of where consent is not present such as where a person:

- submits or is unable to resist because of force or fear of force;
- submits because of threats or fear of serious harm or serious detriment of any type to themselves or another person;
- was asleep, unconscious, or too affected by alcohol or drugs to give free agreement;
- did not understand the purpose of the act, whether because they lacked the capacity to understand, or were deceived as to the purpose of the act;
- was mistaken or deceived as to the identity of the person or the nature of the act;
- submits or is unable to resist because they are abducted or unlawfully detained;
- has agreement given for them by a third party.

(Para 2.10.9)

7: There should be a standard direction on the meaning of consent and consideration should be given as to whether this should be placed in statute. (Para 2.11.6)

8: Rape/sexual assault by penetration may be committed intentionally or recklessly and the definition of recklessness in sex offences should include the lack of any thought as to consent; this can be described as "could not care less about consent". (Para 2.12.6)

9: A defence of honest belief in free agreement should not be available where there was self induced intoxication, recklessness as to consent, or if the accused did not take all reasonable steps in the circumstances to ascertain free agreement at the time. (Para 2.13.14)

10: There should be a new offence of sexual assault to cover sexual touching (defined as behaviour that a reasonable bystander would consider to be sexual) that is done without the consent of the victim. (Para 2.14.4)

11: There should be a new offence of assault to commit rape or sexual assault by penetration. (Para 2.15.3)

12: A new sex offence of trespass with intent to commit a serious sex offence should replace burglary with intent to rape. (Para 2.16.3)

13: There should be a new offence of abduction with the intent to commit a serious sex offence. (Para 2.17.2)
14: There should be an offence of **obtaining sexual penetration by threats or deception** in any part of the world. (Para 2.18.7)

15: An offence of **administering drugs** (etc.) with intent to stupefy a victim in order that they are sexually penetrated should be retained. (Para 2.19.3)

16: There should be new offences of **compelling another to perform sexual acts**, with several levels of seriousness depending on the nature of the compelled acts. (Para 2.20.4)

17: As a matter of public policy, the **age of legal consent** should remain at sixteen. (Para 3.5.7)

18: The law setting out specific offences against children should state that **below the age of 13 a child cannot effectively consent** to sexual activity. (Para 3.5.11)

19: There should be an offence of **adult (over 18) sexual abuse of a child (under 16)**. The offence would cover all sexual behaviour that was wrong because it involved a child; it would complement other serious non-consensual offences such as rape, sexual assault by penetration and sexual assault. (Para 3.6.5)

20: There should **no time limit** on prosecution for the new offence of adult sexual activity with a child. (Para 3.6.6)

21: A **mistake of fact in age** should be available as a defence, but with the following restrictions: that it should be limited to honest and reasonable belief and that the defendant has taken all reasonable steps to ascertain age. (Para 3.6.13)

22: The **use of the defence** of mistake of fact in age should be limited to raising the defence in court on one occasion only. (Para 3.6.14)

23: In principle, the defence of mistake of fact in age should remain **limited by age of defendant**. (Para 3.6.16)

24: **Belief in marriage** should remain a defence to offences involving sex with a child, but this should not apply where the child is below the age of 13. (Para 3.6.21)

25: An offence of the **persistent sexual abuse of a child** reflecting a course of conduct should be introduced. (Para 3.7.3)
26: Those recognised as giving help, advice, treatment and support to children and young people in matters of sexual health should not be regarded as aiding and abetting a criminal offence, nor should the children and young people who seek help and advice about sexual health matters, including contraception. (Para 3.8.1)

27: There should be an offence of sexual activity between minors to replace the existing offences of unlawful sexual intercourse, buggery, indecency with children and sexual activity prohibited for children. It should apply to children under the age of 18 with those under the age of consent. (Para 3.9.13)

28: We recommend that further consideration be given to appropriate non-criminal interventions for young people under 16 engaging in mutually agreed under-age sex who are not now, and should not in future, normally be subject to prosecution. (Para 3.9.19)

29: The criminal law needs to have measures in place which can be used to deal with children who sexually abuse other children. Sentencing decisions should reflect specialist assessment of risk and potential for longer term offending and include treatment options. (Para 3.10.7)

30: There should be a statutory definition of capacity to consent which reflects both knowledge and understanding of sex and its broad implications. We recommend adoption of the definition proposed by the Law Commission. (Para 4.5.13)

31: There should be a specific offence relating to sexual activity with a person with severe mental disability who would not have the capacity to consent to sexual relations. (Para 4.6.5)

32: There should be offences of a breach of a relationship of care to prohibit:

- sexual relationships between a patient with a mental disorder, whether inpatient or outpatient, and any member of staff, whether paid or unpaid;
- sexual relationships between a person in residential care and a member of staff, whether paid or unpaid;
- sexual relationships between a person receiving certain care services in the community and designated care providers whether paid or unpaid;
- sexual relationships between doctors and their patients, and therapists and clients. (Para 4.8.15)
| 33: | There should be a **defence** of a pre-existing sexual relationship for the offence of breach of a relationship of care where there is some degree of capacity to consent. (Para 4.8.17) |
| 34: | There should be a specific offence of **obtaining sex with a mentally impaired person by threat or deception**. (Para 4.10.2) |
| 35: | There should be an offence of **familial sexual abuse** to reflect the looser structure of modern families which will replace and extend the existing offences of incest. (Para 5.5.6) |
| 36: | For the purposes of the offence of familial sexual abuse, the prohibition on sexual relations with a child should apply **until the child is 18**. (Para 5.5.7) |
| 37: | The offence of familial sexual abuse should apply to the sexual penetration of a child by all of those relations included in the existing offence of incest with the **addition of uncles and aunts who are related by blood**. (Para 5.5.13) |
| 38: | **Adoptive parents** should be treated on the same basis as natural parents for the purposes of the offence of familial sexual abuse. (Para 5.6.2) |
| 39: | Sexual relations between **adoptive siblings** should be prohibited until the age of 18. (Para 5.6.3) |
| 40: | There should be a **defence of marriage** for adoptive siblings over the age of 16. (Para 5.6.4) |
| 41: | The offence of familial sexual abuse should apply to **step-parents and foster-parents**. If that relationship has ended, a prohibition should still apply until a child is 18. (Para 5.6.6) |
| 42: | The offence of familial sexual abuse should apply to sexual penetration with or of a child by any **other person who is living in the household and in a position of trust or authority** over that child. (Para 5.6.12) |
| 43: | Sexual penetration between **adult close family members** (defined as certain blood and adoptive relationships) should continue to be forbidden by law. In the light of evidence about the early onset and abusive nature of incestuous relationships started in childhood, the responsibility for any offence should sit with the person who was adult at onset. (Para 5.8.8) |
44: The criminal law should not treat people differently on the basis of their sexual orientation. It should offer protection from all non-consensual sexual activity. Consensual sexual activity between adults in private that causes no harm should not be criminal. (Para 6.5.3)

45: The present offences of buggery and gross indecency should be repealed, with separate provision made for the protection of children and animals and for regulating sexual behaviour in public. (Para 6.6.10)

46: S4, and S16 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 and S4 of the Sexual Offences Act 1967 will no longer be necessary and should be repealed. (Para 6.6.11)

47: Section 32 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 should be repealed. (Para 6.6.17)

48: Consideration should be given to the regulation of soliciting by men for the purposes of prostitution under section 1 of the Street Offences Act 1959 on the same basis as soliciting by women. (Para 6.6.17)

49: There should be a specific trafficking offence. This offence could involve bringing or enabling a person to move from one place to another for the purposes of commercial sexual exploitation or to work as a prostitute (e.g. knowingly facilitating transportation), for reward. (Para 7.5.14)

Any such new offence should have attached powers to trace assets overseas.

50: The review considers that the commercial sexual exploitation of children should be dealt with by specific offences in which 'child' should refer to any person up to the age of 18, and where sexual exploitation includes the use of a child in prostitution or in the making of pornography. (Para 7.6.4)

51: It should be an offence to:

- buy the sexual services of a child;
- recruit, induce or compel a child into commercial sexual exploitation;
- participate in, facilitate or allow the commercial sexual exploitation of a child; or
- receive money or other reward, favour or compensation for the sexual exploitation of a child.

(Para 7.6.8)
52: There should be offences of:

- exploiting others by receiving money or reward from men and women who are prostitutes;
- managing or controlling the activities of men and women who are prostitutes, for money or reward; and
- recruiting men or women into prostitution whether or not for reward or gain.

(Para 7.7.3)

53: There should be a further review of the law on prostitution. (Para 7.8.3)

54: There should be a new offence of indecent exposure relating to exposing the penis when he knew or should have known that he might cause fear, alarm or distress to another person. (Para 8.2.9)

55: There should be an offence of voyeurism where a person in the interior of a building or other structure has a reasonable expectation of privacy and is observed without their knowledge or consent, whether by remote or mechanical means or not. There should be an exception for authorised surveillance. (Para 8.3.10)

56: A new public order offence should be created to deal with sexual behaviour that a person knew or should have known was likely to cause distress, alarm or offence to others in a public place. (Para 8.4.11)

57: A specific offence of bestiality should be retained. (Para 8.5.3)

58: Sexual interference with human remains should be an offence. (Para 8.6.6)

59: Sex offender treatment should continue to develop and be made available to those convicted of relevant offences and early professional assessment of the need and suitability for treatment should be part of any sentence for such offenders. (Para 9.4.7)

60: All of the offences we recommend, except for those which we recommend as public order/public nuisance offences carry some degree of risk that would justify their consideration as part of a review of Schedule 1 of the Sex Offenders Act 1997. (Para 9.5.4)
61: The issue of the requirement for children to register under the Sex Offenders Act should be separately considered in a review of the Act. (Para 9.5.6)

62: The provision of alternative verdicts should be considered in the preparation of new legislation, and for the avoidance of doubt they should be set out in statute. (Para 9.6.3)

Specific Consultation Points:

These are all specific points on which the review invited comment in the text of the report, in order that the views of the wider community could inform the development of the policy. Views on these points are invited in addition to comments on our recommendations.

Rape and sexual assault – honest belief in free agreement. (Para 2.13.14)

- We invite your views on this proposal, and more generally on the requirement that any belief in consent should be reasonable.

Children – a defence of mistake of fact in age. (Para 3.6.16)

- Do you agree that there should be a limitation on the age of the defendant who can use a mistake of fact defence?

- If so should it be absolute (i.e. set at a particular age) or should there be an age differential (e.g. a maximum gap in age between the defendant and the child)?

- What should the age differential, if any, be?

Children – a course of conduct offence to reflect a pattern of abusive behaviour. (Para 3.7.6)

- We would welcome views whether there should be any course of conduct offences relating to the abuse or sexual exploitation of a number of different children (in contrast to recommendation 25 which relates to the abuse of one child over time).

Children – seeking or giving advice on sexual health matters should not be criminal. (Para 3.8.2)

- Is it sufficient to make this intention clear in policy terms, or does it need to be set out in any new statute?

Vulnerable people – capacity to consent. (Para 4.5.13)

- This is an area that is fraught with difficulty. We would very much welcome the views of the legal, academic and caring communities about these proposals. In particular:

- Is the proposed test the right one?

- Will it deliver the necessary balance between protection and the right to a private life?

Vulnerable people – when the offence of a breach of a relationship of care might apply. (Para 4.8.15)

- We would welcome comments and views on these proposals and in particular on the following points:

- Should any residential care provision be limited to those where people receive nursing or therapeutic services and/or intimate care?
• Which services in the community should be included and how can the providers and recipients be defined with the certainty needed in the criminal law?

• How far should physical frailty or disability be included within these definitions?

• How can we define therapist and should it extend beyond mental health therapy to include those offering physical therapy?

• Should any prohibition in law apply to sexual penetration or to a wider definition of sexual activity?

Vulnerable people – individuals in secure institutions (e.g. prisons and detention centres). (Para 4.8.16)

• Should the criminal law apply to sexual relationships between staff and those detained in secure institutions?

Abuse within the family – redefining the existing offence of incest. (Para 5.5.14)

• Should uncles and aunts by marriage or partnership be included in the offence of familial sexual abuse in relation to children under 18 only?

Abuse within the family – which relationships should remain unlawful in adulthood. (Para 5.8.4)

• The arguments as regards step-parents were more finely balanced and we would welcome views on whether the law should prohibit adult relationships with step-children and if so whether that should be limited to those who had lived as a child of the family of the step-parent for a given length of time.

Other offences – exposure by women should not form part of an offence of indecent exposure. (Para 8.2.8)

• We would welcome information and evidence to refute or support this conclusion.

Other offences – voyeurism. (Para 8.3.10)

• We would welcome views on the framing of an offence of voyeurism to ensure protection for victims without curtailing the freedom of the press to investigate issues of public concern.
Chapter One
Purpose and principles

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 We have set out to provide protection, justice and fairness in a modern, fair and effective law. The Home Office's mission is to create a safe, just and tolerant society. The law on sex offences has an important role to play in delivering that aim. The law has to provide protection to deliver safety, to enable redress against abuse and to ensure fairness to defendants in the delivery of justice. In order to have a tolerant society we need equality before the law and for everybody to be treated with respect. A law that will provide protection, fairness and justice will increase public respect and confidence in the criminal law. Modernising the law is also a key part in the Government's strategy to modernise the criminal justice system. This review of sex offences contributes to the modernisation programme, and wider strategies to increase protection for children, vulnerable people and victims and to tackle violence against women. This chapter sets the context of the review, explains how it operated, what it is seeking to achieve and the principles it adopted.

Why we need to review the law now

1.1.2 The law governing sex offences is complex, and made more difficult by piecemeal changes and amendments. Much of the law dates from a hundred years ago and more, when society and the roles of men and women were perceived very differently. Parliament has not considered the structure of sex offences as a whole since 1956, and even then the Sexual Offences Act 1956 was a consolidation measure that was passed with no real debate. The result is a loose framework of offences, often designed to meet specific problems that caused concern in their day, but with little coherence or structure. Significant changes have occurred since 1956, notably the decriminalisation of homosexuality in private in 1967, changes to the law of rape and increased protection for children. As we enter the 21st century, it is time for a root and branch examination of what the law should be and how it should be framed to meet the complex and changing needs of society. There have been significant changes to the law on evidence and procedure, especially to help victims. This review will bring the offences and defences into the new century. Our work has been strongly evidence based and drew not only on earlier work, such as that of the Criminal Law Revision Committee, but also on the considerable amount of subsequent research and experience of law reform in other jurisdictions since then.

1.1.3 Sex offences reach deeper into society than almost any other part of the criminal law. They set out the parameters not just for what society considers to be acceptable and unacceptable behaviour, but designate behaviour that is so unacceptable as to be criminal. Loving sexual relationships form a rich and fulfilling part of life. Where sex occurs without consent, abusively or inappropriately it is harmful, unpleasant and degrading; a significant number of offences are carried out by perpetrators known to the victim. The criminal law sets the boundaries for what is culpable and deserving of punishment including sexual activity. In doing so it should create a framework that protects the weaker members of society – particularly children and vulnerable people – and those who have been subject to sexual abuse or exploitation. The incorporation of the European Convention of Human Rights into our law, with its emphasis both on the
responsibilities of the state and the rights of the citizen, provides a timely context in which to examine this area of law.

1.1.4 Society has undergone rapid and fundamental change in the past century. Our perception of the roles of men and women have changed. We do not discriminate on grounds of race, disability or sex, nor increasingly on grounds of sexual orientation. We recognise the importance of childhood and the long term harm that can occur through sexual abuse in early life. We also recognise that people with limited intellectual capacity can live fulfilling lives in the community, including in many cases a sexual life. We further recognise that such people are very vulnerable to sexual exploitation of all kinds.

1.1.5 The current law does not adequately reflect these changes. It has created piecemeal protection for children with different provisions applying at different ages. It does not permit a "defective" to have sex, and in order to protect a victim requires him or her to be proved "defective" in court. It is silent on most aspects of consensual sexual behaviour in private - unless it is male same sex activity when special rules apply. We understand much more now about sexual abuse. The law is not as effective as it should be in providing the framework of protection that citizens have the right to expect, nor in reflecting today’s knowledge of the patterns and effects of sexual violence and exploitation.

1.1.6 Whatever the shortcomings of the law, those who work within the criminal justice system try to make it work as effectively as they can. In conducting this review we met many devoted and effective criminal justice practitioners who contributed to our work. We recognised that the law does not readily meet the needs of police and prosecutors in tackling sexual assault, abuse and exploitation and sentences are often frustrated by the anachronistic penalty and offence structures. We also recognised the vital importance of providing a fair trial and the ability for a defendant, who is innocent until proved guilty, to mount an effective defence.

1.1.7 We are not alone in finding our current law insufficient to meet the needs of society. Many other countries have carried out or are now undertaking programmes of law reform. We have looked closely at developments elsewhere in the world, especially in the common law countries of the Commonwealth and the US where the legal tradition and culture is very similar to our own. This is the right time to take a good look at sexual offences and penalties to ensure that they provide the most effective level of protection for society today.

**Themes**

1.1.8 The terms of reference for the review are:

“To review the sex offences in the common and statute law in England and Wales, and make recommendations that will:

* provide coherent and clear sex offences which protect individuals, especially children and the more vulnerable, from abuse and exploitation;

* enable abusers to be appropriately punished; and

* be fair and non-discriminatory in accordance with the ECHR and Human Rights Act.”

These terms of reference gave us our three key themes.

1.1.9 *Protection* was the first key theme of this review. It forms part of a wider strategy to enhance protection for children, vulnerable people and victims. Such abuse is one of the great scandals in our society. The Utting and Waterhouse Reports set out the extraordinary vulnerability

---

* The description of a severely mentally disabled person in the Sexual Offences Act 1956.
of children living away from home, and showed that the law was not providing the protection it should. This review will meet that concern. The extent and nature of abuse that can take place within families, within institutions and within communities is only now coming to be realised in all its complexity and horror. We also have more evidence about the effects of sexual assault and the exploitation of people – ranging from rape to trafficking. There is now a good deal of evidence to indicate the disparity between the incidence of rape and sexual assault in the community and the amount that is reported, this, together with the low conviction rate for offences such as rape, show that the law is not offering the protection it should to the victims of sexual violence who are predominantly women. We wanted to provide a framework of law that will deter and prevent sexual violence from happening, enable perpetrators to be prosecuted fairly and to provide justice to victims.

1.1.10 Fairness was our second key theme. In looking at the present law, and considering proposals for reform, the review adopted a set of principles which reflected the requirements of the European Convention on Human Rights and the overarching commitment of the Government to equality and fairness. It was an important part of our task to recommend a law that was self-evidently fair to all sections of society, and which made no unnecessary distinctions on the basis of gender or sexual orientation. We saw this as a positive contribution to achieving a “safe, just and tolerant society”.

1.1.11 Justice was the third key theme. The review was aware that it had an important task to perform in balancing the need to increase protection for the complainant with upholding the interests of justice and fairness to defendants\(^3\). In any criminal trial there is a presumption of innocence – the defendant is innocent until their guilt has been proved. The innocence of the defendant was central to our considerations. It is for the prosecution to prove a defendant guilty, not for a defendant to prove his innocence. It is essential that the law is clear and evidently fair and just for both prosecution and defence and this is as important for sexual offences as for other crimes. Issues such as false accusations are tested every time a crime is reported, investigated and prosecuted.

1.1.12 In following our terms of reference, we looked primarily at the offences and defences that make up the substantive criminal law. We have not looked in detail at the law of evidence or procedure, which has been the subject of considerable Parliamentary attention most recently in the Youth Justice and Criminal Evidence Act 1999. Nor were we charged with considering whether, and if so how, prostitution should be regulated by law; that is a major subject in its own right, although we have considered some of the aspects of the law that relates to sexual exploitation and the trafficking of human beings. Nor were we charged with looking at the law on pornography or obscenity – although where the pornography involves the sexual abuse of individuals, the substantive acts depicted may well form part of our recommended offences.

1.2 The impact of the European Convention on Human Rights

1.2.1 The Human Rights Act 1998 incorporates the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) into UK law. From October 2000 the courts in England and Wales will be able to consider issues arising under the ECHR, and hence to test the compatibility of our law with the Convention. Ultimately the Court of Appeal will be able to make a declaration of incompatibility, which will not directly affect the validity continuing operation or enforcement of the law\(^4\). It will then be for the Government and Parliament to consider the issue.

1.2.2 The UK has been a signatory of the ECHR since 1951, and much of the law made in that time has reflected human rights concerns. However not only do many sex offences predate this era, consideration of the issues they raise have, so far as the European Court of Human Rights is concerned, often fallen into the broad area of margin of appreciation. This enables states

---


to have a degree of latitude to decide law and social policy in the light of their own culture and values. That will no longer apply in the same way once judges in this country are considering domestic law in the context of compatibility with ECHR articles. There is no doubt that our courts will scrutinise these offences with great care and that Convention issues will be raised. In assessing whether the legislation is compatible, judges will look to the case law of the European Court, and to relevant UK and Commonwealth precedents.

1.2.3 The criminal law sets out some crucial balances between human rights and public protection. Sex offences set out a particularly delicate and important interplay between the rights of the individual to the enjoyment of a private life, and the need of the state to provide protection and redress for citizens. Since the review began its work in January 1999, the European Court has considered several cases involving the United Kingdom, including one on discrimination in the law on sex offences. (The applicants in the case of ADT v UK challenged the provisions of the law on gross indecency which define any situation where more than two men are present as not being in private.)

1.2.4 The Convention guarantees a number of basic rights of a civil and political nature. Article 8 of the ECHR gives everybody the right to a private life, which includes that most intimate of aspects, consensual sexual relationships. Private life is more than 'privacy'. The issue has been discussed as follows: "It would be too restrictive to limit the notion [of private life] to an "inner circle" in which the individual may live his own personal life as he chooses and to exclude therefrom entirely the outside world not encompassed within that circle. Respect for private life must also comprise to a certain degree, the right to establish and develop relationships with other human beings." In an earlier case this right to develop relationships with other human beings was described as being "especially in the emotional field, for the development and fulfilment of one's own personality."

1.2.5 Article 14 protects against discrimination in the exercise of the rights guaranteed by the Convention. Taken together with Article 8, the right for all citizens to enjoy a private life, the inexorable logic of the Convention is that there would have to be very powerful justification for the criminal law to continue to discriminate on the grounds of sexual orientation. While not constituting a free-standing right against discrimination, Article 14 protects the individual from discrimination in the application of other Convention rights. Thus in the Sutherland case (on the age of consent) the applicants were able to argue a breach of Article 14 read with Article 8. There was no need to argue that an age of consent set at 18 was in itself a violation of the right to respect for human life – merely that the difference in treatment of, on the one hand, gay men, and on the other, heterosexuals and lesbians constituted a discrimination in the application of substantive rights. Not every difference in treatment will constitute discrimination so as to offend Article 14. What will be unsustainable in respect of Article 14 will be a difference with no objective and reasonable justification or which is disproportionate to that justification.

1.2.6 Other Convention Articles which are particularly relevant to the work of the review are Article 6 (right to a fair trial and the presumption of innocence) and Article 7 (no retrospective penalties). The review has taken great care to consider its recommendations in the light of the provisions of the Convention. We held a conference with academics and others to discuss the implications of incorporation of laws on the principles in applying Convention rights in the development of proposals for law reform. It is important to recognise that most Convention rights are not absolute, and that protection, necessity and proportionality are all important in considering conflicting principles. Where there may be conflicting rights, the arguments need to be balanced and considered so that any restriction of rights is necessary and proportionate for the protection.


\* X v Iceland, 18 May 1976.
of vulnerable members of society. The Convention has provided a dynamic template for policy
development that is underpinned by the necessity for the law to provide effective protection for
the citizen, particularly the more vulnerable.

1.3 Basic principles
1.3.1 The review of sex offences has been carried out by an expanded interdepartmental
committee and conducted within the strategic direction of Government policy. The key themes
reflect Government strategy and in particular the Home Office aim of achieving a safe, just and
tolerant society, and in this context the review is seeking to achieve protection, fairness and justice.

1.3.2 This report considers the rights and responsibilities of individuals to make their own
decisions about consensual sexual behaviour and the controls that society needs to impose in
order to protect its more vulnerable members from coercion. Within these broad headings there
are some important principles that formed the conceptual framework within which the review
operated. These include a basic set of assumptions that:

- any application of the criminal law must be fair, necessary and proportionate;
- the criminal law should not discriminate unnecessarily between men and women
  nor between those of different sexual orientation;
- the law should not intrude on consensual sexual behaviour between those over the
  age of consent without good cause;
- those who coerce, force or deceive anyone into sexual activity are criminally
  culpable: any coercion, force or deception towards a child or vulnerable person is
  particularly serious;
- those who induce or encourage children or other vulnerable people to participate
  in, or be exposed to, sexual behaviour are criminally culpable;
- the age of consent must not be lower than 16;
- there should be a number of factors which could aggravate a sexual offence against
  a child, such as the age of the child and the relationship between the child and the
  offender;
- the law should recognise the extent to which the people have the mental capacity
to give informed consent to sexual activity;
- the law must ensure that people who do not have the mental capacity to give
  informed consent are protected.

1.3.3 The approach of the review in developing proposals was to consider that in a diverse
and tolerant society, the law should be based on a public morality that protects the individual
from danger, harm, fear or distress, with additional safeguards for the younger and more
vulnerable members of the community. This would provide a structure that broadly permits
consensual activity in private but is effective against force, coercion and harm. The law should
not be able to enter the bedroom to regulate sexual activity between consenting adults without
very good reason. One such reason may be to prohibit sexual relations between close blood
relations, as in the law on incest. This respect for private life means that any regulation which is
proposed must be limited to what is necessary in a democratic society, and proportionate to the
problem.
1.3.4 In conducting the review, we have looked at what offences are needed and whether such offences require specific defences. Once this structure was clear, a matching structure of penalties was considered, including an analysis of which of the offences we recommend would reflect high risk behaviour which could be suitable for registration under the Sex Offenders Act 1997.

1.3.5 Our aim was to recommend law that is clear and comprehensible to the ordinary citizen. The law requires certainty: there needs to be no doubt about the nature of an offence. The law should be capable of being used by police, prosecutors and sentencers effectively, whilst being fair by seeking to avoid injustice and providing appropriate defences. The law should also be proportionate: any offences and penalties must meet a recognised need and sit appropriately within the wider criminal justice system. Offences should also be as straightforward and readily understood as possible.

1.4 The conduct of the review

1.4.1 The review has tried to operate in an open and inclusive way. The membership of the Steering Group and the External Reference Group\(^7\) sought to involve many of the key stakeholders with interests in and concerns about the law. In order to garner a wider spread of views we also invited responses from a wide range of organisations and individuals about what were the problems in the present law and what changes were necessary. We received over 160 replies during 1999, and these are listed in Volume 2 of this report.

1.4.2 In addition we held a number of consultation conferences and seminars with academics, practitioners, representatives from a variety of religious faiths, concerned organisations, police, prosecutors and the judiciary. These were intended to help us focus on the key issues alongside those involved in assisting victims, investigating, prosecuting and defending cases, social services officers and organisations caring for children and vulnerable people, non-governmental organisations and others as well as representatives from a range of Government departments. The reports of these conferences are reproduced in Volume 2 of the report, and their discussions are reflected throughout the text. We are enormously grateful to all those around the country who gave us their time, thoughts and experience.

1.4.3 We also received invaluable assistance from the Law Commission. In particular, their policy paper *Consent in Sex Offences*\(^8\). The policy paper is the equivalent to a Law Commission report. It represents the final views of the Law Commission on the issues of consent and capacity to consent. We are very grateful to them for allowing us to publish this as an appendix to our report.

1.5 In conclusion

1.5.1 We have had a unique opportunity to look at a disturbing and difficult area of law from basic principles. We have always borne in mind that although the criminal law plays an important declaratory role in society, it is not the arbiter of morals. The absolutes that the criminal law deals in are those of criminal culpability. The broad membership of the review ensured that it reflected an eclectic and wide-ranging set of opinions on all the issues and these are mirrored in the discussion points of each individual chapter. In producing a set of recommendations which demonstrate a balance between protection, deterrence, the rights of the individual and society's expectation of acceptable behaviour, the review was set a difficult and challenging task to achieve.

---

\(^7\) Membership of the Groups can be found in Annex 1.

\(^8\) Consent in Sex Offences is published as Appendix C in Volume 2 of this report.
1.5.2 We feel that the principles established by this review form a sound basis for future development of the law. They should allow the law to respond to changes in patterns of behaviour fostered by technical advance and social change.

1.5.3 Publication of this document now presents an opportunity for the public to consider the proposals put forward. We welcome all contributions which will help with the development of new laws, strengthen protection to the most vulnerable in our society, and are fair and non-discriminatory.
Chapter Two
Rape and Sexual Assault

2.1 Introduction
2.1.1 Of all sexual offences, rape is the most serious, the most feared and the most debated. This chapter describes the law on rape, indecent assault and related offences. The common feature of all these offences are that they are acts of sexual violation (whether or not they include any physical violence or force) which take place without the consent of the victim. Consent is the crucial issue for these offences because the lack of consent is the essence of the criminal behaviour. It is one individual forcing another to undergo an experience against their will. It is a violation of the victim’s autonomy and freedom to decide how and with whom she (or he) would want to share any kind of sexual experience.

2.1.2 The definition of consent is central to many of these offences. This chapter discusses how it should be defined and what the common law currently says about consent. It also discusses the important issue of how to define the defendant’s belief in consent.

2.1.3 This chapter deals with sexual offences between adults who have full capacity to consent to sexual relations in law and in fact. Other chapters will discuss offences that involve children, those with impaired capacity and those in positions of trust and responsibility.

2.2 The present law
2.2.1 The law on rape and sexual assault is found in both statute and common law. The key offences are:

- Rape – section 1 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 states that rape is sexual intercourse (defined in s44 as penile penetration) of the vagina or anus knowing the person does not consent or being reckless as to whether there is consent. Impersonation of a husband in sexual intercourse will count as rape under s1(2). Otherwise consent and recklessness are defined in the common law. Maximum penalty – life.

- Indecent assault – s14 indecent assault on a woman; s15 indecent assault on a man. A child under 16 cannot consent to an offence which would otherwise be an indecent assault, nor can a “defective”. Maximum penalty – 10 years imprisonment.

- Assault to commit rape is a common law offence which has fallen into disuse; assault to commit buggery is an offence under s16 of the 1956 Act. Maximum penalty – 10 years.

- Burglary with intent to commit rape is an aggravated form of burglary set out in s9 of the Theft Act 1969. Maximum penalty 14 years.

2.2.2 The key question of what constitutes recklessness as to consent and consent itself are defined in the common law, as is the nature of honest belief in consent. It is for the prosecution to prove that at the time of the sexual intercourse the complainant did not consent to it. Consent is given its ordinary meaning. Case law has determined that consent is not present when:

- It was achieved by the use of force or fear of force (which may extend to threats to a third party);
- The complainant was incapable of consenting because they were unconscious. This includes sleep (Larter and Castleton, 1995; Howard 1965);
- There is a fraudulent misrepresentation as to the nature of the act – for example a deception that the act was not a sexual act (Flattery, 1877 and Williams, 1923);
- Impersonation of another – S142(3) of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 re-enacted the previous statute that “a man...commits rape if he induces a married woman to have intercourse with him by impersonating her husband”; Elbekkay (1995) extended that impersonation to a partner;
- The complainant is fundamentally mistaken as to the nature of the act (Clarence 1889);
- The complainant did not have the understanding and knowledge to decide whether to consent or resist (whether by age, disability or illness) (Howard 1986; Lang 1975);
- The complainant was so drunk or drugged they could not consent (Camplin 1845).

2.2.3 Case law recognises the distinction between consent and submission to forced intercourse, and that some forms of submission are not consent. The case of Olugboja (1981) left the decision on consent as one of a matter of fact for the jury who had to decide what was in the complainant’s mind at the time. This seems to indicate that every case should be decided on its facts but without a framework of what is meant by consent and when submission is rape. In practice this broad approach (which some see as liberal) has led to confusion, and risks very different conclusions being drawn on similar facts in different cases.

2.2.4 The House of Lords judgement in the case of Morgan (1974) changed the understanding of the law as it applied to belief in consent. Before that decision it was understood that for a mistake of fact to provide a defence in criminal law it had to be based on objectively reasonable grounds. The Morgan judgement established, for the first time, that if at the time of intercourse the defendant had an honest but mistaken belief that the woman was consenting, even if he had no reasonable grounds for that belief, then he was not guilty of rape. (In that case the conviction of the defendants was upheld because they were found not to have had a belief in consent.) Following Morgan therefore the fault element for rape was totally subjective – it depended on the belief in the defendant’s mind at the time of the offence. 10

10 Lord Hailsham said:-

“Once one has accepted that the prohibited act in rape is non-consensual intercourse, and that the guilty state of mind is an intention to commit it, it seems to me that it follows as a matter of inexcusable logic that there is no room for either a defence of honest belief or mistake, or of a belief of honest and reasonable belief and mistake. Either the prosecution proves that the accused had the requisite intent, or it does not. In the former case it succeeds, and in the latter it fails. Since honest belief clearly negates intent, the reasonableness or otherwise of that belief can only be evidence for or against the view that the belief and therefore the intent was actually held...”

Lord Fraser of Tullybelton summarised the position as:-

“If the effect of the evidence as a whole is that the defendant believed, or may have believed that the woman was consenting, then the Crown has not discharged the onus of proving the commission of the offence as fully defined and, as it seems to me, no question can arise as to whether the belief was reasonable or not. Of course the reasonableness or otherwise of the belief will be important as evidence tending to show whether it was truly held by the defendant but that is all.”
2.2.5 That judgement was controversial from the moment it was given. Many in the legal world accepted that it was a clear statement of the necessary guilty mind (mens rea) for rape and a logical expression of the subjectivism necessary for guilt. Whereas to others it was evidence that it did not matter what the victim said or did, however violated she was, there was no crime of rape. Mrs Justice Heilbronn and the Advisory Committee on Rape thought that the principle of the judgement was right, but that the mental element in rape should be clarified. This resulted in the explanation given in S1(2) of the Sexual Offences (Amendment) Act 1976 that:

"It is hereby declared that if, as a real for a rape offence, (a) the jury has to consider whether the accused was acting contrary to sexual intercourse, the presence or absence of such belief is a matter to which the jury is to have regard, in conjunction with any other relevant matters, in considering whether he so believed."

This effectively gave statutory force to the words of Lord Fraser that the reasonableness of any belief was a matter that the jury would have to consider.

2.3 Problems in the present law
2.3.1 Rape was the subject of a significant proportion of the submissions to the review and a variety of problems were identified:

- The law did not offer appropriate protection against very serious assaults because the definition of rape as penile penetration of the vagina and anus meant that other kinds of extremely serious penetrative assaults could be prosecuted and sentenced as indecent assault only. This offence and the penalty it carried were not serious enough to deal with the worst cases;

- The nature and effect of sexual assaults of all kinds on the victim, both men and women, were not sufficiently understood by the law, despite the significant advances in understanding made in recent years; this impacted throughout the criminal justice system, including sentencing;

- The increase in reporting of rape and the reduction in the rate of convictions, has reduced confidence in the ability of the law to deliver justice to victims; although the numbers of reported rapes had increased significantly, the number of successful prosecutions had risen only slightly;

- The full legal meaning of consent was not clearly understood, and the doctrine of honest but mistaken belief in consent was widely criticised;

- The law was not sufficiently clear to ensure the protection of transsexuals;

- The sentencing of rape cases remained controversial, particularly where it involved those who had been married or in a relationship;

- The definition of indecent assault was too broad and complex;

- The gradual loss of the offence of assault to commit rape in the common law had left a gap in protection;

- The offence of burglary with intent to commit rape did not carry the requirement to register under the Sex Offenders Act 1997.
2.4 Views expressed in consultation and conferences

2.4.1 The review held a seminar on rape and sexual assault in Leeds on 2 July 1999. (A report of that seminar is in Volume 2, Appendix H5). Those attending that meeting were asked to address a set of questions about the offences of rape and sexual assault: the views expressed that day were considered by the review in detail. There was a considerable amount of agreement; the law needed reforming to ensure that:

- all non-consensual sexual penetration could be dealt with by specific very serious offences;
- rape should remain an offence of penile penetration but that the definition be extended to include penile penetration of the mouth;
- another offence of aggravated sexual assault should be created to deal with other forms of penetration;
- consent should be defined and set out in statute law;
- the doctrine of honest but unreasonable belief in consent (set out in the Morgan judgement) should be changed; and
- indecent exposure should be regarded more seriously than it was at present.

The seminar also discussed whether it was appropriate to divide the offence of rape into more and less serious offences (e.g. 'real rape' and 'date rape') and unanimously recommended against it.

2.4.2 The seminar for legal practitioners, held in April 1999, also discussed the issue of consent, which was seen as the core of most sex offences – it was the lack of consent which made an activity culpable. There was some unease that the use of the term “consent” implied an imbalance of power – consent was something that could be seen as agreement by a subordinate. Defining consent as “free agreement” implied a negotiation between equal partners. Others counselled against change for its own sake, or against changes which would jettison case law. A statutory definition of consent was seen as a potential way forward.

2.4.3 About a quarter of the responses to the initial consultation mentioned the law on rape. These reflected a wide range of opinions but the most frequently mentioned issues were the definition of consent and belief in consent in a third of the responses. These responses advocated a definition of consent (with the law in Victoria, Australia being mentioned in several) and were overwhelmingly in favour of overturning Morgan. Other issues mentioned by a number of respondents were the need to widen the definition of rape to include forced oral sex and penetration by objects; the significant harm done by sexual assault on men; the need to take trivialised but traumatising offences like flashing more seriously and the importance of appropriate sentencing. We also received letters about false allegations, historic rape, the anonymity of defendants and the need to provide a scale of offences.

2.5 Research evidence

2.5.1 Rape and sexual assault are much discussed in the literature and there is extensive case law. The review consulted a wide range of sources, but two were of particular importance. Professor Jennifer Temkin, who was a member of the External Review Group, was asked to review the law and evidence on rape and sexual assault. This thorough and comprehensive document (at Appendix D1 in Vol 2 of the report) formed a working document for the review, helpfully identifying many of the key issues.
2.5.2 The review also took very careful note of the Home Office research report "A Question of Evidence? The prosecution of rape in the 1990s". This considered a sample of 483 reported rapes and followed those cases to see what happened to them. The report identifies the stages at which cases dropped out of the system and seeks to identify the factors that may have influenced this. The key issue it addressed was to see why the conviction rate for rape had remained low (around 9% on average). Many of the issues raised in the report relate to police and prosecution procedures and so are outside the remit of the review. There was one recommendation addressed to the review: to consider whether juries would be more likely to convict if there were degrees of rape for those cases which they felt were less serious. This recommendation arose not from the statistical evidence but from discussions with legal practitioners.

2.5.3 Other reports received or considered during the conduct of the review included the Soroptomists International Working Party on Rape, the 13th report of the Criminal Law Revision Committee, the Law Commission’s policy paper on Consent in Sexual Offences and numerous other research and academic works from the UK, North America, South Africa and Australasia.

2.5.4 We also looked to see what evidence was available on the prevalence of sexual victimisation. To get an accurate estimate using either official statistics or crime (victimisation) surveys is fraught with difficulties. Victims’ reluctance to report offences to the police mean that official statistics routinely undercount sexual offending, while even the more complete picture of victimisation promised by crime surveys can be undermined in practice by problems with the measures and methods employed. Despite these limitations, available information suggests that non-consensual sexual contact is not a rare occurrence. For example, the 1994 British Crime Survey found that nearly a quarter of women respondents reported some unwanted or coerced sexual contact since the age of 16. In a recent survey (by Coxell, King, Mezey, and Gordon, 1999) a smaller but still significant proportion of men reported experiencing non-consensual sexual contact. Meanwhile, the total number of sexual offences reported to the police has increased steadily over the past decade. The number of reported offences of indecent assault on a female and particularly rape have shown marked increases. How far the rising figures are due to changing attitudes among victims to informing the police cannot be known for certain. Overall, both official statistics and survey figures indicate that females – both adults and children – are at much greater risk of sexual victimisation than males.

2.6 The Law in Other Countries and Proposals for Reform

2.6.1 The law of rape and sexual assault has been the subject of debate and legislative change around the world over the past 20 years. Many countries have made major changes to their laws in order to ensure that they were fairer and delivered justice to victims. Much of this legislative development came about as a result of feminist debate and pressure. Changes made by some common law countries – notably Canada and many US states in the 1980s and early 1990s – were intended to reclassify rape and sexual assaults as crimes of violence rather than as sexual crimes relating to consent or the lack of it. In contrast other jurisdictions (Victoria, Australia and New Zealand for example) have built on the common law model of consent and refined and developed that. Some jurisdictions (like Michigan, Washington DC and NSW) have adopted a mixed model providing for a crime of violent rape where there is no reliance on consent together with other offences that do rely on a lack of consent. Most European legal codes define rape in terms of force or coercion.

---

11 The 6% conviction rate in the report’s sample correlates to the average figure of 9%; the 6% rate is based on all reports of rape made, and the 9% figure is based on those reports which the police record as a crime. The figure recorded by the police is used as the basis for annual averages.

12 Printed in Vol 2 of the report as Annex C.
2.6.2 Sexual offences are being reviewed and reconsidered in many countries around the world. Sweden and Norway are undertaking reviews and the law in Germany was changed very recently. The South African Law Commission is undertaking a large programme of work on sex offences and has put out proposals for consultation. The Australian Model Criminal Code Officers’ Committee has published a report recommending a code of sexual offences for adoption by states. New Zealand is reviewing its offences. The review has kept in touch with all these developments. The Swedish review, which is charged in particular with looking at the common law system of consent, came to meet with us. We went to Australia and New Zealand to look at the way in which they have defined consent, to see how it works in practice and to benefit from their experience and thinking. Defining a satisfactory law in this area is difficult, and there are no easy answers.

2.7 The Policy of the Review

2.7.1 In considering the centrally important questions of how the law on rape was to be framed the review applied its general principles (set out in Chapter 1) of increasing the protection offered by the law; ensuring fairness to the defendant and that the law clearly set out what was criminal behaviour.

2.7.2 We thought that rape and sexual assault are primarily crimes against the sexual autonomy of others. Every adult has the right and the responsibility to make decisions about their sexual conduct and to respect the rights of others. No other approach is viable in a society that values equality and respect for the rights of each individual. We concluded consent was the essential issue in sexual offences, and that the offences of rape and sexual assault were essentially those of violating another person’s freedom to withhold sexual contact.

2.7.3 It is vitally important that in this most private and difficult area of sexual relationships the law should be as clear as possible so that the boundaries of what is acceptable, and of criminally culpable behaviour, are well understood. This understanding is essential for all those involved in the criminal justice system in practicing and interpreting the law (and we had evidence that it was not always well understood even by professionals). It is important for society as a whole for sexual relationships to be based on mutual respect and understanding.

2.7.4 This led us to the inescapable conclusion that it was vital for the law on rape and sexual assault to be, as far as possible, set out in statute so that it was accessible and available to all. The complexity of the common law on consent, and the uncertainty caused by differing decisions, were not helpful to the criminal justice system or to the wider community. There will always be a continuing role for the common law to develop as cases raise new issues over time, but the key principles of the meaning of consent should be set out clearly in the law for all to see.

2.7.5 We also considered the issue of honest belief in consent with very great care. The key legal principle, that a defendant should only be guilty of a crime they intended to commit, (and which in this instance, has a defence of honest belief), is in direct conflict with the perception of many in the community who see that in the particular circumstances of rape and serious sexual assault this belief should be fettered. The review noted that the reasonableness of an honest belief in consent may be looked at by the jury. We were all agreed that there needed to be further safeguards to prevent the misuse of any defence of honest belief; particularly in the light of concern that it would be raised more frequently in order to circumvent the provisions limiting the use of the complainant’s sexual history in the Youth Justice and Criminal Evidence Act 1999. However, we were not all agreed on the extent to which the law should be changed in this area. Accordingly we set out the issues in detail below (paras 2.12 onwards) and invite your views.

2.7.6 As part of our policy of increasing protection we also identified a number of gaps and mismatches in the present law which may result in a degree of injustice to victims. The review also identified areas of the law which, in the light of their impact on victims, should be regarded much more seriously.
2.8 The proposals

Rape

2.8.1 The first issue we thought about was the criminal behaviour that should be included in
the crime of rape. We considered the various sexual violations that are perpetrated on men and
women by other men and women, and the impact of differing kinds of sexual assaults on the
victim in order to assess the relative seriousness of the different kinds of behaviour. We also
wondered how the public might understand the law. We looked at what solutions other countries
had adopted and sought information on how effective they had been. (The latter was particularly
difficult as the letter of the law is only one of many variables in the way the criminal justice
process operates.)

2.8.2 We decided that the essence of rape was the sexual penetration of a person by another
person without consent. However, penetration comes in many forms. Men put their penis into
the vagina, anus and mouth. Other parts of the body (notably fingers and tongues) are inserted
into the genitalia and the anus. Objects are inserted into the vagina and anus of victims. Both
men and women may perform such penetration. These are all extremely serious violations of
victims which can leave them physically and psychologically damaged for many years. We did
consider whether there was evidence that a woman could force a man to penetrate her against
his will but, although we found a little anecdotal evidence, we did not discover sufficient to
convince us that this was the equivalent of rape. (However we do recognise the existence of such
coercive behaviour and think it should be subject to the criminal law. We make separate
recommendations about offences of compelling sexual penetration in paras 2.20 following.)

2.8.3 Having decided that all coerced sexual penetration was very serious, the question was
how the law should best deal with it. There seemed to be two potential approaches – that of
defining any sexual penetration as rape, and that of treating penile penetration separately from
other forms of penetration.

2.8.4 We were uneasy about extending the definition of rape to include all forms of sexual
penetration. We felt rape was clearly understood by the public as an offence that was committed
by men on women and on men. We felt that the offence of penile penetration was of a particularly
personal kind, it carried risks of pregnancy and disease transmission and should properly be
treated separately from other penetrative assaults. We therefore set aside our presumption of
gender-neutrality as regards the perpetrator for offences for the crime of rape and propose that
it be limited to penile penetration. We also recognised the concerns of transsexuals that the law
could except them from the protection of the criminal justice system. If modern surgical techniques
could provide sexual organs, the law should be clear enough to show that penetration of or by
such organs would be contained within the scope of the offence. The law must give protection
from all sexual violence. Whether or not sexual organs are surgically created, the law should
apply. Accordingly we thought it beyond doubt that the law should apply to surgically
constructed organs – whether vaginal or penile.

2.8.5 The present crime of rape is limited to the penile penetration of the anus and vagina.
Forced oral sex is treated as an indecent assault. We thought that inappropriate. Forced oral sex
is as horrible, as demeaning and as traumatising as other forms of forced penile penetration, and
we saw no reason why rape should not be defined as penile penetration of the anus, vagina or
mouth without consent.

Recommendation 1: The offence of rape should be retained as penile penetration without
consent, and extended to include oral penetration.

This should be defined as penetration of the anus, mouth or genitalia to the slightest
extent, and, for the avoidance of doubt, surgically reconstructed male or female genitalia
should be included in the definition in law.
2.8.6 An issue that was raised in Home Office research, and by a few respondents, was whether there should be any gradation or degrees of rape. The argument put is that there are "serious" rapes (those which involve violence, by strangers etc.) and less serious rapes – the "date rape" or "he just went a bit too far" type of rape. Some people argued that such a gradation with lower sentences for "lesser" crimes would encourage juries to convict more readily. Without research into juries' thinking, we do not have any firm evidence to support this view.

2.8.7 A more serious question is whether there are genuinely lesser rapes. Victim/survivor organisations told us that although all victims/survivors were deeply affected by rape, there was often greater victimisation in rapes that were seen as lesser than the traditional model of stranger rape. A woman or man attacked in the street is a chance victim – it is truly appalling, but no blame attaches to the victim. To be raped by someone you know and trust, whom you may let into your house, or when you visit theirs, is not such a matter of chance. The victim has made decisions to put their trust in the other person. There may or may not be overt physical violence but those victims face additional issues of betrayal of trust and being seen as, or feeling, guilty for being in that situation. Some research indicates that the level of violence in partner/ex-partner rape is second only to stranger rape\(^3\). We were told by those who counsel victim/survivors that those raped by friends or family often find it much harder to recover and may take longer to do so. In addition to these powerful arguments, it is hard to see how degrees of rape could be defined – when does a stranger become an acquaintance or a friend? The crime of rape is so serious that it needs to be considered in its totality rather than being constrained by any relationship between the parties.

2.8.8 If we are to consider a rape as being not just an offence of violence, but a violation of the integrity of another person, then there is neither justification nor robust grounds for grading rape into lesser or more serious offences. The impact on victims is no less, and indeed there are arguments that it can be more serious and long-lasting. Rape is a very serious crime but sentences can, and should, reflect the seriousness of each individual case within an overall maximum. Gradation of the seriousness of a particular offence is best reflected in the sentence finally imposed rather than creating separate offences.

**Recommendation 2: Rape should not be subdivided into lesser or more serious offences.**

### 2.9 Sexual assault by penetration

2.9.1 We recognised that other penetrative assaults could be as serious in their impact on the victim as rape and that they should not be regarded lightly. We thought the present law of indecent assault was inadequate to tackle these serious crimes. It is an offence which covers a wide spread of behaviour from touching to truly appalling violations, and the current penalty of 10 years is inadequate for the worst cases. Accordingly we recommend a new offence of sexual assault by penetration with a penalty the same as that for rape to be used for all non-penile penetrative sexual offences. This offence would include the non-consensual penetration of the anus, vagina and/or the external genitalia by objects or parts of the body other than the penis. This offence should also be defined in a way that would enable it to be used if there were any doubt as to the nature of the penetration (for example when a child or mentally impaired adult is unable to furnish details of exactly what had penetrated them). This offence could be committed by a man or a woman on a man or a woman.

2.9.2 In all these crimes it is important to define what is meant by penetration. The present law holds that the slightest penetration is sufficient. This seems to us to be right, and we think

---

\(^3\) Balancing the Scales: Rape, Law Reform and the Australian Culture – Patricia Easteal, 1998.
it should be absolutely clear that it is the penetration of the external genitalia not simply the vagina. The law also holds that no ejaculation is necessary to prove penetration, and that too must be right. It should also be clear that penetration of or by any surgically reconstructed genitalia should also be included as rape or sexual assault by penetration.

Recommendation 3: There should be a new offence of sexual assault by penetration to be used for all other penetration without consent.

This should be defined as penetration of the anus or genitalia to the slightest extent, and, for the avoidance of doubt, surgically reconstructed genitalia should be included in the definition.

In circumstances where the means of penetration is not clear, the offence of sexual assault by penetration would apply.

2.10 Consent

2.10.1 Lack of consent is central to the offence of rape and to our new offence of sexual assault by penetration. The essence of the crime is that sexual penetration took place without the consent of the complainant. It is vital that the law is as clear as possible about what consent means. The law sets the ground rules of what is and is not criminal behaviour, and all citizens need to know and understand what these are. This is particularly important because consent to sexual activity is so much part of a private relationship where verbal and non-verbal messages can be mistaken and where assumptions about what is and is not appropriate can lead to significant misunderstanding and, in extreme cases, to forced and unwelcome sex.

2.10.2 The common law on consent has developed over the years to deal with many of the circumstances that come before the courts. However, common law is essentially case law. Case law may change with new judgements and its meaning is often not clear to most people. If it is difficult for legal practitioners to research and understand the case law, it is much more difficult for the rest of us. Nor is it always clear what the common law means by “consent”. Although it is argued that the common law allows continuing development to meet society’s needs, that process can lead to uncertainty, as in the case of Olugboja. In an area of human behaviour where there are debates within society about what is and is not appropriate, it is more than ever important that the law is clear and well understood, particularly about what behaviour is criminal.

2.10.3 In law consent is given its ordinary meaning, which means that in the particular circumstances of each case the jury has to decide that they are sure, beyond reasonable doubt, whether the complainant was consenting or not. This is an important, and often difficult, role. Clarifying the meaning of consent in statute would enable judges to be able to explain what the law said and for juries to understand just what is meant by consent. It would also enable Parliament to consider and recommend what should and should not form acceptable standards of behaviour in a modern society. One of the messages that had come to us in consultation was that consent was something that could be seen as being sought by the stronger and given by the weaker. In today’s world it is important to recognise that sexual partners are each responsible for their own actions and that there should be parity of status. In defining consent we are not seeking to change its meaning, rather to clarify the law so that it is clearly understood.

2.10.4 We investigated what the word ‘consent’ means. The Oxford dictionary defines the verb “to consent” as “to acquiesce, or agree” and the noun “consent” as “voluntary agreement, compliance or permission”. These definitions cover a range of behaviour from whole-hearted enthusiastic agreement to reluctant acquiescence. In this context the core element is that there is an agreement between two people to engage in sex. People have devised a complex set of messages to convey agreement and lack of it – agreement is not necessarily verbal, but it must be understood by both parties. Each must respect the right of the other to say “no” – and mean it.
2.10.5 Other common law countries have defined consent as "free agreement" or "free and voluntary agreement". The Law Commission have suggested "subsisting free and genuine agreement". We felt that that was too complex and introduced an unnecessary semi-contractual complication into consent. We thought that simplicity and clarity were needed in this definition and that any free agreement would necessarily be voluntary and genuine. We thought that "free agreement" included all the necessary elements and recommend that as a definition of consent.

**Recommendation 4: Consent should be defined in law as "free agreement".**

2.10.6 We also thought that in addition to defining what consent was, we should also see how it should be applied. We were told by many people, including judges and lawyers, that the law on consent needed clarifying and explaining. A number of other countries have adopted this approach in order to ensure the law is well understood; setting clear boundaries for society as to what is acceptable and unacceptable behaviour. There are a variety of models, but the key elements are that the meaning of consent is defined, and that the law gives some indication of situations where consent is not present. We decided that the arguments for defining and explaining consent in statute were overwhelming. We thought that the approach adopted in a number of Australian states (and the Model Code) of setting out a list of examples of circumstances where consent was not present was helpful to all concerned. Any such list is a set of examples only; it is not complete and does not cover each and every circumstance where consent is not present. Cases must be decided on their own facts. It should help both practitioners and juries in coming to decisions in particular cases, and give broad guidelines for considering the issue.

**Recommendation 5: The law should set out a non-exhaustive list of circumstances where consent was not present.**

2.10.7 Having agreed that it would be helpful to set out some examples of when consent is absent, we then considered what should be included in any list. This is not, and could not be, a complete list. It sets out those areas that are well established in case law as to when consent is not present, and those where it should be clear that consent would not be present. Most are obvious. The courts will continue to develop the common law as they consider cases where different circumstances apply. They will however have the benefit of a more detailed statute, in which Parliament will have given a clear indication to the courts and society about the bounds of acceptable behaviour.

2.10.8 Any list of examples should include the major components of the common law on consent. The challenge for the review was to consider whether there were other types of behaviour and situations that ought to be included in order to produce a robust and appropriate list. The question with any list of examples is exactly which to include and where to stop. A statutory list of examples should be as comprehensive as possible. The essential common element to many of these situations was that there may be submission by the victim. Submission may reflect reluctant acquiescence, but it may also reflect a lack of consent and/or an inability to resist. The fact of submission does not imply consent – it may well be better to suffer a "fate worse than death" than to be killed or grievously wounded. Indeed victims/survivors' organisations told us that many victims were frozen into immobility by the threat of rape and the fear of what might happen to them. Any list should make clear that submission does not equate to consent if there is no free agreement.

2.10.9 In drawing up our non-exhaustive list, we thought that it should set out examples of when a person does not consent to sexual activity that could constitute rape or sexual assault by penetration:
• Where a person submits or is unable to resist because of force, or fear of force;

• Where a person submits or is unable to resist because of threats or fear of serious harm or serious detriment of any type to themselves or another person.

These would cover the broad set of cases where there was force or coercion or threat to a person, their child etc. It could also cover situations where other threats were made – for example losing a job or killing the family pet. It would be for the court to consider in each case what the nature of the threat was and whether the victim would think that she or he would suffer serious harm. These could vary from case to case: the threat of loss of employment might be far more serious in a small community with few other opportunities, for example. The pressures in this section are all negative – there was a distinction between a threat and an inducement, and the distinction that consent was obtained by coercion. Promising rewards for sex did not prevent free agreement being given – it was unlikely to be a coercive situation. We did consider whether the qualification of harm or detriment (as serious) was necessary, and concluded that it was – rape is a very serious crime with very heavy penalty. However, the seriousness of the harm or detriment should relate to the perception of the victim: the decision whether or not to agree was theirs. We have recommended a lesser offence for procuring sex by threat or deception (see para 2.16.1) to deal with other situations where there were more minor threats.

• Where a person was asleep, unconscious, or too affected by alcohol or drugs to give free agreement;

All these are situations where the victim would be unable to give free agreement.

• Where a person did not understand the nature of the act, whether because they lacked the capacity to understand, or were deceived as to the purpose of the act;

This would cover both where the victim lacked the capacity to understand the act\(^\text{14}\) or submitted because they were persuaded that it was necessary for other purposes – a medical examination for instance.

• Where the person was mistaken or deceived as to the identity of the person or the nature of the act;

Consent that is given under these situations is obtained by deceit, or by taking advantage of a mistake. The victim may think, for example, that it is her husband or partner who has slipped into bed with her; she would consent to sex with him but not with the defendant – who took advantage of her mistake. The free agreement was to have sex with one particular person, not the one who was present and impersonating another.

• Where the person submits or was unable to resist because they are abducted or unlawfully detained;

If a person has been abducted or detained then they are not in a position to give free agreement; the entire situation is coercive.

• Where agreement is expressed by a third party not the victim.

Free agreement is an issue between sexual partners and cannot be given by others, whether husbands, partners or those in authority over the complainant.

\(^{14}\) This is discussed further, and capacity defined, in Chapter 4.
Recommendation 6: The law should include a non-exhaustive list of examples of where consent is not present such as where a person:

- submits or is unable to resist because of force or fear of force;
- submits because of threats or fear of serious harm or serious detriment of any type to themselves or another person;
- was asleep, unconscious, or too affected by alcohol or drugs to give free agreement;
- did not understand the purpose of the act, whether because they lacked the capacity to understand, or were deceived as to the purpose of the act;
- was mistaken or deceived as to the identity of the person or the nature of the act;
- submits or is unable to resist because they are abducted or unlawfully detained;
- has agreement given for them by a third party.

2.11 Judges’ Directions

2.11.1 We considered whether juries needed extra help on the meaning of consent, and whether it would be useful for there to be standard directions for judges on the meaning of consent. We also wondered whether any such directions should be put into statute. At present judges’ directions on any issue of law are generally collected from examples of good practice and circulated to all judges. However, in an area of the law that is fraught with misunderstanding there are good arguments for putting the essence of the direction in a standard direction. If that is then in statute law it is clear beyond dispute to judges and citizens.

2.11.2 The state of Victoria introduced statutory directions in 1991 as a step towards increasing consistency in the application of the law, and to formalise good practice. Placing the directions in statute also had the advantage of making the issues to be considered in a rape trial clear and accessible to the public. When the law was evaluated by the Law Commission in Victoria\(^\text{15}\), these directions were well received. The only real problem identified was that judges sometimes gave all the directions in cases when only some were relevant. That was a matter of practice rather than principle. Indeed some comments to the review indicated that jury members appreciate a greater degree of clarification and explanation from the judge.

2.11.3 The Australian Model Criminal Code (a federal project) adopted the same approach and recommended a direction that is very similar to that used in Victoria but amended to ensure that it did not establish a presumption of a lack of consent and hence to tilt the balance too far away from the defendant. In a relevant case, a judge is required to direct the jury that:

“\(^{(1)}\) a person is not to be regarded as consenting to a sexual act just because:
- The person did not say or do anything to indicate they did not consent, or
- The person did not protest or physically resist;
- The person did not sustain physical injury;
- on that or an earlier occasion, the person had consented to engage in a sexual act (whether or not of the same type) with that person or a sexual act with another person”.

2.11.4 The review thought that the example successfully established in Victoria and refined in the Model Code provided a useful tool in explaining issues to a jury, and of ensuring that a jury did not assume that submission was consent. We also thought that it was helpful to shift the consideration away from what was in the victim's mind, in order to focus attention on what the accused did to ascertain whether there was free agreement. We did not want to establish any presumption of lack of consent, and so preferred the kind of approach adopted in the Model Code, but thought that it should be considered in detail before being adopted for use in England and Wales.

2.11.5 In looking at how any model direction should be framed we took into account the proposals we have developed and the need for any direction to be as clear and accessible as possible, avoiding the use of double negatives. We also thought that it should be made absolutely clear that a model direction was a tool to be used as appropriate in cases – all may be needed in some cases and only one element in others. The use of consistent phrasing for these important concepts would help both judges and juries, and a uniform delivery of justice. We thought a suitable example of a direction could be:

"In deciding whether the complainant freely agreed to sexual intercourse on the occasion in question you should not assume:

- that the complainant did freely agree just because they did not say or do anything;
- that the complainant did freely agree just because they did not protest or physically resist;
- that the complainant did freely agree just because they were not physically injured, etc."

2.11.6 We thought that such a model direction should be adopted and that there were good arguments for it to be available in a statutory form. A statutory direction would have been scrutinised by Parliament, openly debated and available to a wider public, and we thought these were all good arguments. However, we recognised that this is an unusual course in England and Wales where standard directions are issued by the Judicial Studies Board. We have been concerned throughout this exercise to ensure that the public were able to see and understand what the law means in practice and we think that a standard direction along these lines will make an important contribution to consistency and understanding in these trials. Although we recommend a standard direction rather than a statutory direction, we think that consideration should be given as to whether it could and should be in statute.

**Recommendation 7:** There should be a standard direction on the meaning of consent and consideration should be given as to whether this should be placed in statute.

2.12 The Mental Element

*Intentional and Reckless Rape*

2.12.1 The present law allows a man to be found guilty of rape if he has intentionally had sexual intercourse without consent or when he was reckless as to consent. The review considered whether these were the appropriate standards to apply and concluded that broadly they were. Rape is a very serious offence, and the criminal law is quite rightly reluctant to apply a test of negligence to very serious offences, unless there is a clear responsibility or duty of care on one party which had not been fulfilled.
2.12.2 A more difficult question is the precise meaning to be given to intentional and reckless. The Government proposed, in the consultation paper “Violence. Reforming the Offences Against the Person Act 1861”\textsuperscript{16} to adopt definitions for intent and recklessness based on those the Law Commission recommended in their report “Offences Against the Person and General Principles”\textsuperscript{17} in 1993. In codifying the common law definitions of intent and recklessness in the law that applies to offences such as assault and causing injury/serious injury (to replace assault occasioning actual bodily harm, or grievous bodily harm), there is an inevitable read across to sex offences. Once enacted these definitions would provide the template for use in all offences against the person whether of physical violence or sexual violation. We therefore thought we should consider whether those definitions were the right ones for sex offences.

2.12.3 The proposed definitions are:

\textit{Intent}

“A person acts intentionally with respect to a result if:

(a) it is his purpose to cause it,

(b) or although it is not his purpose to cause it, he knows that it would occur in the ordinary course of events if he was succeed in his purpose of causing some other result.”

\textit{Recklessness}

“A person acts recklessly with respect to a result if he is aware of a risk that it will occur and it is unreasonable to take that risk having regard to the circumstances as he knows or believes them to be.”

The definition of intent set out in the first part (a) is clearly relevant and apposite for sex offences; the second (b) is relevant to assaults that cause injury as a secondary outcome (for example pushing someone over where they would fall under a passing car) but is not directly relevant to sex offences. Overall, the definition of intent fits into the context of the mental element in sex offences. The definition of recklessness is trickier. It is a subjective definition, which is appropriate and has a broad relevance to sex offences, which are, like assaults that result in injury, offences against the person. However, as the essence of most sex offences is the absence of consent, it is essential to consider the extent to which the accused gave any thought to consent as part of recklessness.

2.12.4 Recklessness in sex offences is recklessness as to the consent of the victim rather than as to the deed. The definition of recklessness proposed for offences against the person is insufficient for the purposes of sex offences. The law needs to state very clearly that the accused is liable if they did not give any thought to consent or could not care less about the victim’s consent. The Australian Model Criminal Code Officers’ Committee proposals (which apply a similar recklessness test to offences against the person as proposed here) extends the definition of recklessness in the context of penetrative sexual offences beyond that for offences against the person by adding:

“being reckless to a lack of consent includes not giving any thought to whether the other person is consenting…”

\textsuperscript{16} The Stationery Office 1998 603764.

\textsuperscript{17} LC 218.
2.12.5 We thought that it was necessary to ensure that our law reflected the full extent of recklessness as to consent in sex offences, and that the definition given in the proposals on offences against the person are not sufficient for this purpose. We were told by judges at our seminar for legal practitioners that they described recklessness in rape as "could not care less about consent". They suggested that this was effective and readily understood. The Law Commission paper on 'Consent in Sex Offences' also thought that "could not care less" was a form of recklessness.

2.12.6 We agree that this phrase encapsulates the meaning well. A person who could not care less about consent is rightly regarded as reckless. A person who fails to take all the steps which are reasonable in the circumstances to find out if there is free agreement on the occasion in question could not care less about the other person's consent and is therefore reckless. We consider that this should be included in any definition in law.

Recommendation 8: Rape/sexual assault by penetration may be committed intentionally or recklessly and the definition of recklessness in sex offences should include the lack of any thought as to consent; this can be described as "could not care less about consent".

2.13 Honest Belief in Consent

2.13.1 The question of honest, albeit mistaken, belief in consent, is used as a defence in court, and rouses strong passions in those responding to the review, and amongst the members of the review. About a third of the representations we received on rape argued that the decision in Morgan (described above in para 2.2.4 and footnote 2) should be reversed to an honest and reasonable belief. The seminar on rape also unanimously concluded that Morgan needed to be changed. The External Reference Group of the review, which advised the Steering Group, endorsed the view that the Morgan judgement should be set aside and a requirement of reasonableness be re-introduced into the law.

2.13.2 This issue is often discussed in theoretical terms: for instance, in terms of rape, the extent to which criminality depends on the state of mind of the accused, and whether or not he should be found guilty of a crime that he did not intend to commit. The law at present does not require the reasonableness of a defendant's belief to be tested (although other tests are possible) so making it possible for a defendant to claim he held a completely irrational but honest belief in the consent of the woman: if this is upheld, he must be acquitted. In terms of subjectivist legal principle this is right. In terms of social policy, it makes some very large assumptions. By allowing the belief of the accused to be paramount, the law risks saying to a victim/survivor who feels violated and betrayed that they were not really the victim of crime, and that what they thought, said or did was immaterial. It is seen to validate male assumptions that they can assume consent without asking. It is an issue that utterly divides opinion, and divided those of us undertaking the review.

2.13.3 Internationally the issue divides common law jurisdictions. No US state has ever extended the subjective bias towards the defendant as far as Morgan, and the honest belief of the defendant is subject to a test of reasonableness. Some have limited its use even further, California for example, limits its use to situations where the complainant's behaviour was "equivocal". 18 In Australia the common law states (ACT, Victoria, NSW and South Australia) uphold the subjective test set out in Morgan. Indeed Victoria and South Australia adopted the subjective approach before Morgan. Those states which adopted their Criminal Codes in the 1920s (Tasmania, Queensland, W Australia) have retained the pre-Morgan position of an objective test of reasonableness on the defendant's honest belief. The Model Criminal Code proposals argue for retaining honest belief. New Zealand has reversed Morgan. They have developed what they call a "subjective and objective test" - the defendant could hold a subjective honest belief but that belief is subject to an objective test of reasonableness.

18 Williams.
2.13.4 In the UK, the Law Commission reviewed the mens rea for rape in detail in their consultation paper No 139 (Consent in the Criminal Law: Chapter 7) and their view was that the Morgan rule should be qualified by an objective test, and they sought views on that. They said: "we think it would be remarkable if the Morgan rule did not sometimes have the effect of encouraging a jury to accept a bogus defence". In their policy paper to the review, the Law Commission\(^\text{10}\) now recommend that honest belief should be preserved but that judges should direct the jury to the effect that in judging whether honest belief is genuine they should have regard as to whether he sought to ascertain consent, and that if his belief in consent arose from self-induced intoxication, it is not a defence.

2.13.5 The review spent many careful hours discussing this issue. We looked at the present subjectivist view and were all agreed that it could not be retained in its current form. We then looked at a variety of solutions to try to ensure that the defendant is not compromised but the victim is ensured justice. The first thing we established was that in practice, the defence of honest albeit mistaken belief in consent is usually run in tandem with consent. We could not find evidence of it being critical in a trial. The Sexual Offences (Amendment) Act 1976 requires the jury to have regard to the "presence or absence of reasonable grounds for such a belief... in considering whether he so believed". We noted research by the Law Commission in Victoria to try to determine whether it was more difficult to convict if the belief was run as a defence. In a study of 53 prosecutions, the defendant's belief was relevant in 23% of cases. 6% used mistaken belief as a primary defence; 17% as part of a defence. Of the 12 cases, 6 were convicted (50%). It is impossible to tell whether putting an objective test onto the reasonableness of that belief would have made a difference in the remaining 6 cases.

2.13.6 The arguments given for the full subjective test are:

- The law should punish people not just for what they did but for what they intended to do. This underlies most modern law, and underpins for example the distinction between intentional killing being charged as murder whilst a death that results from poor driving, although deeply tragic, is not regarded as so blame-worthy because there was no specific intent, and in terms of the law is a less serious offence.

- A test of reasonableness is applying external standards. Should a person be found guilty of a very serious crime because they did not apply the same personal standards of reasonableness as those who determined the accused's guilt or innocence? Is it right to apply external standards when the accused did not think they were doing wrong, for whatever cultural or other factors? What if they did not have the capacity to realise there was no consent?

- How should a reasonableness test be applied? Does it have to be reasonable for a person of the same class, culture or level of intelligence? If so does this not risk accentuating and perpetuating stereotypes about behaviour?

- The nature of the belief and its reasonableness or lack of it are issues to be tested by evidence on the facts of the case. The testing of the nature of the belief by the prosecution is an essential part of the case.

2.13.7 The arguments against the subjective test are:

- It implicitly authorises the assumption of consent, regardless of the views of the victim, or whatever they say or do.

\(^{10}\) Consent in Sex Offences: Vol 2 Appendix C.
• It encourages people to adhere to myths about sexual behaviour and in particular that all women like to be overborne by a dominant male, and that “no” really means “yes”. It undermines the fundamental concept of sexual autonomy.

• The mistaken belief arises in a situation where it is easy to seek consent and the cost to the victim of the forced penetration is very high. It is not unfair to any person to make them take care that their partner is consenting and be at risk of a prosecution if they do not do so.

• There is no justice in a situation whereby a woman (or a man) who has been raped in fact (because she or he did not consent) sees an assailant go free because of a belief system that society as a whole would find unreasonable – for example that he saw some or all women (or women of certain types) as sexual objects.

• It is easy to raise the defence but hard to prove it.

• The Youth Justice and Criminal Evidence Act 1999 limits the use of a complainant’s sexual history in court. One of the exceptional cases where it may be introduced is when the defence of honest belief in consent is raised and sexual history is relevant to that belief. The concern is that this provision will significantly increase the use of the honest belief defence because that would open the door to introducing the element of previous sexual history as part of the defence, allowing cross-examination of the complainant on this issue.

2.13.8 In balancing these arguments, there was a disagreement between the External Reference Group who unanimously wanted the law restoring to its pre-Morgan state of requiring any honest belief in consent to be subject to a test of reasonableness, and the Steering Group. The Steering Group did not take the ERG’s advice on this issue but identified an effective way of fettering an inappropriate use of honest belief, without re-introducing the external test of reasonableness that the courts had rejected.

2.13.9 The Steering Group aimed to ensure that their proposals gave proper weight to the victim’s need for justice while maintaining the golden thread of the presumption of innocence of the defendant and ensuring that he was convicted for what he intended to do. It was essential that the law should be acceptable to the public, and to give victims and the wider public confidence that the law offers protection. We thought this confidence was lacking at present. The review is recommending changes to the law to define the meaning of consent as free agreement, and setting out when consent is not present. The Steering Group thought that these changes would create a rather different dynamic in rape cases where it would be more difficult to run a spurious defence of honest belief. The best way forward was to limit the use of the defence of honest belief in a way that fitted with our broader proposals, emphasised the importance of free agreement and made it much harder to run a dishonest defence.

2.13.10 The Steering Group was very attracted to the Canadian solution to this very difficult problem. In Canada the law retains an honest but mistaken belief defence but fetters when it can be used in a way that ties in with the definition of consent. The intention was to introduce an “air of reality” into the use of a defence that relies on establishing what was happening in the defendant’s mind at the time of the offence. The Canadian Criminal Code states:

273.2 “It is not a defence....where

(a) the accused’s belief arose from the accused’s
   (i) self induced intoxication
   (ii) recklessness or willful blindness: or

(b) the accused did not take reasonable steps, in the circumstances known to him at
   the time, to ascertain that the complainant was consenting”
2.13.11 This provides several useful concepts with which to moderate the dishonest or inappropriate use of the defence of honest belief. The requirement on the accused to have to have considered the issue of consent in order to provide a defence of honest belief is particularly important. The accused cannot invoke the defence unless they proved that they took all reasonable steps, in the circumstances known to them at the time, to ascertain whether the complainant was consenting. This undermines the belief that a defendant can make large assumptions about the attitude of the complainant and should mean, for example, in situations where a defendant thinks that all women fight, or say no when they mean yes, they had not sought free agreement at the time.

2.13.12 Self-induced intoxication because of drink or drugs does not reduce the criminal liability of a defendant, and this is set out in the Majewski Rules. A defendant is liable for his actions if he has voluntarily become drunk or high – because that was a matter of his own choice. He must be responsible for any consequences that flow from his actions when drunk or high. As drink and drugs are often an element in cases of rape, and we are concerned that the law should be clear, then it seems to be important to set out the principle in this context.

2.13.13 Recklessness is already an element of the offence of rape, and as discussed in paras 2.11.5 above, anyone who is so reckless as to consent that he did not seek to take all the steps that were reasonable in the circumstances to find out whether he had free agreement would not under our proposals be able to argue that he had an honest belief in consent. As an example, if a defendant’s belief in consent is based on the complainant’s past sexual behaviour with others, and he took no steps to ascertain whether there was free agreement to sex at the time, he could not care less whether she was consenting and was therefore reckless.

2.13.14 A further important point to ensure is that in retaining an honest belief in consent defence, in future any belief in consent will have to be a belief in free agreement – our definition of consent. The use of the qualifying conditions for the use of any belief in free agreement (i.e. that it was based on self-intoxication, arose from recklessness and that they did not take reasonable steps to ascertain free agreement) would create some sensible safeguards, while enabling the use of a defence when it is genuinely relevant. Accordingly we recommend that the defence of honest belief should be expressed in terms of free agreement, and be subject to limitations as to its use. This does not impose an external and objective requirement of reasonableness on the defendant, as our External Reference Group wanted, but it does reinterpret the doctrine of honest belief as set out by the House of Lords in the Morgan judgement, and provides new conditions for its use. The External Reference Group fully support this proposal, but would like to see it linked to a separate requirement that any belief in consent should be reasonable.

**Recommendation 9:** A defence of honest belief in free agreement should not be available where there was self induced intoxication, recklessness as to consent, or if the accused did not take all reasonable steps in the circumstances to ascertain free agreement at the time.

- We invite your views on this proposal, and more generally on the requirement that any belief in consent should be reasonable.

2.14 Sexual Assault

2.14.1 The present offence of indecent assault is one that applies to a variety of behaviour done without consent from unwelcome groping to some kinds of penetration. In making its proposals for new offences the review has recommended new offences to deal with the most serious types of behaviour. However, that still leaves a range of unacceptable behaviour, including "frottage" (rubbing up against someone else in a sexual manner) on the Tube, fondling and groping to quite serious assaults. All of these are distressing to the victim because there is a clear sexual intention,
and they are often directed at the more sensitive and private parts of the body or carried out by the use of the private parts of the perpetrator.

2.14.2 The review did consider whether the offence should be described as sexual touching, as in other parts of the world, but decided that it was better to retain the concept of an assault, which is being codified in the Government's proposals for the reform of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861\(^2\). We wanted to retain the concept of an assault, because it includes not only the touching element but also behaviour which puts the victim in fear of force of some kind (i.e. where no touch takes place). It was important not to diminish the importance of the offence of sexual assault. An offence that may not include a severe assault could include a high level of fear, coercion, degradation and harm inflicted on victims.

2.14.3 The present offence requires three elements to be proved – the fact of an assault, the conditions of indecency and the indecent intention and the lack of consent. This is a complex set of requirements. There have also been legal arguments about whether it is necessary to prove a hostile intent, but the proposed definition of assault does not require this. In the circumstances of an indecent assault, hostility is not a helpful concept. Any unlawful touching must by definition be hostile to the victim because it was without consent. The more difficult question is how we should seek to define the behaviour that is to be caught by the new offence. We have recommended new offences for penetrative assaults. This removes some of the most serious penetrative assaults from indecent assault, but an offence is needed to deal with unwanted sexual touching. There are a number of elements to this:

- It is the lack of consent to the touching that makes it an offence. While touching areas of another's body that are sensitive and generally regarded as private may be acceptable in certain circumstances, such as close physical relationships, this is not acceptable as part of everyday life.
- The new formulation provides for intent or recklessness as to an assault. For indecent/sexual assault, there should also be intent or recklessness in relation to the lack of consent. This would provide the necessary mens rea for the offence and remove the need to prove an indecent intention.

2.14.4 The review was concerned not to use very broad terms such as indecency in offences, preferring instead to frame clearer and more specific offences. If we are not to use the concept of indecency in an assault, we need to be clear about the type of proscribed behaviour. We could define by body parts (breasts/buttocks/genitalia) but that seems inflexible. A better alternative is to define sexual touching as behaviour that a reasonable person would consider to be sexual (as in the abuse of trust offence in the Sexual Offences (Amendment) Bill). Putting that and the wider definition of assault above, close encounters on the Tube may form part of the everyday material of life but "frottage" in the Underground would clearly be an offence.

| Recommendation 10: There should be a new offence of sexual assault to cover sexual touching (defined as behaviour that a reasonable bystander would consider to be sexual) that is done without the consent of the victim. |

\(^2\) The offence of assault in the Government's proposals for reforming Offences Against the Person is expressed as:

"(1) A person is guilty of an offence if:

(i) he intentionally or recklessly applies force to or causes an impact on the body of another; or

(ii) he intentionally or recklessly causes the other to believe that any such force or impact is imminent.

(2) No such offence is committed if the force or impact, not being intended or likely to cause injury, is in the circumstances such as is generally acceptable in the ordinary conduct of daily life and the defendant does not know or believe that it is in fact unacceptable to the other person."
2.14.5 The law presently does not permit a child under 16 or a "defective" to consent to an indecent assault. The review decided to continue that presumption, and detailed proposals relating to children and vulnerable people are set out in the next two Chapters.

2.15 Assault to Commit Rape
2.15.1 The common law used to contain two parallel offences of assault to commit rape and assault to commit buggery. When the law was codified in the nineteenth century the "assault to commit buggery" offence was put into statute, and assault to commit rape was not. (The reasoning is not clear; it may have been an oversight.) Assault to commit buggery is now found in S16 of the 1956 Act. Assault to commit rape remains a common law offence, but has become unusable because of arguments about its status, and it has for all practical purposes ceased to exist.

2.15.2 This is a genuine problem. The law of attempts requires that in order to charge attempted rape, the action has to be "more than merely preparatory" to the offence. Assaulting a victim with the intent to rape only becomes an attempted rape at a fairly late stage, quite close to penetration. The intent of the assault may however be quite clear to the victim who, even if no rape has occurred, is left deeply affected. The kind of assault to which this offence could apply is where someone is attacked, dragged into an alley and clothing is ripped off with all the preparatory moves towards a rape, but the assailant is discovered and dragged off before it has become, legally, an attempted rape. The victim suffers far greater fear and trauma than for a non-sexual assault because the intent to rape was clear and the terror and trauma suffered is related to that. It may be comparable to an actual rape.

2.15.3 It seems only right that an assault with intent to commit rape or sexual assault by penetration is a serious offence and much more significant in terms of its effect on the victim than a similar level of violence in a straightforward assault. Judges and practitioners told us that there was a real gap in the protection of the law. A new offence is therefore necessary to replace the present S16 and the common law offence that has fallen into disuse. We think however that a new offence should apply to the two most serious new non-consensual penetrative sex offences – rape and sexual assault by penetration.

Recommendation 11: There should be a new offence of assault to commit rape or sexual assault by penetration.

2.16 Burglary with Intent to Rape
2.16.1 The Theft Act 1969 contains an aggravated burglary offence of burglary with intent to rape in s9. This is an important element in the law on rape as it covers the situation where someone may break into a house (or office or other private place) "with the intent of having sexual intercourse with the person within, if possible with consent but if not then by committing rape". The rape does not have to take place for the offence to be committed. Even if no rape occurs, the trauma of being seriously threatened with rape by an intruder in your own bedroom or workplace, where you think you are safe, is profound. The offence in the Theft Act is a very serious one, carrying a maximum sentence of 14 years imprisonment.

2.16.2 We considered whether this offence should be left as an aggravated burglary, or whether it was a sex offence. We concluded that the essence of the crime was the sexual intent rather than the burglary, and that hence it should be regarded as a sex offence. We thought that there was a

---

risk that being tucked away in the Theft Act, it was an offence that could be overlooked. We also noted that it did not carry a requirement to register under the Sex Offenders Act at present.

2.16.3 The existing offence of burglary with intent to rape would need to be redefined to take account of our proposals to reform the law of serious sex offences. In order to differentiate our new offence, we thought that the word trespass was preferable to burglary - and covers the same elements of unwanted intrusion. We also thought that as the Intent to commit a sex offence was central to the offence, the redefinition should apply to trespass with intent to commit any serious sex offence - rape, sexual assault by penetration, sexual assault or adult sexual abuse of a child - and that it should be codified with other sex offences.

Recommendation 12: A new sex offence of trespass with intent to commit a serious sex offence should replace burglary with intent to rape.

2.17 Abduction with intent to commit a serious sex offence

2.17.1 We have argued above that abduction or detention are situations in which the ability to give free agreement to sex would not normally be present. In discussion we also recognised that abducting someone, adult or child, for sexual purposes is very dangerous offending behaviour which comes high on any scale of seriousness. It can be part of a pattern of very serious sexual offending. However, just as in assault to commit rape and burglary to commit rape, there can be situations where following an abduction the rape or sexual assault was clearly intended but did not actually take place. We thought that there was a strong argument for a specific offence of abduction with intent to rape in order to match the offence to the nature of the crime. However it would be important to establish that the intent could apply to any of the serious sex offences we propose, and the new offence should be abduction with intent to commit a serious sex offence - again defined as rape, sexual assault by penetration, sexual assault or adult sexual abuse of a child.

2.17.2 This offence would replace s17 of the 1956 Act which concerns abduction for the purposes of unlawful sexual intercourse anywhere in the world. We thought that it was important to ensure that we did not lose the element of protection which that offence extends to those abducted to be taken abroad. We were particularly concerned about the potential for girls to be taken in order to be forced into marriage or a sexual relationship abroad, and thought that the law ought to continue to offer a specific remedy. A forced marriage is not valid, and forced sex within that marriage would be likely to count as rape. Our new offence of abduction to commit a sexual offence should be available for use for those abductions where the intention is to take the victim abroad.

Recommendation 13: There should be a new offence of abduction with the intent to commit a serious sex offence.

2.18 Obtaining Sexual Intercourse by Threats or Deception

2.18.1 We have argued that rape and other sexual offences are essentially offences of lack of consent. We have also considered what kinds of threats and deceptions are serious enough to vitiate consent and would lead to the commission of the crime of rape or sexual assault by penetration. The present law contains a set of offences that relate to obtaining sex by threat or false pretences in ss 2 and 3 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 which to a certain extent overlap with other offences that we have considered.
• S2 is about procuring a woman by threat to have sexual intercourse in any part of the world;
• S3 about procuring a woman by false pretences for sexual intercourse in any part of the world.

2.18.2 These offences are very rarely used, possibly because the penalty is only 2 years. However that is not in itself sufficient reason to say that they are no longer needed. Their origin may have lain in concern about the white slave trade but there are still very valid concerns that should be addressed. People may be sought for work in holiday resorts abroad that then turns out to be sex work. They are recruited by deception and this kind of behaviour needs to be caught by the law. These offences can be used to deal with the supply end of the trafficking trade where threats or deception are involved. However these offences are not limited to prostitution nor to sex with third parties. Are such offences still needed?

2.18.3 We have included the use of threat of serious harm or detriment in our examples of situations where consent is not present. Our definition of rape includes any threat of serious harm to the person or another, so should the use of less serious threats in order to achieve some form of consent (otherwise it would clearly be rape) be a separate offence? The CLRC (who sought to separate out an immediate threat of harm, justifying a charge of rape, from wider or longer term threats, which, they thought, be dealt with by a more serious s2) recommended retaining the offence with an enhanced penalty. Our definition of consent may remove some of the CLRC purpose from this offence. That however leaves a broad band of behaviour where quite a low level of threat may induce a supposed consent that was not genuine, particularly if the victim was young or vulnerable to suggestion.

2.18.4 The second of the two existing offences relates to procuring intercourse by false pretences – for which today we would rather use the more precise term of deception. In the Theft Act deception means any deception, whether deliberate or reckless, by words or conduct and as to fact or as to law. It also includes a deception as to the intentions of the person. We envisage that this kind of deception is of a lower level or a different kind than in our list of situations where consent is absent (which includes deception as to the identity of the person, or the nature or purpose of the act) and could relate to going through a sham ceremony of marriage.

2.18.5 A modern gender-neutral replacement offence of both the existing offences could be of "obtaining sexual penetration by threats or deception", and it too could have a broad international application. This could be helpful in the context of forced marriages, where a girl taken abroad may go willingly because she is deceived as to the purpose of her visit: it turns out not to be a holiday to visit relatives but an unwelcome marriage. We recognise the evidential difficulties, and that it may not be appropriate to use the criminal law in such situations. We thought it important that the law contained a remedy that could be used in appropriate cases, and that it gave a clear message that such behaviour was wrong and unacceptable.

2.18.6 The offence could also be used in situations such as the advertising in the UK for waitresses or entertainers overseas, when the real requirement is to provide sex.

2.18.7 We were particularly concerned to ensure that the law provided a remedy for some of the problems we were told of by those who care for people with learning disabilities, where low levels of threat or deception can be used to induce sex. We thought that this new offence could provide a remedy but that an alternative would be to add a new offence of "using threat or deception to procure sex with a person with learning disabilities" (discussed in Chapter 4).

Recommenadtion 14: There should be an offence of obtaining sexual penetration by threats or deception in any part of the world.
2.19 Using drugs to stupefy or overpower in order to have sex

2.19.1 S4 of the 1956 Act provides an offence that applies to anyone who gives any “drug, matter or thing” to a woman which will stupefy or overpower her so that any man can have sexual intercourse with her. The offence is intended to deal with the use of spiked drinks, excess alcohol or any other substance which would render a woman unable to resist sex. Any person who administers the drug would be liable, whether or not they are the person who wishes or does have sex with her.

2.19.2 This is an important offence. The behaviour that it catches is not the mutual enjoyment of alcohol (or other substances) that may be a precursor to sex but the cold-blooded administration of a knock-out substance in order to exploit and take advantage of another. The advantage of this offence is that it catches third parties, and does not rely on a rape actually happening. (And if a person is made insensible by drink or drugs then any sex that happens is rape.) There is very real concern about the use of drugs and alcohol to enable rape to take place and we did not want to leave any gap in the law for those who use these methods to slip through the net. We also thought that the law should remain widely drafted to enable any new methods of mental or psychological control to be caught. We also thought it was important for the law to be absolutely clear that such behaviour was totally unacceptable and definitely criminal.

2.19.3 We recommend this offence should be retained, made gender-neutral to give protection to both men and women, and that it should apply to an intention to sexually penetrate the victim.

Recommendation 15: An offence of administering drugs (etc.) with intent to stupefy a victim in order that they are sexually penetrated should be retained.

2.20 Compelling sexual acts

2.20.1 One aspect of sexual behaviour which is potentially very serious, and clearly criminal, is that of compelling others to carry out sexual acts against their will. It is possible, for example, for someone to force another person to perform a sexual act on themselves, the compeller or a third party. That act is not voluntary – it may indeed be a criminal act such as sexual assault or even rape or sexual assault by penetration. The compeller may want sexual acts performed on him or herself, want the person to masturbate in front of them, or to perform acts with or on a third person, or even on or with an animal. The law should be able to state very clearly that compelling others to do such acts against their will is an offence and that the guilt lies with the person who compels the act rather than his or her immediate victims. We had evidence of incidents of forced masturbation which was accompanied by the threat that the victim was committing a crime of indecent assault, but that the compeller was not doing anything wrong. We have also noted concerns about women who compel men to penetrate them. We do not regard that as rape, but as a serious assault on the man’s sexual autonomy. We think that compelled penetration should be caught by this new offence. In its 1984 report the CLRC noted that there was no specific provision that applied when a man compelled his wife to perform acts of bestiality, and recommended a new offence to fill this gap. We have also recommended that compelling children to do sexual acts should form part of our sexual abuse of a child offence in Chapter 3.

2.20.2 We looked at some proposals for law reform from abroad. The Australian Model Criminal Code Officers’ Committee proposals on sex offences propose new offences of compelling sexual acts. These cover the situation where a perpetrator compels a second person either to perform a sexual act on the perpetrator, on him or herself, on a third party or with an animal. The offences are divided into a penetrative offence and an indecent touching (sexual assault) offence. They make no recommendation about offensive behaviour that does not involve touching
like compelling someone to witness sexual acts (such as indecent exposure) which they regard as more minor and summary only, and so outside their remit. The South African Law Commission recommends a very similar offence.

2.20.3 We thought that there were very strong arguments for having specific offences that deal with compulsion. These situations are a peculiarly nasty form of victimisation that depends on an abuse of power and control. They override the sexual autonomy of the compelled person, who may be forced to commit an offence themselves. An act of compulsion must mean that the compelled person did not consent. Any offence would therefore rely on proof that the compellor knew that the victim did not consent, or was reckless (including that they did not care less) as to their lack of consent, including giving no thought to whether or not the person consented. This offence would also deal with the gap in the law identified by the CLRC.

2.20.4 We also thought that it would be necessary to structure any new offence to reflect the seriousness of the compelled acts. Although compelling another to do sexual acts is intrinsically serious, it does vary in severity according to the nature of the compelled acts. A compelled touching may be comparatively minor, whilst compelling sexual penetration would be very serious. We thought therefore that there could be two offences with different penalties:

- a more serious offence of compelling sexual penetration of a person or an animal by a person, an object or an animal; and
- an offence of compelling other sexual acts (including sexual touching).

**Recommendation 16:** There should be new offences of compelling another to perform sexual acts, with several levels of seriousness depending on the nature of the compelled acts.
Chapter Three

Children

3.1 Introduction

3.1.1 Our task was to ensure that the law offered robust and comprehensive protection, as required by our terms of reference. The risks to children of sexual abuse, particularly from people they know, are now better understood than ever before. Child protection procedures and multi-agency approaches have been developed and improved, as have procedural and evidential changes to enable children to give evidence in court. This chapter considers the substantive offences against children; other chapters deal with rape and sexual assault (chapter 2), abuse in the family (chapter 5) and trafficking and sexual exploitation (chapter 7). In developing our proposals we worked within a framework of national and international law, notably the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child which requires that children, because of their physical and mental immaturity, need special safeguards and care, including appropriate legal protection.

3.1.2 The criminal law performs a vital role in society by setting standards of acceptable and unacceptable conduct. In making certain types of sexual behaviour criminal, the law provides protection, and supports and maintains the boundaries of acceptable behaviour in the family and community. Children need particular protection in the field of sexual relations because they are physically and emotionally dependent and not yet fully physically or psychologically mature. The law has long held that children are not, and should not, be able to consent to any form of sexual activity in the same way as adults.

3.1.3 We know much more about the patterns of child abuse than we did even ten years ago. Public attitudes have changed from disbelief to a horrified recognition of the level of abuse, and a realisation that it is both adults and other children who can abuse. The response to our initial invitation to contribute ideas of January 199922 overwhelmingly supported increasing the level of protection available to children from sexual abuse.

3.1.4 The criminal law also sets the age of consent to sexual activity. This protects children from adults, but also affects sexual activity between children. We thought long and hard about the application of the criminal law to such activity, recognising that this could range from enthusiastic to non-consensual. We also had in mind the cross-Governmental strategy to reduce the amount of teenage pregnancy.

3.1.5 In the previous chapter we have recommended a set of serious sex offences of rape, sexual assault by penetration and sexual assault. These must also be used, as appropriate, for cases involving children. Rape is rape whatever the age of the victim. We thought there were overwhelmingly strong arguments for a set of specific sex offences involving children to mark their particular need for protection, and society’s disapproval of adult sexual activity with children. The law already provides some specific offences that relate to sexual activity with children. This chapter discusses the limitations of the present law and how we recommend these offences should be reframed.

3.1.6 Our general policy is to have clear, gender neutral offences to enable the law to deal appropriately with all those who abuse children, whether the abusers are male or female and the victims are boys or girls.

3.2 Problems in the current law

3.2.1 The major sexual offences that relate specifically to children are:

Sexual Offences Act 1956

s5. **Intercourse with a girl under thirteen** – Makes it illegal for a man (including a boy) to have intercourse with a girl under the age of thirteen. (Maximum penalty life imprisonment.)

s6(1). **Intercourse with a girl under sixteen** – Makes it illegal for a man (including a boy) to have sexual intercourse with a girl under the age of sixteen. (Maximum penalty two years’ imprisonment.)

s12. **Buggery** – Anal intercourse with a boy or girl under the age of eighteen\(^{23}\) is illegal. (Maximum penalty for buggery with a child under 16 is life.)

s13. **Indecency between men** – Consensual homosexual acts with a boy under eighteen\(^{23}\) are illegal. (Maximum penalty 5 years’ imprisonment.)

s14. **Indecent assault on a woman** – a girl under sixteen cannot in law give any consent which would prevent an act being an assault. (Maximum penalty ten years’ imprisonment.)

s15. **Indecent assault on a man** – a boy under sixteen cannot in law give any consent which would prevent an act being an assault. (Maximum penalty ten years’ imprisonment.)

Indecency with Children Act 1960

s1. **Indecent conduct towards young child** – Makes it illegal to commit an act of gross indecency with or towards a child under the age of fourteen, or to incite a child under 14 to commit such an act. (Maximum penalty 10 years)

3.2.2 These offences do seem to provide comprehensive protection, but they are open to criticism on a number of grounds:

- There are separate and different offences committed against boys and girls, (and for men and women who have sex with children);

- There are differing defences and case law applying to the different offences;

- The level of penalties are out of kilter (e.g. usi with a girl under the age of 16 years carries a maximum penalty of 2 years, yet indecent assault on a girl of the same age carries a maximum penalty of 10 years);

- There are a variety of age thresholds for different offences;

- Time limits on when prosecutions can take place apply to certain offences;

- Some offences are hardly used.

---

\(^{23}\) The Sexual Offences (Amendment) Bill proposes equalising the age to 16.
3.2.3 The present structure of offences has been built up over time, and added to piecemeal primarily in order to protect girls and boys from older men. It does not form a coherent code, nor one that reflects what we now know of the patterns of child sexual abuse. It deals differently with different kinds of sexual abuse depending on the nature of the act, so providing varying levels of protection for boys and girls. Our starting point is that the law should offer protection to all children under the age of 16.

3.2.4 There are defences available to some, but not all, offences against children. The terms of those defences can be controversial. The so-called “young man’s defence” (a man of between 16 and 24 who has not been previously charged with a like offence can claim, on reasonable grounds, that he did not think the girl he had sex with was under 16) has been criticised as hindering the protection the law gives to girls and also because there is no equivalent for offences against boys. Case law states a girl under the age of sixteen is not responsible for aiding or abetting a sexual offence against her however willing her participation, on the basis that where the purpose of a particular offence is to protect certain persons, no such person can be convicted of it (R v Tyrrell). By this rule no under-age girl, however willing, can be prosecuted for aiding and abetting a man’s unlawful sexual intercourse with her whatever the circumstances. The man (or boy) always carries the criminal liability.

3.2.5 Penalties are generally low and there are anomalies between them. As an example, the maximum sentence available for unlawful sexual intercourse with a girl under the age of sixteen is two years’ imprisonment, for buggery with a boy (or girl) under 16 it is life and for indecent assault on a girl or boy it is ten years’ imprisonment.

3.2.6 There are also time limits, generally unusual in sex offences, on proceedings for unlawful sexual intercourse (usi) with a girl under the age of sixteen, and gross indecency. Cases cannot be brought more than 12 months following the time of the offence being charged. We now recognise children may delay complaining about abuse until they feel that they are in a safe position to do so, which may be years after the offence, or too late in the process for the law to take account of it.

3.2.7 Investigators, practitioners, defendants and complainants find the proliferation of age limits in the law confusing. Age limits operate from the birthday at which the child achieves the age. These include:

10 - the age of criminal responsibility;
13 - below this age intercourse with a girl (and incest) carries a heavier sentence;
14 - the offence of indecency with children applies to those under 14;
16 - the age of consent in England, Wales and Scotland (and the legal age for marriage with parental consent in England and Wales);
17 - the age of consent in N Ireland;

The complexity of the law, together with its distinctions as to whether the child was male or female and what kind of sexual act was involved (whether penetrative, touching or simply encouraging the child to act indecently) creates unnecessary complexity and confusion.

24 1 Q.B. 710 1894.
25 Sexual Offences Act 1956, Schedule 2 Part II.
3.2.8 Conviction for any sex offence against a child carries heavy consequences in terms of both a requirement to register with the police under the Sex Offenders Act 1997 and in imposing Schedule One offender status under the Children and Young Persons Act 1933. This status applies for life, and where the sentence involves a period of statutory supervision, e.g. a supervision order; probation order or licence, conditions may be attached to regulate such aspects of life as contact with children; where they can live; with whom they can live; work they cannot do; and leisure activities. The Criminal Justice and Courts Services Bill includes provisions to prevent those convicted of offences against children from working with children.

3.2.9 In recent years the use of some offences has decreased sharply, as is seen in the attached table showing the use of the offence of unlawful sexual intercourse with girls:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offence</th>
<th>Cautioned</th>
<th>Proceedings against</th>
<th>Convicted at all courts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s.5. USI with girl &lt;13</td>
<td>79 67 23</td>
<td>165 97 40</td>
<td>134 76 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.6. USI with girl &lt;16</td>
<td>1211 551 286</td>
<td>344 187 171</td>
<td>339 169 225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The figures below show the number of cases reported over the same period:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offence</th>
<th>Cases reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s.5. USI with girl &lt;13</td>
<td>283 268 153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.6. USI with girl &lt;16</td>
<td>2552 1443 1133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.10 We do not know why the numbers of prosecutions for usi should have fallen so significantly, but changing social attitudes to under-age sex, including whether the behaviour was regarded as criminal, may be one factor. These offences may also provide supporting charges in cases where rape is the main charge because, even if there was consent, if the girl was under 16 the offence of usi would have been committed if sex took place. Although the absolute numbers have declined, the proportion of prosecutions and convictions has remained stable (in sharp contrast to the changing pattern of rape cases). There is little doubt that teenagers are sexually active under the age of 16. The Teenage Pregnancy report showed that 28% of under age boys and 19% of under-age girls were sexually active in 1991 (this was part of an increasing trend). The Durex Global Sex Survey 1999 indicated that on average teenagers in the UK have their first sexual experience at 15.3 years – slightly later than the US and Canada, but earlier than most European countries (the global average was 15.9 years).

---

26 Figures provided by HO Research, Development and Statistics Directorate.
27 Teenage Pregnancy – report by the Social Exclusion Unit, the Stationery Office 1999.
28 Survey of young people and sex, the 1997 and 1998 surveys, using different methodology, suggested the UK age of onset was 17 and 16.8 respectively; the age of first experience dropped in all countries in the 1996 survey. The 1999 survey was based on a sample size of 4200 young people between the ages of 16 and 21 in fourteen countries, some of whom were sexually active.
3.3 Views expressed during public consultation

3.3.1 We received a number of responses dealing with sexual activity involving children in our public consultation exercise. Some of these were outside the remit of the review as they related to pornography, children as witnesses in trials, sex education and decriminalising children involved in prostitution. However many of these were thought provoking and contributed to the wider context of the review.29

3.3.2 Some submissions called for decriminalising mutually agreed sex between juveniles of roughly similar age. Proposals included using an age differential, so there would be no offence when the parties were close in age, or relying on defining the competence of the individual child to make an informed decision about sex, similar to the use of 'Gillick competence'30 in medical issues, although it was hard to see how this would produce the clarity needed in law. The age of consent was described as:

"one of the most contentious areas of sexual offences legislation. The law holds that a person below the age of consent is incapable of consent. I believe this is condescending and often offensive and distressing to couples caught up in age of consent offences."31

This was in stark contrast to concerns raised by other contributors:

"We would protest against the policy of police and prosecutors to recognise the sexual autonomy of children, which is resulting in an increasing number of cautions rather than prosecutions, where unlawful sexual intercourse and indecent assault is involved, probably due to the notion that a child can give his 'informed consent'."32

3.3.3 There was a consistent call to increase the protection of the law to children, including the need for gender neutral offences. A number of contributors suggested an offence of child sexual abuse. This, it was thought:

"would greatly strengthen the position of seeking justice for children whilst also emphasising the strength of the message that adults have a responsibility to protect and not harm children".33

This proposal was strongly supported at our consultation conference on sexual offences against children.34

29 Information about what constitutes 'sex offending', abusive and exploitative sexual behaviour, should be an element of school sex education programmes. It should have the specific purpose of enabling children to recognise that they may be victims and providing material for them to disclose safely." - Inner London Probation Service, submission to the sex offences review, March 1999.

30 A young person under the age of 16 is deemed capable of giving consent in certain circumstances. In the case of Gillick v West Norfolk and Wisbech Area Health Authority (1986 AC 112), the House of Lords reviewed the issue of consent with regard to young people under the age of 16 and ruled that they could give valid consent to medical treatment as long as they have sufficient understanding and intelligence to appreciate fully what is proposed and are capable of expressing their own wishes. Lord Scarman identified the principle that parental rights yield to the young person's right to make their own decisions when they reach a sufficient understanding and intelligence to be capable of making up their own minds on such matters - extract from 'Child Protection Procedures Handbook', courtesy of Young People, Sexual Abuse and Confidentiality. Guidance for those involved in promoting sexual health. Saftord Area Child Protection Committee, submission to the sex offences review, June 1999.

31 Submission 459 to the Sex Offences Review, March 1999.


34 York, 28-29 June, 1999. A report of the conference can be found in Annex H4 of Volume 2 to this report.
3.3.4 There was also real concern about the potential impact of legislation intended to protect children on those who were not engaged in genuinely criminal behaviour – like 15 year olds mutually agreeing to sex in private. Was this genuinely culpable, and deserving of a criminal sanction?

3.3.5 Those participating in the consultation conference shared a general perception that sentencing in child sex cases was too low, leaving the victim feeling that the legal process has failed them. There was also some concern that cases were not taken forward because it was thought that the effects of having to appear as a witness in court (even with modern safeguards) would be too traumatic. Yet there was evidence that, given the right level of support, many children felt a sense of empowerment at being able to have their day in court. Reluctance to put children through the criminal justice process added an extra hurdle – sex offenders were well aware of this problem, and this could encourage them not to co-operate with investigators.

3.3.6 There was also considerable support for the proposition that the law should make a distinction between an age when children ought not to engage in sex, and an age below which is was absolutely wrong to do so. It was thought that children under the age of thirteen were not physically or emotionally mature enough to deal with the consequences of sexual activity and that the law should recognise this. This general policy recognised that although many children under 16 did not have the maturity and competence to give informed consent, there were some who did. It seemed clear to all involved that a child of 12 or under (who may have just started at secondary school and have barely entered puberty) did not have the maturity or understanding to give true consent.

3.3.7 Two recent reports provided a valuable input into the review: the Social Exclusion Unit report ‘Teenage Pregnancy’ (which looks amongst other things at the effect of the present law) and the NCH report ‘Teenage mothers speak for themselves’. The evidence of both reports was that some teenage girls had consented grudgingly, if at all, to early sexual activity. It is vital that young people have enough information to enable them to take rational and well-judged decisions about whether or not they are ready and able to enter a full sexual relationship. Information and advice about sex education, relationships and health are vitally important to help young people develop the knowledge and confidence to make their own decisions. It is essential that the provision of advice and guidance to those young people under the age of consent should be, and be seen as, quite separate from the criminal law.

3.3.8 At the same time the law has a declaratory and educative role to play. The age of consent is a formal statement about society’s view of acceptable behaviour. It is plain that many young people do not currently know or fully understand what the law says about sexual activity and children. We thought it is vital that the law is clear and well understood.

3.3.9 We also tried to test the opinion of some young people and, at fairly late stage in the review, had discussions with some Y10 and Y11 pupils (aged between 14 and 16) at one school. (Sadly lack of time meant we could not undertake a wider consultation). The Government has, however, undertaken a wider programme of talking to young people on a range of issues, including sexual matters. Our discussions took the form of group and class discussions in PSHE lessons. We wanted to see whether our assumptions about young people’s attitudes and behaviour were supported. Discussions ranged around the age of consent, what was meant by consent and who was the responsible partner in mutually agreed sexual relationships. The pupils were clear that in mutually agreed relationships both boys and girls were responsible for their own actions.

---

37 “Listen Up”, Women’s Unit/Home Office, April 2000.
overwhelming message from all the discussion groups, however, was for more and better sex education, particularly for boys.\textsuperscript{38}

3.4 The law in other countries and proposals for change

\textit{Australia}

3.4.1 New South Wales has several age-related criminal offences. These include aggravated sexual assault (without consent and in 'circumstances of aggravation', i.e. maliciously inflicting actual bodily harm or where the victim is under the age of 16); sexual intercourse with a child under 10; sexual intercourse with a child between 10 and 16; aggravated sexual assault with increased penalties for assaults against children; an aggravated act of indecency with increased penalties for acts with children and carnal knowledge by a teacher (equivalent to an abuse of trust offence). The State of Victoria has a broad range of offences against children including sexual penetration of children under the age of 16; indecent acts with children; maintaining a sexual relationship with a child; abuse of trust offences to protect 16 and 17 year olds (both sexual penetration and indecent acts) and facilitating sexual offences against children.

3.4.2 The Northern Territory still has offences of sexual intercourse and gross indecency between males in public, with aggravated penalties for an adult where the other person is under 14. There is also an offence of sexual intercourse or gross indecency with a female under 14. Further offences include attempts to procure a young person, maintaining an unlawful relationship of a sexual nature with a child under 16; indecent dealing with a child under 16 (e.g. permitting oneself to be indecently dealt with by a child under 16) and enticing away a child under 16 for immoral purposes. Tasmania, which has a higher age of consent at 17, has an offence of sexual intercourse with a young person, which includes maintaining a sexual relationship with a person under 17. All states now have offences of persistent sexual abuse of a child - these generally permit the prosecution to present an indictment which charges an accused with the offence of having a 'sexual relationship' with a child over a period of time. There must be a number of occasions (typically three) when particular sexual acts occurred, but it is not necessary to specify the times, dates or circumstances of the acts.

3.4.3 In general, defences include marriage, and the Model Criminal Code has proposed that married means 'married according to the law of Australia'. Overseas marriages are not recognised as valid in Australia at any time while either party to the marriage is under the age of 16.

\begin{quote}
These age limits reflect Australia's obligations under international law not to recognise marriages where one party is under the age of 18, with latitude permissible for those between the ages of 16 and 18\textsuperscript{39}
\end{quote}

3.4.4 The federal Australian Model Criminal Code Officers Committee (MCCOC) report did not recommend the age at which the age of consent should be set in individual States. It did suggest that the age of consent for both females and males, and for heterosexual and same sex contact, should be equalised within each State, and that the same age should be set across Australia. The Committee has also suggested that there should be an 'absolute age' below which there could be no defence available to the sexual offence. Current 'absolute ages' for defence purposes vary between the States from 10 to 18. They also recommend the offences of persistent sexual abuse of a child and sexual acts committed against a young person by a person in a position of authority.

\textsuperscript{38} A report of these discussions can be found in Appendix I, Volume Two to this report.

\textsuperscript{39} Model Criminal Code: Chapter 5, Sexual Offences Against the Person. May 1999.
3.4.5 MCCOC also proposed a similarity of age defence for mutually agreed sexual activity between children as long as the complainant was over the 'no defence' age and the accused was within 2 years in age of the complainant. Such provisions already exist in some States and the age gap varies from one to five years. MCCOC also recommended a defence based on honest and reasonable mistake of fact in age where the child is above the 'no defence' age.

Canada

3.4.6 Canada has offences of sexual interference of a child under the age of 14 years and an invitation to sexual touching. Sexual interference includes touching with a part of the body or an object, any part of the body of a person under the age of 14, and includes sexual penetration. Their offence of sexual exploitation applies where the victim is between 14 and 18 years of age and is, to all intents and purposes, similar to abuse of trust. Anal intercourse is illegal under the age of 18 unless between husband and wife. There is also a specific offence of exposure of the genitalia to a person under the age of 14. Bestiality in the presence of or by a child is illegal. The law on age limits for offences is presently under review.

3.4.7 There is a mistake of fact in age for the offences of sexual interference and touching, but these do not apply unless the accused has taken 'all reasonable steps' to ascertain the age of the complainant.

New Zealand

3.4.8 Sexual offences for the protection of children in the New Zealand Crimes Act 1961 include sexual intercourse with a girl under care and protection; sexual intercourse with a girl under 12; indecency with a girl under 12 and sexual intercourse or indecency with a girl between 12 and 16. In the three latter offences, a girl cannot be charged as a party to an offence committed upon or with her. There is a mistake of fact in age defence for the offence with a girl under 16. There are also provisions of indecent assault on a girl; an indecent act between a woman and a girl; indecency with boys under 12; indecency with a boy between 12 and 16 and indecent assault on a boy. A boy cannot be charged as a party where the offence of indecency has been committed upon or with him, which provides equality in the law.

Republic of South Africa

3.4.9 The South African Law Commission (SALC) has proposed sweeping changes to its sex offence law, particularly those relating to children. The age of consent is 16, but raised to 18 in circumstances of sexual exploitation. In redefining the offence of rape, they have recommended an absolute age of consent for children set at 12 years. A person who intentionally commits a sexual act with a child at least two years younger is guilty of child molestation, (to recognise the reality of peer group sexual experimentation). The SALC also proposes an offence of persistent sexual abuse of a child which applies if the accused has engaged in a sexual act or an act of sexual penetration in relation to the child on two or more occasions during a specified twelve month period.

3.4.10 A sexual act has been defined as any indecent act, and includes an act which causes direct or indirect contact between the anus, breasts, penis or vagina of one person and any part of the body of another; or exposure or display of the genital organs of one person to another person. It also includes an act of sexual penetration.

---

40 Discussion of the commercial sexual exploitation of children can be found in Chapter 7.
3.5 The age of consent

3.5.1 In England and Wales the age of consent to sexual activity is 16 for heterosexuals and same sex females\(^4^1\), and 18 for same sex males\(^4^2\). The setting of ages of consent has a long history.\(^4^3\)

3.5.2 The age at which girls can consent to sexual activity was raised to sixteen (from 13) in the 1885 Criminal Law Amendment Act, following a campaign against child prostitution by social reformers including Josephine Butler. (The age of consent was above the minimum legal age for marriage, which remained at twelve until 1929.) The law does not set an age at which boys can engage in heterosexual sex, save that boys under 16 cannot consent to what would be an indecent assault (which covers most sexual activity between older women and boys under 16). Until 1993\(^4^4\), there was a legal presumption that boys under the age of fourteen were incapable of sexual intercourse. The age of consent for homosexual activity in private was established at 21 in the Sexual Offences Act 1967, and reduced to 18 in the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994.

3.5.3 The current Sexual Offences (Amendment) Bill will prohibit sexual activity between adults in certain positions of trust and authority and a child under 18 in their care. It draws a distinction between the age at which the law permits a child to consent to a full sexual relationship, and that which may be appropriate to protect a child from exploitation with which their maturity and experience of life at 16 may not equip them to deal. In some circumstances therefore the law can, and does, make a distinction between a greater degree of protection from sexual exploitation which then justifies a higher age of consent, but one that applies to both boys and girls.

3.5.4 The age of consent varies between countries, although there is a trend towards a standardisation between 15 and 17. There are variations within the British Isles where both Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland have an age of 17 for heterosexual sex. The age also varies within Europe. Spain has the lowest age of consent at 12, although this increases to 18 if there is any complaint or exploitation. France, Sweden and Denmark all use an age of consent of 15, although again a higher age may apply if there is an element of exploitation. Germany, the Netherlands, Switzerland and Portugal set their general limit at 16, although Portugal allows consensual sex between peers from the age of 12. Canada permits consensual sex from the age of 14 if it is not exploitative, but that is now under review. New Zealand has an age of consent at 16, the Australian States have adopted 16 or 17 (with some defences for consensual peer activity). South Africa has an age of consent of 16, but their Law Commission has recommended that this should be increased to 18. In the United States the age of consent lies between 14 and 18.

3.5.5 The submissions to the review were divided as to whether the age of consent needed to be retained, and if so at what age. There were strong arguments on both sides. It is clear that children now mature physically at a much earlier age, and are exposed to sexual images and pressure to engage in sexual activity by media and peer pressure when they are very young. However society has to protect children from inappropriate sexual activity at too early an age.

\(^{4^1}\) The offence of indecent assault, which permits no consent to what would otherwise be an indecent assault below the age of 16 establishes an age of consent for same sex female activity.

\(^{4^2}\) The Sexual Offences (Amendment) Bill now before Parliament will raise the age of consent at 16.

\(^{4^3}\) The concept of an age of consent for girls arose in the narrow context of the drafting of the two 'Statutes of Westminster'. These related to the ravishing of a woman 'that none do ravish, nor take away by force, any maiden within age (neither by her own consent, nor without) nor any wife or maiden of full age, nor any woman against her will'. The age was set at twelve, which was when a girl could legally marry. A lower 'age of discretion' introduced in 1576, was ten, and in the statues of 1828 came knowledge of a girl under ten was made a capital offence and under twelve a misdemeanour punishable with imprisonment at the discretion of the court. The age of consent for girls was raised to thirteen in the 1875 Offences Against the Person Act.

when it has the potential to cause physical, emotional and psychological harm. The risks of early pregnancy are well documented and there is evidence linking early sexual activity with increased risk of development of sexually transmitted diseases and cervical cancer.\textsuperscript{45} And despite earlier physical maturity a child's dependency on others is greater than at the beginning of this century: they cannot legally leave full-time education, enter full-time paid employment or marry until after their sixteenth birthday.

3.5.6 We recognise that the law will not stop children from experimenting in sexual matters.\textsuperscript{46} However, the overriding argument for retaining the age of consent is one of child protection, both from predatory adults, and from other children:

\begin{quote}
"We do not think that an immature choice about whether to engage in sexual intercourse is a free one which can be truly regarded as consensual. When a slightly older partner in an underage relationship exploits his or her age and experience to coerce or induce a younger partner into sexual relations we believe the criminal offence should remain even if rarely used."\textsuperscript{47}
\end{quote}

3.5.7 The age of consent has been intensely discussed in recent times, and in particular whether it should be different for different sexes. In line with our general principles of fairness, protection and justice, we see no strong argument or evidence for differentiating between boys and girls or types of sexual activity in setting an age of consent. Nor do we see any argument for reducing the age of consent – the variation in the rates at which children mature physically and emotionally varies greatly, making any age an arbitrary one. The present age of sixteen is well established, well understood and well supported. We think there is a real need to maintain an age of consent to ensure children are protected, and as a matter of public policy, the age of consent should remain at sixteen.

\begin{center}
\textbf{Recommendation 17: As a matter of public policy, the age of legal consent should remain at sixteen.}
\end{center}

3.5.8 Having agreed that 16 should remain the age of legal consent, we then considered the issues of consenting behaviour – which in order to reduce confusion we describe as mutual agreement. We recognised that whatever the law might say, children under 16 may agree to have sex, and that this consenting behaviour was bound to be addressed by the law. We considered whether there was a case for setting a lower age in law beneath which the law would recognise no consent whatsoever in order to provide an absolute protection for younger children. This would be similar to the Australian 'no defence' age below which a defendant could not claim any defence in law. The law provides offences such as rape where the lack of consent (which we define as free agreement) has to be proved, and others which make any sex illegal, even though it may be agreed between the partners, such as the prohibition on sex with a child under 16 because the law states that a child under sixteen cannot consent. The age where consent could not be recognised in law would have to be one below which it could safely be said that there was neither actual mutual agreement (because one or both parties were too young to possess the necessary knowledge,

\textsuperscript{45} Risk Factors for Cervical Intraepithelial Neoplasia (Parazzini, Vecchia, Negri, Fedele, Franceschi and Gallotta) Journal: Cancer 1992; 69; pgs 2276-2282. Compared with women reporting no intercourse or their first intercourse after 22 years of age, women with first intercourse before the age of 18 had an increased relative risk of developing cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) which was statistically significant. CIN is a recognised precursor of invasive cervical cancer.

\textsuperscript{46} The Teenage Pregnancy Report suggested that the law had little influence on influencing young people's behaviour, with a graphic quote of "If some-one wants to have sex, they won't go - oh I can't because I'm breaking the law" - page 47.

\textsuperscript{47} NSPCC, submission to the sex offences review, March 1999.
understanding and maturity to know what they were agreeing to) nor legal consent, where children should be protected from all sexual activity.

3.5.9 There are two arguments to balance in considering whether the law should make special provision for younger children. There is an argument that if sex is prohibited under sixteen that is sufficient, providing the courts have the ability to deal with cases of varying seriousness. Subdividing into age bands could give the impression that abuse is really serious only when it involves very young children, whereas practitioners told us that teenagers could be adversely affected and damaged by under age sex. The alternative argument is that whilst teenagers have developing capacities to consent, and may actually agree to sex upon occasion, the situation of younger children was different. Very young children will not know or understand sufficiently to give free and informed agreement to sex. If they do seem to “agree”, this may be because of persuasion, inducement or ignorance of what it meant. Indeed for small children the imbalance of power with an adult or older child is so strong that they will do as they are told – which can then be interpreted (and presented in court) as “consent”. For these young children there should be no question of true consent – with the meaning of free agreement – being possible. There is neither the knowledge nor understanding of consequences which we suggest as the test for capacity to consent in Chapter 4. It would be possible to devise a test of capacity for younger children but this would lead to uncertainty in the operation of the law and the capacity of each child would need to be tested in court. Having special provision for younger children would remove arguments about capacity to consent and the test of the victim’s/complainant’s capacity in court. We thought that would provide improved protection, especially in recognising the particular needs of pre-pubertal victims.

3.5.10 Having decided that there should be an age below which no consent was possible, we considered what that age should be. Our law has long recognised that girls under 13 should be protected by offences with particularly heavy penalties, which provided a starting point for consideration. The Australian Model Criminal Code Officers Committee has recently proposed that there should be no possibility of consent below the age of 10. This is the age of criminal responsibility in England and Wales, but we felt it was too low to offer proper protection. We wanted to protect children who were clearly pre-pubertal or entering puberty, but recognised that it was impossible to get a perfect match. The age of the onset of puberty is very variable, and as a result of better general health and nutrition, has reduced over the past century. Children mature at very different rates. After careful thought we decided that the thirteenth birthday provided a benchmark that is already established in law and recognised by society as the entry to teenage years. We adopted that as the age below which no consent should be recognised. We also thought that offences of adult sexual abuse of a child under 13 should be of strict liability, and attract no statutory defence such as mistake of age.

3.5.11 In making this recommendation, we are not proposing statutory rape. The presumption of no consent below 13 applies to the “consensual” offences of adult sexual abuse of a child, persistent sexual abuse of a child and sexual activity between minors. Rape and sexual assault by penetration are in essence offences where lack of consent has to be proved. We hope however that courts will find our arguments useful in considering the issues of consent in cases involving the rape of children.

**Recommendation 18:** The law setting out specific offences against children should state that below the age of 13 a child cannot effectively consent to sexual activity.

3.6 Adults having sex with children

3.6.1 One of the key issues to emerge from our consultation conference was the need for the law to establish beyond any doubt that adults should not have sex with children, and that this
warranted a serious offence to recognise the importance of the crime. The proposal was that there should be an offence of adult sexual abuse of a child, to replace the existing offences of unlawful sexual intercourse and indecency with children, and to offer an increased level of protection against sexual activity between adults and children. Those working with children thought that such an offence would focus attention on the activity of perpetrators, provide greater clarity in law and give a strong message to the public that sexual activity between adults and children is not acceptable. The review accepted the principle of such an offence, and thought that it would clearly define a set of behaviour that was unacceptable and enable the law to treat it with appropriate seriousness. It should also help in the risk assessment of offenders.

3.6.2 To make such an offence both simple and effective, it would need to cover a range of behaviour committed by men and women with children. We first considered whether the offence should include all types of sexual activity by adults with children. We were particularly concerned that a single offence for all such behaviour, from the most serious to the least serious, would be too broad. It risked undermining the ability of the law to deal with the worst behaviour – i.e. rape. This argument was supported by submissions to the review, some of which felt that the offence of usi was sometimes being used inappropriately. We concluded therefore that a single offence was not the best approach.

3.6.3 Rape is the most serious sex offence and a reformed law would be fundamentally flawed if the rape of a child was not charged as such. The review concluded that rape, sexual assault by penetration and sexual assault, all of which deal with non-consensual behaviour, should be available for use as needed. There should be a separate offence to tackle behaviour that would not be an offence if committed between consenting adults but was wrong and inappropriate when children were involved. In general, therefore, consent was irrelevant – the culpability of the behaviour was because it was with a child.

3.6.4 We propose that the offence of adult sexual abuse of a child would apply to a man or woman of 18 or older who was:

- involved in sexual penetration with a child under 16; or
- who undertook any sexual act towards or with a child under 16; or
- who incited, induced or compelled a child to carry out a sexual act, whether on the accused, another person or the child himself; or
- who made a child witness a sexual act (whether live or recorded).

The only person who is criminally liable for this offence is the adult. There is no criminal liability on the child, whether boy or girl, however much they may appear to have consented, aided or abetted the offence. This offence is essentially about the adult’s responsibility towards the child.

3.6.5 No similar offence exists in other countries. New Zealand and Australia do not have a ‘catch-all’ sexual abuse offence by adults. The South African Law Commission, in its recent discussion paper, has recommended an offence of child molestation: this is not confined specifically to adults, but includes any person intentionally committing a sexual act with a child or any person who commits any act with the intent to invite or persuade a child to allow any person to commit a sexual act with that child.

---

48 “The offence of Unlawful Sexual Intercourse needs reviewing. We note that the maximum sentence where a victim is under 13 is life. However many practitioners comment on how a man can be convicted of U.S.I. on say an eight year old and not rape. It seems inconceivable that a child so young can not have been raped”. National Organisation for the Treatment of Abusers (NOTA), submission to the sex offences review, March 1999.

49 This should not include recordings viewed by children as part of recognised sex education programmes, or of television or cinematic material with an appropriate age rating.
CHAPTER THREE - CHILDREN

Recommen
dation 19: There should be an offence of adult (over 18) sexual abuse of a child (under 16). The offence would cover all sexual behaviour that was wrong be
cause it involved a child; it would complement other serious non-consensual offences such as rape, sexual assault by penetration and sexual assault.

Time Limits

3.6.6 The current offence of unlawful sexual intercourse can only be prosecuted within twelve months of the offence being charged. The reason for the time limitation has been put forward as a protection against blackmail, and the CLRC recommended its retention. There have been some suggestions that the time limit should be relaxed, with a proviso that no prosecutions should be brought after a child’s eighteenth birthday, which might protect the accused from ‘fictitious allegations which are so old that his ability to disprove them is undermined’. This is a valid concern in the context of prosecuting long-past offences. However, we were keenly aware that many instances of child abuse may not come to light for many years until the survivor is able to report it. These cases do present severe problems to the police and prosecutors in investigating and preparing cases and to defendants in preparing a proper defence to allegations of long past events. We did not think the law should prevent later prosecutions being brought where there is a case to be made, or other charges being added in long and complex investigations. In principle we thought that time limits were not justified for any sexual offences. We therefore considered that a statutory time limit would not be justified for the offence of adult sexual abuse of a child.

Recommen
dation 20: There should no time limit on prosecution for the new offence of adult sexual abuse of a child.

Defences

3.6.7 We also considered whether and if so what statutory defences might apply. The two defences available for the offence of us with a girl under the age of sixteen are known respectively as the ‘young man’s’ and the marriage defences. Essentially the ‘young man’s’ defence relates to a mistake of age which can be used in certain circumstances, e.g. the man must be between the ages of 16 and 24 and must not have been previously charged for a like offence. The review has already recommended at paragraph 3.4.7 that a child under 13 cannot consent to sexual activity in any circumstances, and that no statutory defence such as mistake of fact should apply. We thought that a child under 13 was not readily mistaken for one over 16, and that sex with a child between 13 and 16 was illegal. The present law does not allow such a defence. We saw no justification for introducing one.

3.6.8 We then thought whether there should be any defences for sexual activity with those between 13 and 16. There is a genuine tension between the interests of fairness to defendants and the wider interests of child protection in deciding whether there should be a defence. Different jurisdictions have reached different conclusions. In most US states under-age sex is a strict liability offence, and in some states it is statutory rape. In Canada (where the age of consent is 14) a mistake of fact defence can only be used if the defendant took "all reasonable steps to ascertain the age". In Australia, most states have a form of mistake of age defence. The Model Criminal Code commented that some form of defence was necessary in the interests of justice. They recommended a mistake of fact defence as to the age of the child that was both honest and reasonable.

---

3.6.9 The Criminal Law Revision Committee (CLRC) considered the issue of the young man’s defence in some depth:

The “young man’s defence” has been frequently criticised for its arbitrariness. Its origin lies in a political compromise. The Criminal Law Amendment Act 1885 provided a defence to a man charged under what is now section 6 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 if he believed on reasonable grounds that the girl was aged 16 or over. The Bill that became the Criminal Law Amendment Act 1922 sought to remove this defence in an effort to tighten the law but was keenly opposed by some Members of Parliament. It appears that it would not have passed had the supporters and opponents not agreed on the “young man’s defence” as a compromise.

The defence presents several unsatisfactory features. First, although in general mistake of fact is a defence to a serious criminal charge, this defence is not available to a defendant aged 24 or over, whereas mistakes may be no less likely to be made by older men. Nor is it available to a defendant under 24 who has previously been charged with unlawful sexual intercourse. Secondly, in any event, a defendant should not be precluded from raising the defence merely because of a previous charge if mistake of age was not then in issue or he was not convicted. Thirdly, a defendant who made a genuine mistake should in principle have a defence even if he had no reasonable grounds for his mistake.\(^{51}\)

3.6.10 The CLRC went on to recommend a generally available defence of mistake of age for unlawful sexual intercourse with a girl, and that the belief need not be based on reasonable grounds. In our view this would not provide the protection of the law for children under 16.

3.6.11 We agree that the current ‘young man’s’ defence should be abolished. It is arbitrary, confusing and does not necessarily provide justice. In drawing up new statutory defences for a serious offence such as adult sexual abuse of a child, there is a tension between society’s need to protect vulnerable children and to ensure the interests of justice and fairness to the defendant are met where there is a genuine mistake of fact. There is a real divergence of opinion between those who consider that it would be unjust and wrong for an honest mistake to result in conviction of a serious charge and those who thought that because it caused such difficulties in the prosecution of usi at the moment, there should be no such defence at all. We looked at the evidence of cautions and prosecutions of usi to see if there was any noticeable trend in the statistics, but it was not possible to identify any. (See the tables in paragraph 3.2.9.) It was difficult to assess from available statistics whether the defence had any real impact on men in the age group 16–24. Clearly however the defence is believed to have an effect on prosecutions; it was raised on a number of occasions in the work on the Teenage Pregnancy report as making the law ineffective.

3.6.12 The interests of justice and fairness require an honest mistake to be recognised. An adult may meet with a child in a situation where they expect them to be over 16, for instance a pub or club. The child may appear older than they are and indeed may claim to be older. If that meeting leads to a sexual relationship, the adult may have sex with an under-age child with no intention to do so. On the other hand, it is all too easy to claim an honest mistake when no such mistake existed and there is evidence that some men specialise in the targeting of young girls. The present defence of usi is narrower and less serious than our proposed offence of adult sexual abuse of a child. That increases the argument for a defence of honest mistake. Our desire, and remit, to increase protection argued for no defence. Equally our desire and remit to be fair argued for a defence to be in place for an honest and reasonable mistake. Our conclusion was that a

\(^{51}\) Follow-on report of the Criminal Law Revision Committee: Sexual Offences (April 1984).
limited mistake of age defence should be available for adults charged with adult sexual abuse of a child. However, because it is relatively easy to claim and hard to disprove, any defence should be constructed as tightly as is consistent with fairness.

3.6.13 This means placing some weight on the defendant to demonstrate that he took some sensible precautions. If a defendant wishes to show an honest mistake there should be reasonable grounds for any belief in age and the defendant should have taken all reasonable steps to ascertain the child’s age. We consider this is necessary and proportionate.

**Recommendation 21:** A mistake of fact in age should be available, but with the following restrictions: that it should be limited to honest and reasonable belief and that the defendant has taken all reasonable steps to ascertain age.

3.6.14 We also thought that there were strong arguments for limiting the use of the defence – a track already followed in the existing law of usl and in one other place. It does seem reasonable that if someone has once been mistaken about the age of a sexual partner he or she will take greater care in future. If the same mistake is made on several occasions the chances of it being honest are severely reduced. We know that sexual offenders can have repeated patterns of behaviour in their preferred targets; this kind of behaviour should not be shielded by the repeated use of an honest mistake defence. The present defence relates to previous charges; this predates the use of cautions, is arbitrarily dependent on charging practices. It seems simpler to only allow the defence to be run in court on one occasion only. If the defence was run on more than one occasion, the defendant could have evidence of previous use of the defence admitted as evidence. That may require the retention of appropriate court records, but has a precedent in the offence of handling stolen goods where it is possible to introduce some evidence of previous offences.

**Recommendation 22:** The use of the defence of mistake of fact in age should be limited to raising the defence in court on one occasion only.

3.6.15 We also considered whether the defence ought to contain any age limitations. The present defence is limited to young men between 16 and 24 – apparently as the result of a political compromise in 1922. This is criticised both for being too restrictive and too arbitrary. In effect it could make sex between young people less likely to be successfully prosecuted, while not allowing the defence to older men who may be more likely to mistake age, or who may be an older predator who seeks out young girls. However, others argue that the 8 year age gap in 16 to 24 is too great; if it is intended to be easier on similar age activity. Barnardo’s, amongst others, argue that the key point is the age differential and an age gap of over five years is a potential indicator of an abusive relationship rather than a peer relationship.

3.6.16 In terms of justice and fairness there are no grounds for limiting the use of mistake of fact on the basis of the age of the defendant. However, there are other arguments this would reduce protection for children and on balance we believe age requires greater responsibilities to be exercised: on this basis a defence limited by age can be justified. At the same time, there does not seem to be a logical rationale for picking any particular cut-off age for use of the defence. One option was to use a 5 year age differential as suggested by Barnardo’s, so that a person of 21 or over would not be able to claim a mistake of fact about the age of a sexual partner of 16. We thought we should consult more widely on this specific issue.

**Recommendation 23:** In principle, the defence of mistake of fact in age should remain limited by age of defendant.
• Do you agree that there should be a limitation on the age of the defendant who can use a mistake of fact defence?

• If so should it be absolute (i.e. set at a particular age) or should there be an age differential (e.g. a maximum gap in age between the defendant and the child)?

• What should the age differential, if any, be?

**The defence of marriage**

3.6.17 The second defence is that of marriage. S6 Sexual Offences Act 1956 presently allows a defence to a charge of usi that where a man believes a girl under the age of sixteen is his wife, and if he has reasonable cause for this belief he has not committed an offence of unlawful sexual intercourse, even where that marriage might be invalid in UK law. Thus those who are married in other jurisdictions where the age of consent is lower would have a defence to a charge of usi. This defence raises some interesting questions. Even though our new offence is gender-neutral, the defence would only be available to heterosexual couples. That in itself would not prevent its retention, because of the special status of marriage in the European Convention of Human Rights.

3.6.18 The defence may apply in only a very few cases, but these could be significant. Some countries have a low legal age of marriage, in places as low as 9 to reflect the earliest onset of puberty. Those who have undertaken a valid marriage with a child would believe that they are acting quite properly in having sex with their wife. In such circumstances there is no criminal intent. Our intention is to increase the protection for children from sexual abuse, and we were reluctant to agree to a continuation of a defence that would effectively legalise what we think could be serious child abuse.

3.6.19 Marriage has a special status in international law, and the UK has obligations to recognise valid overseas marriages. It would be more attractive simply to say that people in this country must obey our laws, including not having sex with children under 16 whether or not they have married in another jurisdiction. We believed that those whose faiths or law allowed them to marry young children should accept that the democratic process must prevail when they are in this country. However, it would be difficult to claim to be recognising the validity of a foreign marriage if we criminalised sexual contact between the spouses. Differing ages of marriage within the EU, and even differing ages of consent within the UK would create potential difficulties. We were satisfied that there were some safeguards in place, including the operation of the Immigration Rules in scrutinising and controlling the entry of very young spouses, that would protect children. We therefore thought that we could not remove the defence of marriage.

3.6.20 However, the offence we recommend is quite broad and covers a range of sexual behaviour with children. We thought that it was increasingly hard to justify a statutory defence of marriage. Even the South African Law Commission has recommended that the defence of marriage should not be retained (they argued that it was still possible for a very young girl to be forced to marry). The Australian Model Code has made specific provision that the defence should not apply below the age of 16 – and applies this prohibition to sexual intercourse between partners in Aboriginal tribal marriages which are traditionally contracted at an early age. In short, in order to succeed by way of the defence, the accused and the child must either have been married according to the law of Australia, or the accused must have reasonably so believed.

3.6.21 The defence may reduce to two elements - whether there was a valid marriage and whether the accused believed he had a valid marriage. In terms of criminal culpability the belief is the more important. We do not think these situations arise often - we did not know of any instances that ended up in court. The CLRC thought the defence should be retained for the very unusual occasion when it might be justified. We very reluctantly conceded the difficulty in removing the defence in law, despite our concern that an overseas marriage (even one not valid in the country in which the 'ceremony' took place) meant that we should accept under-age sex
within the UK. However, our proposal to have an absolute age of no consent at 13 means that no defence would be available below that age. We also recognised that a defendant could put forward a defence of belief in marriage with an older child, but that would have to be robustly tested in court.

**Recommendation 24:** Belief in marriage should remain a defence to offences involving sex with a child, but this should not apply where the child is below the age of 13.

### 3.7 Persistent Sexual Abuse

#### 3.7.1 One approach which has been adopted in some other countries is to introduce an offence of persistent sexual abuse of a child which is particularly intended to address the problem of how the courts can fairly and justly tackle the course of conduct illustrated by specific examples. The kind of offence which has been constructed provides that where a person has, on three or more separate occasions, engaged in abuse of a particular child, that is a criminal offence (and this need not be the same offence each time), he or she will be liable to a very serious sentence (25 years has been proposed in Australia). Effectively the sentencing will pay regard to the fact that what has been proposed is a continuing course of conduct that has been illustrated by examples. Any such offence will need to contain safeguards to ensure that it is fair to both defendant and victim, and that justice is properly served, for example that the alleged incidents are sufficiently detailed and particular to enable a proper defence to be made, and that the defendant could not be separately tried for the same behaviour.

#### 3.7.2 We discussed this offence with practitioners in Victoria and they all welcomed it as a helpful addition to the powers of the courts. However, it has only been used on a couple of occasions since being introduced in 1991. Despite that, it was regarded as useful and important in providing a framework for establishing abuse and for providing a remedy that would not otherwise be available. Such an offence would not reduce the evidential burden in prosecuting what are always difficult offences, but it provides a particular remedy which goes directly to the nature of the problem and provides appropriate sanctions for very serious abuse.

**Recommendation 25:** An offence of the persistent sexual abuse of a child reflecting a course of conduct should be introduced.

#### 3.7.4 In discussing the use of this proposed new offence, we also considered wider concerns raised by those who have had to deal with people who regularly adopt patterns of serial sexual offending within institutions or families against multiple victims. They argued strongly for a special offence, or a widening of the offence of persistent sexual abuse, to cover a course of conduct with a number of victims. Their concern was that the law did not have an adequate response to patterns of repeated abuse involving a number of victims in similar settings. The
practice of our law has been to deal with offences against an individual as one offence or one set of offences on an indictment. One case could be made up of many indictments each of which dealt with one victim. In that way the jury were able to consider the evidence relating to each victim separately. If the jury were convinced beyond reasonable doubt by the testimony of some victims but not others, they could bring in separate verdicts so that the defendant would be found not guilty on some counts, but guilty on others. If different victims were all included in a broad offence, then in such circumstances the case could fail.

3.7.5 Where indictments against different victims were severed (i.e. tried separately) the court would not necessarily hear the full range of offending behaviour, and individual elements may seem plausible – it was the whole picture that was overwhelming. We recognised that this was a problem, but thought that the answer probably lay in procedural or sentencing responses. We thought it important to raise the issue and to invite views and suggestions about how it might be tackled.

3.7.6 We also considered whether there would be any justification for any other course of conduct offences that might apply not just to sexual abuse but to sexual exploitation and pimping. We thought that there was not really sufficient evidence to determine what value such an offence would have, what they would add to the use of the existing offences and how fair they would be on the defendant in seeking to answer not just specific charges, but an assumption about other behaviour. In persistent sexual abuse, the prosecution would have to prove that sex offences took place over a period of time to the normal standards of proof, but the court could take account of the pattern in sentencing. In pimping there may be a repeated pattern of behaviour with a single victim or multiple victims, adult or children, but the problems set out in 3.7.5 remain. We were not convinced that other offences were justified except in the particular circumstances of persistent child sexual abuse where there is powerful evidence of repeated and long-term patterns of behaviour.

- We would welcome views on whether there should be any course of conduct offence in sex offences relating to the abuse or sexual exploitation of a number of different children (in contrast to recommendation 25 which relates to the abuse of one child over time).

3.8 Health and Treatment Issues

3.8.1 The criminal law must not be a barrier to the provision of advice, guidance or treatment on health and relationships to young people. We regard this as a vital role that is important to their future health and well-being, a view that is underpinned by the Government's strategy for tackling teenage pregnancy. Those involved in trying to give help, advice, treatment and support to young people in matters of sexual health, such as contraception, etc. should not normally be regarded as aiding and abetting a criminal offence. No one wishes to deter young people from seeking such help, or professionals from offering it.

Recommendation 26: Those recognised as giving help, advice, treatment and support to children and young people in matters of sexual health should not be regarded as aiding and abetting a criminal offence, nor should the children and young people who seek help and advice about sexual health matters, including contraception.

3.8.2 Our intention is clear and we wondered whether it would be useful to make it explicit in any new legislation. We therefore invite views on that point:

- Is it sufficient to make this intention clear in policy terms, or does this need to be set out in any new statute?
3.9 Underage sexual activity between children

3.9.1 We have considered very carefully how the law should treat sexual activity of all kinds committed by adults with children, and recommended a serious new offence. We then considered how the law should be framed to deal with sexual activity between children. Our adult offence applies to those over 18 and those under 16. We now need to propose how the law should apply to those under 18 with those under 16. Primarily we are talking about mutually agreed activity between children. (Rape and other serious offences can be used, as at present, to prosecute non-consensual sexual activity.)

3.9.2 Although the age of consent for sexual behaviour is set in law, we recognise that children have sex with each other and will continue to do so. The review is seeking to increase protection to children, and to assist in the reduction of teenage pregnancies and other health risks associated with the early onset of sexual activity. The primary purpose of the law is to protect children from abusive (coerced and unwanted) sexual behaviour from older people and other children. We have recommended offences to tackle this behaviour.

3.9.3 The role of the law in dealing with under-age sex is much more difficult. The Children Act requires the welfare of the child to be a paramount consideration, and we have sought to apply this. Yet we know that as well as teenage experimentation in sex, which may well be mutually agreed, children can and do coerce and abuse each other. Even so-called mutually agreed relationships can be called into question. Sexual relations between children are capable of being exploitative.

3.9.4 Many people, including members of the review, have questioned the need for a criminal offence for sex between minors, arguing that there is no public interest in prosecuting sexual experimentation between mutually agreeing adolescents, giving them a criminal record for behaviour which they and many others do not regard as criminally culpable. They also argue that fear of being criminalised may deter young people from seeking help and advice, and possibly also raise questions about agencies offering it. Where children are sexually active, it is important for them to feel confident enough to seek help and advice about sexual health matters, including contraception if appropriate. To ensure this, children must be very clear that by seeking such guidance, neither they nor their advisors would be breaking the law.

3.9.5 We have to achieve a very difficult balance between ensuring that the law is appropriate, fair and effective in enabling a range of coercive activity to be dealt with, while not criminalising young people for mutually agreed behaviour. We recognised that the law also plays a significant social role in setting parameters for behaviour, and we want to discourage under-age sex.

3.9.6 We looked very carefully at how the criminal law operated in this area. It is at present an offence for those under the age of consent to have sex. In fact very few boys under 16 are ever prosecuted for under-age sex (although it may be an additional charge on an indictment with a rape charge). The numbers of complaints and cautions have dropped. At present, a case will

---

52 As part of the Government's teenage pregnancy strategy, the Department of Health is to issue revised guidance this summer on contraception for the under-16s, with the goal of ensuring better understanding of the legal framework amongst service providers and young people.

53 The British Medical Association, in association with the General Medical Standards Council, the Health Education Authority, Brook Advisory Centres, the Family Planning Association and the Royal College of General Practitioners has issued extensive guidance on teenage sexual activity: 'Confidentiality and people under 16'. This includes information on Gillick competence (see also footnote 10) and the legal issues surrounding advice on contraceptive services. Doctors are asked to consider:

- whether the patient understands the potential risks and benefits of the treatment and the advice given;
- the value of parental support. Doctors must encourage the young people to inform parents of the consultation and explore the reasons if the patient is unwilling to do so;
- whether the patient is likely to have sexual intercourse without contraception, and to assess whether the patient's physical or mental health or both are likely to suffer if the patient does not receive contraceptive advice or supplies;
- whether the patient's best interest would require the provision of contraceptive advice or methods or both without parental consent.
only be instigated if a complaint has been made, there is sufficient evidence to proceed, and the prosecution is in the public interest. The final decision on prosecution rests with the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS), applying the principles set out in the Code for Crown Prosecutors. In addition prosecutors have more detailed guidance, set out in the prosecution manual, which is not at present in the public domain. The Director of Public Prosecutions, when giving evidence to the Home Affairs Committee said that he wishes to make the prosecution manual a public document. We would very much welcome this particularly in the difficult areas of social and legal policy such as those involved in dealing with under-age sex. Greater transparency and public knowledge of the criteria used by the CPS are an essential part of public knowledge and understanding in this area and we very much welcome the clarity and certainty this would add.

| Number of boys between the ages of 10 – 16 cautioned, prosecuted at Magistrates’ courts and convicted at all courts for usi with a girl\(^4\) |
|---|---|---|
| s5 SOA 1956 – USI with a girl <13 | cautioned – 21 | cautioned – 34 | cautioned – 14 |
| | prosecuted – 6 | prosecuted – 5 | prosecuted – 5 |
| | convicted – 7 | convicted – 3 | convicted – 6 |
| s6 SOA 1956 – USI with a girl <16 | cautioned – 202 | cautioned – 84 | cautioned – 41 |
| | prosecuted – 7 | prosecuted – 4 | prosecuted – 2 |
| | convicted – 7 | convicted – 5 | convicted – 2 |

3.9.7 There are potential difficulties in using the criminal law too readily. In order to deal with the problems of juvenile offending, a new system of reprimands and final warnings has been introduced to replace cautions for children under 18. These provide a coherent structure for tackling the problems of youth offending, and require action to be taken with the young person to address the offending behaviour. However, we thought that it was inherently undesirable for young people to end up in court because they had been involved in mutually agreed sex with their 15-year-old girlfriend or boyfriend. We were not convinced that was an appropriate social response. We also were concerned that those children who came to notice for their sexual activity may be those with little privacy and who may already be in care or known to police and social services. It would be unfair and add to social exclusion for the law to bear down disproportionately heavily on those children. We wanted to find a way forward that recognised the undesirability of early sexual activity whilst offering help rather than punishment and a criminal record to those involved in it.

3.9.8 We did consider whether mutually agreed sex between contemporaries should be regarded as criminally culpable at all. Some members of the review thought that the criminal law should not be involved; it was not appropriate. Some other countries have adopted an approach that where the sexual activity is mutually agreed and there is a small age differential between the partners, there is no offence. The Australian Model Criminal Code Officers Committee report advocates a ‘similarity of age’ defence of two years that would remove criminal liability from those who engage in mutually agreed under-age sex with a child over ten and who are within two years of age of the child. The defence does not apply to incitement to commit such acts. This was controversial but the recommendation (which applies in some States) has been maintained. The South African Law Commission’s recommendation of a new offence of child molestation also says that there should be no criminal culpability where the age gap was two years or less. However, there is genuine concern that this would undermine the age of consent, and that closeness

\(^4\) Home Office Research, Development and Statistics Directorate. Where some of the figures for conviction exceed those for prosecution, this may reflect that other more serious charges (such as rape) had been laid but not proved, or where the prosecution had started in one year and the conviction had taken place in the following year.
in age did not necessarily mean that a relationship was genuinely mutual. We recognised that younger, less mature or secure children could be over persuaded or coerced into sex – and that this was a factor of their age and maturity – the very reasons we sought to protect them. If there was no law in place we could not deal effectively with these situations which may not be serious enough to justify the more serious charges of rape or sexual assault. Every country in the EU has a law in place to deal with under-age sex, particularly where there is a complaint or exploitation.

3.9.9 Treatment of under-age sex, linked to the age of consent in the European Union is shown in the following table.\textsuperscript{58}:

\textsuperscript{58} ILGA Europe and the Teenage Pregnancy Report.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Age of consent</th>
<th>Other ages limits</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14/18 (male same sex)</td>
<td>It is illegal for a male over the age of 19 to commit homosexual acts with a male aged between 14 and 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>This may be raised to 18 in certain circumstances; e.g. abuse of trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>16/18</td>
<td>18/21 (same sex)</td>
<td>Second age is for 'abuse of trust' offences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>No consent between a minor (&lt;18) and a person in a position of authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>This may be raised to 18 in certain circumstances; e.g. abuse of trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17 (male same sex)</td>
<td>The higher age only applies for 'seducing' a male person if the partner is an adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>If one of the participants is an older family member or a guardian, the age of consent is raised to 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>If a person between the ages of 12 and 16 commits a sexual act with another person between those ages, they will not be prosecuted unless there is a complaint from the other participant, a parent or guardian. However, if a person over 16 commits a sexual act with a person under 16, they will be liable to prosecution regardless of whether a complaint has been made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>It is an offence for a person who has attained the age of 18 to commit sexual acts with a person under 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Although this may be increased to 13. If a person between the ages of 12 and 16 has committed a sexual act with a person over 16, the older person may be liable to prosecution if the younger person’s parents complained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Raised to 18 in certain circumstances, e.g. abuse of trust</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.9.10 We concluded that there had to be some criminal law in place to ensure the protection of children from each other as well as adults. The offences of rape, sexual assault by penetration and sexual assault are already in place to tackle serious non-consensual behaviour. We thought there should be a separate offence for those aged under 18 with those under 16 to mirror the more serious "adult sexual abuse of a child offence". We set the upper age limit for the commission of this offence at 18. This provides a gap of two years between the age of consent at 16 and the age of majority at 18 before the more serious adult offence would apply.

3.9.11 As a mirror to the adult offence, the offence of "sexual activity between children" would apply to behaviour that would not be culpable between consenting adults but was wrong for children to be involved in: sexual intercourse and penetration; sexual touching, inducing a child to commit sexual acts, performing sexual acts with or towards a child, and making a child witness sexual acts. It would replace the existing offences of unlawful sexual intercourse and indecency with children. It should be used where behaviour was not mutually agreed, but exploitative and coercive. The law would be clearer and more accessible to children. We hoped it would provide better protection by acting as a more effective deterrent to underage sexual activity.

3.9.12 In seeking a way through these difficult issues we considered another possible approach. This was to create a separate, less serious, offence of ‘penetrative sex with mutual agreement’ for children aged between 13 and 16 to deal explicitly with mutually agreed sex. There were several problems with this approach. Such a ‘third tier’ offence for children would mean that our wider offence would be restricted to coercive or abusive behaviour which was not severe enough to justify a more serious charge. We thought about trying to define coercive circumstances, but found that this posed great difficulties, and if the offence relied on lack of consent the child victim would have to be cross-examined to determine the degree of coercion involved – raising all the evidential and procedural problems that occur in rape trials. If the offence existed it would certainly be used, and although some thought that this would go some way towards strengthening the age of consent, others thought that it might be used too readily and would unnecessarily criminalise young people. On balance we believed that our proposal for an offence which mirrored the adult offence of adult sexual abuse of a child was a better solution. We thought that rather than a separate offence we should concentrate on diversion and alternative disposals.

3.9.13 We thought the defences applying to the adult offence should also apply to the juvenile offence – and that there should be a statutory defence of mistake of fact in age, with the same safeguards for the adult defence, where the younger child was aged between thirteen and sixteen. If the younger child was below the age of 13, there should be no mistake of fact in age. If one or both of the parties was under the age of 13, this should always be considered under child protection guidelines, even though it was mutually agreed activity. The offence should ideally be used where there was an element of coercion or abuse by a child on another child. It should also prove an alternative charge or verdict for rape/sexual assault by penetration. Where there is evidence of serious abuse or coercion the offences of rape or sexual assault by penetration should be charged as appropriate.

Recommendation 27: There should be an offence of sexual activity between minors to replace the existing offences of unlawful sexual intercourse, buggery, indecency with children and sexual activity prohibited for children. It should apply to children under the age 18 with those under the age of consent.

3.9.14 The review also considered the question of criminal responsibility for under-age sex. Where adults are involved in sexual activity with children, we have recommended that only the adult should be liable, with no liability on the child. For the offence of sexual activity between minors, if one of the partners is over the age of consent, (ie a 16 or 17 year old with a child under 16) he or she should be liable. Where two children under the age of 16 are involved in a mutually agreed sexual relationship, we propose that the law leave open the issue of who is liable
unless one partner is below the age of 13 (our age of absolute protection) in which case the older child is liable. There are strong arguments that in a mutually agreed relationship, both parties should be prepared to take responsibility for their actions. Leaving the liability open would enable the police and prosecutors to decide who is responsible on the facts of the case – if and when a prosecution is deemed necessary and in the public interest. There are risks to girls and boys in early sex – of pregnancy for girls and STIs for both sexes. Girls however may suffer more readily from loss of reputation, and if they do become pregnant, from a very public recognition of their activity. Some members of the group thought that because of these features, girls should never be liable. However, we thought that this was not appropriate in the context of mutually agreed peer activity. Whilst recognising girls could be coerced into sex, that should be assessed in each case. We were also aware that pressure to have sex came not only from partners but from peers and apparently from a wider society. The law provides some counterweight against that.

3.9.15 Criminal justice action is not the best or most effective way to tackle the problem of mutually agreed under-age sex between peers. We did not think prosecution would be in the best interests of the children concerned, and it is important to apply the principles of the Children Act in ensuring that the welfare of the children is a primary consideration. The function of the criminal justice system is to protect against coercion, abuse and exploitation. If criminal justice action is required because there is evidence of coercion, a decision on who should be prosecuted should be made on the facts of the case in the context of clear and well-understood prosecution guidelines that would reflect such features as an imbalance of power, age or competence. To have no power to prosecute in any circumstances would effectively reduce the age of consent, or to apply inappropriate gender-based rules.

3.9.16 However, the new arrangements to deal with young offenders introduced in the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 which will replace the system of police cautions do introduce a new element into the discussion. Reprimands and final warnings are being implemented throughout England and Wales on 1 June 2000 following successful pilot projects. If a young person comes to the attention of the police when they commit an offence, and they admit their guilt, on the first occasion the offender will be reprimanded. A second offence will result in a Final Warning. At the Final Warning stage, the new Youth Offender Teams will undertake diversionary work, such as voluntary attendance at a tailored rehabilitation scheme. Both reprimands and final warnings will be noted on the Police National Computer and for a sex offence they will also carry a requirement for the young person to register their name and address with the police as a sex offender. Although the reprimand and final warning is an admission of guilt, the young person has no option about accepting a reprimand or final warning (unlike a caution). A third and subsequent breach will mean that the offender will have to appear in court. (More serious offences may short-circuit this process.) The third time they are apprehended for any criminal offence they appear in the juvenile courts. Reprimands and Final Warnings can be triggered by any criminal offence, including underage sexual activity. Depending on the gravity of the offence, a young offender can be ‘fast-tracked’ to court without the reprimand or final warning. A conviction for a sex offence against a child results in a requirement to register under the Sex Offenders Act 1997 and to Schedule One Offender status under the Children and Young Persons Act 1933.

3.9.17 Special procedures have been developed for dealing with an exceptional category of children who are regarded as victims rather than offenders. These are children involved in prostitution, where the emphasis is on diversion from an inappropriate and dangerous lifestyle. We thought that this could provide a more flexible model for dealing with mutually agreed underage sexual activity.

---

56 An offender in receipt of a caution, reprimand or final warning for a sex offence against a child will have to register under the Sex Offenders Act 1997; registration is not currently required for offenders under 20 who commit sex with a girl between 13 and 16, buggery with a boy under 18 and gross indecency with a boy under 18.
3.9.18 If there is a complaint of under-age sexual activity against a child, but the evidence is that the activity complained of was mutually agreed, the children concerned should not automatically fall under the reprimands and final warning scheme. The police do have discretion not to issue a reprimand or final warning or charge, but we wanted to ensure that young people who were clearly not involved in abusive or exploitative sexual activity should have a non-criminal alternative. We considered whether it was appropriate to refer them to a multi-agency forum for help and diversion. One possibility we considered is that the new Youth Offending Teams, who have a remit both to tackle offending and those at risk of offending, could provide the right forum and blend of skills to help and assist these young people without the long-term consequences of a criminal record for a sex offence. This would treat mutually agreed under-age sex seriously, but not require the young people to enter the reprimand and final warning system automatically. It would fit closely with the new arrangements for youth offending and retain the possibility of using the criminal law where it was in the public interest. It would also sit closely with the guidance on young sex offenders in ‘Working Together’\textsuperscript{57}, which advocates a multi-agency approach to protect children.

3.9.19 However, many of those working in the field of child protection felt that referral of a child to any panel concerned with youth offending would provide a negative effect by tainting the whole process: children would automatically equate such panels with criminalisation, and would be reluctant to seek their help. It was thought, in particular, that children in care (who were more likely to come to notice of the police and whose lives were chronicled by social services so that their smallest misdemeanour was a matter of record) might be most likely to be caught up in these arrangements for other offending behaviour. Although children involved as willing partners in underage sexual activity are committing a criminal offence, it was agreed that it was not normally acceptable to put them through the mechanism of the criminal justice system. This reflects the present situation: prosecutions for under 16s are very rare. What was really needed was a process to run in parallel with investigation procedures, which could give individual assessment and possible referral to a range of services from sex education to other forms of intervention, ensuring that these reflected the aims of a national sexual health strategy. This process will require professional skills to provide an worthwhile intervention, and a network to link into multi-agency services.

\begin{center}
\textbf{Recommendation 28:} We recommend that further consideration should be given to appropriate, non-criminal, interventions for young people under 16 engaging in mutually-agreed under-age sex who are not now, and should not in future, normally be subject to prosecution.
\end{center}

3.10 Disposals for children who abuse

3.10.1 We also recognised that in addition to young people who were experimenting with sex in a variety of ways, there are young people who abuse and exploit other children in a very serious manner. We felt that it was important to draw a distinction between sexual experimentation and sexual abuse. Some of this abuse is against very young children.

3.10.2 The guidance in ‘Working Together’ sets out best practice and a change of emphasis in the treatment of young sex abusers. Formerly both child victims and perpetrators were brought into the child protection system, but this would now be unlikely unless the young abuser was also thought to be a victim at risk of significant harm. While we recognise that many young people who sexually abuse may have been abused themselves, and are in need of care and protection, that provides a context for their actions; it certainly does not condone or justify them.

Unless properly treated they will continue to be a risk to others, and they need to be held accountable for their actions.

3.10.3 One of the definitions of a juvenile sex offender sets out the range of behaviour and the imbalance of power, manipulation or coercion that may be involved:

"Young people (below the age of 18 years) who engage in any form of sexual activity with another individual, that they have power over by virtue of age, emotional maturity, gender, physical strength, intellect and where the victim in this relationship has suffered a sexual exploitation and betrayal of trust. Sexual activity includes sexual intercourse (oral, anal and vaginal), sexual touching, exposure of sexual organs, showing pornographic material, exhibitionism, voyeurism, obscene communication, frottage, fetishism and talking in a sexualised way. Any form of sexual activity with an animal, and where a young person sexually abuses an adult".\(^58\)

There is some debate in society whether a child who indulges in inappropriate behaviour is experimenting or is abusing. Indeed much may depend on the particular circumstances and the age and understanding of the child or children concerned. Children are exposed to sexual imagery and acts throughout their lives, some much more than others. The importance of the criminal law is that it must provide a remedy that can be used to deal with those children who do abuse. This does not assume that all sexual exploration is abusive, but ensures that where it is, the law can be brought into play.

3.10.4 Most professionals working with children recognise that they need early intervention and specialist treatment to help prevent them from continuing to abuse in adulthood:

"An apparently isolated incident may well be part of a much more entrenched pattern known only to the young person who abuses. Some will spontaneously self correct, but we do not know which ones will and which ones will not. Prolonged work may be needed in some cases but assessment is needed in them all".\(^59\)

"The risk of sexual abusing is likely to be present unless the opportunity to further abuse is ended, the young person has acknowledged the abusive behaviour and accepted responsibility for it and there is an agreement by the young abuser and his/her family to work with the relevant agencies to address the problem".\(^60\)

Further:

"Intervention needs to be based on an accountability approach, recognising that sexual abuse is illegal ... Without intervention, such behaviour is more likely to escalate than diminish, and there will always be the risk of recurrence ... Adolescent sexual abusers are unlikely to engage in treatment unless there are significant negative consequences for them not doing so".\(^61\)
3.10.5 A variety of suggestions have been made as to the best way to treat young abusers, including that they should be treated separately from adult sex abusers. It was also suggested that wherever possible they should be removed from the criminal justice system, and civil remedies, together with welfare support, should be used to tackle abusive behaviour. The principles of the punishment or treatment of young offenders is beyond our remit, but we did consider that whatever approach was adopted needed to reflect the basic principles of protecting the community and the individual and preventing re-offending.

3.10.6 The evidence does seem to show that adolescent sex offenders are as varied as adult offenders. Some abuse much younger children, whilst others relate to their peers. There are some consistent findings that around a third of sex offences committed against children were committed by other children62. Although a large proportion of adults who abuse children state that their deviant interests began in adolescence, the vast majority of adolescent offenders do not re-offend as adults63. In this way they present a similar reaction to many young offenders of all types who do not continue their offending behaviour into adulthood. Intervention and treatment for young offenders is particularly important to ensure that as far as possible they do not continue to abuse as adults.

3.10.7 The offences we have proposed will provide a remedy in law for young sex offenders. We did consider whether the offence of sexual activity between minors might be seen as insufficiently weighty for young abusers, but thought it was appropriate given the availability of the serious nonconsensual offences of rape, sexual assault by penetration or sexual assault. Those who engaged in less serious activity could be charged under our new offence. What is even more important is that these young offenders are given appropriate sentences and disposals to ensure that they do not continue to pose a risk to others, and they receive appropriate treatment. Baseline assessment of these children was important for the courts in sentencing them appropriately, and we thought it was essential that courts had available a detailed specialist assessment of the child, to inform the judges’ decision on sentencing and disposal. This would need to take into account the perceived risk that the young offender presents, which should also include situational factors such as family perceptions; collusion; denial etc, and any kind of abuse suffered by the offender. The courts are already able to decide on the need for custody, and to make treatment orders in the community. We thought that the need for treatment should be given particularly careful consideration for young offenders.

Recommendation 29: The criminal law needs to have measures in place which can be used to deal with children who sexually abuse other children. Sentencing decisions should reflect specialist assessment of risk and potential for longer term offending and include treatment options.

3.11 Abuse of trust

3.11.1 Current Government proposals to protect children include the introduction of abuse of trust offences for adults who are in certain positions of trust or authority over a child. These have been introduced in the Sex Offences (Amendment) Bill. Abuse of trust provisions relate in the main to relationships where the child or young person is either in an institution of some sort, or where the adult is in a particular position of influence, such as a teacher. We fully support the introduction of this new offence.

3.11.2 In discussions in Parliament, there were calls to extend the scope of this offence to a wider range of adults, particularly to others in a position of trust or authority or within the family. We discuss these issues in greater detail in Chapter 5.


Chapter Four
Vulnerable People

4.1 Introduction
4.1.1 One of the most striking aspects of this review was the evidence given to us of the extent and nature of the sexual abuse of vulnerable people. The law seems to offer only limited remedy against this. Our terms of reference ask us to increase the protection the law gives to vulnerable people, and we regard this as a vitally important part of our task.

4.1.2 The term ‘vulnerable people’ is broad and hard to define precisely, but our primary concern is for those who are vulnerable to sexual abuse and exploitation. Mentally impaired people are a particularly vulnerable group – they are obedient and suggestible, and once adult they may well have sexual feelings and not be able to resist inappropriate behaviour. Children are also vulnerable for similar reasons because of their immaturity of judgement, lack of authority in relation to adults and physical vulnerability. We have discussed how they should be protected in Chapter 3. However, although this chapter concentrates on vulnerable adults, it is important to recognise that our discussions also relate to vulnerable children, especially those over the legal age of consent yet below the age of majority. People with mental disorder, whether permanent or temporary, and some physically frail people, may also be vulnerable to sexual exploitation. It is also possible for normally competent adults to become vulnerable people in certain circumstances. This chapter discusses all these issues.

4.1.3 We considered that vulnerable adults shared the universal right to a private life which is specifically protected by the ECHR, and that private life can include a sexual life. On the other hand, those who are vulnerable to exploitation have to be protected by the law:

"The law must balance between two competing interests – protecting people with impaired mental functioning from sexual exploitation, and giving maximum recognition to their sexual rights. The difficulty for the legal system in striking a balance between these interests is compounded by the considerable diversity of people with mental impairments in terms of the extent of impairment, living circumstances, and sexual interest and knowledge."  

4.1.4 It is important to emphasise that, in addition to the specific proposals discussed in this chapter, when vulnerable people do not consent to sex, charges of rape and sexual assault by penetration can and should be used where possible. However, these offences rely on proof of lack of consent, and this can be particularly difficult for vulnerable people. Some may not have sufficient ability, knowledge and understanding to be able to consent to sex, and this chapter discusses how the law could define capacity to consent for very vulnerable people. There is no statutory definition and the meaning and effect of the common law is still the subject of legal debate.  

**Law Reform Commission of Victoria (1988) Report No. 15 Sexual Offences against People with Impaired Mental Functioning.**

**R v Jenkins, 2000 (unreported).**
4.1.5 Over the past 20 years there have been very significant changes in the way vulnerable people live. It is now far less likely for vulnerable adults to be found in institutionalised care unless they are very severely disabled or very ill. Care in the community has brought many people out of institutions and provided alternatives of living in sheltered or supported houses in the community or with families. It is estimated that there are 200,000 people with a severe or profound learning disability in England and Wales. There would be many more with medium to mild learning disabilities and also those with dementia or other illnesses. It would be unfair and unjust to make consensual sexual activity illegal for all these people and any such absolute prohibition would place a very difficult burden on those who care for them.

4.1.6 We were given disturbing evidence of the extent of calculated sexual predation on these vulnerable members of our community, and to the risks their more open lives entail. The risks which living in the community poses are different: for example, vulnerable people are now more likely to come into contact with a wider range of people. However, it should not be thought that the risk of abuse only began with the move to community care. There was a great deal of abuse in the old closed institutions. At least now there is more chance of abuse coming to light because people are not dependent on the same small group for everything. This chapter considers how best to improve protection for all vulnerable people, whether living within the community or in institutional care.

4.2 Problems in the present law
4.2.1 At first glance, the law seems to offer protection.

- The Sexual Offences Act 1956 forbids intercourse with a 'defective' woman and procurement of a 'defective' woman, knowing that she is 'defective' (ss 6 and 7). It also makes specific provision for indecent assault on female and male 'defectives' (sections 14(3) and 15(3)); abduction of a 'defective' woman from parent or guardian (s 21); permitting a 'defective' woman to use premises for intercourse and causing or encouraging prostitution of a 'defective' woman (sections 27 and 29).

- The Sexual Offences Act 1967 does not permit mentally defective men to consent to homosexual acts (s1(3)).

- The Mental Health Act (MHA) 1959 (as amended by the Sexual Offences Act 1967) prohibits male staff employed in mental hospitals and homes or those providing care for mental patients from having intercourse with male or female patients.

Most of these offences are little used, carry low penalties (2 years in the main) and are not perceived as providing effective protection.

4.2.2 All these offences (apart from the MHA 1959) relate to victims with a severe mental impairment. The definition of 'defective' is taken from the Mental Health Acts as a person suffering from a state of arrested or incomplete development of mind which includes severe impairment of intelligence and social functioning. Anyone who met this definition would be severely disabled. A key point is, however, that in order for these offences to apply, it has to be proved that the victim is indeed 'defective' – a demeaning and derogatory term. This may be done by expert evidence but it may also involve the victim coming to court and being questioned in order to test

---

64 Source – MENCAP

67 A 'defective' is defined in section 45 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 as a person suffering from a state of arrested or incomplete development of mind which includes severe impairment of intelligence and social functioning within the meaning of the Mental Health Act 1959.
his or her abilities – effectively putting that person on trial. Additionally, by being confined to those with a severe mental impairment, these offences do not protect other vulnerable people who have some degree of ability or capacity to consent to sexual relationships. We were told that those people can be easily induced or persuaded into a sexual relationship and are targeted by others for their own sexual gratification.

4.2.3 Several contributors felt that the law denied those with mental impairment the right to consensual sexual relationships. In part this seemed to be because medical and care staff could not be certain when such activity transgressed the law, and this is a major criticism of the present situation. Such protection has to be balanced against the right, set out in the ECHR, for individuals to have a private life. The existing offences are often gender-specific and hence create a patchwork of protection. The law should seek to protect all those who are vulnerable, regardless of gender, or the severity of their disability.

4.2.4 The lack of a clear definition in law of capacity to consent to sex makes it particularly hard to prosecute the most serious sex offences such as rape (which rely on proving lack of consent) when the victim is severely impaired and where there is no definition of capacity to consent. The purpose of the law, to protect the most vulnerable, can be lost in consideration of whether or not actively expressing sexuality was actually consented. In one recent case, it was held that there was no reason in law why a severely impaired woman should not consent to sex.\(^6^8\) That is why those offences intended to protect severely mentally impaired people do not require consent to be proved.

4.2.5 It is difficult to find any statistics on the extent and nature of sexual abuse of vulnerable people. We cannot identify whether any of the convictions for rape and indecent assault represent offences against vulnerable people. There were 6 prosecutions and 1 conviction for the specific offences of sex with a ‘defective’ in 1998. These statistics do not reveal the genuine extent of the problem. Abuse against vulnerable people may not come to light, and if it does is unlikely to be reported to the police. There are considerable difficulties in both investigation and prosecution, and because of evidential difficulties cases may not proceed to court. Research has estimated that the incidence of sexual abuse of people with disabilities may be as much as four times higher than within the non-disabled population.\(^6^9\) A high proportion of those in the research were women and girls, most of whom knew the person who abused them.\(^7^0\) Whatever the scope of abuse, it is clear it is extensive, and that the law does not provide a good remedy at present.

4.3 Views expressed during public consultation

4.3.1 We received many submissions about the need to reform the law in this area:

> "The law needs to explicitly recognise the barriers to consent which exist for many people with intellectual impairments e.g. they may be easily influenced, easily tricked, easily bullied (sometimes by such small items that their worth as serious bribes is not taken seriously by courts) ... easily intimidated including by threats which other adults would shrug off ("I’ll tell your parents, if you don’t do as I say"). Alleged perpetrators should therefore be able to demonstrate that they did not exploit the learning disabled person."\(^7^1\)

---

\(^6^8\) R v Jenkins, January 2000 (unreported)

\(^6^9\) Muccigrosso, 1991, cited in NSW Department for Women “Reclaiming our Rights”

\(^7^0\) Sobsey and Doe, 1991, cited as above, suggest 81% of victims were female, and 99% knew the abuser.

\(^7^1\) The Tizard Centre, submission to the Sex Offences Review, March 1999.
Many of those who wrote in thought the current law used offensive terminology and focused too narrowly on severe disability. The majority of vulnerable people have mild to moderate disabilities, but also need some form of protection (and this could include elderly persons receiving care). However, some people are so profoundly disabled that they could never give informed consent, and it would be dangerous to withdraw the protection offered in the law from them.

4.3.2 There was a great deal of concern about the largely unregulated nature of the care industry, and calls to ensure that those who were not suitable to work with vulnerable adults were prevented from doing so. It was suggested that there should be legislation for preventing unsuitable people from working with vulnerable adults. This was outside our remit, but is being considered as part of the Care (Standards) Bill now before Parliament.

4.3.3 There was also concern that some people used a caring or counselling role, even in a regulated or semi-regulated background (such as psychologists, psychotherapists, etc.) to manipulate patients/clients into inappropriate sexual activity. Such activity was described to us as an offence against 'natural justice':

'All health professionals are forbidden by their code from having sex with patients. The seriousness of this issue is reflected by the fact that in some States in the US such behaviour is illegal and defined as statutory rape.'

4.3.4 Contributors expressed concern about the prohibition on sexual relationships, and the lack of clarity in law about how to deal with people having some capacity to consent:

'The present law aims to achieve protection through restriction or prohibition of sexual activity involving people by category .... This had created serious difficulties for services for people with learning disabilities wishing to promote the appropriate sexual development of their client. There are also anomalies in the way that men and women are treated under the law. A modern law on the sexuality of people with learning disabilities should take account of their capacity to consent to particular activities.'

4.3.5 Yet if that prohibition were to be lifted, there was also the question of when sexual activity was appropriate, and some responses to the review expressed the opinion that a relationship between a fully capacitated person and a vulnerable adult should always be regarded as abusive. There was, of course, a diametrically opposed view to this:

'... the current law, as a by-product, puts anyone in a sexual relationship with a person with learning difficulties at a technical risk of having caused an offence. It also makes assumptions about the morality of all such relationships. For instance, could there be a sexual relationship between a man with learning difficulties and a woman without, which was not abusive? What about a sexual relationship between a woman with learning disabilities and a man without? There are built-in assumptions in the different reactions we might have to those two statements. I would suggest that it is not the job of the law to make such assumptions.'

7 Frances Blunden, Director of the Prevention of Professional Abuse Network (POPAN), commenting to the press on 4 June 1999 after a case involving a former professor of clinical psychology at Liverpool University. Forwarded by MIND in a submission to the Sex Offences Review. June 1999.

8 The Royal College of Psychiatrists, submission to the Sex Offences Review. March 1999.

There were some interesting and helpful suggestions about when a sexual relationship between an adult with no mental impairment and a vulnerable adult might be abusive (and therefore criminally culpable). This drew a distinction between those who were in a position of trust or responsibility to the vulnerable person, and those who were not.

4.3.6 Proposals were also made about how capacity to consent could be defined. Respondents recognised that this was very difficult:

"Assessment of capacity plays a pivotal role in determining when decisions need to be made on behalf of someone. It therefore has major clinical implications for health care professionals and civil liberties implications for the person concerned. In many countries, there is a presumption that adults have the capacity to make health care decisions. However, in persons with a mental disability, capacity may be temporarily or permanently impaired."\(^5\)

Learning deficit has to be considered in tandem with difficulties in social functioning. Often vulnerable people have no knowledge about what is appropriate behaviour.

4.3.7 The review held a valuable conference with many of those most concerned with these issues. Some of the alternatives put forward at that conference included a suggestion that there should be a change in the burden of an offence from proving the level of incapacity to proving the exploitative behaviour. Another concept put forward was that those who were dependent on others for some reason, including being financially dependent, should also be regarded as being in a vulnerable position. However, the review believed that this would extend the parameters of any offence too broadly and would include individuals who were fully capable of making a mature and informed decision about having a sexual relationship. They might be rather indignant to hear themselves described as vulnerable in this context.

4.3.8 Several contributors emphasised the practical difficulties of prosecuting serious sexual offences:

"People with severe learning disability tend to be vulnerable. That vulnerability has a number of elements. They are less able to understand communication directed towards them, less able to communicate adequately in response or in initiating communication, and less able to establish credibility as witnesses because of their communication difficulties. In terms of sexual offences, and to over-simplify, those who can’t communicate can’t tell, and those who can’t communicate well won’t be believed. The superficial impression might be that current sexual offences legislation is highly/over-protective of some people with learning disabilities. The reality is that what would be rape in other cases gets downgraded to assault because the difficulty in being clear about consent reduces the potential charge to non-consensual assault rather than rape."\(^6\)

4.3.9 The Law Commission had ongoing work on consent in the criminal law, and also on mental incapacity in the civil law. They worked closely with us in our conference on capacity to consent, and we were grateful for their policy paper "Consent in Sex Offences"\(^7\). Their proposals are discussed below.

\(^5\) Draft report on capacity to make health care decisions, Department of Psychiatry (Section of Developmental Psychiatry) University of Cambridge, and Department of Academic Legal Studies, Nottingham Trent University - submission to the Sex Offences Review, March 1999.

\(^6\) Memoirs, submission to the Sex Offences Review, March 1999.

4.4 The law and proposals for reform in other countries

Australia

4.4.1 New South Wales prohibits sexual intercourse where a person is in a position of authority in connection with a facility or programme providing services to persons who have intellectual disabilities and prohibits any sexual intercourse between any person and a person with an intellectual disability if perpetrated with the 'intention of taking advantage of the other person's vulnerability to sexual exploitation'. In the Northern Territory there is a defence if the accused was, at the time of the alleged crime, the husband or wife of, or a de facto spouse of, the mentally ill or disabled person or did not know that the person was a mentally ill or disabled person.

4.4.2 Queensland prohibits all unlawful sexual or indecent acts with a person with impaired mental functioning, but it is a defence if the accused did not know of the mental impairment or if the act was not 'sexual exploitation'. South Australia prohibits sex where the accused knows that the complainant, by reason of an intellectual disability, is unable to understand the nature or consequences of the act. Victoria prohibits sexual or indecent acts between carers and persons with impaired mental functioning; a reasonable belief in marriage is a defence.

4.4.3 At the federal level, the Model Criminal Code Officers Committee suggested that the general offences of rape or its equivalent and indecent assault should apply to victims with impaired mental functioning where appropriate. Although one of the aims of having law relating to sexual offences might be to provide freedom of choice in sexual matters, that law may not meet the needs of adults who are incapable of making a proper choice due to their particular circumstances. However, the Committee did not support a total prohibition on sexual activity as that would not allow for the sexual rights of vulnerable people.

4.4.4 The Committee was particularly concerned with the high level of vulnerability to sexual exploitation. They felt that there were powerful arguments for prohibiting sexual activity between people in a position of authority and those in their care. They thought that victims would be unable to refuse such a relationship which was not only a breach of trust but could cause real psychological harm. They recommended a series of offences to prohibit sexual acts (penetration, touching or sexual acts directed at) on a vulnerable person by a carer. In their definitions:

1. mental impairment includes senility, intellectual disability, mental illness, brain damage and severe personality disorder.

2. a person is responsible for the care of a person with a mental impairment if the person provides medical, nursing, therapeutic or educative services to the person in connection with his or her mental impairment.

Their definition of a carer is quite broad and not restricted to those who fulfil such roles for mentally impaired persons in a professional capacity.

4.4.5 The Model Code Committee also recommended that consent should not be a defence unless:

a) the person with the mental impairment consented to the act; and

b) the giving of that consent was not unduly influenced by the fact that the person was responsible for the care of the person with the mental impairment.

The prosecution would have to prove the lack of consent and the undue influence, which may place a heavy burden on the victim as witness. In their discussion on consent in this context the Committee returned to the general definition of consent, and in particular, that consent is not present when:
the person is incapable of understanding the essential nature of the act.

In effect consent would not necessarily be lacking 'if the person has sufficient knowledge or ability to comprehend the physical nature of the sexual act, and to understand the difference between that act and an act of another character, such as bathing of the body or a medical examination.' Some thought this test was too narrow, and that there should also be further knowledge (such as pregnancy, etc.) and an understanding of the social significance of sexual intercourse.

4.4.6 They also suggest a defence of marriage, where at the time of the act:

a) the person was married to, or reasonably believed he or she was married to, the person with the mental impairment; or

b) the person was the de facto partner of the person with the mental impairment.

Republic of Ireland

4.4.7 The approach in Ireland has been to apply protection very tightly. The Criminal Law (Sexual Offences) Act 1993 makes it illegal to have sex with a mentally impaired person, who is defined as:

'suffering from a disorder of the mind, whether through mental handicap or mental illness, which is of such a nature or degree as to render a person incapable of living an independent life or of guarding against serious exploitation.'

Soliciting or importuning in order that such illegal sexual intercourse should take place is also an offence.

4.4.8 The definition of mental impairment includes persons with a permanent mental disorder and those with mental illness, which may be temporary. The Law Reform Commission recommended that it should be an offence to engage in other exploitative sexual activity with the mentally impaired, and would broaden protection from ways to prevent mentally impaired women from becoming pregnant to means of preserving their dignity and protecting them from abuse.

4.4.9 The Irish Law Reform Commission has also suggested that a mentally impaired person should not be charged with an offence against another mentally impaired person, unless the act in question constituted a criminal offence in another provision of the law. It was thought that this would avoid inappropriate prosecutions. The argument against was that a matter of such sensitivity should be left to the discretion of the Director of Public Prosecutions.

New Zealand

4.4.10 New Zealand prohibits 'sexual intercourse with a severely subnormal woman or girl' if the perpetrator knows or has good reason to know that she is severely subnormal (section 138 of the Crimes Act 1961). For this purpose the definition of 'severely subnormal' is 'if she is mentally subnormal, within the meaning of the Mental Health Act 1969, to the extent that she is incapable of living an independent life or of guarding herself against serious exploitation or common physical dangers.' There is no defence of consent.

---

80 Section 138 (2) Crimes Act 1961 (New Zealand)
Republic of South Africa

4.4.11 The South African Law Commission review of sex offences has considered how the law should protect victims with impaired mental functioning. They were concerned about their particular vulnerability due to the fact that they often do not understand what has happened to them, are unable to communicate their experiences and do not have access to persons outside their home or residential facility where the abuse takes place. At present South Africa prohibits any sexual relations with persons who suffer from a certain degree of mental disability (currently described as 'idiots and imbeciles' in the South African Sexual Offences Act 1957).

4.4.12 The Commission discussed how to protect mentally impaired people from sexual exploitation. They recognise that a mentally impaired person should be able to make decisions about a sex life on a par with his or her ability to conduct the rest of their private life. The law should not over regulate and recognise that mentally impaired persons have sexual rights to make decisions concerning reproduction and to security and control over their bodies. They were agreed that the continued use of the terms 'idiot' and 'imbecile' was derogatory and should be replaced by 'mentally impaired', which would they thought be simpler and more effective in court.

4.4.13 The Commission proposes a new offence covering exploitative relationships with mentally impaired persons and situations where the mental impairment of the complainant excludes free and informed consent to acts of sexual penetration. They apply similar reasoning to the Australian Model Criminal Code Committee; namely that the person may not want a sexual relationship, but may find it difficult to refuse, or the potential for psychological harm which may result from such a relationship as well as the breach of trust by a care giver.

4.4.14 The basic principles they adopted were:

a) For a person to consent he or she must have sufficient mental capacity to understand what they are consenting to;

b) It must not be an exploitative sexual relationship; and

c) To prove the offence the offender must know that the complainant was mentally impaired, and must intend to commit a sexual act with that person.

The Commission has proposed the following offence:

'Sexual offences with mentally impaired persons

(1) Any person who intentionally commits a sexual act with, or in the presence of, a mentally impaired person shall be guilty of an offence.

(2) Any person who commits any act with the intent to invite or persuade a mentally disabled person to allow any person to commit a sexual offence with that mentally disabled person shall be guilty of an offence.'

'Definition of 'mentally impaired person'

'Mentally impaired person' means a person affected by any mental disability irrespective of its cause, whether temporary or permanent, to the extent that he or she is unable to appreciate the nature of the sexual act, or is unable to resist the commission of such an act, or is unable to communicate his or her unwillingness to participate in such an act.'

United States

4.4.15 A number of States have introduced ‘sexual exploitation’ laws which strictly prohibit professionals in the mental health community, or anyone who purports to provide mental health services, licensed or unlicensed, from sexually exploiting their clients to meet concerns about abuse by therapists in the mental health community. In some cases this extends to former clients.

In some States, penal codes classify this form of abuse as a second-degree felony, defining it as a form of sexual assault.

The Netherlands

4.4.16 The Netherlands has introduced legislation relating to sexual abuse by therapists. Article 249 of Title XIV (Serious offences against public morals) of the Dutch Penal Code is a wide-ranging provision initially for indecency with minors, but which includes:

249 (2) a director, physician, teacher, public servant, supervisor or employee, in a prison, State workhouse, State institution for the care and protection of children, an orphanage, or a charitable institution, who commits indecencies with a person admitted to such an institution.

(3) a person employed in the healthcare or social care sector, who commits indecencies with a person who, as a patient or client, has entrusted himself to his care or assistance.83

Germany

4.4.17 Germany too has relevant legislation in the Criminal Code under sexual misconduct. Exploitation of a counselling, treatment or care relationship is set out as:

174c (1) Any person who has sexual contact with a person who has been entrusted into his/her care because of a mental or psychiatric problem, or a handicap including addiction, or who is in a situation of counselling, treatment of another, or who forces such a person to carry out a sexual act, will be punished by imprisonment of 5 years or by a fine.

(2) This will also apply to any person who has sexual contact with a person for whom he/she is providing psychotherapeutic services, or who forces such a person to carry out a sexual act.

(3) An attempt is also an offence.84

---

83 The Dutch Penal Code, as translated by Louise Rayar (Maastricht University) and Stafford Wadsworth (Wadsworth & Wadsworth Associates, Eijseren).
84 §174c: Sexueller Missbrauch unter Ausnutzung eines Beratungs-, Behandlungs- oder Betreuungsverhältnisses.
4.5 Capacity to consent

4.5.1 Capacity to consent, or the ability to exercise free choice, is a crucial element in sexual relationships, and pivotal in deciding whether a relationship is appropriate for a vulnerable person. It was clear to us that the lack of clarity in determining capacity to consent was an important issue in the law. We felt that providing a definition that would work in the context of the criminal law (where the needs may be different to those in the civil law or indeed in determining whether people were competent to make decisions about treatment) would be a significant advance. However, we also recognised that defining capacity with certainty and clarity was a complex task, and we were grateful for the help we received from many contributors, including the Law Commission. A workable definition may also help those responsible for providing residential care in deciding whether to allow sexual activity between those with mental impairment – and how to identify when such relationships were abusive. We realised that on its own such a definition would not provide the increased protection we wanted to achieve. Accordingly other proposals are discussed below.

4.5.2 In looking at capacity to consent we first wanted to define the public policy parameters that should apply in these areas. There are a range of possibilities, each of which has different implications for the balance between protection and the right to a private life. A definition that applied to only the most severely disabled would allow those people who had some capacity to have a sex life to do so without infringing the law, but would not provide a specific offence to protect them. A definition that would encompass more mildly disabled people would prohibit all consensual sexual activity with them as well as very disabled people, so ensuring protection but restricting their private life. There is a very real tension between the right to a private life and the need to protect people who are susceptible and easily taken advantage of. We concluded that any test of capacity should apply to the most severely disabled, rather as the definition of 'defective' in the present law does. We thought that there were other and more effective ways for the law to provide increased protection for less severely disabled people. We also thought that any definition of capacity should apply to all sexual activity, and not just to sexual intercourse. We hoped that increased clarity in and understanding of the definition of consent would enable the criminal justice system to deliver protection under the law more consistently than it does at present.

4.5.3 The review was unanimously agreed that some people had levels of mental (and sometimes physical) disability so severe that they could not be regarded as being able to give consent in any circumstances. Examples may be those with severe brain damage, severe learning disabilities or severe dementia. Such people would not be able understand what was being asked of them or to communicate consent, or the lack of it, in any way. An absolute prohibition on sexual relations with such individuals was fully justifiable as essential for their protection.

4.5.4 In its report 'Making Decisions', the Lord Chancellor's Department considered how the civil law should deal with incapacitated people and how far they should be able to make decisions for themselves in the ordinary events of life – about finance for instance. Following the consultation exercise on the earlier paper 'Who Decides?' the Government accepted the definitions proposed by the Law Commission (in the context of making decisions on treatment, financial issues, etc.) to ascertain whether a person lacks capacity. These are:

- A person is without capacity if, at the time that a decision needs to be taken, he or she is 'unable by reason of mental disability to make a decision on the matter in question; or unable to communicate a decision on that matter because he or she is unconscious or for any other reason'.

- Mental disability is 'any disability or disorder of the mind or brain, whether permanent or temporary, which results in an impairment or disturbance of mental functioning'.
• A person is to be regarded as unable to make a decision by reason of mental disability if the disability is such that, at the time when the decision needs to be made, the person is ‘unable to understand or retain the information relevant to the decision, or unable to make a decision based on that information.’

4.5.5 These definitions were constructed in the context of the civil law and it quickly became clear to us that they did not translate directly into the criminal law. There was insufficient clarity and precision to apply them to the specifics of the criminal law and the particularly difficult area of sexual relations. The Law Commission too recognised that the criminal law may need a rather different approach, and their paper ‘Consent in Sex Offences’ examines these definitions in the context of the criminal law.

4.5.6 Professionals working with vulnerable adults pointed out that relying on intellectual attainment was too narrow a view of a person’s capacity to consent, and suggested that a broader definition encompassing social and communicative abilities was preferable. One proposal was that capacity to consent should be linked to specific knowledge and understanding of several issues:

• that sex is different from personal care;
• that penetrative vaginal sex can lead to pregnancy;
• that penetrative anal sex is associated with a risk of HIV/AIDS.\(^\text{85}\)

The review was assured that most of those who were mentally impaired but able to undertake genuinely consensual relationships would be able to demonstrate the abilities needed. If someone had this knowledge but lacked sufficient communication skills to make their wishes known to others, there could be no consent – it would not be free agreement.

4.5.7 The Law Commission’s policy paper on consent examines the issue of consent and capacity in some detail, and is a valuable contribution to the debate. They have re-examined their earlier proposals in the light of the work of the review, the conference we held on capacity to consent and further submissions after that conference. They were determined to ensure the definitions adopted were appropriate for use in the criminal law. These should enable the law to deliver effective protection while preserving sexual autonomy for people with mental disability. The Law Commission proposes a new test of capacity that would require both the need to understand the nature and reasonably foreseeable consequence of the sexual act, together with its implications and reasonably foreseeable consequences (which could include pregnancy or disease).

4.5.8 The Law Commission recommends that, for the purpose of any non-consensual sexual offence:

‘(1) a person should be regarded as lacking capacity to consent if at the material time:

(a) the person is by reason of mental disability unable to make a decision for themselves on the matter in question: or

(b) the person is unable to communicate their decision on that matter because they are unconscious or for any other reason;

\(^{85}\) The Foundation for People with Learning Disabilities; the Tizard Centre made a very similar proposal.
(2) a person should be regarded as being unable to make a decision on whether to consent to an act if:

(a) he or she is unable to understand
   (i) the nature and reasonably foreseeable consequences of the act; and
   (ii) the implications of the act and its reasonably foreseeable consequences; or

(b) being able so to understand, he or she is nonetheless unable to make a decision; and

(3) "mental disability" should mean a disability or disorder of the mind or brain, whether permanent or temporary, which results in an impairment or disturbance of mental functioning."

4.5.9 The Law Commission thought that their recommendation would not, on its own meet concerns about the sexual autonomy of people with mental disabilities. Under this test of capacity, those unable to understand pregnancy as a foreseeable consequence of sexual intercourse would lack capacity to consent, so that sexual intercourse between such parties would necessarily be non-consensual. The Law Commission suggested that the autonomy of those with mental disabilities to engage in sexual activity could be recognised by provision in the substantive criminal law which restricts the scope of particular sexual offences. Then, although the activity would be non-consensual using this test of capacity to consent, it would not necessarily be criminal. They thought that where a more able person was involved in sexual activity with a very disabled person then it would be for the defendant to prove there was no exploitation. This places a burden of proof on the defendant that is justifiable in terms of the need to protect some very vulnerable and suggestible people, especially in the light of evidence that many such relationships are exploitative. We agree that defining capacity alone does not provide protection, but are proposing a different approach as set out below.

4.5.10 We also considered some common law definitions of capacity to consent: an often quoted one was established in the Supreme Court of Victoria in the case of Morgan:

'It must be proved that she has not sufficient knowledge or understanding to comprehend:

(a) that what is proposed to be done is the physical act of penetration of her body by the male organ; or if that is not proved,

(b) that the act of penetration proposed is one of sexual connection as distinct from an act of a totally different character.'

Professor Glanville Williams had put forward a test where 'the woman must know the physical facts and must know that the connection is sexual. Lack of knowledge of either aspect would render her incapable of consenting'.

4.5.11 We concluded these tests were too limited, and that it was important to include both knowledge and understanding in order to incorporate an element of social functioning. The most difficult question to resolve was the degree of understanding that is necessary. A test that required little or no understanding would be limited in its application, perhaps too much so to deliver protection. In understanding an act is sexual, there should be some understanding that it can have reasonably foreseeable consequences such as pregnancy or disease. We felt some degree of understanding that the sexual act has implications which may not be desirable was important.
We thought the test proposed by those working closely with mentally impaired people, and set out in para 4.5.6, gave a way forward in providing a more specific definition of capacity for vulnerable adults that reflects a degree of knowledge and understanding. We were not entirely convinced that it was necessary to set out very specific examples of knowledge – selecting some issues to highlight could result in others being ignored. We thought the broad requirement that a person should know the act is sexual and that it can have consequences such as pregnancy and infection were right in principle.

4.5.12 However, even knowing sex can lead to pregnancy may not be sufficient for a learning disabled person to relate that to their own life – to have an appreciation that they may have a baby and something of what it would mean for them. We thought that the rather broader test proposed to us by the Law Commission was preferable – it set out broad issues of understanding, of reasonably foreseeable consequences and of communication that contain the elements which we thought were necessary. It also included a requirement about the ability to make a decision on these issues, which we thought gave an additional and useful element.

4.5.13 For most severely mentally impaired people, we thought that evidence of how far they do or do not meet this test ought to be provided to the court by expert testimony.

Recommendation 30: There should be a statutory definition of capacity to consent which reflects both knowledge and understanding of sex and its broad implications. We recommend adoption of the definition proposed by the Law Commission set out in paragraph 4.5.8.

This is an area that is fraught with difficulty. We would very much welcome the views of the legal, academic and caring communities about these proposals. In particular:

- Is the proposed test the right one?
- Will it deliver the necessary balance between protection and the right to a private life?

4.6 Sex offences against people with no capacity to consent

4.6.1 The law contains specific offences for sexual behaviour with people with severe mental impairment, where consent is not an issue. These are little used at present. Our proposal to define capacity is intended to give absolute protection to those who do not meet our recommended test. The key question is whether this protection should be provided by relying on the fact that, if a person was incapable of consenting, then the appropriate charge would be rape or sexual assault by penetration because sex with them was inherently non-consensual. If not, a separate criminal offence is necessary.

4.6.2 In Chapter 2 we set out a list of situations as examples where free agreement is not present in rape and sexual assault by penetration. These include situations where the victim is incapable of consenting. A clear definition in law would enable those most serious offences of rape and sexual assault by penetration to be used where appropriate for victims who were severely mentally impaired. There are strong arguments for the use of rape/sexual assault by penetration in these circumstances; serious violations should carry serious consequences whatever the capacity of the victim. Those who could not meet the test of capacity we suggest would be self-evidently severely mentally disabled in some way. Taking sexual advantage of these most vulnerable people is very serious.
4.6.3 However, the offences of rape, sexual assault by penetration and sexual assault all require the prosecution to prove the mens rea or guilty intent of the accused, as well as the lack of consent. There may be occasions when these offences are too severe for the circumstances of the sexual activity, or where there is real difficulty in prosecuting the more serious offence. We felt that a specific offence to protect the most severely mentally disabled was justified as a fail-safe. Such an offence could not be as serious as rape or sexual assault by penetration and there would be no question of consent as the victim must lack capacity to consent. It would apply to those who indulged in sexual activity with those who were so severely disabled that there was no question that they had the knowledge, understanding or communication skills to consent (and who therefore did not pass those tests set out in section 4.5 above). However, although less serious than rape, this offence marks a significant betrayal of a very vulnerable person, and should carry a substantial penalty. Both sexual penetration and sexual activity (defined as activity that a reasonable person would consider to be sexual\(^\text{87}\)) would fall within the scope of the offence, thus replacing the existing indecent assault provisions as well. This offence should be an alternative to charging rape but should also provide an alternative verdict in the event of a rape charge not being proved.

4.6.4 We did consider whether this specific offence should be one of strict liability where the defendant would not be able to claim any defence. The argument for a strict liability offence is that the victim would be so severely disabled that it should be absolutely evident that they were very vulnerable, and that, therefore, there was utterly no excuse for a defendant’s actions. We considered the need for such a robust protection for these very vulnerable people against the Law Commission’s definition of lack of capacity that we have adopted. This would include not only those who are severely mentally impaired, but also those who were, at the material time, mentally disordered. We thought that there could be occasions where such a disability may not be quite so self-evident as to justify a strict liability offence. Accordingly we thought that a defendant would have to know, or ought to have known, that the victim was a person with severe disability. It is important that the test is both objective and reasonable.

4.6.5 We also considered what would happen when someone in a longstanding relationship becomes so disabled through accident or illness that they lose their pre-existing capacity. Should a husband or wife, or long term partner, have to cease all sexual relationships with their spouse as technically they could be charged with a very serious offence? It is certainly possible for rape to be committed within relationships, and for sex to be abusive. The review considered this issue carefully, and concluded that if somebody lacked capacity to consent then they could not give free agreement to sexual activity. A marriage defence, or something similar to encompass de facto relationships, was unacceptable in these circumstances where a person was so severely incapacitated that any sexual penetration of them would be tantamount to rape. (Different considerations apply in the discussion of the relationship between a carer and a person who is able to consent but in receipt of care – see section 4.8 below.)

\textit{Recommendation 31:} There should be a specific offence relating to sexual activity with a person with severe mental disability who would not have the capacity to consent to sexual relations.

4.7 Sexual abuse by other people with mental disability

4.7.1 We also looked at the difficult question of sexual relationships between people with severe mental impairment. There may be genuinely loving relationships between mentally impaired people, but there are wide variations in capacity between individuals, and potential for abusive

---

\(^\text{87}\) As set out in the Sexual Offences (Amendment) Bill.
relationships and forced sex. The CLRC thought that offences committed by mentally disabled persons should not be prosecuted, and the Law Commission has suggested that where neither has capacity there should only be criminal culpability where there was evidence of abuse or exploitation. We agree with that principle.

4.7.2 This is a very difficult area to address in law because of the complexity of legal processes when both the victim and the perpetrator are vulnerable adults. Such acts should not be considered outside the law, but if the perpetrator is severely handicapped he may not have the capacity to know that what he was doing was wrong, or indeed that his actions were unwanted. In such a case there would be no criminal intent. On the other hand it seems wrong for the criminal law to withdraw completely from this area. If an abuser is capable of telling right from wrong, and has the capacity to know about sex and understand broadly its consequences, he or she should not be immune from prosecution, nor should such behaviour be condoned by carers. We thought that providing definitions of capacity would help in deciding whether or not prosecution would be justified. It should be for the judgement of experts assisting investigators and prosecutors to determine whether there was sufficient lack of capacity by a perpetrator to justify a lack of intent.

4.8 Breach of a relationship of care

4.8.1 The abuse of vulnerable people in institutions and the community is a real problem, and one that should not be under-estimated. Our conference heard that many learning impaired people regarded sexual abuse as a normal and expected part of life: a devastating concept. There are many loving and dedicated people working in care; others, however, find the provision of care provides a unique opportunity to use those in their charge for their sexual gratification with little chance of discovery or effective redress. The law needs to deal effectively with abuse by service providers, but all the evidence is that such abuse is hard to detect and harder to prosecute.

4.8.2 Those with a mental disorder may not able to make rational choices about sexual relationships, and are likely to be strongly influenced by those treating them. The Mental Health Act 1959 (MHA) provides a comprehensive restriction to prevent those caring for mentally disordered people from abusing their position. This seems a sensible and potentially effective offence, although at present there are very few prosecutions or convictions – only 2 or 3 a year.

4.8.3 As there seemed to be evidence indicating that caring work offered access to very vulnerable people and the potential for abuse, we decided to explore a different approach to protecting mentally impaired, disordered or otherwise vulnerable people. We already have law in place that deals with certain caring relationships – those with mental patients. Many other countries have adopted law that criminalises inappropriate sexual relationships between carers and recipients of care. The Sexual Offences (Amendment) Bill 2000 applies this principle to 16 and 17 year old children and certain adults in positions of trust and responsibility. In Chapter 5 we suggest that some family relationships should not be sexual. We thought that it should be possible to identify those relationships of care where any sexual element would be so wrong and inappropriate as to justify a criminal sanction. In a relationship of trust or care with a vulnerable person the relative imbalance of power can be so great that it is difficult to deny sexual demands or protest effectively about their actions. Consent would either be absent or obtained inappropriately.

4.8.4 We looked at the situations where people were most vulnerable and there was the greatest potential for abuse and exploitation. The conference on capacity to consent had identified three areas where protection could be enshrined in law. These were:

• an absolute prohibition on sexual activity with people who were so severely disabled that they could not understand or communicate consent in any way;
• a more limited prohibition on sexual activity between people living in residential accommodation or receiving similar institutional care who were able to consent, and members of staff in the place where they were living, etc; and

• a further prohibition on sexual activity between people living in the community who could communicate consent, and those who were providing caring or medical services etc.

We have already dealt with those who lack capacity. We were clear about the people we wanted to protect: learning impaired people, frail elderly people, mentally disordered people and those with dementia whether in residential homes, or those same groups receiving care in the community. The common thread for all of these is that they are above the threshold set by our definition of capacity to consent, so they have some capacity to consent but are in receipt of care services whether medical, therapeutic or social.

4.8.5 We first considered whether, and if so how, we could define vulnerable people. The Home Office guidance on preventing abuse of trust of vulnerable people says:

"There is no simple definition ... there is no age at which elderly people should be classed as vulnerable, and many would rightly resent such a classification. Nor could or should all those with physical disabilities be classed as vulnerable. Moreover, some people may go through periods of being vulnerable, for example someone who has gone through a nervous breakdown, but subsequently recovered. There are however certain services provided for adults where the service providers are in a particular relationship of care to all those who are receiving those services, the majority of whom are likely to be vulnerable ..."

4.8.6 It was clear from the discussions on codes of practice and on increasing protection in the Care (Standards) Bill that defining vulnerability was extremely difficult. We thought we could not define vulnerability, with the certainty needed in the law, by mental capacity alone (although that will inevitably be a factor), and that we should look at both the individual and their situation. Some people will be permanently vulnerable because of their mental frailty or disability, others may be temporarily vulnerable because of illness or external events. In some cases physical frailty or disability can make a person very vulnerable. A common factor in personal vulnerability is that people will be in receipt of care usually based on a medical or social services estimate of need. They may be in a hospital, residential care of some kind or in the community in receipt of care services from visiting carers. This would probably include some medical and nursing care. Although the vulnerable person would have the capacity to enter into sexual relationships, it was already the case that sexual relationships between carers and patients/clients is seen as wrong and inappropriate. We were, however, told that in some cases access to vulnerable victims is achieved by working in homes or in the care services. As it becomes increasingly difficult for those with a history of sexual offending to work with children, they transfer their attentions to working with mentally incapacitated or elderly people.

4.8.7 We were also concerned about people who were vulnerable purely because of the situation they were in - because they were confined by law or for some other reason (e.g. in prison or a

---


89 The guidance is intended to strengthen professional controls. The Care Standards Bill, currently before Parliament, includes new measures to protect vulnerable adults from unsuitable people. Under the provisions set out in the Bill, the Secretary of State for Health will maintain a list of people who are unsuitable to work with vulnerable adults. People who are included in that list will be banned from working in a number of areas where people are likely to be vulnerable, including residential care homes, hospitals and other settings. Employers in those areas will be required to report to the Secretary of State in cases in which a person has harmed an individual or put an individual at risk of harm, and will be required to check the list before offering a person employment that will involve regular contact with vulnerable adults. Other employers, and voluntary organisations dealing with vulnerable people will also be able to check the list.
detention centre). In such situations inmates are not really in a position to give free agreement to a sexual relationship with a member of staff who was in a position of power over them; they may not be able to see any alternative.

4.8.8 A third area of vulnerability was that of temporary vulnerability. We all recognise that the most able and assertive individual may on occasion become dependent on others. Perhaps one area where this is most likely is in a medical and particularly a psychological/psychotherapeutic situation. It is well established that professional relationships such as those between a medical practitioner and their patient should not be sexual. Professional and ethical codes require that if a sexual relationship develops, the professional bond should be broken before any sexual activity takes place. We were told that many areas of therapy and counselling were little regulated. Those who go to seek help, for whatever physical, psychological or psychiatric reason from those they regard as professionals are particularly vulnerable to being exploited. The relationship that develops between the therapist and patient is often one of great dependence, and the therapist, of whatever discipline, is in a particular position of trust. The risk of a patient becoming emotionally dependent was recognised from the earliest days of psychotherapy. Some therapists may take advantage of their position to begin a sexual relationship with their patient/client, even though professional bodies regard this as highly unprofessional and inappropriate. A very small minority of professionals whose role is to help and care for vulnerable people do exploit them sexually. It cannot be emphasised enough that most healthcare professionals are entirely innocent of such misconduct. But it does occur and bodies such as POPAN (a charity dedicated to helping people abused by health or social care professionals, and to preventing future occurrence of such abuse) report a growing caseload. However, the numbers are fairly small: POPAN reported 394 new enquiries in the year 1998-99 of which about one third related to sexual abuse.

4.8.9 Although a patient may seem to have free choice and be able to escape, he or she is not in charge of their own feelings and is not in a situation where free choice can be exercised. Some research indicates this lack of control:

The woman patient under these circumstances is seldom in control of any of these issues. What is more typical is that the woman patient is caught up in the throes of her own past, of her childhood feelings, of experiencing emotions that are powerful and frightening and that often lead the woman in such a posture to feel that she is at that moment not in charge of any aspect of her life. The woman patient at such moments is in a state of psychological regression - a retreat into a childhood state not only emotionally, but also intellectually. At that moment she becomes the helpless child again, reliving the experiences of the past, unable to cope with what is happening to her, feeling robbed of the ability to make choices, perceiving herself as small and weak and therefore unable to defend herself.  

The victim of such abuse could just as easily be a man.

4.8.10 We therefore identified several potential categories of vulnerability where the vulnerable people should be protected:

- Those who are mentally disordered and receiving inpatient or outpatient care;
- Those in residential homes who are in receipt of care services (hospitals, hospices, nursing homes and homes for mentally disabled people);

---


• Those in the community who are assessed as being in need of care, and in receipt of intimate care services such as bathing or dressing;

• Those who put themselves in the care of medical practitioners, therapists or others who provide therapeutic or caring services;

• Those who are confined in institutions such as secure units, detention centres or prisons.

4.8.11 The next question to consider was whether the criminal law was the best way to offer protection. It already applies in some instances – for example by prohibiting sexual relationships between male staff treating or caring for mental patients. Despite the fact that this law was little used, we thought that this functional approach to providing protection had real advantages. The combination of a recognised relationship of trust or care with a prohibition on sexual relations ought to be both fair and effective. The Government was already adopting this approach for children over the age of consent but under the age of majority in the Sexual Offences (Amendment) Bill. We thought that the law did have a role to play in protecting very vulnerable people.

4.8.12 We were not convinced that the present regime of good practice and professional codes was adequate to provide protection. Whilst some professions have defined codes and disciplinary procedures, others do not. Some therapies are not regulated at all. Other countries have increasingly sought to define in law certain relationships which include such an imbalance of power and authority that where there is a sexual relationship it is so wrong as to be criminal. There is a strong case for the law to prohibit sexual relationships between vulnerable people (possibly those who cannot choose or can be unduly influenced into entering a sexual relationship) and those who provide medical, therapeutic or intimate care services to them, whether those services are provided in an institution or in the community. The law would reflect the serious abuse of the relationship of trust that is implicit in the relationship. It would not exclude a genuine relationship; breaking the caring relationship would remove the legal prohibition. (Just as now if a relationship develops between a doctor and a patient, the appropriate ethical response is for the patient to move to the care of another doctor.)

4.8.13 There is a two-fold advantage to this approach: it underpins and extends existing codes which say that such relationships are inappropriate, unprofessional and wrong. Where carers or therapists are not subject to any professional code or control, it would provide a sanction and give confidence to the wider public. It would have to be very clearly defined so that those who were care providers knew their responsibility under the law. This has the potential to be very effective in increasing the protection of the law to very vulnerable people. Consent would be irrelevant: it would be necessary to prove both that sexual penetration took place, and the fact of the relationship of trust falling within the law. Where a loving relationship did develop between carer and patient, it would not be prohibited if the caring relationship were broken.

4.8.14 We have not attempted to define where this offence would apply but to determine the general principles. The core areas are clear – caring for mentally disordered people including those with dementia; caring for those with learning disabilities and caring for those who have problems of mental health and well-being who entrust themselves to others for help. The common feature of all of these is the vulnerability of the recipient and the ability for consent to be overborne. The common feature of the caring role is that their work puts them in a position of access and authority that they would not otherwise have. But within these common features there are varying degrees of vulnerability and authority which will need to be carefully defined. Other areas should not be included: the residential home for active elderly people for example and those who may provide advice and counselling to others as part of more general duties. The law would have to be perfectly clear to whom such an offence should apply so that all those providing care knew and understood their responsibility under the law. It will require considerably more work to formulate the details of which relationships should be included in any criminal offence. We thought that the consultation
process initiated by our review would enable a debate on the extent and nature of such controls. Our recommendations are based on building up a set of proposals to identify the principles for protection, the core areas, and to identify a set of consultation points on the wider issues.

4.8.15 We thought that by setting out the issues in categories, respondents could focus on the key issues on each area: the nature of the problem to be addressed, the nature of the relationship of trust and how it should be defined. A set of particular questions are set out below the recommendations. The recommendations set out the offence in terms of the prohibited relationship, but any offence will need to be framed in terms of the prohibited acts which form part of a sexual relationship which could be proved in court. We considered whether this should be limited to sexual penetration (which would include penetration of or by a vulnerable person and any penetration of anus or genitalia, or penile penetration of the mouth) or the wider definition of sexual activity (activity that a reasonable bystander would consider to be sexual). One is more limited and more certain; the other could deliver wider protection but could be open to misunderstanding and have the potential to limit the caring role for fear of false allegations. We thought that this was a point on which we should seek views more widely.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation 32: There should be offences of a breach of a relationship of care to prohibit:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• sexual relationships between a patient with a mental disorder, whether inpatient or outpatient, and any member of staff, whether paid or unpaid;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• sexual relationships between a person in residential care and a member of staff, whether paid or unpaid;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• sexual relationships between a person receiving certain care services in the community and designated care providers whether paid or unpaid;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• sexual relationships between doctors and their patients, and therapists and clients.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We would welcome comments and views on these proposals and in particular on the following points:

- Should any residential care provision be limited to those where people receive nursing or therapeutic services and/or intimate care?
- Which services in the community should be included and how can the providers and recipients be defined with the certainty needed in the criminal law?
- How far should physical frailty or disability be included within these definitions?
- How can we define therapist and should it extend beyond mental health therapy to include those offering physical therapy?
- Should any prohibition in law apply to sexual penetration or to a wider definition of sexual activity?

4.8.16 We had also been told of problems relating to those who were confined in secure institutions such as prisons and detention centres. The Sexual Offences (Amendment) Bill prohibits sexual activity between children of 16 and 17 in secure institutions such as secure units or prisons and those in a position of responsibility over them. We had little evidence of the extent or the severity of the problem. Such places already have professional and disciplinary codes that apply to staff. We are considering backing up such professional codes with a criminal offence in other contexts, recognising that such behaviour may already be covered by the criminal law if there were no consent. We also recognise that there may be particular scope for false allegations in such settings. We thought that we should not make a specific recommendation but invite views on whether such an offence was needed:
· Should the criminal law apply to sexual relationships between staff and those detained in secure institutions?

4.8.17 One area of potential difficulty is that where care is given by husband or wife within the family or by partners in long-standing relationships. These relationships were sexual long before illness, accident or dementia intervened. In circumstances where individuals retained some capacity to consent it would be wrong and unreasonable to intrude into the private life of such couples. It is important therefore that there should not be an offence where the carer and patient were married or in an existing sexual relationship.

Recommendation 33: There should be a defence of a pre-existing sexual relationship for the offence of breach of a relationship of care where there is some degree of capacity to consent.

4.9 Defences

4.9.1 Currently a man who has sexual intercourse with a severely handicapped woman has a statutory defence under section 7(2) of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 which says:

“A man is not guilty of an offence under this section because he has unlawful sexual intercourse with a woman if he does not know and has no reason to suspect her to be a ‘defective’.”

4.9.2 The CLRC argued that it would lead to a defence for a man who believed that the woman was not severely mentally handicapped, and recommended that the defence should only be available if he believed that the woman was not suffering from any degree of handicap. They felt that the presence or absence of reasonable grounds would be evidence of the genuineness of the belief. The review considers that such a low test would be too difficult for prosecution purposes. Where somebody has a serious mental disability, leading to a lack of capacity to consent, or a total inability to communicate such consent, that should be apparent. We have proposed however that a defendant would have to know, or ought to have known, that a person was severely disabled.

4.10 Obtaining sex by threats or deception

4.10.1 In Chapter 2 we propose a replacement offence of obtaining sex by threats or deception. That is an offence of general application, but we were aware of the particular problems identified for mentally impaired people whether living in the community or in institutions. One problem for these people is that their arrested state of development may leave them child-like and subject to levels of threat and deception which may seem implausible to most people. We were told that inappropriate inducements were used to obtain sex (a bag of sweets or a promise to be a ‘boyfriend’ for example.) We were also told that threats and deception of a very simple kind were all that was necessary to obtain agreement to sex – threats to tell a parent, or a carer so they would get into trouble.

4.10.2 We thought that there was a case for a separate offence to recognise the special and often limited nature of the threats or deception needed to obtain sex with mentally impaired people. We thought that such a specific offence would enable carers, police and prosecutors to take action more readily than they might when faced with the apparently heavier burden of proving a general offence.

Recommendation 34: There should be a specific offence of obtaining sex with a mentally impaired person by threat or deception.
Chapter Five
Sexual Abuse within the Family

5.1 Introduction

5.1.1 The family unit, whether formally established by marriage or not, is for most children
the cornerstone of their lives. It should be, and often is, a haven where both children and adults
can live in safety and develop their full potential. It can also be a place where children are subject
to hidden long-term sexual abuse. This chapter discusses how the law presently deals with sexual
abuse within the family, and considers what changes may be necessary to reflect the looser
structures of modern families.

5.1.2 In England and Wales, sexual abuse in the family may be prosecuted using a range of
offences such as rape, indecent assault or unlawful sexual intercourse with a girl. Where there
has been sexual intercourse between close blood relations, incest may be used. This chapter
considers how far the present law is adequate to provide protection within the family, and how
it should be framed in future.

5.1.3 Incest is recognised around the world as a crime. Sexual relations between close family
members have long been taboo in most societies. However, incest was not a criminal offence in
England and Wales until the Incest Act 1908, now incorporated in sections 10 and 11 of the
Sexual Offences Act 1956. There is an additional offence of inciting a girl under the age of 16
to have incestuous intercourse (section 54(1) of the Criminal Law Act 1977). The offence of incest
prohibits vaginal sexual intercourse between a man and a woman he knows to be his
granddaughter, daughter, sister (including half-sister) or mother. Consent is irrelevant. A woman
over the age of sixteen may not permit a man she knows to be her grandfather, father, brother
(including half-brother) or son to have sexual intercourse with her by her consent.

5.1.4 The offence of incest sets out in law a fundamental social taboo about sexual relations
within the family, reflecting widely held abhorrence. We regard the offence as one of a fundamental
breach of trust by one family member against another. This raises issues about the nature of 'the
family':

With the rise in divorce and the increasingly diverse nature of modern families the offence
of incest which endures sexual intercourse within the family should be a crime whether it
is committed by a natural father or by a stepfather, by a natural sibling or by a stepsibling
with no separate gene. It is the protection of children within the family rather than the
impact on nature of the relationship which is important. That fact should be recognised
by the criminal law. There should be an offence which punishes the illegal sexual
behaviour within the family and adds an extra penalty for the abuse of trust.

92 Except for a short period during the interregnum in 1650 when incest, together with adultery, was made a capital offence, incest was
dealt with by canon law, punishable by a penance. Scotland has had a statutory offence since 1567 which at one stage included
relationships by affinity i.e. by marriage, e.g. mother-in-law. By the end of the nineteenth century the use of the ecclesiastical courts to
punish incest had almost ceased, but social reformers were gathering evidence that children of the poor were at risk of sexual abuse within
the family.

93 NSPCC – submission to the sex offences review, March 1999.
5.1.5 In considering whether incest should still be regarded as criminal, the Sex Offences Review had to consider the following questions:

- whether the proposals for the new offences of adult sexual abuse of a child and sexual activity between minors removed the need for a separate offence of incest in relation to children;
- if there was a need for a special offence, how it could be constructed to protect children in all kinds of family units;
- whether the criminal law should still apply to consensual sexual relationships between adult family members.

In considering the protection of children in a family unit we applied the term very broadly. This is important because the evidence is that there is a greater risk of sexual abuse where new people move into the family unit.

The eugenics debate

5.1.6 It is often assumed that the offence of incest is a protection for the potential offspring of such a union, to prevent inbreeding (the eugenics argument). However there is little evidence for this being used as the rationale for the law in the past. Research into closely related communities such as the Amish of North America (who have a high proportion of 'first cousin' marriages) reveals that they do run a greatly increased risk for a variety of diseases when compared to other populations. However it is doubtful whether these risks would justify a criminal offence. Marriage between unrelated persons who carry genetic markers for various hereditary diseases such as Huntington's Chorea or Sickle Cell Anaemia is not proscribed, nor should it be. It is treading a dangerous path to base the criminal law solely on any form of eugenic argument.

5.1.7 Although some of the contributions to the review on the subject of incest discussed the offence of incest in terms of eugenics, many called for the scope of the offence to be extended beyond blood relatives. Where arguments were deployed to extend the scope of incest beyond the present lineal provision, the arguments were related both to an abuse of trust and power within the family as well as a genetic need.

5.1.8 Although some studies have shown that the risk of serious defect in the progeny of an incestuous relationship is 28% higher, the Criminal Law Revision Committee in their 1984 report considered that the precise degree of genetic risk was not a crucial factor in considering the justification for an offence of incest.

5.1.9 We thought that the eugenic argument was not significant in considering whether or not there should be an offence of or similar to incest. Our policy was to ensure that the law provided protection for the family and in particular for children within the family. We thought it was important that the law should provide not only a tool to tackle an acknowledged social problem but that it should clearly define the need for adults to protect children in their care. The family unit is central to a healthy society and the protection of children in the family is vital for us all.

5.2 Problems with the current law

5.2.1 The limited nature of the present law was cited as a problem. Incest applies to vaginal intercourse only, with separate offences for men and women. There are strong arguments that the law should be able to deal with other types of sexual penetration, which also cause psychological and physiological harm, and that it should include same sex abuse.

**Adams and Neel (1967).**
5.2.2 Criminal liability for women over the age of sixteen who permit sexual intercourse to occur with a close relative was also cited as a potential problem.95 The argument is that such relationships are primarily abusive reflecting a long-term imbalance of power in the family. Ostensibly consensual relationships between adults may have their origins in under age grooming or sex. If a child is brought up to think such behaviour normal she may not realise the true nature of the activity for many years. As an adult she is then culpable. Even though prosecutions of women for incest are rare, the thought that they too could be liable may prevent some women from coming forward to report the abuse.96

5.2.3 The current offences of incest are little used, with comparatively few prosecutions and convictions each year. Yet that in itself does not mean it is not useful. The offence requires only the proof of the act between relatives, and does not rely on any issue of consent. It has been argued that incest of a child under 16 should be charged as rape, as it may be now if it is clear that there is no consent in fact. Any consideration of rape would involve proving the child did not consent, which may be difficult in a family situation. A child may be brought up to be obedient to older family members or be made compliant by a process of grooming. The table below shows the level of prosecutions undertaken in recent years.97

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of defendants proceeded against at magistrates' courts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.4 The present offence is limited to lineal blood relatives and siblings, and so does not include today's looser family structures including step-parents, nor does it encompass the transient nature of some family relationships. It was also argued during consultation that the stigma attached to the word 'incest' tainted both the victim and their family, because there was a perception that it was consensual behaviour, and therefore that the victim was complicit.

5.3 Views expressed during public consultation

5.3.1 The issue of protecting children from sexual abuse within the family and the offence of incest were discussed in a number of representations to the review. We also held a seminar on the subject in September 199998. The issues of the protection of children and the structures of modern families are closely entwined. We were asked to make the general sex offences against children clear and specific. So in this context we sought to make it crystal clear that sexual relations with children within the family (however defined) were not to be condoned. It was vital to establish that abuse within the family was one of the most serious and harmful types of abuse because of the fundamental breach of trust involved.

---

95 Professor Jennifer Tankin – 'Do we need the crime of incest?', Current Legal Problems 1991, Vol 44.
96 "We know that incest does not usually commence with the consent of both parties when a complainant is 16. Should recognition of this fact be left solely to the prosecuting authorities?" Literature review on the law of sex offences against children and vulnerable groups – Lee Mainland and Caroline Keenan, Bristol University.
97 Figures from Home Office Research, Development and Statistics Directorate.
98 The report of this seminar is in Vol 2 Appendix H7.
5.3.2 The conclusion of the seminar, and of some of those who contributed to the review, was that an offence of incest was still required in addition to the more general offences intended to protect children. It was needed to express society's disapproval of certain sexual relationships within a family. There was general agreement that any new offence should be gender neutral:

There has to be an acknowledgement that women also enter into incestuous relationships with boys as well as men entering into incestuous relationships with girls. 99

5.3.3 Some contributors argued for extending the scope of the offence of incest to other blood relations:

... although uncles may have a fairly distant relationship with nieces and nephews, the same is true of grandparents and grandchildren. In both cases, however, the relationship may be very close, with uncle or grandfather being a regular and trusted visitor to the home or living with the family. ... studies reveal that abusive sexual relationships between uncles and nieces are not at all uncommon. 100

5.3.4 One proposal was that rather than retaining incest, the abuse of trust offences for 16 and 17 year olds (set out in the Sexual Offences (Amendment) Bill 2000) should be extended to proscribe sexual activity between a parent and child, whether related by blood or adoption, grandparent and grandchild, uncles/aunts and nieces/nephews, and between blood siblings or siblings, whether blood related or not, living within the same family. 101 These respondents focussed on the abuse of trust rather than the family relationship; they thought incest could be retained where both parties are adult.

5.3.5 Some respondents pointed out that the law does not effectively protect children from sexual abuse by step-parents, live-in partners of their natural parent, or those who may be in a long-standing relationship with their parent, but not live with them permanently. However, although there were attractions to extending the scope of the offence to catch all those who are seen by a child as a person of influence, it was recognised that this concept would need to be defined very carefully to provide the certainty needed in law.

5.3.6 The issue of adult sibling incest was particularly difficult. Many contributors felt that such relationships tend to begin in childhood and often represented power differentials within the family which made them intrinsically abusive. However others pointed out that consensual activity between adult siblings (who may not have been brought up together) should not be subject to the criminal law.

5.3.7 Other contributors felt that rather than having a separate offence of incest the degree of abuse of trust should form a significant aggravating factor in sentencing for the proposed offence of adult sexual activity with a child. Factors which should aggravate the sentence could include:

- whether the perpetrator was a close blood relation or a significant individual in a child's life;
- whether the perpetrator had 'groomed' the child; or
- the age of the victim.


100 NSPCC – submission to the sex offences review, March 1999.

5.4 The law and proposals for reform in other countries

Australia

5.4.1 All states have laws about sexual abuse in the family, although they are not always defined as incest. In Tasmania, the offence of incest refers to lineal ancestors, lineal descendants and siblings; and it requires the relationship to be known. The offence in the State of Victoria (Crimes Act 1958) contains a much broader definition of when a sexual relationship is prohibited, including step-relationships and ‘de facto’ relationships; again it is necessary to know the relationship. New South Wales has two offences: having, attempting to have, or assaulting with intent to have sexual intercourse with a child between 10 and 16, where the child is under the authority of the person; or carnal knowledge, attempted carnal knowledge, or assault with intent to have carnal knowledge by a teacher, father, stepfather of a pupil, daughter or stepdaughter of 16 or 17 years of age. There is no specific offence of incest. The Northern Territory of Australia still has an offence of incest for blood related family members.

5.4.2 The Australian Model Criminal Code Officers’ Committee have proposed that incest should be primarily aimed at the protection of children and that it should no longer be a criminal offence for consenting adults. They argued that it is not the proper role of the criminal law to prohibit conduct that poses no real harm to the general community. The Committee first tentatively suggested that there was no need to retain an incest offence if there was adequate legislation to protect children from inappropriate sexual conduct or legislation relating to sexual conduct between adults which was not consensual. Responses to this proposal were largely hostile. Some were opposed on moral grounds but ‘a number of those dealing with child sexual assault victims disagreed with the recommendation: they asserted that many adults who are sexually abusing children whilst those children are under the age of consent continue their relationship after the child has passed the age of consent. They suggested that an absence of prohibition on adult consenting incest would permit the subsequent sexual conduct to continue, even though, in reality, the “consent” of the young adult was very much vitiated by the long-standing abuse that occurred when the child was under the age of consent.’

5.4.3 The Committee has recommended that there should be an offence of incest which is limited to penetrative acts of a sexual nature. They have also recommended that the offence should be limited to relationships of direct consanguinity, that is, penetrative sexual contact between parents and children, siblings, grandparents and grandchildren. The Committee also believed that the offence should require intent, as it would be ‘harsh and irrational’ to criminalise an adult person who engaged in consenting sex with a second adult person when completely ignorant of their consanguinity. The offence they propose is:

(1) A person who:

(a) Sexually penetrates a close family member; or

(b) Is sexually penetrated by a close family member,

Knowing that the person is a close family members, is guilty of an offence.

(2) For the purposes of this section, a close family member is a parent, son, daughter, 
sibling (including a half-brother or half-sister), grandparent or grandchild, being 
such a family member from birth and not marriage or adoption.

Consent is not a defence to this offence.

---

Republic of Ireland

5.4.4 The Republic of Ireland relies primarily on the Incest Act 1908, which was amended by the Criminal Law (Incest Proceedings) Act 1995. An amendment was tabled during the passage of that Act, which would have had the effect of extending the offence of incest to the non-blood relationships of step-parent and stepchild, and adopted parent and adopted child had it been passed.

5.4.5 The Department of Justice, Equality and Law Reform discussion paper on sexual offences considers that the provision of an offence relating to the criminal liability of adult females presumes that the female is never the instigator. As the law stands in the Republic of Ireland (and currently in England and Wales) a 15 year-old girl might instigate a relationship with a younger brother or half-brother: in that circumstance, the brother could be charged with incest while the older sister could not – but making under-age girls liable for the crime of incest in any circumstances might be seen as eroding the protection given by the law at present to girls under the age of consent.

New Zealand

5.4.6 The New Zealand offence of incest (Crimes Act 1961 section 130) covers vaginal sexual intercourse between parent and child; brother and sister (including half-brother or sister) or grandparent and grandchild where the person charged knows of the relationship between the parties. Everyone of or over the age of 16 years who commits incest is liable to imprisonment. Adoptive relationships are included but not step-parents.\textsuperscript{104} New Zealand has instead introduced a separate offence of ‘sexual intercourse with a girl under care or protection’, which also covers foster daughters and wards living as a member of the family.

Republic of South Africa

5.4.7 In South Africa the common law offence of incest consists of unlawful and intentional sexual intercourse between two persons who on account of consanguinity (blood relationship), affinity (relationship by marriage) or an adoptive relationship may not marry one another.\textsuperscript{105} The prohibition extends to ascendands and descendands in the direct line (for example father and daughter; grandfather and granddaughter); brother and sister; in-laws (for example mother-in-law) and adopted parents and adoptive children. The South African Law Commission review of sexual offences states in its consultation paper:

\begin{quote}
It is clearly vital to the actual security and the sense of security of all members of the family that these certain boundaries are set and preserved ... Of these boundaries, the sexual one is the most fundamental.\textsuperscript{106}
\end{quote}

\textsuperscript{103} The Law on Sexual Offences: a Discussion Paper, Department of Justice, Equality and Law Reform, May 1998.

\textsuperscript{104} Adams on Criminal Law CA130.08 and R v Stanley (1903) 23 NZLR 378 (CA).


\textsuperscript{106} Professor Jennifer Temkin “Do we need the law on incest?” page 187.
The review recommended that the crime of incest should be left in the common law, but that the proposed statutory definition of 'sexual penetration' should apply to the common law offence of incest in order to make it gender neutral and to expand the ambit of the offence.

Scottish Law

5.4.8 The Criminal Law (Consolidation) (Scotland) Act 1995 limits the offence of incest to those who have some degree of consanguinity. Blood relationships that fall within the offence are wider than those in England and Wales and include uncles and aunts, nephews and nieces and great-grandparents and great-grandchildren. The offence also includes relationships by adoption between adoptive parents and adoptive children, even where they were formerly adopted, but not adoptive siblings. Defences include not knowing the degree of relationship, not consenting to the sexual intercourse and marriage.

5.4.9 The Scots also provide related offences including sexual intercourse with a step-child or former step-child if two conditions apply. These are that the step-child must be younger than 21 at the time of the offence or that the step-child lived in the same household as the accused and was treated as a child of the accused's family at any time before the complainant's 18th birthday. The law provides defences of not knowing the relationship, believing on reasonable grounds that the step-child was over the age of 21, not consenting to sexual intercourse or of being married.

5.4.10 There is also an offence of sexual intercourse with a child under the age of 16 by an older person who is both a member of the same household as the child and is in a position of trust or authority in relation to the child. This offence is discussed in greater detail in paragraph 5.66 onwards. The defences are reasonable belief that the complainant was over the age of 16, not consenting to the sexual intercourse or of being married.

5.5 Do we still need an offence of incest?

5.5.1 Much of the prohibited activity - sexual relations within the family - may well be criminal in terms of other offences, and it can be argued that in itself that makes an offence like incest unnecessary. Where the intercourse is non-consensual, it can and should be prosecuted as rape. Where children are involved, there are other offences in existence, or that we propose, that would provide a remedy in law. The question is therefore whether there is any justification for specific laws against incest or abuse within the family.

5.5.2 In their report of 1984, the Criminal Law Revision Committee (CLRC) considered the justification for legal intervention in offences of incest. In doing so they took account of the work of the Policy Advisory Committee which had said:

'We accept as a basis for all our considerations that incestuous relationships are wholly undesirable for the individual and for our society and potentially harmful with possible long-term psychological consequences for those involved and their families ... From the evidence we have examined there appears to be a general consensus of opinion that the involvement of the criminal law in cases of incest can be in many instances not an appropriate or helpful means of dealing with the complex psychological and social problems which may be disclosed ... the introduction of the criminal law can in some cases do more harm than good. But even among those who point to this danger it is the generally held view that the criminal law does have a role to play and there is very little support for the proposition that the criminal law should be removed from this area altogether ... [that] would be unacceptable and incomprehensible to the vast majority of people in this country."

103 This consolidated the Incest and Related Offences (Scotland) Act 1986, which replaced the Incest Act 1567 following a report by the Scottish Law Commission.

5.5.3 The primary aim of the law in this area should be to protect against sexual exploitation within the family, especially young and vulnerable people. There is something very particular about the family — the place where we all should be safest — becoming the place of abuse and exploitation. The dynamics of relationships within families are different to those between friends. Adults within families take on rights and responsibilities of protecting and safeguarding the weaker members — in doing so they have a degree of power and authority over younger or weaker members. Any abuse of this power is individually destructive and socially disruptive. The evidence is that abuse within the family is particularly damaging and debilitating to the prospects of a happy and fulfilled life, whether that abuse is by an adult in the family or by a sibling.\footnote{Brother-Sister Incest-Father-Daughter Incest: a comparison of characteristics and consequences — Rudd and Herzenberger.}

5.5.4 The dynamics of relationships within families change as children grow up, but patterns of domination started in childhood can continue into adult life, and significantly affect adult behaviour. In particular the issue of whether an adult child or sibling can ever truly consent to a sexual relationship with a father or brother is questionable, and the law and practice need to recognise this. The particular nature of close family relationships, and the importance of the family in society, justify special provision in the criminal law.

5.5.5 We concluded that it was important that the law should make special provision for sexual abuse within the family in order to increase protection and provide appropriate remedies. After careful consideration, we decided that the word incest, although well understood, was perhaps no longer the right one to use in the context of delivering protection in the family. It is generally understood as an offence of blood relations, and carries a very heavy burden, not only for the offender but also for the victim/survivor who can be seen as complicit. It seems inappropriate for the informal and temporary family arrangements that can be the cause of particular concern, and are well outside the present law of incest. The rationale for the offence is the need to protect children and more vulnerable people within the family, however that is defined. The law must be seen to apply in the situations of family abuse to make the clearest possible statement of the importance of the family grouping in society for the long term health of our children and hence our society. We decided to adopt a different approach by developing an offence of familial sexual abuse to incorporate the present offences of incest and extend protection for children in the family however informal the adult relationships are in that family unit.

5.5.6 We also bore in mind that although our proposals will provide other serious offences which can be used to prosecute those who violate children within the family, these may involve issues of consent, fact and knowledge of age, for example. In a family relationship these issues are rather different. Consent is not an element of the present offence of Incest, nor in our proposed offence. The sexual relationships in the family that we propose should be prohibited can never be freely agreed. An adult will know the age and abilities of the child. It will be necessary to establish that any defendant knew of the relationship to fulfil the full incest-like part of the offence, but in general this should be an offence of strict liability that is determined if the fact of the relationship and the sexual penetration are established. It therefore offers additional protection to the offences of more general application, and may provide an alternative charge or verdict to those other offences.

**Recommendation 35:** There should be an offence of familial sexual abuse to reflect the looser structure of modern families which will replace and extend the existing offences of incest.
5.5.7 There are some key differences between our other proposals on protecting children and this proposal for protection within the family. In looking at how the law should protect children, we have drawn a distinction between the age of consent to sexual activity, which will be 16, and the age at which children may require particular protection. There are circumstances in which children who are above the age of consent (i.e. those of 16 and 17) may not be in a position to properly give their consent to sexual activity with certain people in positions of trust and authority. In principle we think that children up to the age of 18 deserve protection from abuse and exploitation in situations where they might not be able to make an informed and mature choice of sexual partner because of their dependence on members of the family. Children in a family are particularly vulnerable and there is anecdotal evidence that some incest starts at the age of consent. The argument for applying any offence of familial abuse to children under 18 is overwhelming. Until that age they are still legally children and dependent in many ways on adult parents or guardians. Where children under 18 are involved in a prohibited sexual relationship under our proposed offence, the responsibility and the criminal culpability always must lie with the adult.

**Recommendation 36:** For the purposes of the offence of familial sexual abuse, the prohibition on sexual relations with a child should apply until the child is 18.

**Structure of the new offence**

5.5.8 In structuring our new offence we had several key objectives:

- To include and extend the present law of incest as it applies to blood relatives;
- To extend the scope to other formal family relationships such as step-parents and foster-parents;
- To encompass more informal or temporary relationships within the family – the de facto partner or live-in lover.

We thought that the offences should primarily be about protecting children under 18, but that we needed to consider whether any restrictions should be placed on adults (as they are in the current incest offence).

5.5.9 The first consideration was what kind of behaviour should be covered by this offence. The current offence of incest applies solely to vaginal sexual intercourse with girls. If we are seeking to protect all children in the family, we need to ensure that the offence can extend to all forms of sexual penetration. Our new offence should provide protection from all kinds of penetrative sexual activity by close family members. It should apply to men and women who sexually penetrate, or are sexually penetrated by, children in the family; and the adults must be held responsible by the law. We did consider whether the offence should extend to other forms of sexual activity, but thought that would broaden it unacceptably into potentially a very wide and uncertain range of behaviour. That does not condone inappropriate sexual behaviour and we are proposing offences that will provide a remedy against this for all children. It merely reflects that this serious offence, which traditionally has been limited to vaginal intercourse, should be restricted to the most serious and abusive behaviour. We are indeed widening it to include any form of sexual penetration, whether genital, anal or oral.

5.5.10 The key element of our familial sexual abuse offence is not just that it is serious sexual abuse perpetrated against children within the family unit. The offence is intended to preserve vital sexual boundaries in family situations and therefore making the rules clear to all and so maintaining safety zones for all of us.
Revising Incest

5.5.11 In looking at the policy aims, we thought that the way to define the different family relationships with the clarity and certainty needed by the law was to divide the offence into three sections. Our key policy aim was to increase protection within the family, including looser and more informal arrangements than the traditional family. That meant that the kind of definition linked only to bloodlines as in the offence of incest was no longer tenable on its own. We thought very carefully about the kind of definitions needed, and how we might need to define several different groupings within one offence.

5.5.12 The first of these could be based on the traditional incest offence – of close blood relations. However we recognised that the present list in the 1956 Act was not necessarily the one to follow. In England and Wales, the offence of incest applies to blood relations, including half relations who are in the direct blood line. The equivalent Scottish offences are wider, and include adoptive parents and uncles and aunts. One idea mooted at our seminar was that we should consider those relationships where the act of marriage is prohibited as a starting point, but these proved to be so complex that it was impractical. There are, however, strong arguments for ensuring that uncles and aunts, nephews and nieces are included in the scope of the offence:

"Russell found that abuse by uncles was the most prevalent form of abuse by relatives in her survey. On 46 per cent of the cases for which they were responsible was classified as very serious. Similarly, West found that in one of his samples, sexual contact between a child and an adult uncle was the most prevalent form of such contact with relatives."

5.5.13 We considered the issue of uncles and aunts, with some concern that in many families friendly but unrelated adults are called uncle or aunt by the children. However we did not think this should be an objection because blood relatives are in a particular position of trust to their nieces and nephews; whatever the children may or may not know, the adults will understand the kinship and that any sexual relationship would be wrong. Accordingly we thought that any new offence should explicitly mention uncles and aunts who are blood relatives. Hence, the first limb of the new familial sexual abuse offence should outlaw sexual penetration of children under 18 by their blood relatives as in the present law with the addition of uncles and aunts.

Recommendation 37: The offence of familial sexual abuse should apply to the sexual penetration of a child by all of those relations included in the existing offence of incest with the addition of uncles and aunts who are related by blood.

5.5.14 We found greater difficulty with those who join a family by marriage or de facto relationship. These 'uncles and aunts' may have access to children as members of the family, and would often be in a position of relative power and authority. We can see strong arguments on the basis of protecting children within the family that they should be included in the definition of this part of the offence on the basis of protecting children under 18. In section 5.8 below we argue for the retention of the prohibition on sexual relationships between close family members through concern about the imbalance of power and increased risk of abuse, and define those close relationships by the relationships caught in this part of the proposed offence. We did not think

---

110 Very serious sexual abuse was defined as completed and attempted vaginal, oral or anal intercourse, cunnilingus, or analingus, forced and unforced. The Russell survey consisted of interviews with 930 randomly selected adult female residents of San Francisco. Of these 20 had been abused by a brother/half-brother, 42 by a father and 46 by an uncle.


112 Professor Jennifer Temkin, Do we need the crime of incest? - Current Legal Problems 1991 Vol 44.
that these considerations were sufficiently strong to justify a permanent adult prohibition on relationships with those who join a family by marriage or partnership (and who may also have left it again very quickly). We thought that we would seek views more widely on whether those who in joining a wider family by marriage or partnership to become an uncle or aunt should be caught within this specific offence of familial sexual abuse, in addition to the wider protection granted by our other proposals.

- *Should uncles and aunts by marriage or partnership be included in the offence of familial sexual abuse in relation to children under 18 only?*

5.6 Other formal family relationships

**Adoptive Parents**

5.6.1 The second grouping of people we considered were those who join family units in a formal way, or who create new families by adoption or fostering. For all intents and purposes the law of England and Wales treats relationships by adoption as on a par with relationships by blood: legally the position of the adoptive parent is the same as that of a natural parent and there is a prohibition against marriage with an adopted child. Some children are adopted at a very young age and may have no idea that their adoptive parents are not their natural parents. In 1984 the CLRC recommended that the offence of incest should apply to adoptive relationships.

5.6.2 We thought that adoptive parents undertook lifelong trust and responsibility to the children they adopted, and that they should be treated on a par with natural parents. We recommend that adoptive parents be included within the first limb of our new offence on the same basis as blood relatives. There are also arguments that the relationship is so important that this responsibility should continue throughout life or after an adoptive relationship has been ended. If adoptive parents are considered as the same as the natural parents of the child, we also considered whether there should be a permanent prohibition on sexual relationships (see paras 5.8.3 below).

*Recommendation 38: Adoptive parents should be treated on the same basis as natural parents for the purposes of the offence of familial sexual abuse.*

**Adoptive Siblings**

5.6.3 The question of adoptive siblings is rather different to that of adoptive parents; they have no ties of blood but are brought up together as part of the same family. Once of age they are able to marry. However we thought that they were so intrinsically part of the family, and many may not know that they or their siblings are adopted (or understand what that means even if they know it) that it was important that this part of the law apply to them. Sexual relationships between adoptive siblings under the age of 18 should be prohibited on the same basis as those between siblings.

*Recommendation 39: Sexual relations between adoptive siblings should be prohibited until the age of 18.*

5.6.4 We have proposed that adoptive siblings under the age of 18 should be prohibited from a sexual relationship. We did not extend this prohibition into adulthood, but they would of course be free to marry with consent over the age of 16. We would not seek to prevent sex within marriage, and although we think that such an early marriage between adoptive siblings is unlikely, it is not impossible. Hence there would have to be a defence of marriage for adoptive siblings over the age of 16.
**Recommendation 40:** There should be a defence of marriage for adoptive siblings over the age of 16.

**Step-parents and foster-parents**

5.6.5 The CLRC did not think the offence of incest should be extended to step-relationships or foster parents. Unlike the lifelong prohibition on marriage found in close blood relationships and adoptive relationships, those involved in a step-relationship can bring a private Bill before Parliament in order to allow them to marry, whilst many people might find it unreasonable to put a life-long prohibition on foster carers. The CLRC also felt:

That it would not be practicable to extend the offence of incest ... to foster children or de facto adopted children or to the children of one of the parties to a "common law" relationship. Some of these relationships are too transitory to form the basis of a criminal offence. They are all difficult to define with the degree of precision required for the criminal law, especially where the adults involved are cohabiting outside marriage.

5.6.6 As we were considering an offence not of incest but of familial sexual abuse, we did not think that these concerns were still relevant. Foster and step-parents may only come into a child's life for a short time, and they may do so at any age - even when a child is 16 or 17. On the other hand they may be the child's parent for much of its life, or be the only parents the child has known. Foster and step-parents are formal relationships (sanctioned by marriage, or organised by local authorities). It is widely recognised that new partners may present a risk of physical or sexual abuse to a child. Any sexual relationship with a foster-child or step-child is a particular abuse of trust, and that should be recognised by the criminal law. We therefore recommend that the second part of our offence of familial abuse should relate to step-parents and foster-parents who sexually penetrate (or are sexually penetrated by) their children under the age of 18. We also thought that this prohibition should extend to the age of 18 even where the foster (or step) relationship had ended because that adult would still be in a pseudo-parental role of authority over the young person.

**Recommendation 41:** The offence of familial sexual abuse should apply to step-parents and foster-parents. If that relationship has ended, a prohibition should still apply until a child is 18.

**Other familial relationships**

5.6.7 We also gave very careful thought to those who do not enter into any formal relationships in a family such as marriage or adoption but may play very important role in a child's life. It was put to us very strongly at our consultation conference that some of the greatest risks to children came from people, particularly men, who were in a short term relationship with a parent, or who have sought a position of trust in a family in order to gain access to children. If these people were to abuse a child under 16, then our proposed offences of sexual abuse of a child would apply, but that does not address the separate issue of how children within any kind of family structure should be protected by the law. While families may be loosely defined, we must look to ways to ensure that the law makes it crystal clear that abusing the trust of a child within the family is abhorrent and unacceptable. However as the CLRC noted the issue of clarity and certainty are important.

---

113 In July 1980 a private Bill enabling a man to marry his step-daughter received the Royal Assent (Edward Berry and Doris Eileen Ward (Marriage Enabling) Act).

In order to maximise the protection the law gives children in families in England and Wales, the review considered whether an offence similar to the Scottish model (where an adult lives in the same household as the child under the age of 16 and is in a position of trust and authority over them) was a useful approach. The criminal law of England and Wales has in general not regarded a broadly defined offence such as this offered sufficient clarity and rigour to be acceptable. However, if the law is seeking to deal with more fluid modern families where it is not always possible to establish a position based on marriage, or other legally defined relationships, we need to think carefully about how an offence could be defined. It would leave an unacceptable gap in the protection offered in the family if there were no offence for live-in partners, and it would also risk creating a perverse disincentive to marriage.

It is worth noting that the Scottish offence was originally proposed by their Law Commission who did not think that the phrase “living in the same household” should cause any difficulty. It was used in other legislation and seemed to draw a line between casual visitors, babysitters etc and those who formed part of a household whether on a temporary or permanent basis. The facts would need to be established in any given case. For ‘household’ Scots commentators have identified:

“There has been widespread recognition of the fact that one of the principal rationales behind the criminal law of incest is the protection of young persons against sexual abuse by those of whom they may be in awe or by those who occupy positions of trust in relation to them ... The Act does not define the circumstances in which the requirement that the accused was a member of the same household as the child will be satisfied. It would not do too much violence to the ordinary meaning of the words to hold that a lodger was a member of the household, particularly if all meals and all other facilities were shared with the family. A relative of the family, with no other home, but who spent long periods away might nonetheless be considered a member of the household, while an older cousin of the child, with a home of his own, who spent occasional periods as a visitor would probably not be so considered. In each case it must be a question of fact, to be decided according to criteria such as length of time spent in the same home and the confidence and status accorded by the family to the accused.”

Some commentators believe that physical presence within the household is important but not decisive. They thought that a person may be a member of a household even while temporarily absent, especially if such absence is involuntary, e.g. in hospital, in prison, on active service.

Another question is what constitutes a position of ‘trust or authority’:

“Any person who has custody of a child ought, in principle, to be treated as standing in a position of trust or authority towards the child, whether or not he or she had de facto control and care of the child.”

---

117 Christopher Gane ‘Sexual Offences’: Incest and related offences. The Scottish Criminal Law and Practice Series.
The Scottish Law Commission Report\textsuperscript{118} envisaged a variety of cases where an adult might be in a position of trust or authority, but in the context of within a household said:

\begin{quote}
An adult such as a friend of the child’s mother, or relative by marriage living in the same household might establish a relationship of trust or authority over the child.
\end{quote}

This would certainly provide protection in looser familial relationships.

5.6.11 There is also a similar offence in the New Zealand Crimes Act 1961, where the child is under the age of 20 years and at the time of the sexual intercourse lives with the accused as a member of his family and is under their care and protection. The phrase living with him as a member of his family is interpreted as referring to the relationship in which the persons concerned are living in the same domestic situation.\textsuperscript{119} The family is the accused person’s if they are a member of the group of people who comprise it, and children are not restricted to the natural children of the accused.

5.6.12 In the light of the experience in Scotland, the offence has not proved difficult and is used, albeit occasionally. Although we recognised that the offence was broader than was customary in our law we thought that it was sufficiently clear and comprehensible for effective prosecution and to be fair to the defendant. We thought that the courts could readily establish on the facts whether any particular case met the conditions of the offence. Without such an offence children in families where there are transient relationships and there could be a higher risk of abuse would not have the protection offered to children in more stable families. And the last thing we wanted was for the criminal law to provide a perverse disincentive to marriage.

\begin{quote}
Recommendation 42: The offence of familial sexual abuse should apply to sexual penetration with or of a child by any other person who is living in the household and in a position of trust or authority over that child.
\end{quote}

5.6.13 In summary, the offence of familial sexual abuse should protect children under the age of 18 in a family from all forms of sexual penetration. This offence should fall into three parts to cover:

1. blood and adoptive relationships;
2. step-parents and foster-parents;
3. other persons living in the household and in a position of trust or authority over a child.

5.7 Where both parties are children under the age of 18

5.7.1 We recognised that familial abuse could take place between family members who were under the age of 18. The review considered whether such sexual activity could be compared to under-age sex between unrelated children, as discussed in Chapter 3 of this report. The evidence from research and the experience of those who worked in the child protection area was that incestuous penetrative sex between siblings was almost certainly exploitative and certainly not


\textsuperscript{119} Adams on Criminal law.
exploratory. The victims of such sibling abuse are primarily younger and weaker or more compliant; they seem usually to be girls. The acts are not mutually agreed and we did not think that there should be a diversionary route for under-age siblings. In such circumstances the culpability is clear: it lies with the dominant sibling. Such abuse is very serious, with potentially very harmful long-term consequences. It is essential that the victim is protected and the offending behaviour tackled and treated. Therapeutic intervention would almost always be essential. It is important however that there is a remedy in the criminal law to deal with this very serious behaviour, where necessary.

5.8 Should there be a familial sexual abuse offence between adults?

5.8.1 It has been argued that the offence of incest should not apply to sexual activity between consenting adults, on the grounds that it is not harmful to society as a whole, and that adults have a right to make decisions about their own sexual behaviour in private. In 1984, the CLRC considered whether incest should cease to be an offence once both parties reached a specified age. A large body of research indicated that most of these relationships began when one of the partners was a child, and that often it represented a long-term abuse of power. It seems unjust to deny someone redress through the criminal law who later in life realises that the relationship they have been involved in has not been appropriate, or where they were unable to make a complaint until older, especially when they themselves might have been groomed or coerced into consenting to the act. The CLRC concluded in this instance that it is one of the functions of the law to uphold certain basic moral standards.

5.8.2 The review also considered this point. One of our guiding principles was to uphold the rights of adults to consensual sexual relationships in private, reflecting the ECHR respect for private life. Siblings or half-siblings may meet as adults, not even knowing that they are related. They are attracted and a sexual relationship develops. It is important to recognise that that relationship would only be criminal if they knew they were related. This has even formed plots in soap operas. Such cases very rarely come to the attention of the law or are prosecuted. This may be an innocuous scenario, but evidence to us, and the CLRC, pointed to the fact that many adult incestuous relationships are based on long term grooming and pressure from childhood, and are not genuinely consensual. It is quite proper to argue that, in such situations, an adult’s right to exercise sexual autonomy in their private life is not absolute, and that society may properly apply standards through the criminal law that are intended to protect the family as an institution as well as individuals from abuse. In addition to this, the ECHR ensures that the state must uphold its responsibility to provide a remedy in law so that a complainant can seek justice.\(^{120}\)

5.8.3 The review concluded that it would not be right to seem to legitimise sexual relationships between adult family members. The dynamics and balance of power within a family require special recognition, and we were concerned to ensure that patterns of abuse established in childhood were not allowed to continue in adulthood. Our new offence of familial abuse contains as its first limb an offence comparable to incest – sexual penetration between blood relatives and also between adoptive parents and their children. We thought that there was clear justification in terms of the protection of the family unit that such behaviour should continue to be illegal.

5.8.4 The Scots offence of sexual intercourse by a step-parent applies until a child is 21, or in certain cases for the life of the child. We were less sure about the need for a lifelong ban on these sexual relationships. Recognising the risks of grooming and long term abuse of power, we also recognised that a step-parent may enter a child’s life once he or she is a mature teenager, or indeed when adult. The Scottish offence applies a life-long ban if the child has been living in the household and treated as a member of the step-parent’s family at any time before reaching their 18th birthday.

\(^{120}\) X and Y v The Netherlands.
There is, however, a defence of marriage. We could envisage situations where a sexual relationship between an adult step-child and a step-parent could be equivalent to that with an adoptive or natural parent, for instance where the step-parent had been part of the family for much of the childhood, and was therefore unacceptable. We could also envisage situations where it would not, where the step relationship was much more tenuous. We were not convinced that such relationships should be criminal, even if they may not always be desirable.

- The arguments as regards step-parents were more finely balanced and we would welcome views on whether the law should prohibit adult relationships with step-children and if so whether that should be limited to those who had lived as a child of the family of the step-parent for a given length of time.

5.8.5 The same arguments apply with even greater strength to foster-carers, where marriage is not prohibited, and we thought there was not a strong case for prohibiting sexual relations between adults. Similar reasoning applies with even more force to the third party of our proposed offence, that of a person in a position of authority in a household. We thought that should not be an offence in adulthood.

5.8.6 One question which did concern us was the culpability of adult offenders who have sex with each other. In our main familial sexual abuse offence we have proposed that where a child is involved, the adult is culpable. However, when two adults have a relationship, either could be the dominant partner. It was suggested to us that the current offence (section 11 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956) which makes a woman over the age of 16 potentially culpable under certain circumstances prevents some victims from coming forward, and that women who were abused in childhood were later prosecuted for continuing the relationship into adulthood. Some submissions received by the review point out that women too can be instigators of the offence.

5.8.7 There are very few prosecutions under this section. This may reflect the fact that little adult incest comes to the attention of the law, or that women are rarely perpetrators. In principle, however, the law should be able to deal with perpetrators of either sex. It must be for the police as investigators and the CPS as prosecutor to determine who was the instigator, and who should therefore be regarded as culpable, on the facts of each case. That decision must be informed by a full knowledge of the relationship, and the length of time it has been going on. The knowledge that adult incest was commenced or instigated when one person was a child must be a positive indication of long term abuse and that that person is the victim. A pattern of behaviour started in childhood should always be the responsibility of the adult.

5.8.8 The present law only applies when the partners know that they are related. That seems an essential part of the offence, as adults are in general free to enter into sexual relationships, and without the knowledge of the relationship there would be no intent to commit the offence and hence no guilty mind. It is important that this element of intent be retained.

**Recommendation 43:** Sexual penetration between adult close family members (defined as certain blood and adoptive relationships) should continue to be forbidden by law.

In the light of evidence about the early onset and abusive nature of incestuous relationships started in childhood, the responsibility for any offence should sit with the person who was adult at onset.

5.9 Defences

5.9.1 Consent is not a defence to any of our proposed offences in this chapter.
Chapter Six
Issues of Gender and Discrimination

6.1 Introduction

6.1.1 Sex offences are an important part of the criminal law. They are concerned with behaviour that society recognises as both unacceptable and criminally culpable. In our work, we have taken great pains to ensure that only behaviour that is criminally blameworthy attracts the heavy burden of a criminal offence. We recognise that it is possible for both men and women to perpetrate sexual crimes on others. We also operate within the dynamic framework of the European Convention on Human Rights, which sets out the rights of citizens and ensures that the enjoyment of these rights should not be the subject of unnecessary discrimination.

6.1.2 The theme of the extent and nature of the discrimination in the law was a significant element in the responses to our initial consultation exercise (following the issue of the public consultation document 'A review of sex offences'\(^\text{121}\)). We were given a consistent message that the law discriminates against certain sections of our society, most notably homosexual men. This message was supported by the research commissioned by the review, the views expressed at conferences and seminars, views of legal professionals, academics, social commentators and the personal experience of members of the review groups themselves.

6.1.3 Our role was to consider the rights and responsibilities of individuals to make their own decisions about consensual sexual behaviour and the controls that society needs to impose in order to protect its more vulnerable members. In addition, the law needs to ensure that protection is offered to everyone regardless of gender, race, sexual orientation or any other factor and that it operates fairly and equitably. The basic set of assumptions underlying the work of the review which are of most relevance to issues of gender and discrimination were that:

- any application of the criminal law must be fair, necessary and proportionate;
- the criminal law should not discriminate unnecessarily between men and women nor between those of different sexual orientation;
- the law should not intrude on consensual sexual behaviour between those over the age of consent without good cause.

6.2 Problems in the present law

6.2.1 Sexual offences are for the most part gender specific. Our law is cast in terms of men committing certain offences and women committing others. The offences for sex with an underage child are for men 'unlawful sexual intercourse with a girl' and for a woman 'indecent assault'. Similarly men and women (and in this context men and women also means boys and girls) may be victims of different offences with different penalties. This leads to anomalies and inconsistencies in the way offenders are dealt with for what is essentially similar behaviour. It was strongly argued that this kind of differential treatment was not justified; unless there was a specific reason, offences should be couched in gender-neutral terms.

\(^{121}\) Dd 604402 CCN077828 1/99 C.2000.
6.2.2 The law treats consensual sexual behaviour differently according to sexual orientation. It permits a wide range of heterosexual (and implicitly same-sex female) behaviour and sets out the exceptional situations when such behaviour is illegal. However, consensual same-sex adult male sexual activity is permitted only in certain narrowly defined circumstances, otherwise it is illegal. The most cited examples were the differential age of consent (which is being equalised in the Sexual Offences (Amendment) Bill) and by the requirement that only behaviour in private (which is defined as when no more than two people are present) is permissible. In this way, the law restricts the private life of gay men and bisexuals in a way that it does not apply to heterosexuals. That in itself raises important questions under Article 8 of the ECHR which protects the right of a citizen to the enjoyment of his private life and this, combined with Article 14 which guarantees non-discrimination in the exercise of Convention rights, is a powerful impetus for ensuring that consenting adults are treated consistently by the criminal law.

6.2.3 There are many inconsistencies in the law. The penalties for homosexual offences are higher than for equivalent heterosexual offences. There are also differences in the statutory defences available to the offences – although there is a statutory defence for the offence of unlawful sexual intercourse with a girl under the age of 16 (section 6(2) of the Sex Offences Act 1956) there is no equivalent defence for anal intercourse with a girl or boy under the age of consent.

6.2.4 The key question to address is whether it is right for the criminal law to be used to regulate consensual sexual behaviour between consenting adults where there is no harm to either of them. The criminal law is not an arbiter of private morality but an expression of what is needed to protect society as a whole. In a tolerant and diverse society, the law should be based on a public morality that protects the individual from danger, harm, fear or distress, with additional safeguards for the younger and frailer members of the community. This would provide a structure that broadly permits consensual activity in private but is effective against force, coercion and harm. Respect for private life means that any regulation which is proposed must be limited to what is necessary in a democratic society and proportionate to the problem. Such a concept of the criminal law does not condone or advocate any particular form of sexual behaviour, but is based on principles of preventing harm and promoting public good.

6.3 Views expressed during public consultation

6.3.1 The public consultation exercise revealed a widespread concern about the extent of the discrimination in the law. One third of all the submissions to the review, 53 out of 160, were directly concerned with discrimination against homosexuals. They argued that this differential treatment was not only wrong in principle, it implied that those taking part in such behaviour were inferior and abnormal. This was counter to the Government's avowed principles of equality, and hindered the establishment of a safe, just and tolerant society. Differentiation in the law can be seen as justifying discrimination and homophobia in society; neither of which is acceptable in a civilised country. It was also pointed out that the attitudes in society towards sexual orientation were changing. Many more people seemed to be willing to accept homosexuals as themselves, and this change in attitude was supported by newspapers and opinion polls. There were indications of a greater openness towards and acceptance of differing sexual orientation.

6.3.2 Respondents suggested that the role of the criminal law was to establish the broad standards of harm in society and where criminal culpability should apply. The law does not affect the beliefs of any faith that any particular sexual practices are wrong. Some faith groupings believe that same sex relationships are inherently and morally wrong. But there is considerable debate within many faiths about sexual orientation and attitudes vary widely.

---

122 Both issues have been referred to the European Court of Human Rights: Sutherland v UK (differential age of consent) and ADT v UK (privacy provisions).
6.3.3 A number of contributors pointed out that anal intercourse should not be automatically correlated with male same sex relationships. Some heterosexual couples also practice anal intercourse, and some gay male couples do not. All unprotected sexual intercourse, whether anal or vaginal, carries health risks. Discrimination against gay men in the law is part of a wider social taboo, which can create a barrier to effective health promotion.

6.3.4 The submissions received by the review show that the majority of gay men find the language of the current 'homosexual offences' extremely offensive in itself. Furthermore, it was argued that the drafting of these offences lacked the clarity required to ensure consistency of treatment, clarity which is called for under Article 7 of the ECHR.

6.4 Issues of gender and discrimination in other jurisdictions

**Australia**

6.4.1 Australia has a federal system of government, where the States and Territories are responsible for most of their criminal law. Commonwealth legislation has been introduced to protect consenting persons over 18 who are acting in private from intervention with their private sexual life. The policy of the Model Criminal Code was to have gender neutral offences. The Australian Capital Territory, Victoria, South Australia and Tasmania have no specific same sex offences. Tasmania had a total ban on homosexuality until 1997, when the law was changed following the UN Human Rights Commission finding in the case of Toonen that the State was in breach of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR). New South Wales, the Northern Territory, Western Australia and Queensland have differential ages of consent for heterosexual and same sex behaviour.

**Canada**

6.4.2 The Canadian Criminal Code contains a differential age of consent for anal intercourse of 18 in contrast to the general age of consent of 14 (section 159 of the Canadian Criminal Code 1985). There is an exception where the act takes place in private between a husband and wife. This offence is under review because of court decisions indicating that the age should be consistent with the general minimum age. Canada had a very similar gross indecency offence to the UK, but this was repealed some time ago.

**European Union other than the United Kingdom**

6.4.3 Austria repealed its total ban on homosexuality in 1971. It still has differential age of consent provisions. There are no specific laws in Belgium regarding homosexual activity. Article 372(2) of the Penal Code which applied a differential age of consent was repealed in 1985. Denmark made fundamental changes to its law as early as 1930 when consensual sexual relations between adult males were decriminalised, with full equality in relation to sentencing matters in 1981. Homosexual acts in the Federal Republic of Germany were decriminalised in 1994 (section 175 of the Strafgesetzbuch [German Penal Code]) and all men and women under the age of consent receive the same level of protection. The Greek Penal Code contains one offence (Article 347) relevant to consensual sexual activity between males, which contains a higher age of consent provision for 'seduction'. In the Republic of Ireland the Criminal Law (Sexual Offences) Act 1993 abolished the offences of buggery and gross indecency and put in place an equal age of consent for male homosexual relations.
6.4.4 The Italian Penal Code contains no specific homosexual offences. Luxembourg repealed its sodomy laws in approximately 1794. Although a differential age of consent was introduced in 1971, these provisions were abolished in 1992. There are no remaining homosexual provisions in Dutch Criminal law – differential age of consent provisions were repealed in 1971. In 1945, Portugal decriminalised homosexuality for the second time in its history (the first decriminalisation took place in 1852 but a total ban was reintroduced in 1921). The reform of the Penal Code in 1995 retained a higher age of consent for anal intercourse, when other ages were reduced. The criminal law of Spain contains no specific homosexual provisions. Sweden has no homosexual provisions in its criminal law and the age of consent has been equal since 1978.

New Zealand

6.4.5 New Zealand decriminalised all consensual sexual relations between adult males. Section 128 of the Crimes Act 1961 defines ‘sexual violation’ as the act of a male who rapes a female or the act of a person ‘having unlawful sexual connection with another person’ so that non-consensual acts are criminal. There are separate provisions for anal intercourse with children.

Republic of South Africa

6.4.6 The Republic of South Africa is reviewing its law on sexual offences. Homosexual provisions are contained in the Sexual Offences Act 1957. The South African Law Commission has recently published a discussion paper in which it recommends repeal of the offence of sodomy. Non-consensual anal intercourse is to be included in a revised definition of rape, and provisions to strengthen protection for children and vulnerable people are also being recommended.

6.5 The policy of the review

6.5.1 The review considered whether there was any justification for treating men and women differently in the criminal law, or for making different provision for those of differing sexual orientation. We could find no justification for doing so. Consensual sexual behaviour between adults is an important part of their private life. There must be powerful reasons for applying the weight of the criminal law to consensual behaviour between adults, with all the accompanying burden of a criminal record, (particularly for a sex offence). We do regard this as necessary to protect the most vulnerable members of society, those in receipt of care for example. That is necessary and proportionate. We could see no public policy justification for the criminal law entering into private consensual activity, which was causing no harm to those involved.\textsuperscript{123}

6.5.2 We have consulted various faith groups, and involved them in discussion on these issues. We fully recognise that individuals must abide by their own beliefs as to what is right and wrong. Nothing we would do should affect those beliefs. At the same time those who hold sincere beliefs also recognise that that the democratically constituted law of the land has to be obeyed. The role of the criminal law is not to criminalise activity because certain sections of society disapprove of it, but to define what society as a whole is not prepared to tolerate. We did not think that the criminal law, which is the means by which the state defines what is sufficiently blameworthy as to be criminally culpable, with all that entails, should treat consensual same sex or heterosexual behaviour differently. The key issue is that of consent: the law clearly should apply where there is none. We also accepted the argument that by describing a section of society as ‘unnatural’ the criminal law discriminates, legitimises prejudice and could be seen as a contributory factor to homophobia.

\textsuperscript{123} Some submissions to the review mentioned the issue of sadomasochistic sexual activity. This is outside our terms of reference as they relate to actual bodily harm which occurs in a sexual context. The law at present does not allow a person to consent to what would be actual bodily harm, and that issue is properly to be considered in the context of offences against the person and the law on consent.
6.5.3 If we define offences as those where there is no consent (or where particular protection is required, e.g. for children and vulnerable people, or to deal with offensive behaviour in public) then it makes sense for offences to apply to victims and perpetrators of either sex wherever possible. In some instances we have thought it necessary and proportionate to identify some gender-specific offences, but for the most part it is easier and clearer to provide gender-neutral offences that apply to all kinds of sexual penetration or sexual activity. In all our proposals sexual penetration applies to any anal or genital penetration, or penile penetration of the mouth. Sexual activity is defined as activity which a reasonable bystander would consider to be sexual (as in the Sexual Offences (Amendment) Bill). We think this approach is simpler and clearer.

Recommendation 44: The criminal law should not treat people differently on the basis of their sexual orientation. It should offer protection from all non-consensual sexual activity. Consensual sexual activity between adults in private that causes no harm should not be criminal.

6.6 The homosexual offences

6.6.1 The present law on homosexual behaviour has evolved slowly over time. Until 1967 all male same-sex conduct was illegal; the law reflected the then prevailing belief that such conduct was an abomination. Indeed many people believed that homosexuality was an illness that could be cured. It is, however, now recognised that homosexuality is an orientation rather than an illness, and that making same sex behaviour criminal is cruel and unnecessary. By 1957 the Wolfenden report was able to recommend that this use of the criminal law was no longer an appropriate social response and that homosexuality should no longer be proscribed. A decade of Parliamentary controversy followed until the Sexual Offences (Amendment) Act 1967 created the present structure of offences.

Gross indecency (section 13 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 - Indecency between men)

6.6.2 This section creates two offences: one of committing, or being party to the commission of, an act of gross indecency; and one of procuring such an act. The offence is not committed if both parties are over 18 and the activity takes place in private. The definition of privacy in the Act makes it illegal for homosexual acts to take place:

(a) Where more than 2 persons take part or are present;

(b) In a lavatory where the public have or are permitted access, whether on payment or otherwise.

6.6.3 These definitions mean that any kind of group or observed activity between men, however much it is conducted in conditions of actual privacy, is illegal. No such provisions exist for heterosexual or lesbian activity (except for anal intercourse, see below). The definition was included in the Sexual Offences Bill 1967 to meet fears about homosexual clubs and displays of sex. This provision has been the subject of a challenge to the ECHR, in the case of ADT v UK as a potential breach of Article 8, the right to a private life, and Art 14, discrimination in the enjoyment of those rights. We did not think its retention is justified in public policy terms. Other aspects of the offence relate to sexual activity in public, which raises very different concerns, and these are discussed in Chapter 8.

124 The Sexual Offences (Amendment) Bill will amend this to 16 in England, Wales and Scotland, and to 17 in Northern Ireland.
6.6.4 Gross indecency is not defined in law, and most people probably do not understand exactly what it means except that it sounds very unpleasant. The Wolfenden Committee concluded that the words had acquired a fairly fixed meaning in relation to male homosexual acts, including mutual masturbation and oral sex. The offence may also be committed without any physical contact, e.g. Hunt and Badsey. The language of the offence is broad, unclear and regarded by many as offensive.

6.6.5 It is not an offence for a woman either to be a party to, or to procure, the commission of the offence (although it is possible for a man to be guilty of that offence). Section 13 effectively creates a series of victimless crimes by criminalising behaviour between adult homosexual men which is within the law when the participants are men and women.

6.6.6 The review can find no justification for retaining an offence that deals solely with same sex behaviour between consenting adults in private. We have considered separately those elements of the offence that relate to sexual behaviour in public places, and make recommendations on that in Chapter 8 (Other Offences). We have also recommended separate offences to protect children.

**Buggery (section 12 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956)**

6.6.7 There are several key elements to the current offence of buggery, but only the two that deal with consensual sexual activity between adults are discussed in detail here. These are:

- anal intercourse by a man with a man;
- anal intercourse by a man with a woman.

6.6.8 An offence is committed when either party is below the age of consent (which is currently 18 for both women and men, but the passage of the Sexual Offences (Amendment) Bill will equalise these with the age of consent for vaginal intercourse). An offence is also committed if both parties are over the age of consent but the act does not take place in private (defined as set out in para 6.6.2 above). (A replacement for the part of S12 which deals with sex with animals is proposed in Chapter 8, and the protection of children in Chapter 3).

6.6.9 Anal intercourse with a girl or boy under the age of consent does not, unlike unlawful sexual intercourse with a girl under 16, have any form of statutory defence. Because the wording of the 1956 Act states that it is an offence for `a person` to be charged with buggery, it means that both parties can be charged as principals. A child involved with an adult is potentially liable to prosecution – this inequity should be removed by the Sexual Offences (Amendment) Bill.

6.6.10 Section 12 is fundamentally flawed. It deals in a discriminatory way with consensual same-sex activity, placing very different restrictions on anal intercourse to other forms of intercourse. It equates anal intercourse with bestiality, and includes all within the same unpleasant term of `buggery`. It does however deal with some genuinely criminal matters of anal intercourse with children, and sex with animals. These are issues that the criminal law should address, but there are alternative ways of doing this that provide protection to children and animals without criminalising adult same sex behaviour. The review makes separate recommendations for how the criminal law should provide children with protection from all forms of sexual penetration, how the law should deal with sex with animals and sexual behaviour in public. We do not believe that there is any justification for retaining the offence of buggery, or anything like it, to deal with consensual adult anal intercourse, and every reason in terms of fairness and equity to remove it from the law.

125 [1950] 34 Cr App R135: the defendants were convicted after being discovered in a shed indecently exhibiting themselves to each other.

126 Mrs E Frances Russell: Review of Sexual Offences: Literature review of research into homosexual offences final report [March 1999].
CHAPTER SIX - ISSUES OF GENDER AND DISCRIMINATION

Recommendation 45: The present offences of buggery and gross indecency should be repealed, with separate provision made for the protection of children and animals and for regulating sexual behaviour in public.

Offences related to the current offence of buggery

6.6.11 Assault with intent to commit buggery (section 16 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956) and procuring others to commit homosexual acts (section 4 of the Sexual Offences Act 1967) are offences which rely on the existing offence structure for their purpose. We have recommended a separate new offence of an "assault to commit a serious sex offence" in Chapter 2 as a replacement offence which will replace assault with intent to commit buggery. Procuring others to commit homosexual acts relies on the definition of those acts being criminal; we are recommending that between consenting adults they should not be. The offence of procuring is therefore no longer needed. We have proposed other offences to deal with obtaining sexual penetration by threat or deception, or compelling others to do sexual acts (Chapter 2).

Recommendation 46: S16 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 and S4 of the Sexual Offences Act 1967 will no longer be necessary and should be repealed.

Soliciting by men (section 32 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956)

6.6.12 This offence appears to relate to soliciting for sex in a similar way that the Street Offences Act 1959 deals with soliciting by women. In fact this very broad offence is primarily used to regulate same sex behaviour in public. The offence was originally introduced to regulate the activity of men seeking the services of female prostitutes and the offence can only be committed by a man although the victim of the importuning may be another man or woman. In practice the charge is one laid almost exclusively against men soliciting other men. Case law indicates that even soliciting another man for lawful sexual activity can be considered an immoral purpose.

6.6.13 Importuning and gross indecency are the two most commonly used offences used against men who are seeking sexual partners in or around public toilets ("cottageing") and "cruising". In the case of Crook v Edmondson (1966)127, the Court of Appeal concluded that sexual intercourse with a female prostitute was not an immoral purpose, for the purposes of this section. By inference therefore the offence applied to soliciting for same-sex activity. The case of R v Goddard (1991)128 later confirmed the offence as primarily one of regulating homosexual activity when it was held that the charge might only be used against a man soliciting a woman for unlawful sexual purposes i.e. where the woman was under the age of 16, or with an adult woman other than a prostitute. A man can be said to be 'soliciting' even by physical action alone: by a smile, wink, gesture or some other physical signal.

6.6.14 There is also case law on whether a man can be soliciting or importuning for an immoral purpose if the purpose was to carry out lawful sexual activity (e.g. consensual sex in private between two male adults). In the case of R v Gray (1982)129 the Lord Chief Justice confirmed that whatever the ultimate intention, the asking could be considered immoral:

"these provisions are aimed at importuning rather than at the contemplated acts and that importuning for acts which are to take place in private may be as offensive to the public at large as importuning for acts which are to take place in public."

127 All E.R. 833.
128 R 2 Cr. App. R. 185.
129 74 Cr. App. R. 324.
6.6.15 The very broad wording of the section enables it to be interpreted very widely and section 32 has become a means of regulating behaviour between homosexual men which, if conducted between men and women would be seen as no more than 'chatting-up'. The reasoning on the meaning of 'immoral purposes' seems to operate from a presumption that homosexual behaviour is inherently immoral. Although case law shows that the offence can be used for heterosexual soliciting, a man will only be guilty of soliciting a woman if his actions are unpleasant, offensive and disturbing to the victim. No such requirement has been applied to homosexual soliciting.

6.6.16 The offence of soliciting by men is so broadly drawn that it does not provide certainty as to its meaning or intention. It has developed in use over the years to purposes that were not those intended when it was introduced. Its lack of clarity and certainty are problematic and make it open to inconsistency across the country. The role of the criminal law in this field is to deal with real nuisance, and to do so in a way that is readily understood by citizens and law enforcers. We are not persuaded that chatting up a person of the same sex in public should be criminal – such approaches to women are not criminal. We think that this offence does not stand up to scrutiny and that it should not be retained in the criminal law. We propose elsewhere (paragraph 8.4.11) a new public order offence to apply to inappropriate sexual behaviour in public that causes distress, alarm or offence.

6.6.17 We do recognise that soliciting by men for sex for gain can cause a great deal of distress to local residents just as women soliciting in the street and public places can. The policy of successive Governments has been to retain legal controls on soliciting in public places to protect communities from nuisance and individuals from unwanted harassment in the streets. It would seem sensible that soliciting for the purposes of prostitution should be regulated similarly for men and women; we would suggest that the Street Offences Act 1959 should be extended to cover soliciting in public places by both men and women. In Chapter 8 we discuss the wider issue of sexual behaviour in public and recommend a new Public Order Act offence to enable the police to deal with it where it causes offence.

**Recommendation 47: Section 32 should be repealed.**

**Recommendation 48: Consideration should be given to the regulation of soliciting by men for the purposes of prostitution under section 1 of the Street Offences Act 1959 on the same basis as soliciting by women.**
Chapter Seven
 Trafficking and Sexual Exploitation

7.1 Introduction

7.1.1 This chapter looks at three different but interlinked topics. These are the trafficking of human beings for the purposes of sexual exploitation, the commercial sexual exploitation of children and the sexual exploitation of adults. The common theme for these three topics is that the sexual exploitation of individuals is often organised for financial gain by another. Indeed, there is increasing evidence to suggest that this is a growing area of transnational organised crime. It is important to emphasise that we are not looking at how or whether prostitution should be legal or illegal: that is beyond our remit. Therefore we have not looked at a swathe of offences in the Sexual Offences Act 1956 dealing with brothel keeping, etc., or parts of other Sexual Offences Acts that deal with kerb-crawling, or section 1 of the Street Offences Act 1959, except insofar as they link to the sexual exploitation of others. This whole area is a substantial one worthy of consideration.

7.1.2 Trafficking and sexual exploitation are recognised internationally as important problems with transnational dimensions. The EU Joint Action on Trafficking in Human Beings and the Sexual Exploitation of Children\textsuperscript{130} calls on EU Member States to review their laws on the sexual exploitation of children and on trafficking which is defined as any behaviour which facilitates the entry into, transit through, residence in or exit from the territories of a Member State with a view to their sexual exploitation or abuse. The Agenda for Action of the Stockholm World Congress on the Commercial Sexual Exploitation of Children required all signatories to develop a National Plan to combat the sexual exploitation of children, including the review of its law. This review of sexual offences is an important contribution to the development of the UK’s National Plan.

7.1.3 The sex industry is growing rapidly all over the world, and the International Labour Organisation has suggested that the sex sector is a significant component of many economies. Globalisation has seen a growth in the movement of people, capital and business. It has also seen a growing involvement of organised crime. The sharp divide between rich and poor, and the effects of economic and political disruption in Eastern Europe and beyond, both creates markets in the wealthy countries and people willing to supply those markets, aided by the ease of transportation.

7.1.4 Trafficking for the purposes of sexual exploitation is a significant problem in many parts of the world. The Internal Office of Migration estimated that in 1995 half a million women were trafficked into the EU. We had the benefit of the first major UK research on trafficking in the UK, which estimated that between 142 and 1420 women a year were trafficked into UK to work in the sex industry.\textsuperscript{131}

\textsuperscript{130} November 1996.

\textsuperscript{131} Dr Liz Kelty and Linda Regan, Child & Women Abuse Studies Unit, University of North London, draft report, "Stopping Traffic".

The numbers take as a baseline how many women came to the attention of the police, and then multiply by various factors to reach an assessment of the wider problem.
7.1.5 We have also become increasingly aware of use of children in prostitution in recent years, as the children’s charities have put in considerable effort to identify, tackle and publicise the problem. The Children's Society and Barnardo's have both published books, undertaken research and held conferences on the subject. The Association of Chief Police Officers has worked in partnership with the ADSS and other agencies to develop new multi-agency ways of working to treat children as victims rather than offenders. The Government is publishing new guidance for the police and social services and other agencies on how to deal with these children\textsuperscript{132}.

7.2 Problems in the existing law

7.2.1 There is no specific law against trafficking people into the UK, but those trafficking women and then sexually exploiting them are breaking a very large number of laws. There have been some successful prosecutions for trafficking. The police told us that they found the following offences useful: sections 30 and 31 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 (respectively 'a man living on the earnings of prostitution' and 'woman exercising control over prostitute'), section 25(1) of the Immigration Act 1971 (facilitation of illegal entry - although this can be complicated and technical to use), fraud and forgery, the common law offence of false imprisonment, and related offences of sexual and physical violence. They pointed out, however, that although the penalty for s31 was 7 years’ imprisonment, in practice sentences were very low and 18 months was common even when large criminal organisations were involved.

7.2.2 We had mixed evidence of the effectiveness of the present offences ss30 and 31 of the 1956 Act. The offences are complex and not readily understood. Those police forces with dedicated Vice Units who developed an expertise in the offences found them relatively straightforward and effective. Other forces without that degree of specialist knowledge found them too complex to be readily used. Police forces have to identify what priority to give to policing this area in the context of other conflicting priorities. We also noted that s30 places a reverse burden of proof on the defendant in certain circumstances to show he was not living off the earnings of a prostitute. This places a heavy burden on the defendant and raises fair trial questions under the ECHR. This provision has been considered in Strasbourg and found not to be a violation\textsuperscript{133}, but the issue of whether a reverse burden is justifiable needs consideration.

7.2.3 Some of the other existing offences in the Sexual Offences Act 1956 (s22 causing prostitution of women; s24 detention of woman in brothel or any other premises etc.) are very little used. In part, this may be because they carry low maximum penalties: two years' imprisonment, as opposed to seven years' imprisonment for living on the earnings or controlling a prostitute. Another reason is the fact that some of these offences rely heavily on the victims being willing to come forward and give evidence in court. This is a particular problem in relation to those who have been trafficked into this country. They are often too terrified to come forward on the basis that either the loved ones in their country of origin may be at risk, or that their families might be told of the work which they have been doing in this country, which can, in some instances, put the victims themselves at risk of harm when they return home.

7.2.4 A number of people pointed out that there were few effective provisions in the law relating to the pimping or sexual exploitation of children. S28 Sexual Offences Act 1956 (offence of causing prostitution of a girl under 16) was very limited in its scope as it could only apply to a person in charge of the child, and it had a very low penalty. It was suggested that there should be increased focus on those who buy the services of children, such as the kerb-crawlers who looked for children and vulnerable young people. In this context the Government’s commitment to provide a specific power of arrest for the police (which would apply to all acts of kerb-crawling

\textsuperscript{132} Safeguarding Children in Prostitution, May 2000.

\textsuperscript{133} X v UK (1972).
involving adults as well as children and vulnerable young people), was welcomed by most but not all commentators; some thought it would reduce the safety of women working on the street.

7.3 Contributions to the review

7.3.1 The review held a consultation seminar on trafficking and sexual exploitation which generated lively debate and a number of proposals. (The report of this seminar is in Volume 2, Appendix H8). We also had some submissions on the law, some of which were critical of the limitations of our remit and sought a broader consideration of the regulation of the sex industry. Although our focus was on exploitation of individuals, many sex workers do not regard themselves as victims, and some feel that the current law denies them the right to a private life:

'Section 30 (SOA 1956) also criminalises sex workers personal relationships in a way that could be challenged under Article 8 of the ECHR: the right to respect for private and family life.'

They felt that because the law made it wrong for a man to live off the earnings of a prostitute, potentially they were denied normal family relationships. They felt the law should differentiate between a person who lived alongside a prostitute as a loving partner and exploitative behaviour. We were told that the prosecution of genuine partners for living on the earnings of a prostitute was now unusual. However, domestic arrangements of 'pimps' should not provide a screen for their unscrupulous and exploitative activities. It would be all too easy for a pimp to intimidate a woman to claim she was agreeable to the exploitation, or for her to be so emotionally dependant on him that she would deny coercion. Some contributors suggested a separate offence or penalty for the mental control of another related to forcing them into prostitution.

7.3.2 Contributors also felt that there were too few prosecutions using existing legislation:

'... the law should afford as much protection from coercion to UK citizens as it does to others ... the activities of coercive and abusive pimps have been ignored by law enforcement agencies. Prosecutions under section 30 & 31 are in fact quite rare. That these sections do not necessarily reflect the seriousness of the offences committed against sex workers applies just as much to situations involving UK citizens as it does in the trafficking context. However, we would argue that the appropriate legislation to use in those cases would be that covering rape, kidnap, assault, threats to kill, abduction, etc. It may be that there could be useful changes in relation to these offences, to make it clear that the fact that the victim was a sex worker was NOT a defence, but an aggravating feature, especially if the victim was also a minor.'

---

14 UK National Coordinator for the European Network for HIV/STD Prevention in Prostitution (EUROPAP-UK); response to points raised at the Home Office seminar on trafficking and sexual exploitation, October 1999.

1a As above.
Part of this relates to the difficulty law enforcement agencies face in persuading victims to give evidence.

7.3.3 Some expressed concern that enforcement seemed to be moving away from the victim being central in a prosecution. Not all women in the sex industry were happy with intelligence-led policing which did not require the co-operation of a victim. They suggested that strategies which bypassed victims were intrinsically problematic: fear of reprisal was not the only problem – hostile treatment by the authorities and the likelihood of being deported were serious barriers. Where a victim is willing to give evidence then she should be able to do so. Prosecutions based solely on police evidence and corroboration can be expensive and complex.

7.3.4 There was general agreement about the need to build up international co-operation and to strengthen the law on the exploitation of children and adolescents where more serious penalties were needed. Exploiters’ financial assets should be seized. Many of those behind the criminal organisations involved in trafficking were not based in the UK and could not be prosecuted, but the seizure of assets was an effective deterrent. Confiscation of criminal assets is a useful weapon in the fight against those who profit from sexual exploitation in this country as well as those involved in trafficking.

7.3.5 The review was also able to draw on the expertise and specific knowledge of problems related to the sexual exploitation of children and trafficking given both by the children’s charities and academics involved in the review. The police were generous in sharing their experience. We also had available specific research by the charities and the study commissioned by the review from Maggie O’Neill\(^\text{138}\) as well as research from the Home Office on the issues concerned. Extracts from Barnardo’s ‘Who’s Daughter Next?’ were circulated to the review.

### 7.4 Legislation in other countries

**Australia**

7.4.1 There is a federal law on trafficking: the Criminal Code Amendment (Slavery and Sexual Servitude) Act 1999 containing new federal offences directed at slavery, sexual servitude and deceptive recruiting. Where conduct amounts to ‘slavery’, or exercising a power of ownership over another person, the maximum penalty will be 25 years imprisonment. Where a person is engaged to provide sexual services because of force or threats and is not free to leave the arrangement, the sexual servitude offence provides penalties of 15 years imprisonment and up to 19 years if there is an aggravated offence, i.e. the offence was committed against a person below the age of 18. The deceptive recruiting offence applies to a person who, with the intention of inducing another person to enter into an engagement to provide sexual services, deceives that other person about the fact that the engagement will involve the provision of sexual services.

7.4.2 The following definitions apply:

- **slavery** is the condition of a person over whom any or all of the powers attaching to the right of ownership are exercised, including where such a condition results from a debt or contract made by the person.

- **sexual servitude** is the condition of a person who provides sexual services and who, because of the use of force or threats:
  
  (a) is not free to cease providing sexual services; or

---

\(^{138}\) Literature review of research on offences of sexual exploitation: Annex D3, Volume 2.
(b) is not free to leave the place or area where the person provides sexual services.

- **sexual service** means the commercial use or display of the body of the person providing the service is for the sexual gratification of others.

7.4.3 There are limitations on both the offences of sexual servitude and deceptive recruiting (sections 270.6 and 270.7 of the Australian Criminal Code): the conduct has to take place outside Australia although the sexual services can be made available both within and outside the country. It also only applies to perpetrators who are Australian citizens; residents of Australia, etc. The sexual servitude offence has two elements:

- it applies to a person whose conduct causes another person to enter into sexual servitude or who intends to cause (or is reckless as to causing) that sexual servitude; and

- it applies to a person who conducts any business that involves the sexual servitude of others and who knows about, or is reckless as to, that sexual servitude.

7.4.4 The conducting a business element refers to taking part in the management of, exercising control or direction over, or providing finance for it.

7.4.5 Individual states have their own legislation. Tasmania has a set of procuring offences, including procuring a person to become a prostitute, either in Tasmania or elsewhere; procuring a person to leave Tasmania with intent that they become an inmate of/prevent a brothel elsewhere; and procuring another person to leave their usual abode in Tasmania, with intent that they may become an inmate of/prevent a brothel as a prostitute either in Tasmania or elsewhere. There are also offences of procuring unlawful sexual intercourse by threats or intimidation and by any false pretence or representation. Victoria has offences of procuring sexual penetration by using threats and intimidation or fraudulent means. Several Australian states have decriminalised prostitution.

**Republic of Ireland**

7.4.6 Following the Stockholm World Congress against the Commercial Sexual Exploitation of Children, and the EU Joint Action on Trafficking, the Irish Parliament passed the Child Trafficking and Pornography Act 1997. Part of this deals with offences of child pornography – including allowing a child to be used for the purposes of producing pornography. The specific trafficking offences make it an offence ‘to traffic children into, through or out of Ireland for the purpose of their sexual exploitation or to take or detain children for that purpose.’ Penalties proposed include a maximum of life imprisonment for the trafficking offence and up to 10 years for taking or detaining a child.

7.4.7 Any person involved in the organisation of prostitution is liable to be penalised under a number of offences, e.g. organising prostitution or coercing or compelling a person to be a prostitute. They also have offences of knowingly living in whole or in part on the earnings of a prostitute.

7.4.8 The review of sexual offences in Ireland considered the penalties for soliciting an under-age person, and thought that it was less likely for a complaint to be made in those circumstances. They instead proposed a totally new offence of soliciting a child for the purposes of prostitution with a penalty range that would make it an arrestable offence.

---

New Zealand

7.4.9 The Crimes Act 1961 contains several provisions relating to sexual exploitation. These include gender neutral offences of brothel keeping and living on earnings of prostitution. The offence of procuring sexual intercourse applies to a person, who, for gain or reward, procures or agrees or offers to procure any woman or girl to have sexual intercourse with any male who is not her husband. There are no specific trafficking offences.

Republic of South Africa

7.4.10 In its 1999 discussion paper, the South African Law Commission (SALC) made extensive recommendations about the commercial sexual exploitation of children. It was particularly asked to investigate sexual offences against and by children and to make recommendations to the Minister of Justice on this branch of the law. The SALC shared the sentiments of those making submissions and categorically stated that a complete ban should be placed on child prostitution and anyone involved in sexually exploiting a child, whether as a pimp or customer, should be severely punished for doing so. In order to portray uniformity with international instruments they have suggested that in the context of commercial sexual exploitation a child should be anyone under the age of 18 years.

7.4.11 The SALC thought that commercial sexual exploitation implies sexual abuse of children based upon remuneration in cash or in kind and that the latter should be interpreted broadly to cover a variety of situations where there is some value, profit, benefit or consideration between the child and the adult or between the adult and another adult in regard to the child. They have included child prostitution, child pornography and trafficking in children as part of their proposals. The SALC takes the view that the commercially sexually exploited child is a victim in need of care and protection and not a criminal. As a consequence, they specifically propose that any person who commits a sexual act with a child for financial or other reward, favour or compensation be guilty of an offence; that any person who invites, persuades or induces a child to allow any person to commit a sexual act with a child for financial or other reward, favour or compensation be guilty of an offence; and that any person who participates in, or is involved in, the commercial sexual exploitation of a child be guilty of an offence.

7.4.12 There are proposals to give extra-territorial jurisdiction and, like Australia, legal entities incorporated or doing business in the country are also included in the scope of persons who can be prosecuted under the act. The SALC also proposes certain auxiliary measures, such as the withdrawal of the passport of any South African citizen convicted of a sexual offence while abroad. The recommended offences follow certain broad themes:

- child prostitution;
- keeping a brothel for child prostitution;
- offering or engaging a child for commercial sexual exploitation of a child;
- facilitating or allowing commercial sexual exploitation of a child;
- profiting from commercial sexual exploitation of a child.

7.4.13 The proposed offence of facilitating or allowing commercial sexual exploitation of a child relates both to any person who intentionally facilitiates the offence, and to any parent, guardian or caregiver of a child who intentionally allows the commercial sexual exploitation of a child.
7.5 Trafficking

7.5.1 Trafficking for sexual exploitation involves several elements. Firstly it requires the recruitment of people from one place to work in another. Traditionally this has been understood to bring people in from one country to another, but there is no reason why the movement of people within a country from one geographical location to another (say Newcastle to London) should not be considered to be a form of trafficking. The recruiting process may involve deception as to the nature of the work: individuals sometimes believe that they are being recruited to act as domestic staff, waitresses, hairdressers, etc., whilst others are fully aware that they are to be offered for prostitution. Even if it is understood that they will work in prostitution, there is often deception relating to the conditions of work, and in particular the amount of money that can be earned. Often trafficking from abroad is arranged as a ‘package’ with travel, accommodation and support services in the UK. This can create a form of debt bondage that can be expressed in monetary terms or in a requirement to service a large number of clients in a given time.

7.5.2 The next step in the trafficking process is the arranging and facilitating of the transport of the person from the place of origin to the destination. Where this involves the crossing of international borders it may involve immigration offences, the corruption of officials and false documentation. The final element is the managing of sex workers in the destination. Individuals are often held in circumstances which effectively restrict their freedom: passports and identification papers are removed; there may be limits on their ability to refuse clients or certain sexual practices and violence may be used to control them. Victims often face significant ‘costs’, e.g. for accommodation, food, cleaning services, etc., which means that they receive very little money but are required to service a very large number of clients.

7.5.3 Other, more unusual, means may be used to bring women into the country. There is a burgeoning trade in bride trafficking aided by the Internet. This trade enables women to be ordered over the net, and although an extension of many existing schemes, provides greater volume, accessibility and opportunity for exploitation. Australian research indicates that Filipinas brought into Australia as brides are seven times more likely to be killed in domestic violence than their white Australian counterparts. There is also evidence that some men are serial sponsors. Such entry of ‘brides’ or ‘family members’ into countries may be a cover for sexual exploitation as well as for genuine introductions.

Is trafficking really a problem?

7.5.4 It has been argued that because trafficking for sexual exploitation can already be dealt with under the law there is no need to have special legislation to deal with it. It has also been argued that because many of those who are trafficked come willingly, and are prepared to work as a prostitute there is no need to make special provision. Neither of these is tenable. Although working as a prostitute is not illegal, the law provides a range of remedies for dealing with exploitation through pimping, controlling, brothel keeping etc. We are working within this overall regulatory framework, and the public policy requirement is that the law needs to deal with this exploitation, to establish very clearly that such exploitative behaviour is unacceptable and that those who undertake it should face serious penalties. If we regard pimping and procuring as serious, the organised trade that brings people, usually women, from poorer parts of the world to the UK (or from poorer parts of the country to richer parts) in order to exploit them as sex workers is not acceptable in a civilised society.

7.5.5 People are not a commodity like drugs: yet trafficking treats them as commodities and solely as a means of servicing clients and earning large amounts of money with little or no regard for their human rights. The profits to be made from this trade are considerable. Estimates of
earnings from brothels in the Soho area of London alone are put at £1 million per calendar month, and that is thought to be conservative.\textsuperscript{137} UN figures for trafficking in women for sexual exploitation are set at $7 billion a year – equivalent to the global drug trafficking market. As the controls for trafficking in drugs become more and more effective, and the related penalties increase in severity, criminal syndicates are turning to the trafficking of women in particular as an easier and lucrative option. This trade should not be excused even if the women are willing to come for sex work because their expectation is that they will be able to benefit financially. In fact the money is made by the controllers and not the women, who are physically, psychologically and economically dependent on those who are exploiting them (who may or may not be those who brought them into the UK).

7.5.6 It is important that the police and prosecutors should have effective tools to deal with traffickers. We were told that often it was not necessarily right or effective to rely on the testimony of victims of trafficking. As this involves transnational organised crime, victims from other countries were vulnerable – sometimes because families at home did not know of the trade they were in, or because their families were known to the traffickers and they feared reprisals against their loved ones. Either way, it means that victims are often too afraid to testify. Police forces in the UK recognise that it is often not acceptable to ask for the victim’s co-operation because they cannot ensure her safety or that of her loved ones in the country of origin.

7.5.7 There are separate issues relating to the treatment of victims of trafficking, in relation to victim support and immigration controls, which are beyond the remit of this review but deserve some reference. Witness protection costs a great deal of money. It has been tried extensively in some other European countries: Germany set up a protection system in Munich, but they are finding that women leave the programme. Both Belgium and Italy give temporary residence status to victims to enable them to give their testimony. They may then be removed. The UK does not have a similar policy, and although some victims do stay, are protected and give evidence, most elect to be removed to their country of origin under Immigration Act powers. Although there have been calls to grant permanent residency status to victims if they wish for it, this raises large questions of the evasion of immigration control, and whether it is right for those who may knowingly have been involved in immigration offences to have a right to stay. The likelihood of being allowed to remain in the UK if discovered may, ironically, give those seeking to recruit women an additional incentive to offer them.

7.5.8 We thought that it was important for all the aspects of the criminal behaviour that is involved in trafficking to be subject to the criminal law. To combat recruitment by deception in the UK (seeking for nannies or bar staff abroad who when they arrive are given no option but to work in prostitution) we have recommended (Chapter 2) a new offence to replace s3 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 (procurement of a woman by false pretences or false representations to have sexual intercourse in any part of the world).

7.5.9 One proposal made at our seminar was that facilitating transport for trafficking, i.e. arranging or providing transport for a person knowing that he or she was to work in prostitution, should be made an offence. This would rely on proving the fact of the transportation, which would be relatively simple, but would also require the knowledge that it was for the purposes of prostitution. We thought there were circumstances when this could be useful, perhaps in the context of a large case where there was other evidence that the organisation was running prostitutes, but would otherwise be of limited value. For international trafficking one suggestion was that the transportation of people for the purposes of sexual exploitation could become an aggravating feature in Immigration Act offences. On a local level, one of the problems related to taxi-drivers, where there was conflicting evidence. We were concerned not to reduce the safety

\textsuperscript{137} Metropolitan Police: Clubs and Vice Squad. Research indicates that the profit from the London area ranges between £1 billion and £1.5 billion.
of women working as prostitutes whose work exposes them to high levels of risk. We did not want to prevent women from using taxis as a safe means of transport by making the taxi driver an accessory if he knew her trade. Nor did we want to affect the use of taxis by lone women if it meant that taxi-drivers refused to take these fares on the grounds that they might be sex workers. However, there is evidence from several sources that taxi-drivers can be involved in the sex-trade as pimps or indeed as clients\textsuperscript{138}. One way of approaching the problem might be to establish whether those facilitating transport not only knew it was for the purposes of prostitution but also that they were receiving some direct financial gain, either in money or as a reward which could be given a monetary value.

7.5.10 We discuss below proposals for new offences of sexual exploitation which would replace Ss30 and 31 and which can be used to prosecute traffickers. Any new pimping/controlling offence would be an important tool in acting against those who operate the prostitution end of trafficking in this country. The offence should be formulated so that the evidence can be based on observation and examination of financial records, allowing it to be used without the testimony of the victim if necessary. We would also advocate the use of other serious offences such as rape, sexual assault by penetration and grievous bodily harm which should also be used, recognising, however, that such charges may require the victim to give evidence.

7.5.11 Trafficking is by its nature organised, and often forms part of major international operations. It will be important to ensure the penalties reflect the seriousness of the offence, and that they are higher than those now available. We think that substantial penalties are justified for trafficking and that if there are elements of trafficking in pimping/controlling, that should be taken account of as an aggravating feature in sentencing.

7.5.12 We then considered whether we needed an overarching offence for trafficking, with a high penalty, which could be used in association with the offences discussed above. Although the fact that the law enables traffickers to be punished at present argues that a specific offence may not be essential, there are other considerations that could make a specific offence a valuable tool. If, as we believe, the trafficking of people is a very lucrative international trade where the money leaves the country very quickly, then it would be important to apply special powers such as those in the Drug Trafficking Act (concealing or transferring the proceeds of drug trafficking or assisting another to retain the benefits of trafficking, etc.) in addition to other money laundering offences. Confiscation of assets can already be used for prosecutions under the present law, although the international dimension can make these difficult to trace and to seize. Detailed recommendations of these issues are beyond the scope of this review but we think that tackling trafficking in people effectively will require transnational organised crime to suffer significant financial penalties including the confiscation of assets, and the international flow of money has to be traced in order to do this. Any new trafficking offence ought to attract the same kind of money tracing and seizure powers as other kinds of trafficking.

7.5.13 We concluded that there should be an over-arching trafficking offence to work alongside other offences of sexual exploitation. We looked carefully at the model set out by the recent Australian legislation but were not convinced that it offered an effective solution. Their definitions of sexual servitude offered an intellectually coherent model of the problem, but it is too soon to judge how effective they would prove. One aspect which concerned us was that the offences seemed to rely on the willingness of the victim to testify, and we have already identified this as a problem which reduces the effectiveness of the law. However we welcome the conceptual framework it provides by setting out some of the key elements of trafficking: deception, coercion and force.

\textsuperscript{138} The kerb-crawlers rehabilitation scheme in Leeds suggests a disproportionate number of taxi-drivers are clients, and they often use the excuse of transporting women.
7.5.14 We therefore recommend that there should be an offence of trafficking that relates to the bringing of a person from one place to another for the purposes of gaining reward from the sexual exploitation of that person or for working as a prostitute. The fact of trafficking should be sufficient to prove the offence. Evidence of deception, coercion and force would add to the seriousness of the offence. We also recommend that any offence of trafficking should be able to trigger financial powers similar to those used in drug trafficking.

**Recommendation 49:** There should be a specific trafficking offence. This offence could involve bringing or enabling a person to move from one place to another for the purposes of commercial sexual exploitation (e.g. knowingly facilitating transportation), for reward. Any such new offence should have attached powers to trace assets overseas.

7.6 Sexual exploitation of children

7.6.1 We have all become far more aware of the extent of the commercial exploitation of children in recent years. Thanks to the work of the Children’s Society, Barnardo’s, other children’s charities, ACPO and the ADSS we are recognising the problem and seeking to tackle it. The Government regards the children involved in prostitution as victims of others\(^{139}\). The criminal law has an important role to play in ensuring that those who introduce, use and abuse children in prostitution can be dealt with appropriately.

7.6.2 We were unanimous that it was important to create a set of specific offences relating to the sexual exploitation of children rather than relying on the general offences of sexual exploitation. This would set an unambiguous standard in society that it was wrong for an adult to expect to buy or deal in the sexual services of a child. In this context we thought that a child should be defined as anyone under the age of 18. This would reflect the definition in the Children Act, international agreements such as the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and the abuse of trust measure in the Sexual Offences (Amendment) Bill. The issue was not of restricting consensual activity but saying that young people under 18 should not sell sex or be sold for sex.

7.6.3 We also considered whether these offences should be limited to the use of children in prostitution or whether we should have a wider definition of sexual exploitation. This could include the use of children in a variety of exploitative situations, including the making of pornography done for gain. This would not restrict the freedom of young people over the age of 16 to engage in consensual activity, but to limit the possibilities for their exploitation while they are still legally minors and without the necessary knowledge or maturity to appreciate the longer term effect of actions and images on their later life. The definition of sexual exploitation would therefore cover the use of children in prostitution or the use of a child in the production of commercial pornography.

7.6.4 We also thought whether or not to define the exploitation as commercial: i.e. for financial gain or other reward, favour or compensation which could be given a monetary value. The term commercial would catch most exploitation in prostitution and pornography (or indeed trafficking), but would not catch those who exploited children for their own gratification. However, we thought that our recommendations to protect children in Chapter 3 and on compelling sexual acts in Chapter 2 which do not require a commercial element provide adequate protection.

\(^{139}\) New guidance on children in prostitution ‘Safeguarding Children involved in Prostitution’ is to be published in May this year.
Recommendation 50: The review considers that the commercial sexual exploitation of children should be dealt with by specific offences in which 'child' should refer to any person up to the age of 18, and where sexual exploitation means the use of a child in prostitution or in the making of pornography.

7.6.5 We identified the behaviour that should be prohibited by law as:
- Buying the sexual services of a child (for the gain of the child or another person);
- Recruiting, inducing or compelling a child into commercial sexual exploitation;
- Participating in, facilitating or allowing the commercial sexual exploitation of a child; and
- Receiving money or other reward, favour or compensation for the sexual exploitation of a child.

7.6.6 We thought that these offences should apply when a child is recruited here for sexual exploitation in any part of the world, or indeed when they are exploited here but brought from elsewhere. We thought that introducing or facilitating the sexual exploitation of a child was so harmful and potentially damaging to the child and its future that these offences should not require any financial reward. However, where money or other reward was involved then the last offence could also be used. As with victims of trafficking, there are some important issues about the need for the testimony of the child. In some cases, a coarser (pimp) will develop a relationship with a child, particularly a girl, introduce her to sex and then pimp her. She, however, may operate out of both love and fear, being emotionally and physically dependent. In such cases she is unlikely to want or be willing to give evidence. We thought it was important that prosecutions could be taken without the evidence of the child, and that any child should not have to be stigmatised by being proved in court to be a prostitute. She, or he, is the victim of exploitation. Our structure of offences is designed to recognise that fact.

7.6.7 We also thought that, given our definition of sexual exploitation which includes the production of pornography, these offences could be used in cases where it was possible to trace the making of pornography involving children, and would provide a specific offence targeted at the use of the child rather than the taking of pictures.

7.6.8 We considered if it was necessary for someone buying sex from a child to have a mistake of fact offence available, or whether this should be an offence of strict liability. There were arguments on both sides, but the prevailing view was that if the offence was not one of strict liability there would be real problems in using it effectively. There was a distinction to be drawn between those entering into a passionate consensual relationship and mistake of fact, and the purchase of sexual services, when the onus was very clearly on the buyer. Clients, who are really child abusers, actively seek very young looking girls and boys in order to buy sexual services. If it was proved that a child had lied about their age, this could be used as a mitigating factor in sentencing. In ECHR terms it was felt that when considering the balance between fairness for the defendant and the needs of society to protect children, an offence of strict liability could be accepted as necessary and proportionate.

Recommendation 51: It should be an offence to:
- buy the sexual services of a child;
- recruit, induce or compel a child into commercial sexual exploitation;
- participate in, facilitate or allow the commercial sexual exploitation of a child; or
- receive money or other reward, favour or compensation for the sexual exploitation of a child.
7.7 Sexual exploitation of adults

7.7.1 The key offences for consideration were Ss 30 and 31 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 ('living on the earnings of prostitution' and 'controlling a prostitute'). We thought that these offences should be replaced by a modern, gender-neutral offence that could apply to either sex. In public policy terms this needed to affect:

- those who exploit others by receiving money or reward from men and women who are prostitutes;
- those who, for money or reward, manage or control the activities of men and women who are prostitutes; and
- those who recruit men or women into prostitution whether or not for reward or gain.

None of those is free from difficulty. Views about these issues vary widely, and the exchange of sexual services for money is not against the law, even though there are stringent limitations on the way the sex trade can be carried out.

7.7.2 We heard two very different viewpoints when it came to the offence of living off the earnings of prostitution. The first was that anybody who lived off the earnings of a prostitute was a pimp, no matter the circumstances of the relationship. The second said that some prostitutes made their own choice of work, and had the right to a private life with a partner – which the present law denied them.

7.7.3 In determining how the law could and should be drafted, we considered both the question of exploitation and the right of all citizens to a private life. We recognised that there were relationships where prostitutes may earn money for partners or family; there were others where the partner or husband was actively exploiting the prostitute. In equity there could be no exemption for partners, etc. as the law had to make provision for those who were coerced by their partners into entering or remaining in prostitution. We thought that in practical terms, there was less likely to be criminal investigation or prosecution of a partner unless there was strong evidence of coercion. Exploitation in this context should relate to abuse, pressure, force, deception and coercion. The review agreed that the offence needed to be based on the financial relationship, and the application of police and prosecution policies should be linked to exploitation. We thought that it was no longer justifiable to retain a reverse burden of proof condition that would apply to those who lived with prostitutes to prove that they were not living off her money. We were also told that it was rare to rely on that provision in a prosecution.

Recommendation 52: There should be offences of:

- exploiting others by receiving money or reward from men and women who are prostitutes;
- managing or controlling the activities of men and women who are prostitutes, for money or reward; and
- recruiting men or women into prostitution whether or not for reward or gain.

7.7.4 There are existing offences of procuring women for sex work abroad (s22 SOA 1956) or for a girl under the age of 21 for unlawful sex abroad (s23 SOA 1956). If our proposed offence of recruitment into prostitution applied to recruitment to anywhere in the world, we would replace s22 with a more appropriate offence, and cover much of the behaviour caught by s23. These offences are very rarely prosecuted today. It may be possible to consolidate an offence around procurement in terms of recruiting or procuring anyone to work for sex in any part of the world.
CHAPTER SEVEN - TRAFFICKING AND SEXUAL EXPLOITATION

7.8 Other issues

7.8.1 We heard a range of evidence about other aspects of the law on prostitution. A number of organisations, notably the Josephine Butler Society, the English Collective of Prostitutes, the Sexual Freedom Coalition and Europap suggested that there should be a much more radical consideration of the law on prostitution. In recent years there have been recent significant changes in the law around the world. The Netherlands and Germany allow regulated prostitution and Sweden has changed the law to criminalise those who buy sex rather than those who sell it. Some Australian states now regulate and allow prostitution as a trade. It is suggested that regulating prostitution enables the more effective action against trafficking and the exploitation of children, greater safety and less stigma for those sex workers who fully exercise their choice to do that work. Others argue such regulation increases the use of men and women as commodities of trade, that allowing a legal market merely increases the illegal activity and that selling sex is unacceptable in a civilised society. Communities are very concerned about overt prostitution in their midst, and about the linkage between sex markets and other forms of criminality including drugs. There was no consensus across a set of widely diverging views.

7.8.2 We also heard about the way in which the law on kerb-crawling is working (the Government has undertaken to introduce a power of arrest for the police to deal more effectively with kerb crawling) and the archaic nature of the law on soliciting and loitering for women with its demeaning description of a common prostitute. We have also suggested that male and female prostitution should be dealt with in a similar fashion.

7.8.3 These are all large issues outside our remit. But we wished to record the passion with which they have been raised, and that there are major and important issues of social policy to be considered. We have recommended elsewhere that male and female prostitution should be regulated by the law in an equitable fashion. That may provide an opportunity to consider the wider aspects of the law on prostitution, which were beyond our remit to consider. Changes may be desirable but in a way which does not produce unintended adverse consequences for either those who are exploited through prostitution, or for the communities which can suffer its side effects. We therefore recommend that there should be a further review of the law on prostitution.

Recommendation 53: There should be a further review of the law on prostitution.
Chapter Eight
Other Offences

8.1 Introduction

8.1.1 In addition to the offences we have discussed in the previous chapters, there are a number of specific offences which we thought should be considered as part of a thorough review of the law. Some of these are long established but set out in archaic law (like indecent exposure), and others have been rolled up with other offences (like bestiality) but justify separate treatment. We also identify the potential developments of the law to deal with some other behaviour that is not now criminal but causes deep concern, such as observing others when they thought they were in private.

8.1.2 This chapter therefore discusses the law on indecent exposure and how it should apply to sexual activity in public. We look at the justification for a new offence of voyeurism to deal with peeping tom behaviour; whether, and if so how, an offence of bestiality should be framed and whether we should seek to protect human remains from sexual interference.

8.2 Indecent Exposure

8.2.1 Indecent exposure is often called "flashing" - a term that carries with it connotations of pathetic and inadequate men who expose themselves but need not be taken seriously. In fact, the offence can be used to describe a wide range of unacceptable behaviour, of which the essential element is the exposure of the penis in public. The law is archaic, and is largely set out in nineteenth century statutes in terms that are inexplicable to a modern audience, e.g. 'exposing the person'. The offence tends to be regarded as very minor and harmless but research indicates that this offence may have a serious impact on those who witness it, and that some men commit this offence as part of wider pattern of sexual offending, some of which is very serious.

8.2.2 The present law is set out in:

- Vagrancy Act 1824 (willfully, openly, lewdly and obscenely exposing the person\(^{140}\) with intent to insult any female – penalty 3 months or a fine; a second offence will qualify the accused as an "incorrigible rogue" and he can be sentenced for up to 1 year at the Crown Court); and

- Town Police Clauses Act 1847 (willfully or indecently exposing the person in a street to the obstruction, annoyance or danger of the residents and passengers). Penalty a fine or 14 days.

The purpose of the law was not to prohibit any exposure (say for the purpose of urination) but that which caused offence. It was also possible for the common law offence of outraging public decency to be used.

\(^{140}\) Person is defined as penis in case law.
8.2.3 These nineteenth century offences of indecent exposure now seem quaint, and this contributes to the overall impression that indecent exposure is a minor nuisance rather than genuinely criminal behaviour. We do not think that this is a valid assumption. We were impressed by the evidence of research amongst victims that it can indeed be a very traumatic experience. It is not just the unpleasantness of the experience: in incidents where the exposed penis is erect or being masturbated, the effect is to induce fear, shock, disgust and a powerful fear of rape or death. Jennifer Temkin’s research study for the review\textsuperscript{141} also demonstrated that the common perception of men who expose themselves as sad and lonely but not dangerous was not valid. Studies have identified two types of offenders, one of whom is sad and humiliated; the other however is much more aggressive, who may expose an erect penis sometimes accompanied by obscenities. From practical experience, the police felt that many of the more aggressive offenders were potentially dangerous and likely to be involved in other sexual offending.

8.2.4 Research amongst those convicted of serious sex offences certainly shows that many had committed "nuisance" offences such as exposing or voyeurism. In one study\textsuperscript{142} it is estimated that 80% of rapists began with non-contact behaviour and another identified previous and concurrent nuisance offences in 30% of rapists and child molesters in his sample\textsuperscript{143}. We thought therefore that it was important for us to take both exposure and voyeurism seriously, not merely as precursor behaviour, but as part of a set of more dangerous behaviour. We certainly did not assume that all those who expose are serious sex offenders, but there is a clear link between exposure and other serious offending behaviour in some offenders.

8.2.5 There are other types of public exposure that are not intended to threaten and are not targeted at individual victims. Naturists were concerned that the review should not affect their enjoyment of their chosen way of living. Indeed our terms of reference obliged us to take full account of their right to a private life under article 8 of the Convention. There is also a valid distinction to be made between those who expose for sexual gratification, or to frighten women, and streakers and other exhibitionists who strip off in public for fun or to shock. That kind of behaviour is different in kind to the more serious kind of exposure. The courts need to be able to deal with exhibitionist behaviour as a social nuisance when necessary, but a more serious offence that is properly contained in sexual offences is needed to replace the old offences of indecent exposure.

8.2.6 The Home Office Working Party on Vagrancy and Street Offences in 1974, and the Law Commission\textsuperscript{144} both recommended the abolition of the existing offences and their replacement by a new summary offence of exposing the genitalia, where the person knew or should have known that other people were likely to see and to be offended. In their draft Criminal Code Bill\textsuperscript{145}, the Law Commission set out a modern offence as:

\[113: \text{A man is guilty of indecent exposure if he exposes his penis to a woman, intending, or being aware that he is likely, to cause her alarm or distress.}\]

8.2.7 The review thought that this offence was a useful model to consider. We thought that any such offence needed to be carefully but broadly defined. In the light of the degree of fear caused to victims we considered defining the offence in terms of harm. However, although we

\textsuperscript{141} Professor Jennifer Temkin: ‘Rape and Other Sexual Assault’ Vol 2.

\textsuperscript{142} Drieblatt.

\textsuperscript{143} Abel, Mittelman and Becker in Clinical Criminology: the assessment and treatment of criminal behaviour: Ben-Aron et al, Toronto.

\textsuperscript{144} LC 76 (1976).

\textsuperscript{145} LC 177 (1989).
recognised that harm was caused, we also thought that including harm in the definition could lead to extensive cross-examination in court or presentation of expert evidence that harm had been committed. We thought that it was better to rely on the more traditional and immediate test of alarm at the time of the exposure as the right test to apply. That is relevant to the incident and easier to prove in court. We also thought that the degree of intent for this offence, which may involve compulsive as well as overtly intentional behaviour, needed careful thought. A purely subjective test has its difficulties. Proving intention to cause fear, alarm or distress could be difficult even when that outcome occurred. A more objective test that he knew or should have known that it would cause fear, alarm or distress provides an appropriate lower threshold whereby certain types of exposure (such as to an individual woman or child in a lonely place), which would undoubtedly cause fear, alarm or distress, would be clearly criminal.

8.2.8 We also considered very carefully whether an offence of indecent exposure should be extended to include the exposure of female genitalia, but found limited evidence that such an offence was required. Exposure of the genitalia by women was not common, and did not seem to imply the same degree of threat as exposure of the penis by a man. Although exposure by women may take place as part of a wider pattern of sexual offending (and so be very disturbing to those who may have been victims), that seems to be relatively unusual and to take place in private as part of an abusive situation. We thought that was a different kind of behaviour to that normally considered as indecent exposure. Public exposure by women may take the form of social exhibitionism (such as streaking), or disturbed behaviour. Where these are criminal, we think these kinds of behaviour should be dealt with as part of public nuisance/public order which would apply to men or women, rather than a sexual offence. (Indeed at present women may be charged under S4 of the Public Order Act 1986 for “insulting behaviour likely to cause a breach of the peace”.) Although our general approach is that offences should apply generally to perpetrators of either sex, on balance we thought an offence of indecent exposure should continue to apply to the exposure of the penis.

- We would welcome information and evidence to refute or support this conclusion.

8.2.9 We also considered whether the offence should be extended from exposure to women only to include exposure to men. There is a strong case for doing so. Exposure to a boy or vulnerable man or boy could be threatening and frightening, and cause fear, alarm and distress. However, we would not want to criminalise any same sex exposure, which would make the use of communal urinals for example, very problematic. A law that is drafted in terms of fear, alarm and distress should relate only to those incidents where there is an element of threat. It would not apply to same sex activity or use of urinals or communal showers unless the necessary elements were present. These practical difficulties should not deny boys and men the protection of the law from indecent exposure.

**Recommendation 54:** There should be a new offence of indecent exposure relating to exposing the penis when he knew or should have known that he might cause fear, alarm or distress to another person.

8.3 Voyeurism

8.3.1 Voyeurism or ‘peeping’ is an activity which is normally regarded as a nuisance, and in many instances is not criminal in England and Wales. We had a number of instances drawn to our attention by MPs and the police where considerable nuisance and distress had been caused when unwanted observation of people in intimate surroundings had been discovered: it seemed that the law offered no remedy unless the proceedings were recorded and could be considered as indecent or obscene material. Bob Blizzard MP sent details of cases involving landlords fixing
spyholes into tenant’s bathrooms. We were told of covert observations in changing rooms (both in shops, market stalls and schools), of hidden cameras filming in public changing areas and beaches and of course of the classic “Peeping Tom” looking into houses.

8.3.2 Rather like flashing, our traditional attitude to such activity has been to regard it as unpleasant but a nuisance rather than criminal, possibly because of difficulties in definition. We were however impressed by the degree of evidence that linked a range of offending behaviour, from the most serious sex crimes to voyeurism and indecent exposure. We thought that there was a genuine problem in the secret observation for the sexual gratification of the watcher of people, particularly women and children, when they had a reasonable expectation of privacy. The experience of victims, particularly those observed in their own homes, was of a violation not only of their privacy but of their sense of personal safety and integrity.

8.3.3 We looked to see what other jurisdictions had done about the problem, and found that many US states had provisions relating to voyeurism. Florida has an offence whereby:

“A person commits the offence of voyeurism when he or she, with lewd, lascivious, or indecent intent, secretly observes, photographs, films or videotapes, or records another person when such other person is located in a dwelling, structure or conveyance and such location provides a reasonable expectation of privacy.”

This could be used in a number of contexts, for example using a hidden video camera (placed in a bag) to secretly film up women’s skirts in a shopping mall. A number of other states including Iowa also have an offence of criminal voyeurism. The offence normally applies to visually observing or recording someone who has a reasonable expectation of privacy. The Iowa statute specifies that the victim must be in a dwelling or occupied structure.

8.3.4 California has a slightly different offence that does not rely on proof of lewd and indecent intent:

“Anyone who looks through a hole or opening, into, or otherwise views, by means of any instrumentality... the interior of a bathroom, changing room, fitting room, dressing room, or tanning booth, or the interior of any other area in which the occupant has a reasonable expectation of privacy, with the intent to invade the privacy of a person or persons inside”.

8.3.5 We were not able to find any evaluations of these offences or statistics of their use. It may well be quite a difficult offence to prosecute unless there was evidence of the voyeurism like recordings, or two-way mirrors.

8.3.6 There is no mention of a similar offence in either the Canadian Criminal Code, or the new Australian Model Code. The Canadian Code is quite interesting as it makes nudity in a public place an offence (even if on private property but exposed to public view). When we raised the issue of voyeurism in the State of Victoria, Australia, they said they dealt with voyeurs either under a law prohibiting people being “unlawfully on property”, or as a form of stalking. They were seeking to establish that there could be harassment even if the person observed was not aware of it; this was not established law however.

8.3.7 There is research evidence that like exposure, serious sex offenders report previous or concurrent nuisance behaviour (as in para 8.2.4 above) that includes voyeurism. In Abel’s study 14% of child molesters and 20% of rapists had committed voyeurism. We therefore had evidence of a problem that the law could not readily tackle here; that peeping behaviour formed a continuum of behaviour with other sex offences, and that other jurisdictions were either introducing specific laws or trying to deal with the problem by using other laws. We were also aware that there were real difficulties in defining a proper criminal offence to catch the kind of behaviour that we considered should be subject to a criminal sanction without intruding on other more innocent behaviour, or indeed the growing use of cameras and other security devices.
8.3.8 We thought that the first element of any offence would have to be that any observation would have to take place where there was an expectation of privacy. Hence in a changing room, if there was a notice saying that video surveillance was in use then that was known and understood. If however there were no notices then there could be an expectation that a place where people changed their clothing was private. A person may expect privacy in their own home or bathroom – but not if there was open view to outsiders. Draw the curtains and different conditions apply. Certainly any structural alteration (like making a spyhole into a bathroom or mounting a camera for recording or remote viewing of what was happening within) would be evidence of an intent to contravene any expectation of privacy. There would however have to be safeguards regarding necessary surveillance for the purposes of preventing and detecting crime.

8.3.9 Many of the US offences relate to observation of people who are inside buildings or other structures, or to specific types of facilities such as changing booths or tanning booths. We thought that it was sensible to limit the offence to observation of a person in a building or other structure; being in the open, even in a private garden, does not create the same expectation of privacy. Nor did we think it reasonable to regard observation, or even covert filming, on beaches or public places as offensive unless the resulting images were indecent or obscene. There is legislation to deal with this aspect of the problem already. This had been raised in the context of the covert filming of children on a beach and we thought that any such filming, while unwelcome, was not necessarily criminal. The listing of protected places such as tanning booths or changing rooms was another possible approach, and does create a degree of certainty, but on the other hand the creation of such lists could give undue prominence to certain nuisances at the expense of others, and unless there was a catch-all category could be unnecessarily restrictive.

8.3.10 We also considered whether there should be any requirement of lewd and lascivious intent. The observation may well be for sexual gratification, but this was an element that could be difficult to prove. Nor did we think it was absolutely necessary – the observation in the circumstances of privacy was sufficient, and the fear and distress its discovery could cause was sufficient in itself to justify the offence. This is not an offence which would be intended to create any kind of right to privacy but to protect people going about their ordinary lives from unwanted and unacceptable intrusion. However we also recognised the risk that a broader offence, without a requirement for the observation to be done for sexual purposes, could intrude into the work of a free press, which was not our intent.

Recommendation 55: There should be an offence of voyeurism where a person in the interior of a building or other structure has a reasonable expectation of privacy and is observed without their knowledge or consent, whether by remote or mechanical means or not. There should be an exception for authorised surveillance.

- We would welcome views on the framing of an offence of voyeurism to ensure protection for victims without curtailing the freedom of the press to investigate issues of public concern.

8.4 Privacy and sexual activity in public

8.4.1 We discuss the application of the criminal law to adult sexual behaviour in private in Chapters 1 and 6. The present criminal law of gross indecency and buggery include privacy provisions that relate not only to the number of people present but to the place in which the behaviour takes place, and in particular to the restriction on male same-sex activity in public lavatories. This raises some important questions about how the law should deal with sexual activity in public, whether that is same sex or heterosexual. The present law distinguishes between

---

male same-sex soliciting, cruising and cottaging, and soliciting by women. Different offences and penalties apply to homosexual and heterosexual public behaviour: there are evident questions of fairness, necessity, proportionality and discrimination to consider.

8.4.2 We all share social space in public places, and there is clearly a need for the law to protect members of the public from being unwilling witnesses to sexual behaviour, or to be harassed or intimidated in their use of public space and facilities. The law that is used at present to deal with sexual activity in public tends to be:

- Ss 12 and 13 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 (Gross indecency and buggery) which is used to prosecute cottaging and overt gay sex;
- S32 of the SOA 1956 soliciting by a man;
- S5 of the Public Order Act – behaviour likely to cause a breach of the peace;
- Common law offence of outraging public decency;
- Common law offence of public nuisance.

Although the law is available to deal with both same sex and heterosexual behaviour in public, the present structure is seen as unjust and unfair. (We have already recommended the abolition of ss12,13 and 32 in chapter 6.) There is a strong perception, particularly in the gay and lesbian community, that it can be used in an unfair and discriminatory way, and there is clear evidence that it has been so used in the past. The present law derives from a time when male homosexuality was illegal and to be openly homosexual was extremely difficult. Meeting places tended to operate around public facilities like lavatories. These meeting places could become nuisances, and prosecutions would be undertaken on the basis of observation by police or by agents provocateurs. With changing social and legal attitudes, there are alternative meeting places now available to gay people. The policing of public facilities has also changed with much greater attention being paid to managing a problem to tackle the nuisance. However some public facilities are extensively used for sexual purposes, and the law needs to be able to deal with this problem as part of wider powers to deal with sexual activity in public places.

8.4.3 The law already draws perfectly valid distinctions between what adults do in private and what they do in public. Many sexual acts are punishable if committed in circumstances which outrage public decency. The common law offence of outraging public decency includes 'all open lewdness, grossly scandalous behaviour, and whatever openly outrages decency or is offensive and disgusting, or injurious to public morals by tending to corrupt the mind and destroy the law of decency, morality and good order.'\(^{147}\) The common law is used for approximately 60 prosecutions a year, often for situations such as sexual intercourse in very public places, prostitutes 'advertising' themselves in the window, or a person masturbating at the window of a private house. Although there are arguments that such common law offences are flexible and available for use in a wide range of circumstances, that very width and flexibility makes it an uncertain offence, without the clarity required of modern law.

8.4.4 Our overall approach is to look at the essential criminality of behaviour. Sexual behaviour in public should fall within the criminal law – society requires controls on overt sexual behaviour in public because of its effect on others. However, that criminal law should apply to all sexual behaviour in public and not in particular to same sex behaviour. The Wolfenden Committee said:

\(^{147}\) Archbold.
The law should continue to regard as criminal any indecent act committed in a place where members of the public may be likely to see and be offended by it, but where there is no possibility of public offence of this nature it becomes a matter of private responsibility of the persons concerned and as such, in our opinion, is outside the proper purview of the criminal law. It will be for the courts to decide, in cases of doubt, whether or not public decency has been outraged, and we cannot see that there would be any greater difficulty about establishing this in the case of homosexual acts than there is at present in the case of heterosexual acts.  

8.4.5 The fifteenth report of the Criminal Law Revision Committee (1984) recommended a new offence to regulate sexual activity in public which would include acts on private premises but observable from a public place (or from other private premises such as a private dwelling). They did not intend to put at risk every courting couple who choose a secluded spot for their courting merely because the public have a right or the ability to access it.

8.4.6 In looking at how the criminal law should apply in this area we adopted several principles. The first was that this was an issue of public order/nuisance; it should not be considered a sex offence with all that entails. There is no abuse or exploitation of another person – the issue is essentially of public decency. The law should be able to tackle these public order/decency issues whether they relate to heterosexual or same sex activity. Finally, we thought it unnecessary and disproportionate to prohibit all sexual activity in public. Like the CLRC we thought the discreet couple should not be penalised, the nuisance was not the sexual activity itself but the fact that it is seen by others and that it occurs in situations where it is likely to cause distress or offence.

8.4.7 We identified two possible ways of achieving this approach. One would be to rest on a definition of a public place and to add in the likelihood of being seen. We thought this was fraught with potential difficulty. Is any public park or open space out of bounds, even at 1 am? Would a couple have to go into the bushes, and if so how far? On the whole we felt that was too prescriptive and difficult. The alternative approach is, like the present Public Order Act offences, to rely on a third party to be offended. We thought that this was a useful concept, but it raised questions about the applications of differing standards of public morality to sexual behaviour in public. Some people find public displays of same sex affection such as kissing or handholding objectionable when the same behaviour by a boy and girl might be accepted.

8.4.8 There is a risk in using a test that someone is offended that you can effectively create different local standards. What is acceptable behaviour in London may not be elsewhere. Although that is not strictly equitable, it may reflect very different views and standards of acceptable behaviour around the country, and hence provide the protection of the law to the local community. However we were anxious to ensure that the law should not be used unfairly or in a discriminatory way. It may be that where an offence relies on a complaint that someone has been offended, the trigger for action should not be a solitary complaint but, as in issues of public nuisance, to rely on a broader degree of complaint from the community. This may however be an element of the way in which any new offence would be policed, and reflect the way in which individual and community concerns relate to local policing. Such an approach is already part of the current problem-centred policing approach. We also thought that those members of the public who complained should not have to be witnesses in court, but could be represented by the police. We recognised that there are concerns about policemen and third parties being offended but we thought that with a fair and equitable law, and effective community policing, such concerns were less relevant today than ever before.

148 Wolfenden Committee report cmd. 247, paragraph 64.
8.4.9 We thought that the present Public Order Act 1986 provided a useful model for the kind of offence we envisaged. Offensive behaviour under section 5 of the Public Order Act is only unlawful when there is a third person present who is (or is likely to be) distressed by it. Section 5 also gives the police a special power to warn a potential offender before arresting him. In most cases this will be a more appropriate and effective way of dealing with indecency; the aim except for those who are persistently causing nuisance is to resolve the problem on the spot. If the warning is ignored then there is the option of prosecution. We thought this was a useful model, but that rather than rely on the existing tests in the Public Order Acts we should recommend the creation of a new offence. This should not only apply to open displays of sexual acts in public, but also behaviour leading up to it.

8.4.10 It will be important that any new or amended offence should operate in a gender and sexuality neutral way. A man and a man – or a woman and a woman – kissing and holding hands in public should no more be criminalised than a man and a woman behaving in the same way. It will be equally important, not least to satisfy the clarity required by the ECHR, that as far as possible the test and standards applied to any new offences should be national and not merely local.

8.4.11 Any new offence would need to reflect the fact that it was concerned with behaviour in public places, that it contains a degree of objectivity in the test (knew or should have known) and that it causes distress, alarm or offence to other people. The offence would be triggered by complaints to the police. It is also important that, like s5, the new offence enables a warning to be given in order to end the nuisance before any offence is committed.

Recommendation 56: A new public order offence should be created to deal with sexual behaviour that a person knew or should have known was likely to cause distress, alarm or offence to others in a public place.

8.5 Bestiality

8.5.1 Any sexual penetration of or by an animal is an offence under s12 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 (the offence of buggery). We have recommended elsewhere that this offence should be repealed in its entirety, and the elements that relate to the protection of children and sex with animals be separately considered.

8.5.2 There has been some discussion as to whether the offence of having sex with animals should be criminal at all or whether it should be part of the law on the protection of animals. It has long been recognised, not least by the CLRC that the present offence has too harsh a penalty with the potential for a life sentence. We considered whether there was a justification for retaining the offence, and in particular whether if the behaviour was criminal it should form part of the law relating to the protection of animals rather than sex offences. We concluded that the behaviour was properly criminal.

8.5.3 It was an act that offended against the dignity of animals and of people. Working as we do on the principle of free agreement to sexual activity, this was simply not possible with animals. An offence of bestiality would seek to protect animals but we thought that it was primarily a sex offence reflecting some profoundly disturbed behaviour. These are not simply the acts of loneliness and propinquit. There is evidence of a linkage between abuse of animals and other forms of sexual offending. Research has shown a link between abuse of animals and abuse of children. In some instances severe physical mutilation of horses has been accompanied by sex with them. We felt that society had a profound abhorrence for this behaviour and that it should continue to be a criminal offence. This echoed the views of the CLRC who also considered that it should remain
criminal but with a much-reduced penalty. We share this view. We also agree with the CLRC that there should be a more serious offence of compelling sexual activity with animals, and we discuss this in more detail in section 2.20.

**Recommendation 57: A specific offence of bestiality should be retained.**

### 8.6 Necrophilia

8.6.1 An issue that was raised with us during the course of the review was whether the law should prohibit sexual interference with human remains. It came as a surprise to most members of the review that there was no such protection in law for human remains and that necrophilia was not illegal. We were given some anecdotal evidence that necrophilia did take place from time to time, and that it could be associated with some other very serious offending— notably murder that was followed by sexual acts.

8.6.2 There is an offence of exhumating dead bodies without lawful authority, but no other criminal law protection for the body of a person once they are dead. In general the dead body ceases to have rights in law, and it is arguable that it is not a victim. It does seem that occasionally dead bodies are violated for sexual gratification. These are rare and unusual occurrences, but when they do occur the absence of law is thrown into relief.

8.6.3 Other common law countries have specific statute law to protect dead bodies. The Canadian Criminal Code[^149] makes it an indictable offence to “improperly or indecently interfere with or offer any kind of indignity to a dead human body or human remains, whether buried or not”. (The same section also creates an offence of omitting to carry out duties imposed by law relating to the burial of a body.) New Zealand has the same provision. Both of these form part of their law on sexual offences.

8.6.4 We considered whether this was an activity that was sufficiently serious to be criminally culpable, and if it justified an offence that is specifically targeted at the sexual molestation of human remains. We were agreed that human remains should be shown respect, and that relatives and friends would be deeply distressed were the remains of their loved ones to be molested in this way. We thought that society should be able to say that certain kinds of sexual behaviour are so deviant as to be unacceptable. Our fundamental principle is that sexual activity should be mutually agreed—in this context, and that of bestiality, there is no possibility of mutual agreement. We are recommending a comprehensive set of offences that set out society’s standards for the next millennium, and it seemed only right that we should consider this subject.

8.6.5 We had no firm evidence of the nature or the extent of the problem, but that is not surprising if the law is silent on the issue. We wondered whether its absence from the law reflected the lack of a real problem, but concluded that we could not infer that. We found the whole idea of sexual activity with a dead person profoundly repugnant, but that in itself was not an argument for making it criminal.

8.6.6 The strongest arguments for the offence are that the families of those who have died have every right to expect human remains to be treated with respect and propriety. We thought that most people would expect necrophilia to be an offence and would be surprised that it was not. It is certainly associated with other very deviant behaviour and there is no present possibility, for example, of those who kill and then have sex with the bodies of their victims being formally...
recognised and treated as a sex offender. Advances in DNA and forensic technology should enable evidence gathering in the absence of other testimony. As we consider that the offences we recommend should reflect an understanding of risk and of the nature of the offending behaviour, we thought that this kind of behaviour should be caught by the criminal law. Accordingly we recommend that sexual interference with human remains should be an offence.

Recommendation 58: Sexual interference with human remains should be an offence.
Chapter Nine
Further issues

9.1 Introduction
9.1.1 This chapter considers the penalties that we thought should be associated with our new offences, and explains the principles we have adopted in coming to those conclusions. Our terms of reference require us to recommend penalties that will allow abusers to be effectively punished. We therefore consider some of the wider issues of treatment of sex offenders in prison and the community, and the requirement placed on certain sex offenders to notify the police of their name and address and any changes of them (the so-called "sex offenders' register").

9.1.2 In looking at all these issues that are consequential on the recommendations we make for new offences, we have used the evidence and knowledge we have gained in doing the review. We have considered the relative seriousness of offences in the light of their impact on victims and at some of the wider issues around the sentencing and treatment of offenders. In doing this we have raised some issues that should be looked at in the separate reviews of sentencing and of the Sex Offenders Act 1997 that are now being undertaken.

9.2 Penalties
9.2.1 In considering our new offences we have inevitably thought in some depth about the nature of the offences, the kind of behaviour that should be caught by them, the likely consequences of that behaviour on the victims and the risk it may present to other people in the community. To help us we have studied research, contacted policy makers and practitioners in other countries and worked with victims' and survivors' groups. Putting those factors together has given us an indication of the relative seriousness of the various offences. We have also set our proposed penalties in the context of s2 of the Crime Sentences Act 1996 which requires a mandatory life sentence on a second conviction for certain offences, including serious sex offences.

9.2.2 We thought it important that we propose penalties for the offences we recommend. This allows us to set out our view of their relative seriousness and also their relative importance in the overall context of offences more generally. In many cases we are recommending increased penalties. This reflects in part the fact that many sex offences have comparatively low penalties that are now out of step with our, and the public's, understanding of the nature and seriousness of sex offending. In recent years penalties for sex offences have been increased to address particular problems, but without an overall revision of the structure. This review enables both offences and penalties to be reconsidered in the light of current knowledge. We were particularly concerned to take account of the risk presented by some offending behaviour.

9.2.3 In looking at what we thought would be the right penalty for any offence we took account of:

- the overall sentencing framework, which on the whole provides for a stepped approach to penalties;
• the existing penalties for the equivalent offences, which gave us a starting point;
• our assessment of the relative seriousness of an offence as against others;
• the need for the maximum penalty to be high enough to enable the courts to deal with the most serious incidents of a particular offence;
• whether there were any particular aggravating features that would justify a higher sentence within the penalty range.

Comments on all these aspects are included in our commentary and recommendations. We set out below a summary of the offences we propose.

9.2.4 The penalties we propose are maxima. They are set to give the courts power to sentence in the very worst instance of any case. Sentences for individual defendants will of course reflect the circumstances of each particular case.

9.3 Proposed new offence/penalty structure

Rape
Oral, genital or anal penetration by the penis without consent.
Proposed penalty: life (no change).

Sexual assault by penetration
Any other form of genital or anal penetration, without consent. The offence to be charged if there is any doubt about the nature of penetration.
Proposed penalty: life (this is a new offence that is as serious and equivalent to rape).

Sexual assault
Any assault which is sexually inappropriate.
Proposed penalty: 10 years (no change from indecent assault. The most serious behaviour that is now prosecuted as indecent assault has been included in redefined rape and sexual assault by penetration.)

Assault with intent to commit a serious sex offence
An assault with the intention to commit a rape or sexual assault by penetration.
Proposed penalty: 10 years (this replaces the common law offence of assault to commit rape and the statute offence of assault to commit buggery (10 years). The most serious assaults represent highly dangerous behaviour which can be considered an attempted rape).

Trespass with intent to commit a sex offence
Replaces burglary to commit rape (in Theft Act); will apply to intent to commit rape-sexual assault by penetration/sexual assault.
Proposed penalty: life (this is very serious and dangerous behaviour. This is an increase of the penalty in the Theft Act –14 years).
Sex by threat or deception
Obtaining sexual intercourse/penetration by threat or deception in any part of the world.

Proposed penalty: 10 years (a significant increase over the existing penalty of 2 years for ss2 and 3 of SOA 1956. The highest penalty is justified for offences involving trafficking of people).

Using drink or drugs to stupefy or overcome a person for the purposes of sex
Replaces S4 SOA 1956.

Proposed penalty: 7 years (the existing penalty of 2 years is inadequate).

Abduction with intent to commit a serious sex offence
Taking a person in order to carry out rape or sexual assault by penetration.

Proposed penalty: 10 years (this offence replaces ss 17,19 and 21 SOA 56. It will complement the child abduction offence in the Child Abduction Act.)

Adult sexual abuse of a child
An adult (over 18) who:

- Penetrated or was (consensually) penetrated by a child under 16;
- Caused or induced a child (under 16) to perform a sexual act;
- Performed a sexual act at a child under 16 or who made a child witness such an act.

Proposed penalties: (a) life where the child is under the age of 13;

(b) 10 years where the child is between the ages of 13 and 16.

(A new offence with penalties that would represent an increase over usi with a girl under 16 (currently 2 years), but similar to usi with girl under 13, indecency with a child or buggery with a child.)

Sexual activity between minors
A child aged less than 18 who:

- Penetrated or was penetrated by a child under 16
- Caused or induced a child under 16 to perform a sexual act
- Performed a sexual act at a child under 16 or who made a child witness such an act;

Proposed penalty: (a) 10 years where the child is under the age of 13;

(b) 2 years where the child is between the ages of 13 and 16. (The normal maximum sentence for a juvenile.)

(A new offence which replaces usi, buggery etc. It relates to a range of activity from consenting behaviour to abusive or coercive behaviour which is on a lesser scale to the serious sex offences such as rape. It has a lower penalty maximum than the equivalent adult offence to reflect the age of the perpetrator. Mutually agreed sexual behaviour between children of 13 to 15 should not normally be prosecuted, but prosecution would be appropriate where there was evidence of coercion or exploitation.)
Persistent sexual abuse of a child
A course of conduct offence of repeated sexual abuse of a child over time.

Proposed penalty: **14 years** (a new offence to reflect the long-term nature of the abuse. It should enable the courts to recognise that in certain circumstances individual charges reflect a pattern of behaviour.)

Abuse of trust
A person in certain positions of care and authority in sexual activity with a child under 18 in his care – replicating the new offence in the Sexual Offences (Amendment) Bill.

Existing proposal in SO(A) Bill: **5 years**.

Sexual activity with a person without capacity to consent
A person having sexual activity with another who has no capacity to decide or give free agreement.

Proposed penalty: **10 years** (this merges existing offences of usi, buggery and indecent assault on a mental defective).

Breach of a relationship of care

- A member of staff (whether paid or unpaid) of a hospital or residential care establishment who has a sexual relationship with a patient with a mental disorder, (whether inpatient or outpatient), or a person in residential care;

- A person (whether paid or unpaid) providing designated care services who has a sexual relationship with a person receiving certain care services in the community;

- A medical practitioner or person who provides medical or therapeutic services who has a sexual relationship with a patient or client.

Proposed penalty: **7 years** (a new offence which replaces and extends S128 of Mental Health Act 1959 which carries a 2 year penalty (and is hardly used)).

Obtaining sex with a mentally impaired person by threat or deception
A new offence intended to enable the law to deal with the lower level of threat or deception against mentally impaired people.

Proposed penalty: **10 years** (new offence).

Familial sexual abuse

- Sexual penetration between persons related by blood or adoption:
  
  (a) children, siblings (and half-siblings), parents, natural aunts and uncles, grandparents, grandchildren, adoptive parents and adoptive children during their lifetime;
  
  (b) adoptive siblings to the age of 18;

- Sexual penetration between step-parents/step-children and foster-parents/foster-children where the child is under the age of 18;

- Sexual penetration between a child under the age of 18 and other persons living in the household and in a position of trust and authority over that child.
Proposed penalty:  
(a) life with a child under 13;  
(b) 14 years with a child over 13 but under 18  
(c) 7 years with a person over the age of 18.

(These represent overall increases on the existing penalties for incest - by man with girl under 13 - life (attempt 7 years); otherwise 7 years (attempt 2 years); incest by a women - 7 years (attempt 2 years); inciting a girl to commit incest - 2 years/6 months.)

**Trafficking**
Bringing or enabling a person to move from one place to another for the purposes of commercial sexual exploitation.

Proposed penalty: 14 years (a new offence to cover a range of criminal behaviour that can involve trans-national organised crime and generate very large profits.)

**Commercial sexual exploitation of children**
A set of new offences relating to:

- buying the sexual services of a child under 18;
- recruiting, inducing or compelling a child under 18 into commercial sexual exploitation;
- participating, facilitating or allowing the commercial sexual exploitation of a child under 18;
- receiving money or other reward, favour or compensation for the sexual exploitation of a child.

Proposed penalties:  
(a) life where the child is under the age of 13;  
(b) 10 years where the child is over 13 but under 18 except:  
(c) 5 years for buying sexual services with a child over the age of 16 but under 18.

**Sexual exploitation of adults**
A range of offences to replace ss22, 29, 30 and 31 of SOA 56, and s5 SOA 67.

- Recruiting a person into prostitution whether or not for reward or gain;  
- Managing or controlling the activities of men or women who are prostitutes, for money or reward;  
- Exploiting others by receiving money or reward from men and women who are prostitutes.

Proposed penalty: 7 years (the exploitation of a vulnerable person should be an aggravating feature).


**Indecent Exposure**

Exposure of the penis when he knew or should have known that it would cause fear, alarm or distress to a person.

Proposed Penalty:  
(a) **6 months** for first offence;  
(b) **2 years** for persistent offending.

(The existing penalty (Vagrancy Act 1824) is 3 months/1 year on second conviction. The penalty for this offence caused us some problem because although we regard it as more serious than it has traditionally been regarded; any one incident may not be very serious. We thought that there was justification for a higher penalty for persistent offending. We also thought that there were strong arguments for this offence remaining as a summary offence – magistrates were well equipped (and accustomed) to dealing with it. It could where necessary be referred to the Crown Court for sentencing.)

**Sexual activity in public**

To undertake any sexual activity in public place that causes fear, alarm and distress to others.

Proposed penalty: **6 months or a fine** (summary only).

**Bestiality**

To penetrate, or be penetrated by, an animal.

Proposed penalty: **5 years** (this is a reduction on the present penalty – life).

**Voyeurism**

To view others without their consent in situations where there is a reasonable expectation of privacy.

Proposed penalty:  
(a) **6 months** for the first offence;  
(b) **2 years** for persistent offending.

**Sexual Interference with human remains**

A new offence.

Proposed penalty: **2 years**.

**Compelling sexual acts**

A new offence of compelling another person to do a sexual act to perpetrator, self, a third party or an animal;

Proposed penalties:  
(a) **life** for compelling sexual penetration with a person or an animal;  
(b) **10 years** for compelling other sexual acts.

(New offence that needs to reflect the seriousness of the compelled acts. In order to keep the relationship between the penalty and the nature of the offence in balance we propose two levels of offence and penalty.)
9.4 Sex Offender Treatment

9.4.1 In considering the offences and penalties we also looked at the related issues of the treatment of sex offenders, whether in the community or in prison establishments. The issue of the how far treatment should form part of the sentence of the court, or the regime available in prisons and in the community is not for us to determine. However, a number of the submissions made to us had registered the point that treatment was an important tool for reducing the risk of future offending, particularly for young people, and the subject had arisen in discussion. We have had an opportunity to consider some related issues which should be of wider interest.

9.4.2 The Prison Service in England and Wales has one of the leading programmes of sex offender treatment (SOTP) in the world. The programme has been continuously monitored and developed over recent years, and an evaluation was published in 1999. The evaluation stated that "the Core Programme is recognised internationally as probably the most systematically delivered, well managed and evaluated sexual offender treatment programme".

9.4.3 The Probation Service too has developed a number of sex offender treatment programmes for those serving community sentences, released on licence or serving part of their sentence in the community. These have been evaluated in the past and the quality has proved variable: the best have been good and the worst were ineffective. The Probation Service has adopted the "what works" approach, and are developing a number of Pathfinder schemes which have been evaluated and are considered to be effective. These schemes will be accredited and should then be adopted for use in other probation areas.

9.4.4 In addition there are other providers of sex offender treatment programmes – in hospitals and specialist clinics such as the Wolvercote and the Portman Clinics; the NSPCC and other agencies who work with young abusers. The evidence from discussions with psychiatrists working in this field is that the strongest likelihood of successful intervention is with young people.

9.4.5 It is difficult to know how effective sex offender treatment is. The early evidence was not promising; studies in 1989 indicated that treatment was not effective, although programmes were not then of very good quality. More recent studies do seem to indicate that treatment can have an impact on those factors believed to be associated with re-offending and may result in fewer re-convictions and/or a reduction in the seriousness of offending. The SOTP programme is regularly evaluated, and is being developed and improved over time, but has not been going long enough to have long-term analysis of reconviction rates. (Reconviction rates for sex offences are low; we do not of course know the re-offending rate.) The most recent SOTP evaluation showed that benefits in attitudes to offending were maintained over nine months. Longer-term research would be needed to identify the effects over time.

9.4.6 We thought that it was important that appropriate sex offender treatment should be available for as many offenders as could benefit from it. We welcomed the progress that the prison and probation services are making towards improving and increasing the provision of treatment, and support its use wherever possible. We recognise that treatment is not a panacea: sex offending can result from deeply ingrained patterns of behaviour. However, in working to create a safe, just and tolerant society it is important that all that can be done to reduce the risk of future re-offending and harm to victims should be done. We also recognise that some people may be unsuitable for treatment programmes. However, we thought that it was important that early professional assessment of the need and the suitability for treatment should be an integral part of any sentence for a conviction for sex offending.

150 STEP 3: An Evaluation of the Prison Service Sex Offender Treatment Programme: Beech, Fisher and Beckett.

151 Furry et al. quoted in PRG paper 99 – Sex Offending against children, Understanding the risk: Grubin.
9.4.7 We also thought it was important that the Prison Service and Probation Service should develop their links and work together to ensure that the work done with offenders in prison and in the community can be linked and developed. This was particularly important given the opportunity for periods of extended supervision in the community to be awarded by the courts when supervising sex offenders.

**Recommendation 59:** Sex offender treatment should continue to develop and be made available to those convicted of relevant offences and early professional assessment of the need and suitability for treatment should be part of any sentence for such offenders.

9.5 Implications of our proposals for the Sex Offenders Act 1997

9.5.1 The Sex Offenders Act 1997 requires all those who are cautioned or convicted of a sex offence against a child, or of certain very serious offences like rape and serious indecent assault against any person (with a sentence of over 2½ years), to inform the police of changes of name and address for a given period of time. The offences which trigger the registration requirement are set out in Schedule 1 of the Act. The policy underlying the Act was to increase the protection that the police and other agencies could give to the public, and particularly children, by knowing the whereabouts of those convicted of sex offending.

9.5.2 Our role was to consider which of our proposed offences indicate the kind of serious public risk that should carry a requirement to register. We have thought carefully about the nature of the offending behaviour in setting out the offences, and legislation that changes the Sexual Offences Act 1956 will require Schedule 1 of the Sex Offenders Act 1997 to be amended. It will impact on the definition of a Schedule 1 offender in the Children and Young Persons Act 1933, which has significant life-long effects on those to whom it applies.

9.5.3 In looking at which of the offences we recommended should carry the requirement to register, we had in mind that contributors to the review had identified certain gaps in the original schedule (notably for the non-inclusion of burglary with intent to rape and pimping offences against children) and the concerns expressed about the inclusion of consensual offences. We also considered the question of children and the requirement to register. We recognised that the Act was being separately evaluated and reviewed.

9.5.4 We started by eliminating certain of the offences we recommend. Recognising that the 1997 Act is aimed at preventing the risk from those who offend sexually we suggest that the new Public Order offences we recommend are not suitable for registration. These are offences of public nuisance rather than offence against individuals. The other offences we have recommended include behaviour that is sufficiently serious or indicative of potential risk as to warrant registration, including those of sexually exploiting children and trafficking. The evidence from interviews with convicted sex offenders seems to indicate that offenders may display a wide range of behaviour from voyeurism and exposure to rape, and that many are not selective about their choice of victim and may have a repertoire of offending behaviour. It therefore becomes increasingly difficult to identify degrees of risk to the public by differentiating between offences. We consider that the offences we have recommended represent a robust portfolio on which to base any new registration requirements. We hope that the review of the Act will consider the policy and practical implications of our recommendations in full.

**Recommendation 60:** All of the offences that we recommend, except for those which we recommend as public order/public nuisance offences, carry some degree of risk that would justify their consideration as part of a review of Schedule 1 of the Sex Offenders Act 1997.

---

9.5.5 The Sex Offenders Act requires all of those convicted of a relevant offence to register with the police regardless of age or ability. This is a clear and certain requirement that achieves a high degree of compliance. However, reservations have been expressed about including all children who commit certain sex offences in the registration requirements. In looking at offences against and by children we have recommended keeping the offence of under-age sex to maintain the age of consent, but have also recommended that mutually agreed activity should not normally be prosecuted. We also recognised that serious and coercive sexual offending was carried out by children, who could present a continuing risk. We thought, therefore, that there were children who should be on the register, and there was no easy answer to suggest that all children should or should not be registered.

9.5.6 We also considered if the court should have discretion whether or not to require a child to register. We thought that if the courts were to exercise such discretion, it should be informed by evidence-based guidance. This is a question that merits careful in-depth consideration, and is a task for the separate review of the Sex Offenders Act. We recommend that that review looks carefully at the issue of the registration of children convicted of sex offences and considers whether there should be discretion for the court to decide in individual cases.

Recommendation 61: The issue of the requirement for children to register under the Sex Offenders Act should be separately considered in a review of the Act.

9.6 Alternative Verdicts

9.6.1 The Criminal Law Act 1967 provides that where a jury finds a defendant not guilty of an indictment for one crime, and the indictment includes, expressly or by implication, an allegation of another offence falling within the jurisdiction of the court of trial, the jury may find him guilty of that offence. This is potentially an important provision in sex offences where for children and some vulnerable people there are charges that rely on the proof both of the act of sexual penetration and lack of consent (such as rape), whilst other offences require only the act to be proved, but not consent. For example, under the present law, if the principal charge of rape of a girl under 16 is not proved, it is possible for the jury to substitute indecent assault as an alternative verdict even if the defendant was not actually charged with that offence.

9.6.2 We think that these arrangements are particularly important in sex offences where the law makes particular provision for the protection of children or other vulnerable people. We fully support the use by the prosecution of the most serious appropriate offence in any case – which may be rape or sexual assault by penetration. Both of these offences rely on a jury being satisfied that there was no free agreement. Where that element of the charge is not proved, we recommend other offences that do not require consent to be proved (and where the sexual act itself was an offence – such as a familial sexual abuse where the defendant and victim fall within the prohibited relationships) should form an alternative verdict. Other examples include where a man is accused of rape of a girl under 16; if it is proved that intercourse took place, but the issue of free agreement could not be resolved, a jury may find him not guilty of rape but guilty of adult sexual activity with a child.

9.6.3 Our general policy is that the law should be transparent as to its content and application. The Sexual Offences Act 1956 contained specific provisions about alternative verdicts, some of which were repealed by the Criminal Law Act. Accordingly we recommend that in the preparation of any new sexual offences legislation, for the avoidance of doubt, alternative verdicts should be set out in statute.

Recommendation 62: The provision of alternative verdicts should be considered in the preparation of new legislation, and for the avoidance of doubt that they should be set out in statute.
Annex One
Membership of the Review and Costs

Membership of the Sex Offences Review
Betty Moxon OBE (Home Office) Chair
Su McLean-Tooke (Home Office) Secretary to the review
Haydee Scarsbrook (Home Office) Administrative support to the review

Steering Group:
Catherine Allen (Women’s Unit) (until November 1999)
Chris Atkinson (NSPCC) (from August 1999)
Sarah Bateman (Department of Health) (until August 1999)
Melvyn Barker OBE (Department for Education and Employment)
Martin Bowley QC
Yasmin Alibhai-Brown (until June 1999)
David Brown (Home Office)
Shami Chakrabarti (Home Office)
Bruce Clark (formerly NSPCC, then Department of Health after August 1999)
Tia Cockrell (Legal Secretariat to the Law Officers) (from May 1999)
Sally Cole (Crown Prosecution Service)
Det Supt Mick Creedon (Association of Chief Police Officers) (from November 1999)
Stephen Dawson (Metropolitan Stipendiary Magistrates and The Law Society)
Gerry Egan (Department of Health)
Helen Goodman (Lord Chancellor’s Department)
The Rev Canon Dr Donald Gray CBE
Andrea Humphrey (Department of Health)
Terry Lee (Northern Ireland Office)
Maura McGowan (General Council of the Bar)
Stephen Parkinson (Legal Secretariat to the Law Officers) April 1999
Christine Pulford (Lord Chancellor’s Department) (until December 1999)
Dame Helen Reeves (Victim Support)
Caroline Ricketts (Women’s Unit) (from November 1999)
Gerry Robb (Department of Health)
Det Supt Malcolm Ross (Association of Chief Police Officers) (until October 1999)
Robert Street (Research, Statistics and Development Directorate, Home Office)
Tracy Wallace (Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions)
Stephen Wooler (Legal Secretariat to the Law Officers) (until March 1999)
Anna Worrall QC (General Council of the Bar)
External Reference Group
Julie Barnard (Rape Crisis Federation) (from April to September 1999)
Nick Bent (from May 1999)
Mark Blake (Blackliners) (until December 1999)
David Congdon (Mencap)
Penny Dean (The Children's Society)
Dina Gold (Board of Deputies of British Jews)
Steve Hodges (NCH Action for Children) (from March until October 1999)
Helen Jones (Rape Crisis Federation) (from September 1999)
Dr Liz Kelly CBE (University of North London)
Shaun Kelly (NCH Action for Children) (from November 1999)
Dan Lambeth (Solicitor)
Sandra McNeill (Campaign to End Rape)
Anita Mansley (St Mary's Sexual Assault Referral Centre, Manchester)
Angela Mason OBE (Stonewall)
Deryk Mead (NCH Action for Children) (February 1999)
Dr Gillian Mezey (Royal College of Psychiatrists) (from July 1999)
Margaret Pedler (Mind)
Nerys Rees (Women's National Commission & Soroptimist International)
Dr Deborah Rogers (British Medical Association)
Imam Dr Abduljalil Sajid JP (Muslim Council of Britain) (from September 1999)
Sara Swann MBE (Barnardo's)
Professor Jennifer Temkin (Department of Law, University of Sussex)
Ruth Vincent (Association of Directors of Social Services) (from May 1999)
David Watts (Essex Social Services) (from July 1999)
Rev Neil Whitehouse (Kairos in Soho and The Methodist Church)
Claire Wilson-Thomas (CARE, Christian Action, Research and Education)

Papers also sent to:
British Medical Association
British Transport Police
Department for Culture, Media and Sport
HM Customs and Excise
HM Inspectorate of Constabulary
Justice & Victims Unit (Home Office)
The Law Commission
Law Reform Committee, General Council of the Bar
National Assembly for Wales
Operational Policing Policy Unit (Home Office)
Scottish Executive
Teenage Pregnancy Unit
### Cost of the Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>£</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conferences and Seminars</td>
<td>31025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Research commissioned</td>
<td>17500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Australia and New Zealand</td>
<td>8321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Translation services</td>
<td>1081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Conferences attended</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Research materials</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel and subsistence</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reprographics, etc</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing (approx)</td>
<td>50000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Annex Two

### Offences and Penalties

#### COMPARATIVE TABLE OF EXISTING AND PROPOSED OFFENCES AND PENALTIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing offence (paraphrased)</th>
<th>Penalty maximum</th>
<th>Recommended action</th>
<th>Proposed offence</th>
<th>Proposed penalty maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rape:</strong> anal or vaginal intercourse by a man without consent, (s1 SOA 1956)</td>
<td>Life</td>
<td>Extend scope of offence to include oral penetration</td>
<td><strong>Rape:</strong> penile penetration of another person's mouth, anus or vagina without consent.</td>
<td>Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indecent assault:</strong> (on a woman) (s14 SOA 1956)</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>Replace with 2 new offences, one for the most serious sexual assaults, and one for non-penetrative assaults.</td>
<td><strong>Sexual assault by penetration:</strong> anal or genital penetration with any part of the body or an object without consent.</td>
<td>Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assault to commit rape:</strong> (common law offence fallen into disuse) (s9 Theft Act 1968)</td>
<td>Life</td>
<td>Replace</td>
<td><strong>Assault with intent to commit a serious sex offence:</strong> (rape or sexual assault by penetration).</td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burglary with intent to commit rape:</strong></td>
<td>14 years (if a dwelling) 10 years (for any other building)</td>
<td>Replace – extend to cover rape and sexual assault by penetration</td>
<td><strong>Trespass with intent to commit a serious sex offence:</strong></td>
<td>Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procurement of sexual intercourse from a woman by threat or intimidation:</strong> (s2 SOA 1956)</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Replace both offences, extend to protect male victims</td>
<td><strong>Obtaining sexual penetration by threats or deception:</strong> in any part of the world</td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing offence (paraphrased)</td>
<td>Penalty maximum</td>
<td>Recommended action</td>
<td>Proposed offence</td>
<td>Proposed penalty maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement of sexual intercourse from a woman by false pretences or false representations:</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Replace and extend to protect males</td>
<td>Administering drink or drugs to overcome a person for the purposes of sex:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s3 SOA 1956)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applying drugs etc. to a woman to obtain sexual intercourse:</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Replace and extend to protect males</td>
<td>Indecent exposure: exposure of the male genitalia that he knew or should have known would cause fear, alarm or distress to any person.</td>
<td>7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s4 SOA 1956)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indecent exposure: willfully, openly, lewdly and obscenely exposing a penis with intent to</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Replace and extend to include exposure to males.</td>
<td>Indecent exposure: exposure of the male genitalia that he knew or should have known would cause fear, alarm or distress to any person.</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insult any female.</td>
<td>(1 year for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>second or subsequent conviction)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s4 Vagrancy Act 1824)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Compelling sexual acts: compelling another person to carry out a sexual act.</td>
<td>Life for any sexual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>penetration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Otherwise 10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Voyeurism: to view others without their knowledge or consent inside a building where there is a reasonable expectation of privacy.</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sexual interference with human remains.</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s5 Public Order Act 1986: behaviour likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress to a person</td>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>Add a new specific offence to cover sexual behaviour in public places</td>
<td>Sexual activity in public: to undertake any sexual activity in a public place (including public toilets) which was likely to cause fear, alarm and distress to another.</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>who is present.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outraging public decency: common law offence</td>
<td>Life</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buggery (1): consensual anal intercourse not in private.</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Repeal for consenting adults</td>
<td>Protective elements for those under the age of consent, and for those with severe learning disability retained in other offences. Activity in public places would be caught under new Public Order Offence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s12 SOA 1956)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing offence (paraphrased)</td>
<td>Penalty maximum</td>
<td>Recommended action</td>
<td>Proposed offence</td>
<td>Proposed penalty maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross indecency (1):</strong> consensual act of indecency between men not in private (s13 SOA 1956)</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Repeal for consenting adults</td>
<td>Protective elements for those under the age of consent or with severe learning disability retained in other offences. Activity in public places would be caught by new Public Order Offence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procuring others to commit homosexual acts:</strong> (s4 SOA 1987)</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Repeal</td>
<td>Protective elements for those under the age of consent or for non-consensual activity retained in other offences.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assault with intent to commit buggery:</strong> (s16 SOA 1956)</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>Replace</td>
<td><strong>Assault with intent to commit a serious sexual offence:</strong> as above</td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Buggery (2): bestiality.</strong></td>
<td>Life</td>
<td>Replace this element</td>
<td><strong>Bestiality:</strong> to sexually penetrate or to be sexually penetrated by an animal. (Links to the new offence of compelling sexual acts)</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sexual intercourse with a girl &lt;13:</strong> (s5 SOA 1956)</td>
<td>Life</td>
<td>Replace all 3 offences with generic offences to protect children from sexual activity</td>
<td><strong>Adult sexual abuse of a child (1):</strong> where a person over the age of 18 has sex with a child under 16.</td>
<td>Life if child aged &lt;13 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sexual intercourse with a girl &lt;16</strong></td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sexual activity between minors (1):</strong> where a person under the age of 18 has sex with a child under the age of 16.</td>
<td>10 years if child aged &gt;13 but &lt;16.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Buggery (3): anal intercourse with a child under the age of consent</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sexual activity between minors (2):</strong> where a person under the age of 18 causes or induces a child to perform a sexual act.</td>
<td>10 years if child &lt;13 5 years if child &gt;13 but &lt;16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indecency with child under 14:</strong> any person who commits an act of gross indecency with or towards a child, or who incites a child to such an act with him or another. (s1 Indecency with Children Act 1960)</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>Replace and raise age of protection to 16</td>
<td><strong>Adult sexual abuse of a child (2):</strong> where a person over the age of 18 causes or induces a child to perform a sexual act.</td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sexual activity between minors (2):</strong> where a person under the age of 18 causes or induces a child to perform a sexual act.</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Adult sexual abuse of a child (3):</strong> where a person over the age of 18 performs a sexual act towards or makes a child witness a sexual act.</td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sexual activity between minors (3):</strong> where a person under the age of 18 performs a sexual act towards or makes a child witness a sexual act.</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing offence (paraphrased)</td>
<td>Penalty maximum</td>
<td>Recommended action</td>
<td>Proposed offence</td>
<td>Proposed penalty maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abuse of trust:</strong> people in certain position of trust and responsibility (as defined by the Act.) who engage in sexual activity with a child in their care. (SO(A)A 2000)</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Retain unchanged</td>
<td><strong>Abuse of trust:</strong> as at present.</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incest:</strong> sexual intercourse with a woman he knows to be his grand-daughter, daughter, sister (including half-sister) or mother. (s10 SOA 1956)</td>
<td>Where victim is &lt;13: life Otherwise 7 years</td>
<td>Replace with redesigned offences to protect children in families and households, and adults in certain close relationships.</td>
<td><strong>Familial sexual abuse (1):</strong> an offence for a person to sexually penetrate (or to consent to being sexually penetrated by) a person who he/she knows to be a grandparent, parent, sibling (including half-sibling), child, grandchild, natural aunt or uncle, adoptive parent or adoptive child at any time, or an adoptive sibling who is under the age of 18.</td>
<td>Child &lt;13: life Child &gt;13 but &lt;18: 14 years Adult: 7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Familial sexual abuse (2):</strong> an offence for a person to sexually penetrate (or to consent to being sexually penetrated by) a child under 18 who he/she knows to be a step-parent, foster parent, step-child or foster child.</td>
<td>Child &lt;13: life Child &gt;13 but &lt;18: 14 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Familial sexual abuse (3):</strong> an offence for any person living in the household and in a position of trust and authority over a child under 18 to sexually penetrate (or be sexually penetrated by) that child.</td>
<td>Child &lt;13: life Child &gt;13 but &lt;18: 14 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incitement of girls &lt;16 to commit incest:</strong> (s54 Criminal Law Act 1977)</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Replace</td>
<td><strong>Adult sexual abuse of a child (2):</strong> see above</td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sexual activity between minors: see above</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incest by a woman: with her consent:</strong> (s11 SOA 1956)</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>Replace</td>
<td><strong>Familial sexual abuse (1):</strong></td>
<td>Child &lt;13: life Child &gt;13 but &lt;18: 14 years Adult: 7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing offence (paraphrased)</td>
<td>Penalty maximum</td>
<td>Recommended action</td>
<td>Proposed offence</td>
<td>Proposed penalty maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercourse with a defective;</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Replace</td>
<td>Sexual activity with a person with severe mental incapacity; where a person knows or ought to have known that the victim is severely incapacitated.</td>
<td>Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s7 SOA 1956)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual intercourse with patients; a man who is a member of staff, etc., of a hospital or mental nursing home having sexual intercourse, or committing gross indecency with a patient</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Replace and strengthen</td>
<td>Breach of a relationship of care (1): an offence for a member of staff, whether paid or unpaid, to engage in sexual relationships with a patient with a mental disorder.</td>
<td>7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s128 Mental Health Act 1956)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New</td>
<td>Breach of a relationship of care (2): an offence for a person to engage in sexual relationships with a person to whom they are providing treatment, therapy or certain care services because of their mental or physical Incapacity.</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New</td>
<td>Breach of a relationship of care (3): an offence for a person in a position of care and responsibility, whether paid or unpaid, to engage in sexual relationships with a person in residential care.</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New</td>
<td>Obtaining sex with a mentally impaired person by threat or deception:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction of woman: by force or for the sake of her property.</td>
<td>14 years</td>
<td>Replace, strengthen, apply to males</td>
<td>Abduction with intent to commit a serious sex offence:</td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s17 SOA 1956)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction of unmarried girl &lt;18; from parent or guardian</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Replace, strengthen, apply to males</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s19 SOA 1956)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction of defective; from parent or guardian.</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Replace and strengthen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s21 SOA 1956)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing offence (paraphrased)</td>
<td>Penalty maximum</td>
<td>Recommended action</td>
<td>Proposed offence</td>
<td>Proposed penalty maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Causing prostitution of women:</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Replace and apply to males</td>
<td>Commercial sexual exploitation of a child (1); recruiting, inducing or compelling a child under the age of 18 into commercial sexual exploitation (e.g., prostitution or pornography).</td>
<td>14 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s22 SOA 1956)</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Replace and strengthen; apply to boys</td>
<td>Commercial sexual exploitation of a child (2); receiving money or other reward, favour or compensation for the sexual exploitation of a child.</td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Commercial sexual exploitation of a child (3); participating in, facilitating or allowing the commercial sexual exploitation of a child.</td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Causing or encouraging prostitution of (etc) a girl under 16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S28 SOA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procuration of girl under 21: for unlawful sexual intercourse in any part of the world.</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Replace and strengthen</td>
<td>Commercial sexual exploitation of a child (1); Commercial sexual exploitation of a child (2); Commercial sexual exploitation of a child (3); as appropriate Commercial sexual exploitation of a child (4); buying sex with a child (for the gain of the child or another person) Recruiting a person into prostitution; whether or not for reward or gain. Managing or controlling; the activities of men or women who are prostitutes, for money or reward. Exploiting others; by receiving money or reward from men and women who are prostitutes.</td>
<td>14 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s23 SOA 1956)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trafckling a person for the purposes of sexual exploitation; arranging, facilitating or aiding the movement of a person from one place to another for the purposes of sexual exploitation.</td>
<td></td>
<td>14 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing offence (paraphrased)</td>
<td>Penalty maximum</td>
<td>Recommended action</td>
<td>Proposed offence</td>
<td>Proposed penalty maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Causing or encouraging prostitution: of a defective.</strong>&lt;br&gt;(s29 SOA 1956)</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Replace</td>
<td>Recruiting a person into prostitution: whether or not for reward or gain. Managing or controlling: the activities of men or women who are prostitutes, for money or reward. Exploiting others: by receiving money or reward from men and women who are prostitutes</td>
<td>7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Man living on the earnings of prostitution:</strong>&lt;br&gt;(s30 SOA 1956)</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>Replace and strengthen for pimping children</td>
<td>Commercial sexual exploitation of a child (2): receiving money or other reward, favour or compensation for the sexual exploitation of a child</td>
<td>14 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Woman exercising control over prostitute:</strong>&lt;br&gt;(s31 SOA 1956)</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>Replace</td>
<td>Commercial sexual exploitation of a child (2): receiving money or other reward, favour or compensation for the sexual exploitation of a child Commercial sexual exploitation of a child (3): participating in, facilitating or allowing the sexual exploitation of a child</td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>****</td>
<td>Replace</td>
<td>Exploiting others: by receiving money or reward from men and women who are prostitutes. Managing or controlling: the activities of men or women who are prostitutes, for money or reward.</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing offence (paraphrased)</th>
<th>Penalty maximum</th>
<th>Recommended action</th>
<th>Proposed offence</th>
<th>Proposed penalty maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Living on earnings of male prostitution:</strong></td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>Replace</td>
<td><strong>Commercial sexual exploitation of a child (2):</strong> receiving money or other reward, favour or compensation for the sexual exploitation of a child <strong>Exploiting others:</strong> by receiving money or reward from men and women who are prostitutes. <strong>Managing or controlling:</strong> the activities of men or women who are prostitutes, for money or reward.</td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s5 SOA 1967)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Solicitation by men: for immoral purposes.</strong></td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Replace with new offence similar to soliciting by a woman</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s32 SOA 1956)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. SUMMARY AND PRIORITY RECOMMENDATIONS

Summary of the 1995 report of the Commission on Children and Violence convened by the Gulbenkian Foundation in the UK.

A) **Background**

The Commission on Children and Violence was convened to review what we know about why children become violent, and the extent of violence to and by children; it was asked to make detailed recommendations for violence prevention on the basis of its review, and using the principles and standards of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child.

The Commission, convened by the Gulbenkian Foundation, met between March 1994 and May 1995, inviting written submissions and receiving evidence, advice and information from more than 400 individuals and organisations. It also used material drawn from discussions with children and young people through schools, other institutions and youth projects.

For a summary of why children become violent, see Section D.

B) **Introduction**

Nobody, surely, wants a violent society. This is an issue on which we can expect consensus. But we cannot leave it to chance. Building a non-violent society means turning the consensus into an overt and active commitment to non violence. The Commission believes that the current context of heightened concern over violence involving children makes this the right moment to promote such a commitment.

The overall aim is the creation of a safe, non-violent society for all. But the focus is on children because there is ample evidence to show that what happens in the early years, and in particular in the family and in schools, is most influential in determining attitudes to violence.

C) **Priority Recommendations**
Recommendations:

1) **Making an active commitment to non-violence**

   The Commission proposes the adoption of a commitment to non-violence, outlines ways of translating the commitment into consistent action, and sets out ideas for co-ordinating a UK-wide strategy against violence.

   a) **The commitment**

   The Commission recommends that a commitment to non-violence - which does not have to be pacifist or non-competitive - should be adopted by individuals, communities, and governments at all levels; it should be of similar standing to existing commitments to equal opportunities. The aims of the commitment are to work towards a society in which individuals, communities and government share non-violent values and resolve conflict by non-violent means. The very considerable knowledge that has accumulated on the roots of violence (summarised in Section 1 of the report) shows that building such a society involves above all reducing and preventing violence to children.

   b) **Checklists for working towards a non-violent society**

   The Commission wishes to see the consensus against violence and the commitment translated into consistent action. It therefore proposes that a series of Checklists for working towards a non-violent society should be prepared and disseminated for parents and for all those working with or for families and children, in conjunction with appropriate working groups of practitioners. The Commission has started the process by drafting the first generic checklist on parenting, included as an appendix in the report.
The Commission sees positive parenting as the foundation of a non-violent society. It minimises the chances of children experiencing violence and, consequently, minimises the likelihood that they in turn will behave violently. Furthermore, the effect should be self-perpetuating, as such children are likely to grow not only into non-violent adults, but also into positive parents in their turn.

The Commission commends the following key principles to be applied to all relationships involving the care and education of children:

i. Expectations of, and demands made on, children should realistically reflect their maturity and development.

ii. All discipline should be positive, and children should be taught pro-social values and behaviour, including in particular non-violent conflict resolution.

iii. Non-violence should be clearly and consistently preferred and promoted.

iv. Adults should take responsibility not only for protecting children from violence done to them but also for preventing violence done by them.

The Commission recognises that any programme which contributes to timely and realistic preparation for parenthood, to practical and emotional support for parents and carers, and to opportunities for optimal health and development for all children, is relevant to prevention of violence.
More specifically, the Commission believes that programmes, and the services which produce them, must be reviewed from an anti-violence perspective: focusing on what is being done or could be done within the programme to prevent and combat violence, and to replace it with positively non-violent attitudes, aspirations and behaviour.

c) Co-ordinating a UK-wide strategy against violence

Working towards a non-violent society should become the first priority of community safety strategies at all levels of government, led by an inter-departmental ministerial group and reflected in regional and local co-ordination. A key segment of such strategies should be to reduce and prevent violence involving children.

i. Local co-ordination - community safety strategies: The government should introduce legislation to make the formation and implementation of a community safety strategy a statutory duty of local authorities, setting out the duty in such a way as to encourage an inter-agency, community-based approach.

Working towards a non-violent society should be a key aim for local community safety strategies, involving a particular focus on reducing and preventing violence involving children. Local strategies should set out ways of ensuring co-ordination of relevant preventive work including local planning, child protection, prevention of family violence, suicide prevention, accident prevention, prevention of sport violence, and victim support and rehabilitation.
ii. Children's services plans: The Commission welcomes the decision of the Department of Health to require local authorities to prepare and publish plans for their children's services and to monitor their implementation. These plans should be comprehensive, rather than limited to children in need, and should include proposals for assessing, reducing and preventing violence involving children.

iii. Voluntary neighbourhood schemes: Appropriate voluntary organisations should come together to review any existing voluntary initiatives in the UK or other countries which aim to reduce and prevent violence involving children, and to consider how best to encourage the development of voluntary and volunteer neighbourhood initiatives including initiatives involving children within the overall framework of community safety strategies.

iv. Principles for implementation: The Commission emphasises that all initiatives aiming to reduce and prevent violence should:

# encourage children’s active participation: children's views should be sought and given careful consideration, and their active participation should be promoted.

This and other principles in the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child should be used as a framework for policy development.
challenge discrimination: the violent victimisation of particular groups in society should be recognised, and protection from violence and prevention of violence should be sought without discrimination.

d) **Promoting respect for children's rights**

At a broader level, the Commission strongly supports the proposal for an independent statutory office of Children's Rights Commissioner, to promote children's rights and interests at government level and to encourage co-ordination of policies affecting children.
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2. **MORE RECOMMENDATIONS**

1) **Legal reforms**

The Commission makes various recommendations for legal reform, some of which are summarised below, designed in particular to remove any legal tolerance for any level of violence to children, and to ensure that responses to violent behaviour contribute to violence prevention, rather than to the problem of violence.

a) **Physical punishment and deliberate humiliation of children**

The current common law defence of 'reasonable chastisement' in so far as it justifies physical punishment or other humiliating treatment or punishment should be removed for the purposes of both criminal and civil proceedings.
The Commission favours removing the concept of 'reasonable chastisement' altogether and replacing it with parental duties to guide and safeguard their children according to their evolving capacities, in conformity with the UN Convention.

b) Parental responsibility for children

Laws concerning the upbringing of children in all jurisdictions should provide a definition of parental responsibility, and of parental rights necessary to exercise responsibility.

c) The criminal justice system

Rehabilitation, and necessary protection of the public from serious harm, should be the sole aims of the criminal justice system for under 18-year olds. It should be reviewed to ensure full compliance with the UN Convention and relevant UN instruments.

d) Bullying

Responsible government departments should require all institutional settings for children and young people to implement policies for preventing bullying.

e) Guns and weapons

The law on possession and use of firearms including air guns by children should be reviewed and simplified.

2) Support and services for children and families

Inequality, discrimination and lack of appropriate support and services for children and families all increase the potential for violence. The Commission therefore adds the perspective of violence prevention to the case for the following reforms:

a) Challenging inequality and poverty
The Commission recommends urgent and concerted action to challenge the extent and growth of child poverty in the UK. Economic and taxation levels should seek to reduce inequality and ensure that the basic benefit levels for those dependent on benefit match the actual costs of children.

b) **Family support**

The **Government** should review arrangements for supporting working parents in order to establish rights to:

i. paid paternal leave for either parent following maternity leave;

ii. paid paternity leave and job re-instatement following birth or adoption;

iii. paid family leave for illness and other emergencies;

iv. grants or loans for new parents for appropriate equipment to prevent home accidents

**Local authorities** should be encouraged to develop integrated support services for families, and a comprehensive information and advice service on community resources.

i. Early years care and education: The daycare review duty placed on local authorities should ensure that all authorities develop costed action plans for the provision of good quality daycare for all who seek it. The Commission adds the violence prevention perspective to the case for rapidly expanding pre-school education.
ii. Schools and education service: Education services and schools should adopt the commitment to non-violence and adapt and apply the checklists; non-violence should be recognised as a priority within the curriculum.

iii. Children's opportunities for play and leisure: There should be central and local co-ordination of policy on play leisure opportunities for children, and adequate funding to take account of the special needs of children and the proportion of the overall population which they form.

iv. Health services: The Department of Health should recognise that violence is a serious public health problem, and mount a new programme of prevention, based on research. The crucial surveillance and education role of health visitors should be protected and made available throughout the UK. Similarly, the school nursing service should be expanded appropriately to cover all schools.

v. Mental health services: Within a comprehensive mental health service, primary care professionals should receive consultation, training and support in the identification and first line management of children with violent behavioural problems. If children have not responded to primary care management, facilities at the secondary level for assessment and treatment should be available within six weeks for all children showing significant violent behaviour, especially those with conduct disorders.
Parents and teachers should have the opportunity to consult secondary care professional staff, even if the children themselves do not wish to be seen.

Mental health services should develop collaborative work with other organisations in the community to provide a comprehensive community-based treatment service.

**vi. Victims of violence:** All child victims of violence should be offered appropriate assessment to determine what measures are needed to promote recovery and social integration. Sufficient resources must be available for necessary rehabilitation. Compensation should be available to child victims of violence, taking full account of physical and psychological harm. Awards of compensation should not be dependent on prosecution of perpetrators.

**vii. 'Domestic' or family violence:** All organisations concerned with violence within close relationships should consider the safety and welfare of children at all times, including episodes in which violence occurs only between adults.

3) **Other issues**

a) **Alcohol and substance abuse**

Prices of alcohol should be increased through higher taxation to provide a real disincentive for children. Those involved in alcohol production, distribution, marketing and sales should be encouraged to adopt the commitment to non-violence and to develop clear guidelines and
voluntary controls to prevent alcohol abuse by children, as well as ensuring that legislative controls are rigorously enforced.

b) **Child death enquiries**

As a matter of urgency, the government should set up a UK-wide review of law, policy and practice relating to child deaths (birth to 14).

c) **Suicide and self-harm**

The Government's Health Target relating to suicide should be amended to include a particular focus on reducing suicide and attempted suicide among young people.

d) **Children and the violent conflict in Northern Ireland**

On the basis of expert advice, the Government should develop a comprehensive strategy and make available appropriate resources to enable individuals and communities within Northern Ireland to address the effects of violent conflicts on children.

e) **Violent images and violence involving children**

All media accessible to children should seek to realise the huge potential of the media for promoting pro-social behaviour and non-violent conflict resolution and discouraging inter-personal violence.

On television, the evening watershed should be rigorously observed on all channels and clearly explained to viewers in all programme guides. A code should be developed for the coverage of violent events by news and documentary programmes. Similarly, there should be explicit guidance to counter any encouragement of violence in sport coverage.
All relevant bodies should ensure accurate information is available on programmes, and on videos and other materials and their packaging, which indicate clearly the content, and enable children, parents and other carers to exercise informed control over viewing/listening.

All teachers and schools should seek increased critical understanding by pupils of the new communications technologies: the importance of this should be reflected in arrangements for the curriculum throughout the UK.
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3. WHY CHILDREN BECOME VIOLENT

If we want to reduce violence involving children, we need to know as much as possible about the development of violent attitudes in children and what triggers their involvement in violent actions. The Commission reviewed international research and commentaries which are summarised in Section 1 of the report.

In particular, available research on child development disproves the theory - still popular in some quarters - of the original 'badness' of children.

Research into antecedents of violence cannot identify 'causes' beyond doubt, but it can identify risk factors, and make judgements about the relative significance of these factors.

Many factors are involved and their interaction is complex. The most
potent of the risk factors are clearly sited in childhood and within the family, and are amenable to change. The best predictor of violence in adulthood is violent behaviour in childhood. But that an individual child becomes violent is never inevitable; families can and often do provide the security and love necessary to 'protect' children - even high-risk children - from becoming violent.

Violence is overwhelmingly a male problem, and the roots for this appear to be primarily social rather than biological, highlighting the inadequacies of current socialisation of male children, and the promotion of macho male attitudes and models in society.

There is no good evidence of specific genetic causation of violence by children, but a predisposition to violence may vary depending partly on an individual's temperament. Certain conditions affecting brain function may result in an increased risk of violent behaviour - but the numbers involved are very small, and even with such children, positive parenting can ameliorate the potential for violence. Genetic and social influences are inextricably intertwined. From the earliest age, how a child behaves will determine their relationships with others and how they are treated, by parents and other adults, by siblings and other children, and by teachers.

Most of the risk factors for violence are the same as those for delinquency. Very substantial research evidence highlights negative, violent and humiliating forms of discipline as significant in the development of violent attitudes and actions from a very early age. Effects of family structure and break-up are indirect, and can be mediated through the quality of the parenting process. Inadequate monitoring and supervision of children can be crucial in the realisation of a potential for violence.

The extent to which a society condones violence influences the values of individuals within it. In the UK today there are ambivalent attitudes to
violence, and in particular to violence towards children. Physical punishment and deliberate humiliation remain common and legally and socially acceptable. There is ambivalence too towards violence in sport, and adults and children show an appetite - possibly an increased appetite - for violent images, which some commercial interests do not hesitate to feed and exploit.

Economic and environmental deprivation are powerful stress factors which make it more difficult to be an effective parent: in the UK there has been a massive increase in the numbers and proportion of children living in poverty. Prejudice and discrimination can exacerbate economic inequality and poverty and increase stress. Levels of violence tend to be highest in countries with the sharpest inequalities.

School experiences undoubtedly influence children's behaviour, but it is difficult to determine their significance and whether it can be separated from factors operating in the family. Thus while much bullying occurs in schools, the principal identified factors contributing to the development of bullying are found in the child and the family.

The connection between mental illness and violence is also complex. A small proportion - perhaps 5 to 10 per cent - of adults and children involved in serious acts of violence will be classified as showing some form of mental disorder; a further significant proportion will have personality disorders.

Alcohol and substance abuse and violence may result from common factors. A high proportion of young people and adults who are victims and perpetrators of violence have been drinking alcohol. But again the connection is complex rather than direct.

The extent of violent images in the media is a reflection and a part of a violent culture; that a heavy diet of violent images does nothing positive for child development is agreed, though the evidence that many
children in the UK have such a diet is disputed. There is a weighty body of psychological opinion internationally which believes that higher levels of viewing violent images are correlated with increased acceptance of aggressive attitudes and increased aggressive behaviour, and may de-sensitise society, and children in particular, to violence. But these views are strongly challenged by others who suggest that the analysis, and attempts to isolate such effects, are flawed. [See, however, subsequent international reviews of research supporting the connection between media violence and aggression in children.]

Return to Why Children Become Violent

"Children and Violence", the report of the Commission on Children and Violence, convened by the Gulbenkian Foundation, was published by the Foundation in November 1995. The report is available from Turnaround Distribution Ltd, 27 Horsell Road, London N5 1XL, England, price £10.95 plus £2 post and packing in the UK (Contact by telephone to establish international post costs, on 44-171-609-7836].

Printed copies of the Summary of the report are available from Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation, 98 Portland Place, London W1N 4ET, England.
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Participants describe a world where every child is loved and cared for, and where children are treated with respect. The focus of our work is to promote this.
The Department for Work and Pensions has established a tripartite consultation group to monitor the implementation of ILO Convention 182 on the Elimination of the Worst Forms of Child Labour which the UK ratified in 2000. The group comprises officials from relevant Government Departments and representatives from the UK's Trades Union Congress and the Confederation of British Industry.

Below are copies of the UK's first and second reports to the ILO on Convention 182, including the UK Programme of Action on Child Labour, and Convention 138 (on Minimum Age for Employment).

REPORT

For the period 22 March 2000 to 31 May 2002 made by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland in accordance with Article 22 of the Constitution of the International Labour Organisation on measures taken to give effect to the provisions of the

WORST FORMS OF CHILD LABOUR CONVENTION 1999 (No 182)

ratification of which was registered on 22 March 2000

Part 1 – Legislation

i) General

A list of the legislation, regulations and good practice which relate to the application of the Convention and which are enclosed with this report is attached at Appendix A.

ii) Laws and regulations which apply the provisions of the Convention in regard to Article 3

Article 3(a)

- Sections of the Sexual Offences Act 1956, in particular sections 30 (man living on the earnings of prostitution) and 31 (woman exercising control over a prostitute) have been used to prosecute people involved in the trafficking of women and children. Section 3 of the same Act (procurement of a woman by false pretences or false representations to have sexual intercourse in any part of the world) may also be used.

- In addition, Section 25(1) of the Immigration Act 1971, as amended by section 5 of the Asylum and Immigration Appeals Act 1996 provides for the prosecution of anyone knowingly concerned in making or carrying out arrangements for securing or facilitating illegal entry, or knowingly assist someone to obtain leave to remain by means which include deception. The maximum penalty for facilitating il-
legal entry is currently 10 years imprisonment. We are proposing to increase it to 14 years in the Nationality, Immigration and Asylum Bill.

- Existing kidnap, extortion, rape, theft, etc. offences.

**Article 3(b)**

- A person buying sexual services from children below the age of sexual consent (16) might be prosecuted under sections 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, 14, 15, or 20 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 (for unlawful sexual intercourse, rape, sexual assault, etc) as appropriate; or section 1 of the Indecency with Children Act 1960.
- Sections 22, 25, 26, 28, 30 and 31 of the Sexual Offences Act 1956 criminalise various aspects of the procuring or offering of children for prostitution.
- Under present law, making child pornography, (defined as an indecent photograph or pseudo-photograph of a child) is an offence under section 1 of the Protection of Children Act 1978. This quite apart from the other offences against the child's person which may have been committed in order to make the images. The maximum penalty for making pornography is 10 years and a fine may be awarded as well.
- Offering or procuring offences might be dealt with by means of charges of conspiracy to commit the offence, in which case the same penalty would apply. In some cases an incitement offence might be committed even if there was no conspiracy.
- Distribution of or possession with intent to distribute child pornography in the form of text, cartoons etc. is covered by the Obscene Publications Act 1959. The maximum penalty is three years.

**A list of the penalties applicable by virtue of those laws and (where possible) of the extent to which they have been used:**

- Existing offences in the Sexual Offences Act 1956:
  - Life imprisonment: 1, 5, 10 (victim under 13) 25,
  - Ten years imprisonment sections 14, 15,
  - Seven years imprisonment: sections 10 (victim over 13), 11, 30 and 31
  - Two years imprisonment : sections 6, 20, 22, 26, 28.
  - section 1 of the Protection of Children Act 1978: 10 years and/or a fine.
  - the Obscene Publications Act 1959: 3 years.
  - New offence of trafficking for the purposes of controlling someone in prostitution, in the Nationality, Immigration and Asylum Bill currently before Parliament: maximum penalty 14 years.

There are currently no statistics on how many children are involved in prostitution in the UK.

We cannot differentiate other than anecdotally between cases of "making" child pornography which involve downloading from the internet, and those which involve the original making and physical abuse, so figures of cases heard in the courts would be misleading. Substantive charges of offences against the person would be laid where possible.
Article 3 (c)

A (non exhaustive) list of the national laws and regulations which apply

- The Customs and Excise Management Act 1979
- The Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984
- The Children and Young Persons Act 1969
- The Children Act 1989
- Misuse of Drugs Act 1971
- The Drug trafficking Act 1994

iii) Other legislation

Web links to the Working Time Regulations, which provide working time and night work protection and entitlements to rest, paid annual leave and health assessments for adolescents are as follows:

http://www2.dti.gov.uk/er/work_time_regs/wtregsi.htm

The Regulations implement certain provision of the European Young Workers Directive and the Working Time Directive, both of which are below:


http://europa.eu.int/smartapi/cgi/sga_doc?smartapi!celexapi!prod!CELEXnumdoc&lg=EN&numdoc=31993L0104&model=guichet

The Education Act 1996, which gives power to Local Education Authorities to prohibit or restrict employment of children, where the employment of the child is prejudicial to his/her health (and to render them unfit to obtain the full benefit of the education provided).

The Protection of Young People at Work Directive (Section1, Article 1) under which 'Member States shall ensure that young people are protected against economic exploitation and against any work likely to harm their safety, health or physical, mental, moral or social development or to jeopardize their education'.

Part II

Article 1 – General Overview

1. As described in this report, UK law and practice, over a range of policy areas, provides measures to secure the prohibition and elimination of the worst forms of child labour. Various government departments and agencies are involved in related policy development and implementation. Implementation of the Convention will be monitored in consultation with the TUC and CBI.
2. For the future, as described in this report, the UK also proposes to introduce new legislation which may impact significantly upon the application of the Convention eg to strengthen the law against trafficking for sexual exploitation and labour exploitation.

Article 3 – Measures taken to prohibit the worst forms of child labour

(a) slavery, or similar practices such as sale and trafficking, debt bondage and servitude, forced or compulsory labour, forced or compulsory recruitment of children for use in armed conflict.

Legislation

3. Trafficking in slaves in the United Kingdom is prohibited under the Slave Trade Acts of 1824, 1843, and 1873. The UK is committed to ensuring that stronger measures are in place to penalise people who traffic in human beings. We have introduced a new offence of trafficking for the purposes of controlling someone in prostitution in the Nationality, Asylum and Immigration Bill which was introduced on 12 April and is currently before Parliament. The maximum penalty is of 14 years’ imprisonment.

4. We have signed the Protocol on Trafficking to the United Nations Convention on Transnational Organised Crime and have negotiated an EU Framework Decision, on trafficking in human beings for the purposes of labour or sexual exploitation. The offence of trafficking for prostitution mentioned above is a stopgap measure to address this serious form of exploitation in advance of the introduction of more comprehensive legislation to reform sex offence laws. We will also be legislating to introduce an offence of trafficking for the most severe forms of labour exploitation as soon as parliamentary time permits.

5. The Government is also supportive of the EU Framework Decision on Combating the sexual exploitation of children and child pornography. It aims to harmonise criminal law and sanctions on the production, distribution and possession of child pornography; engaging in sexual activities with a child; and the involvement of children in prostitution. In September 2001 the Government published The National Plan for Safeguarding Children from Commercial Sexual Exploitation. The National Plan forms an important part of the Government’s drive to improve safeguards for children – those who are induced or coerced into unlawful sexual activities for the commercial advantage of others. It explains what the Government, the devolved administrations and partner agencies are doing and planning to do to protect this most vulnerable group of children, looking at both UK-based and international sexual exploitation of children.

Enforcement

6. In May 2000, we set up Project Reflex, a practical multi-agency taskforce on organised immigration crime, which includes people trafficking. Led by the National Crime Squad (NCS), Reflex brings together all the key agencies involved in combating the problem, including the Immigration Service, the National Criminal Intelli-
gence Service, the security and intelligence agencies and key police forces including the Met, Kent and the British Transport Police.

6. Under Reflex, we have set up a groundbreaking joint operational unit comprising National Crime Squad and Immigration Service officers. Its three main tasks are to develop intelligence leads for the operational arm, to carry out surveillance and to provide legal assistance to investigations with a view to future prosecutions. It is providing a significant new capability to respond to trafficking for sexual exploitation.

7. The UK is also contributing to the EU STOP Programme, which provides support to Member State organisations responsible for action against the trade in human beings and the sexual exploitation of children. A European Council decision of 28 June 2001 established a second phase of this programme (STOP II).

Victims

8. In co-operation with the voluntary sector, we are looking at how we will offer the victims of trafficking particular support so that they can escape their circumstances and, in certain cases, help law enforcement against organised criminals. We are also considering whether it would be appropriate to allow them to remain here. Where they are willing to come forward to the authorities, we are looking at making special arrangements for their protection.

9. Where they are to return home, we are looking at how we will assist them to do so, provide them with initial counselling, ensure that they have suitable accommodation to return to, and help them to reintegrate into their own community and find employment.

10. We are also developing a best practice guide on trafficking, which would be a guide for immigration officers, police and others potentially dealing with trafficking. In particular, it will raise awareness of the difference between trafficking and smuggling, and help those concerned to treat trafficking victims fairly.

Prevention

11. The Government has recognised the need to address human trafficking from the prevention end. The UK is one of the strongest supporters of the International Labour Organisation's (ILO) Convention on the Elimination of the Worst Forms of Child Labour. We have also ratified the key international instruments such as the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, European Convention on Human Rights, UN Slavery Convention. We are promoting their widest possible ratification and supporting their practical implementation to achieve real change. (see section under Article 8)

Evidence of the involvement of children

12. Forming an accurate estimate of the levels of trafficking in people is problematic due to the hidden nature of the act and there is currently no accurate, reliable data in existence within the UK or the EU. There is some evidence of trafficking in
children to Western Europe but limited evidence that this is a major problem within the UK. We are not complacent because this is a terrible crime and we are always interested in any new intelligence and are very keen to develop this.

13. A Home Office research study 'Stopping Traffic' published in 2000, indicated that although there was intelligence to suggest that some women and children are trafficked (estimated at between 140 and 1,400 p/a) into the UK for the purposes of sexual exploitation, it was impossible to make an accurate assessment of numbers, but there was no evidence to suggest that this was on a large scale compared with other European countries.

14. The police investigate brothels in Soho every six months, a location in which they would expect to find evidence of trafficking of children for prostitution, if it were occurring. However, the Police have not informed the Home Office of young children working in brothels in the United Kingdom. The protection of children is their highest priority. If they came across children in these situations, they would take immediate action to protect them. Children found working as prostitutes would be taken into care whether they were British or from abroad.

15. The last conscript left the UK’s Armed Forces in 1962, since which time recruitment has been solely from volunteers. Consequently, with regard to the armed forces, the UK does not forcibly or compulsory recruit children under the age of 18 years.

(b) Use, procuring or offering of a child for prostitution, the production of pornography, or pornographic performances.

Prostitution:

Action / reform under way:

16. The British Government is looking to legislate as soon as Parliamentary time allows on the following offences

Compelling sexual acts: compelling another person to commit a penetrative sexual act on themselves, another person or an animal - Life

Commercial sexual exploitation of a child: buying sex with a child aged under 13 (for the gain of the child or another person) - Life

Commercial sexual exploitation of a child : buying sex with a child aged 13-15 (for the gain of the child or another person) - 14 years

Commercial sexual exploitation of a child (1): recruiting, inducing or compelling a child under 18 into commercial sexual exploitation (e.g. prostitution or pornography) - 14 years

Commercial sexual exploitation of a child (2): receiving money or other reward, favour or compensation for the sexual exploitation of a child - 14 years
Commercial sexual exploitation of a child (3): participating in, facilitating or allowing the commercial sexual exploitation of a child - 14 years

Commercial sexual exploitation of a child (4): buying sex with a child aged 16-17 (for the gain of the child or another person) - 7 years

To control (in whole or in part) the activities of men and women who are prostitutes, whether or not the offence is committed for reward or gain - 7 years

To recruit men or women into prostitution whether or not for reward or gain - 7 years

17. The Government is very concerned about the involvement of children and young people in prostitution. It recognises that the majority of these children and young people are the victims of coercion or abuse. The Government's aim is to prevent and deter children from entering, or staying in, prostitution, and it believes that a multi-agency approach – with children’s welfare as the prime concern – is the best way to achieve this. One of the key areas for future action in the National Plan for Safeguarding Children from Commercial Sexual Exploitation is to ensure the effective implementation of the Government’s Safeguarding Children Involved in Prostitution guidance, which was published in June 2000 and aimed at all agencies that come into contact with children involved in, or at risk of becoming involved in, prostitution. In order to meet this National Plan objective, the Department of Health commissioned a study to review how the guidance is being implemented across the country and its impact. The report of the review was published in June 2002. We think that the report will help Area Child Protection Committees (ACPC) to think through the issues. We also think that it will encourage much networking between ACPCs which are new to the issue and those which are leading in the field.

18. The Home Office crime reduction programme is also funding four projects that work with children and young people who are either at risk of or actively engaged in sex work and sexual exploitation.

**Pornography:**

**Present**

19. The maximum penalty for making pornography is 10 years imprisonment and a fine may be awarded as well.

20. Offering or procuring offences might be dealt with by means of charges of conspiracy to commit the offence, in which case the same penalty would apply. In some cases an incitement offence might be committed even if there was no conspiracy.

21. Distribution of or possession with intent to distribute child Pornography in the form of text, cartoons etc. is covered by the Obscene Publications Act 1959. The maximum penalty is three years.

**Action Taken.**
22. The penalties under the Protection of Children Act for making child pornography were increased from 3 to 10 years in January 2001.

23. The Government have increased the resources available to the police to investigate "High Tech" crime, including computer pornography, by £20m over three years.

24. The Government have established the Task Force on Child Protection on the Internet, which involves the internet industry, children's charities, law enforcement and policy makers. The Task Force is considering, amongst other aspects, the adequacy of the criminal law to protect children on the internet, information and public awareness, and the particular risks of systems such as Chat and messaging, and children's use of the web. The Task Force is also looking at the problems caused by SPAM (Unsolicited e-mails).

(c) use, procuring or offering of a child for illicit activities, in particular for the production and trafficking of drugs as defined in the relevant international treaties

25. A definition of "drug trafficking offence(s)" is contained in Section 1(3) of the Drug Trafficking Act 1994. Import offences are usually dealt with under Section 170 of the Customs and Excise Management Act 1979, which makes it an offence to be knowingly concerned with evasion of the import prohibition on drugs. There is no separate offence of using a child for smuggling. The courts will take into account all the circumstances when sentencing.

26. When arrested Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 will apply to those under 17, i.e. they will require the provision of an Appropriate Adult when interviewed by Customs. This would be the responsibility of the local Youth Offending Team.

27. If charged and brought before the court then the local YOT would also be responsible for doing a Bail ASSET assessment. This would involve looking at whether there are objections to bail being granted, and whether there are any services that can be offered which would prevent bail being denied. A crucial factor in this is whether or not the young person has any community ties in the UK. If they have no family in the UK then it is unlikely that there would be a suitable bail address for them to be bailed to; even if they do have family in the UK bail may still be opposed due to the seriousness of the offence, risk of failure to surrender and likely sentence if convicted.

28. For a young person under 17 the court may then wish to consider a Remand to Local Authority Accommodation (Children and Young Persons Act 1969 s 23) rather than a remand to custody. In this situation the young person becomes Looked After by the local Social Services department (Children Act 1989 s 20), who are responsible for finding a suitable placement (usually in a children's home or foster placement) and the Looked After Children Regulations apply.

29. It is also conceivable that the court may grant Conditional Bail with a condition to reside where directed by the local authority. This would be dependent upon the
Social Services department agreeing to accommodate the young person under s 20 Children Act, and again the LAC regulations would apply.

30. The Children Act 1989 sets out local authority responsibilities towards children in need (and defines such children) in Part 3 (sections 17 to 30) and on child protection in Part 5 (sections 43 to 52).

31. If a young person (over 15 years old) is remanded to custody (CYPA 1969 s 23) then they are deemed not to be looked after, and the only agency with any responsibility is the local YOT who will need to provide a service to that young person according to YJB National Standards. Those under 15 years of age can be remanded to local authority accommodation or secure local authority accommodation.

32. The YOT will retain a casework responsibility for young people in all the above situations throughout the criminal hearing, including the preparation and presentation of a PSR. This will involve liaison with all other agencies, including overseas social work agencies, in order to gather background information.

33. If at the conclusion of the criminal proceedings the young person is found guilty and sentenced to a custodial sentence (DTO) then the YOT will be responsible for working with the custodial facility on sentence planning. It could be the case that a custodial sentence is not imposed and the young person is deported, in which case Immigration would become involved.

34. The recent introduction of electronic monitoring on early release of those subject to Detention and Training Orders (Powers of the Criminal Court (Sentencing) Act 2000) has implications for foreign nationals with no residence in this country. Early release can only be granted if the young person is going to live at an address where electronic monitoring is possible. Young people from overseas will normally be deported on their release from custody and so cannot be electronically monitored and are therefore not eligible for early release.

35. This is not an exhaustive exploration of the various situations that may apply to this group of young people (e.g. we recently had a case where a young woman had to be detained by customs in hospital until she had passed all the packages which had been ingested even though she had already been remanded into local authority accommodation by the court). It is extremely complex. However this only covers children between the ages of 10 – 17 years, the age of criminal responsibility being 10.

36. Investigative bodies would, in such cases, be expected to refer the child to Social Services, who would make an assessment of the child’s needs under s17 of the Children Act 1989 and respond appropriately.

37. Guidance on protecting children is in Working Together to Safeguard Children - a guide to interagency working to safeguard and promote the welfare of children.

38. Guidance on assessing the needs of children is in The Framework for the Assessment of Children in Need and their Families.
39. Both these are available from www.doh.gov.uk/qualityprotects (look in the section on publications).

A list of the penalties applicable by virtue of those laws and (where possible) of the extent to which they have been used:

**Maximum Penalties: The Customs and Excise Management Act 1979**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class A</th>
<th>6 months and/or fine up to £5,000 or 3x value of goods whichever is greater</th>
<th>Life and/or unlimited fine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>6 months and/or fine up to £5,000 or 3x value of goods whichever is greater</td>
<td>14 years and/or unlimited fine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>3 months and/or fine up to £500 or 3x value of goods whichever is greater</td>
<td>5 years and/or unlimited fine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Offences against CEMA are triable either way.

Other actions including link with other organisations national/local government or NGOs

40. Police, local social services and YOTs would be involved. Social services may consider liaising with international social services to identify the child's next of kin, records etc.

Official documents, including inspection reports, studies and inquiries into the relevant areas;

41. No HMCE document but see World Customs Organisation report on international drug trafficking; Customs and Drugs 2000 – which has a brief report on the use of children in smuggling.

42. The needs of children involved in drug trafficking is taken into account in The Home Office general guidance to police in respect of action to disrupt supply. Police are encouraged to ensure that their operations safeguard the needs of children of dealers and that issues related to their care are considered when conducting operations.

43. No specific guidance has been produced to date to encourage Police to pro-actively identify children involved in trafficking. As part of a general range of support material for police forces to improve their response to the supply of illegal drugs, The Home Office will ensure during 2002-3 that specific reference is included regarding the need to work to reduce the numbers of children involved in trafficking through arrest and publicity of the risks involved in trafficking.
Evidence of children's involvement.

44. Customs do not have statistics on the number of cases where minors have been used as cover for smuggling or to carry and conceal the goods. In many such cases charges will only be brought against the adults involved, or no charges may be brought at all. The use of children in this way, particularly by traffickers who think that family groups will attract less attention, is unfortunately nothing new. Recent detections on unaccompanied minors are not thought to indicate a trend in this direction. No one is exempt from Customs' checks and there is no risk free method of smuggling.

45. The Department of Health does not keep separate statistics for minors involved in trafficking.

(d) work which, by which its nature or the circumstances in which it is carried out, is likely to harm the health, safety or morals of children.

46. The Children and Young Persons Act 1933, as amended, governs the employment of children and young people below the minimum school leaving age (MSLA). In Scotland, similar protection is afforded by the Children and Young Persons (Scotland) Act 1937. The legislation provides the necessary authority for local authorities to introduce byelaws governing child employment. Local authority byelaws contain more detailed inclusions and exclusions to the range of work that young people below the minimum school leaving age may undertake. Such byelaws must be confirmed by the Secretary of State before coming into force. The principal legislation and supporting byelaws protect the health, safety and morals of children below the MSLA by prescribing the type of work and number of hours in which they may be employed. As the legislation requires that each child must have a permit before undertaking employment and these are issued on an individual basis each child's particular circumstances and looked at individually. The legislation provides that while no child below the minimum school leaving age is required to work, should they wish to do so, they may not do so for more than

a) a maximum of 2 hours on school days, only one of which may be before the start of school
b) a maximum of 2 hours on Sundays
c) a maximum of 12 hours per week, in term time
d) a maximum of 5 hours (if aged under 15) or 8 hours (if 15 or over) on Saturdays and weekdays during school holidays, subject to an overall limit of 25 hours (if under 15) or 35 hours (if 15 and over) a week in the school holidays

nor they may they,

a) before they are 13 years of age
b) for more than one hour before the start of school
c) during school hours
d) before 7:00am or after 7:00pm
e) for more than four hours without a break of at least one hour
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f) throughout the year. They must have a break of at least two weeks free from school during the school holidays

g) without a permit issued by the local authority

h) in any industrial undertaking e.g. factory, building site etc.

i) in occupations prohibited by local bye-laws or in other legislation (e.g. in pubs or betting shops), or in any work which is likely to be harmful to their health, well-being or education

Similar restrictions apply in Northern Ireland except for some minor variations in the maximum permitted hours of employment.

47. As each child must have a work permit when undertaking employment and the applications for such permits are detailed, a permit would not be issued unless the issuing officer, usually an education welfare officer of the local authority, was satisfied that there was no risk to the child’s health, safety and moral welfare. The same principle applies to the issue of a performance licences, for children that may be involved in a performance, modelling or sporting activity. Each child subject to a performance licence must be accompanied by a parent, guardian or approved chaperone throughout their time at a place of performance or rehearsal. This person, identified in the application for a performance licence is responsible for all aspects of the child’s welfare for the duration of the assignment.

48. The Employment of Women, Children, and Young Persons Act 1920 (Annex 1) prohibits the employment of children of compulsory school age (CSA) in any industrial undertaking except in certain circumstances on approved work experience programmes. Industrial undertakings include mines and quarries, manufacturing industry, construction and the transport of passengers or goods by road, rail or inland waterways. There are limitations on the sorts of work which students can experience, including those laid down in local bye-laws. Trainees and students, including children, on work experience are regarded in health and safety law as employees.

49. Work likely to jeopardise the health and safety of young people below the age of 18 years is determined through the special measures on assessment of risks to their health and safety introduced by the European Council Directive 94/33/EC on the protection of young people at work (the “Young Workers’ Directive”) (Annex 2). The health and safety provisions of the Directive are implemented in the UK through the Management of Health and Safety Regulations 1999 (Management Regulations) (Annex 3) and corresponding Northern Ireland regulations. There is additional specific regulation by age in areas of significant risk e.g. relating to lead or ionising radiation and some high risks sectors are dealt with through Approved Codes of Practice which have a special legal status.

50. The Management Regulations require employers to assess the risks to young people taking into account that they are likely to be inexperienced, physically or mentally immature and unaware of health and safety risks; and put in place measures to control the risks which will remove or reduce them to the lowest possible level. The risk assessment must also take into account specific factors includ-

10. Up to the age of 16 years (may be just before or just after the 16th birthday)

ing the risks from specific agents, processes and work listed in the Annex to the Young Workers Directive. The outcome of the assessment determines whether young people can be permitted do the work.

51. The Management Regulations contain a list specific work which is prohibited for young people (Regulation 19(2)). Under no circumstances can children of compulsory school age undertake this work. Young people under 18 years but above compulsory school age can do this type of work only under special circumstances (Regulation 19(3)):

- where the work is necessary for their training;
- under proper supervision by a competent person; and
- providing the risks are reduced to the lowest level, so far as is reasonably practicable.

Measures to promote understanding and compliance regarding young people at work

52. Employers' duties in this regard are explained in guidance, for example "Young people at work. A guide for employers". The Approved Code of Practice and guidance publication "Management of health and safety at Work. Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999" also provides employers with advice on how to comply with the law, including the provisions for young people. Where appropriate, Approved Codes of Practice on specific topics e.g. woodworking and agriculture give advice on responsibilities towards young people. (See enclosed publications)

53. There is considerable Inter-Department and agency working to raise awareness of the protections introduced by the Young Workers Directive among all stakeholders involved in training and work experience programmes for young people. The guidance “Managing health and safety on work experience. A guide for organisers” emphasises the duties for all key players, including employers who provide work placements (enclosed).

54. The Department for Education and Skills (DfES) commissioned a study on the supervision of learners and has subsequently issued Good Practice guidance to Providers and Employers. In addition DfES has encouraged the LSC to consider the adoption of the concept of a "safe learner", where currently research on the characteristics associated with safe learners is being conducted.

55. In Great Britain, HSE aims to reach young people through various initiatives, e.g.:

- an important programme of work to develop risk management as an important life-skill for young people in the educational system (see below);
- influencing vocational courses and qualifications (particularly where risks are identified in particular sectors such as woodworking) (Annex 4);
- qualitative research into the most effective means of communicating health and safety messages to young people
56. Enforcement Officers routinely give employers advice on meeting the relevant requirements of the Management Regulations during visits whether young people are in paid work or on work experience schemes.

57. Any relevant HSE initiatives would normally be mirrored in Northern Ireland.

58. The Government has also introduced Health and Safety and Risk Awareness into the National Curriculum. This will be supplemented by guidance for teachers, which will be issued later this year and which will help them to prepare young people for work experience and the world of work, and make them more aware of their rights as workers.

Article 4

i) Types of work which is likely to harm health, safety or morals

59. A list of work covered under Article 3 (d), agreed after consultation with the TUC and CBI, is attached at Annex B. The list will be periodically reviewed in consultation with TUC and CBI.

ii) Identifying where such work exists

60. The provisions of the Young Workers Directive, relating to hazards, assessments of the risks to health and safety and the prevention and protection measures in respect of young people under 18 years were introduced through amendment to the Management Regulations which implemented EC Framework Directive (89/391/EEC) on health and safety. This means that young people have specific protection in addition to the general protection for all workers. There is specific regulation in identified areas of significant risk e.g. relating to lead or ionising radiation and agriculture.

61. There is continuous identification of whether work is likely to harm the health and safety of those below 18 years as a result of the rigorous requirements introduced by the Directive. Employers have specific duties in assessing the risks to young people before they start work and the results of this assessment will determine whether the work can be allowed. There are also particular risks which young people cannot be exposed to (see Paragraphs 7-10 above).

62. Both sides of UK industry were consulted on the implementation of the health and safety aspects of the Young Workers Directive. The relevant provisions were also subject to more recent consultation with e.g. trade unions and representative bodies of enterprises of all sizes when the Management Regulations were last reviewed and updated in 1999. Specific regulations are similarly subject to review as appropriate under Government guidelines. In addition, employers, trade unions, local government and other key interests are represented on the Health and Safety Commission which draws up proposals for all health and safety regulations made under the Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974 (the Health and Safety at Work (Northern Ireland) Order 1978 in Northern Ireland).
Article 5 – Monitoring implementation of the Convention

63. Monitoring the implementation of the Convention will include regular, annual consultations with TUC and CBI.

Article 6- Programme of Action

64. We are currently consulting the TUC and CBI on the UK programme of action, and this will be forwarded to the ILO in due course.

Article 7

i) Enforcement and sanctions

Health and safety enforcement

65. In Great Britain the Department for Transport is responsible for legislation on the health and safety of people at work, and those affected by work activity, on the recommendations of the Health and Safety Commission and enforced through the HSE and local authorities. The powers of the Commission and enforcing authorities in respect of policy, legislation and enforcement are given and executed under the primary health and safety legislation: the Health and Safety at Work Act etc. 1974. In Northern Ireland, the functions of DfT,HSC/HSE, and local authorities are discharged by, respectively, the Department of Enterprise, Trade and Investment, the Health and Safety Executive for Northern Ireland (HSENI) and District Councils. Their powers are exercised under the Health and Safety at Work (Northern Ireland) Order 1978.

66. Although the Department of Health (DoH) deals with child employment issues such as their working hours and permitted work in certain activities (the Education Department in Scotland), the Health and Safety Commission leads on the health and safety of all people at work, including those below the age of 18 years i.e. young people and children. Thus children employed under the DoH permitting scheme are subject to the health and safety (e.g. risk assessment) regulations made under the Management Regulations which HSE and local authorities enforce.

67. The enforcement of health and safety in respect of people of all ages is governed by the Commission’s Enforcement Policy Statement (Annex 5) and HSENI’s enforcement policy statement (enclosed) which targets enforcement activity in relation to risk. Page 18 covers the range of penalties available for health and safety offences under the relevant legislation.

68. Activity is based on a firm legal foundation, primarily the Management Regulations, but HSE also continues to identify and deal with concerns arising from particular topics or sectors. Since 1997 when the Management Regulations were amended to implement the Young Persons Directive, there have been 19 Improve-
ment Notices\(^3\) and 14 prosecutions relating to offences connected with the employment of young persons below the age of 18. 9 Notices have been issued and 8 prosecutions have been taken under the Prevention of Accidents to Children in Agriculture Regulations 1998 since they came into force in 1999. There are no corresponding Northern Ireland Regulations to these 1998 Regulations, however equivalent legislation is being prepared.

69. HSE in Great Britain and HSENI in Northern Ireland enforce the child employment provisions of the Employment of Women, Young Persons Act 1920. There is no evidence of widespread illegal child employment in industrial undertakings but HSE takes the issue very seriously. When we come across breaches of the 1920 Act in the course of regulatory contacts with industry, we always consider taking enforcement action, including prosecution. Between 1986/87 and 1999/00 HSE took forward 102 cases of illegal child employment and secured 81 convictions (Annex 6).

70. The documents at Annexes 5 and 6, provide additional information on enforcement. The document at Annex 11 provides general information on Health and Safety Offences and Penalties, and gives details of organisations and individuals convicted of health and safety offences in 2000-1.

71. The Children and Young Persons Act 1933, as amended, includes provision for the prosecution of any employer or person acting on behalf of an employer who contravenes the Act or any byelaws made thereunder. Any person so convicted shall be liable to a fine up to level three of the standard scale, currently £1000. The Act also allows for a constable or an officer of the local authority to apply for a court order, granting right of entry to any premises where it is believed that the provisions of the relevant sections of the Act are being contravened. Local authorities are responsible for enforcing the provisions of the Act. In Northern Ireland, the Children (Northern Ireland) Order 1995 includes similar offences and powers of entry.

ii) Education

72. Education in the UK is compulsory, free and universal between the ages of 5 (4 in Northern Ireland) and 16 years. The Government is also committed to increasing the availability of free, high quality early education (ie for children under 4 or 5 years old); and to encouraging more young people to continue in education and training beyond 16 years old.

Article 8 – Assisting other member states with giving effect to Convention 182

73. The UK is committed to the promotion of social and economic development and the elimination of poverty. In 2000, UK Official Development Assistance (ODA) was over £2.8 billion and the figures for 2001 is expected to exceed £3 billion. Through its Department for International Development (DFID) the UK supports a wide-ranging programme for poverty elimination and development – improving livelihoods; extending access to primary education and health care; creating economic opportunities; and improving governments’ ability to work for poor people.

12. See Annex 4 for an explanation of Improvement and Prohibition Notices and prosecutions etc.
These programmes, which includes commitments of £714 million to basic education since 1997, contributes to breaking the cycle of poverty which is essential for the elimination of child labour.

74. The policy of the UK Government is based on a commitment to the achievement of the United Nations Millennium Development Goals (MDG). They include the halving of the proportion of people living in extreme poverty by 2015 and associated targets for basic health care provision and universal access to primary education by the same date.

75. The UK seeks to work in partnership with governments which are committed to the MDGs and are taking forward poverty reduction strategies. The Government works with business, civil society and the research community to encourage progress which helps to reduce poverty. The Government also works with the multilateral organisations including the United Nations, the World Bank and the European Community. The bulk of UK assistance is concentrated on the poorest countries in Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. UK also contributes to poverty elimination and sustainable development in middle income countries and helps the transition countries of Central and Eastern Europe to try to ensure that the widest number of people benefit from the process of change.

Publications

76. The UK Government’s development policy is set out in two White Papers on international development published in 1997 and 2000. Both give a clear commitment to supporting the elimination of child labour. In May 2002 the DFID published “Breaking the Cycle of Child Poverty” which highlights the importance of child centred approaches in poverty reduction. A number of papers have also been issued which specifically draw attention to and increase awareness of child labour. These papers make clear the UK’s commitment to put into effect the provisions of Convention 182 and to support others wishing to implement this Convention. In 1999 “Helping not Hurting Children - an alternative approach to child labour” focused on experience in South Asia particularly with regard to children working in export industries. In June 2002 DFID published “Liberating Children – combating hidden and harmful child labour” which looks at the worst forms of child labour in the informal economy and what has to be done to tackle it.

77. In addition to the papers mentioned above which are intended for a general audience, the DFID has also prepared “The Worst Forms of Child Labour - A Guide to understanding and using the new Convention” and “The Sexual Exploitation of Working Children - Guidelines for action to eliminate the worst forms of child labour”. These are guides for DFID’s own staff and others directly involved in development work.

UK support for UN bodies including ILO/ IPEC

78. In April 2001 the UK agreed a Partnership Framework arrangement with the ILO. Under the Partnership the UK will make available £15 million extra-budgetary funds over a four-year period for ILO’s technical cooperation programmes. £1.8 million has so far been committed to IPEC programmes for network capacity
building in developing countries and gender mainstreaming. In addition, during 2001/2 UK provided support for the preparation of the Global Report on Child Labour and to the development of IPEC’s evaluation strategy.

79. UK is also a significant contributor to the work of other UN bodies such as UNICEF. In 2000 UK agreed a four-year partnership with UNICEF which provides core funding of £17 million each year. In addition UK supports many aspects of UNICEF’s child protection work at project level in developing countries.

**UK support for national/regional programmes**

80. The UK supports a number of projects in collaboration with national governments and other organisation, including the ILO, which directly assist the implementation of Convention 182. For example, in India UK is working with the government and ILO on the state based programme for the elimination of child labour in Andhra Pradesh, and on a national child labour survey; in Bangladesh UK is supporting primary education programmes which target hard to reach children engaged in hazardous labour and plans to support the design of the Time Bound Programme for the Elimination of Child Labour; in Pakistan support has been provided for the programme to protect the rights and livelihoods of working children in football stitching and other industries; in the Greater Mekong Region the UK is assisting governments, ILO and other agencies in a programme to stop the trafficking of women and children for labour exploitation.

**Support to business and civil society**

81. UK strongly supports efforts by business to ensure that there is no exploitation of children in their own production processes and those of their suppliers. The Government helped to set up and continues to support the Ethical Trading Initiative (ETI), which brings together the major UK retailers, unions and non-governmental organisations. ETI members are committed to implementing and monitor a code of conduct which incorporates the ILO’s core labour standards.

82. In addition to support directed through governments, the UK also funds a number of projects with civil society. They include projects managed by Save the Children (SCF) and Anti-Slavery International (ASI) to help local civil society groups to engage with government in the implementation of Convention 182. The UK is also supporting community based projects directly assisting children in the worst forms of child labour such as ASI’s programme in West Africa for child domestic workers, SCF’s work in Sudan to return and rehabilitate women and children ab ducted for labour exploitation, and Childhope programmes for street children in Brazil, Kenya and South Africa.

**Part III**

83. Not applicable.

**Part IV**

84. Details of how the Convention is applied are covered in previous sections.
Part V

85. Additional information is provided in Annexes, as listed at Appendix A.

Part VI

86. Copies of this report have been sent to the CBI and TUC.

Part VII

87. Not applicable.
Appendix A

UK LEGISLATION, REGULATIONS AND GOOD PRACTICE RELEVANT TO ILO CONVENTIONS 138 AND 182

Annexes

1. Employment of Women, Young Persons and Children Act 1920
2. EC Directive 94/33/EC on the Protection of Young People at Work
4. Sector Specific Action on Young People
5. HSC Enforcement policy statement
6. Statistics on proceedings relating to illegal employment of children
7. Healthy and Safety Statistics relating to Age
8. Young People at work – HSE guide for employers
10. Managing Health and Safety on Work Experience - HSE guide for organisers
13. The Ionising Radiations Regulations 1999
14. The Control of Lead at Work Regulations 1998
15. The Coal and Other Safety-Lamp Mines (Explosives) Regulations 1993
16. The Miscellaneous Mines (Explosives) Regulations 1959
17. Mines and Quarries Act 1954
18. The Coal and Other Mines (Sidings) Order 1956
19. The Shipbuilding and Ship-repairing Regulations 1960
20. The Coal and Other Mines (Locomotives) Order 1956
21. The Carriage of Explosives by Road Regulations 1996
22. The Docks Regulations 1988
23. HSC Code of Practice and Guidance on Safety use of work equipment
24. HSC Code of Practice and Guidance on Safe use of woodworking machinery
25. HSC Code of Practice on Preventing accidents to children in agriculture
26. HSC Code of Practice and Guidance on safe use of power presses
27. HSC Code of Practice and Guidance on safe use of lifting equipment
28. HSE Guidance on Carriage of Explosives by Road
29. HSC Code of Practice and Guidance on working with ionising radiation
30. HSC Code of Practice and Guidance on control of lead at work
31. The Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 1995
32. The Children and Young Persons Act 1933 (sections 18 – 33)
33. The Children and Young persons Act 1963 (sections 34-44)
34. The Children (Performances) Regulations 1968
35. The Children (Protection at Work) Regulations 1998
36. The Children (Performances) (Miscellaneous Amendment)) Regulations 1998
37. The Children (Performances) Amendment Regulations 2000
38. The Children (Protection at Work) Regulations 2000
39. The Children (Performances) (Amendment) (No. 2) Regulations 2000
40. The Children (Protection at Work) (No. 2) Regulations 2000
41. The model child employment byelaw and covering letter
42. The model child performance application form and covering letter.
43. The Children (Protection at Work) (Scotland) Regulations 2000
44. The Children and Young Persons (Scotland) Act 1937
45. The Children (Northern Ireland) Order 1995 (Articles 133 – 148)
46. The Children (Public Performances) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1996

47. The Education and Libraries (Northern Ireland) Order 1986 (Article 46)

48. The Employment of Children Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1996

49. The HSENI Policy Statement on Enforcement.

50. Management of Health and safety at Work Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2000 No 388.

51. Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1997 No 455.

52. Health and Safety Executive for Northern Ireland Corporate plan 2000-2005


57. National Plan for Safeguarding Children from Commercial Sexual Exploitation – Published by Department of Health, Home Office, National Assembly for Wales, Scottish Executive, and Northern Ireland Office
Appendix B

Work likely to harm the health and safety of young people below the age of 18 years

1. Firstly children must be protected at work from any risks to their health or safety which are a consequence of their lack of experience, of absence of awareness of existing or potential risks or the fact that they have not yet fully matured. The following list from Regulation 19 of the Management Regulations and corresponding Northern Ireland Regulations covers a wide spectrum of work likely to harm the health and safety of children below the age of 18 years:

- work which is beyond the child’s physical or psychological capacity;
- work involving harmful exposure to agents which are toxic, carcinogenic, cause heritable genetic damage or harm to the unborn child or which in any other way chronically affect human health;
- work involving harmful exposure to radiation;
- work involving the risk of accidents which it may reasonably be assumed cannot be recognised or avoided by young persons owing to their insufficient attention to safety or lack of experience or training; or
- work in which there is a risk to health from:
  (i) extreme cold or heat;
  (ii) noise; or
  (iii) vibration,

In the UK young people below 18 years but above the minimum school leaving age\(^4\) may be engaged in these types of work providing that it is necessary for their training\(^5\); that they will be supervised by a competent person; and that any risk will be reduced to the lowest level that is reasonably practicable. This accords with the proviso in Recommendation II, Paragraph 4.

2. In addition the following types of work should be included on the draft list as currently prohibited or restricted in the United Kingdom, for children below the age of 18 years, either in legislation, Approved Codes of Practice or HSE’s agreement with industry:

---

\(^4\) The school leaving date is the last Friday in June in the school year in which a child reaches the age of 16 years. In Scotland children who reach the age of 16 between 1 March and 30 September can leave school on 31 May. Children with birthdays later than 30 September may leave on commencement of the Christmas holiday.

\(^5\) "Training" includes Government-funded training schemes for school leavers, modern apprenticeships, in-house training arrangements and work qualifying for assessment for N/SVQs, e.g. craft skills.
Carriage of dangerous goods

- using or being employed as a driver or attendant of any vehicle for the carriage of explosives;
- being responsible for the security of the explosives concerned; or
- entering the vehicle except in the presence of and under the supervision of someone at least 18 years old.

Biological agents

- handling animals infected with Hazard Group 4 biological agents.

Compressed air

- working in compressed air (HSE supports current industry practice but has no basis for regulating this).

Docks

- operating a powered lifting appliance in the course of dock operations, unless undergoing a suitable course of training under proper supervision of a competent person. (Members of Her Majesty's Forces are exempt from this prohibition).

Explosives

- working in explosives buildings except in the presence and under the supervision of a person aged 21 or over.

Ionising Radiation

- working with ionising radiation involving doses beyond the limits for young people per calendar year:
  - 6 millisieverts for young trainees* under 18 years (30% of the adult limit)
  - 1 millisievert for employees below 18 years who are not trainees (the same limit as for the general public).

* trainees (including students) are defined as being aged 16 years or above receiving instruction or training involving work with ionising radiation.
(Young people under 18 years cannot be included in the list of employees classified under the Ionising Radiations Regulations 1999 and supporting Approved Code of Practice as likely to receive doses higher than 6 millisieverts per calendar year from radiation exposure.)

**Lead**

- working in:

  **lead smelting and refining processes***:

  work involving the handling, treatment, sintering, smelting or refining of ores or materials containing not less than 5 per cent lead;

  **lead-acid battery manufacturing processes***

  the manipulation of lead oxides; mixing or pasting in connection with the manufacture or repair of lead-acid batteries; the melting or casting of lead; the trimming, abrading or cutting of pasted plates in connection with the manufacture or repair of lead-acid batteries;

  - cleaning any place where any of the above processes are carried out;

(Where the exposure to lead is significant, employees under the age of 18 years must be under medical surveillance and have their blood is monitored every 3 months for levels of lead. If the level reaches 50µg/dl for young males or 30 µg/dl for young females (the suspension level), they must not do work which exposes them to lead until their blood level drops below 50 µg/dl/30 µg/dl respectively.)

**Lift trucks**

- operating lift trucks on docks premises

(Young people under 18 years should not be allowed to operate lift trucks on other premises without adequate supervision unless they have the necessary competence and maturity, as well as having successfully completed appropriate training.)

**Mines**

- being in charge of winding and rope haulage equipment (when carrying passengers and when passengers are not carried);

- being in charge of conveyors at work faces;

- driving a locomotive (when carrying passengers and when passengers are not carried);
- being employed as a shot firer.

(Age limits in mines and quarries legislation are being considered in the continuing UK review of the law regarding work in mines and quarries.)

Machinery, equipment and tools

3. The UK approach to the types of work categorised under Programe II Para-graph 3 (c) on work equipment or machinery, is primarily based on the protections afforded by the Management Regulations and corresponding Northern Ireland Regulations. There is also strong emphasis in Approved Codes of Practice on the importance of training and properly supervising young people because of their relative immaturity and unfamiliarity with the working environment. Where appropriate e.g. in some woodworking operations, the Code will specifically restrict the work of young people.

Monitoring

4. DTI leads on the Young Workers' Directive and reports to the EC on its implementation, although HSE conducts research into the health and safety of young people and collects relevant health and injury data (see Annex 7 and above).

5. The Health and Safety Commission's Strategic Plan of Work has ambitious programmes for the coming years to reduce injury and ill health and addressing areas of high identified risk e.g. construction, agriculture and falls from a height. HSE is specifically charged with the duty to pay particular attention to the needs of vulnerable groups - this includes young people and to identify appropriate action. This means that we monitor the position on young people in areas of identified risk to see whether new limits on their work need to be introduced. The Northern Ireland Corporate Plan for 2002-2005 is enclosed.
REPORT

For the period 7 June 2000 to 31 May 2002 made by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland in accordance with Article 22 of the Constitution of the International Labour Organisation on measures taken to give effect to the provisions of the

MINIMUM AGE CONVENTION 1973 (No 138)

ratification of which was registered on 7 June 2000

Part 1 - Legislation

A list of the legislation, regulations, good practice and other documents which relate to the application of the Convention and which are enclosed with this report is attached at Appendix A.

Part II

Article 1 – Policies and methods used to ensure abolition of child labour

1. In the UK, full time education is compulsory for all children up to the age of 16. The UK policy in ensuring the abolition of child labour is based on legislation which prohibits the employment of children under the compulsory school age, except in specific circumstances, and in compliance with the Convention, supplemented by guidance and codes of practice for employers and others.

2. While no child below the minimum school leaving age is required to work, should they wish to do so, the law permits children to work

   a) for a maximum of 2 hours on school days, only one of which may be before the start of school
   b) for a maximum of 2 hours on Sundays
   c) subject to a maximum of 12 hours per week, in term time
   d) for a maximum of 5 hours (if aged under 15) or 8 hours (if 15 or over) on Saturdays and weekdays during school holidays, subject to an overall limit of 25 hours (if under 15) or 35 hours (if 15 and over) a week in the school holidays

   but they may not work,

   a) before they are 13 years of age
   b) for more than one hour before the start of school
   c) during school hours
   d) before 7:00am or after 7:00pm
   e) for more than four hours without a break of at least one hour
   f) throughout the year. They must have a break of at least two weeks free from school during the school holidays
   g) without a permit issued by the local authority
h) in any industrial undertaking e.g. factory, building site etc. (para 4 refers)

i) in occupations prohibited by local byelaws or in other legislation 
(e.g. in pubs or betting shops), or in any work which is likely to be 
harmful to their health, well-being or education

j) in television, theatre or other similar activities, including modelling, 
without a performance license issued by the local authority.

3. In Scotland, similar restrictions are afforded by the Children and Young Persons (Scotland) Act 1937, Part III. Similar restrictions apply in Northern Ireland except for some minor variations in the maximum permitted hours of employment.

4. The Employment of Women, Children, and Young Persons Act 1920 (Annex 1) prohibits the employment of children of compulsory school age (CSA)\(^6\) in any industrial undertaking except in certain circumstances on approved work experience programmes. Industrial undertakings include mines and quarries, manufacturing industry, construction and the transport of passengers or goods by road, rail or inland waterways. There are limitations on the sorts of work which students can experience, including those laid down in local bye-laws Trainees and students, including children, on work experience are regarded in health and safety law as employees\(^7\).

**Article 2 – minimum age for employment**

5. The minimum age for admission to employment or work in the UK is the time when a child ceases to be of compulsory school age, which is normally when she or he is 16 years old. The school leaving date is the last Friday in June in the school year in which a child reaches the age of 16 years. In Scotland, the minimum school leaving age is defined under Section 33 of the Education (Scotland) Act 1980. In Scotland, children who reach the age of 16 between 1 March and 30 September can leave school on 31 May. Children with birthdays later than 30 September may leave on commencement of the Christmas holiday. In Northern Ireland, the upper limit of compulsory school age (around 16) is defined in the Education and Libraries (Northern Ireland) Order 1986.

6. While not required to work, children below the minimum school leaving age may undertake limited part time work in specified circumstances. Children aged 13 may undertake light work but only in areas specified in local authority byelaws. Children aged 14 and over are also limited to light work (see para 22). Byelaws, which must be confirmed by the Secretary of State before coming into force, also list work that no child or young person below the minimum school leaving age may do. In Northern Ireland, the Children (Northern Ireland) Order 1995 does not permit the employment of children under the age of 13. Children who are aged between 13 and the upper limit of compulsory school age (around 16) may only work in occupations which are specified in the Employment of Children Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1996.

---

\(^6\) Up to the age of 16 years (may be just before or just after the 16th birthday) – see para 5 and Annex B.

\(^7\) Health and Safety (Training for Employment) Regulations 1990
Article 3 - Work likely to jeopardise health and safety or morals

7. In the UK, work likely to jeopardise the health and safety of young people below the age of 18 years is determined through the special measures on assessment of risks to their health and safety introduced by the European Council Directive 94/33/EC on the protection of young people at work (the “Young Workers’ Directive”) (Annex 2). The health and safety provisions of the Directive are implemented in the UK through the Management of Health and Safety Regulations 1999 (Management Regulations) (Annex 3) and corresponding Northern Ireland regulations. There is additional specific regulation by age in areas of significant risk e.g. relating to lead or ionising radiation and some high risks sectors are dealt with through Approved Codes of Practice which have a special legal status.

8. The Management Regulations require employers to assess the risks to young people taking into account that they are likely to be inexperienced, physically or mentally immature and unaware of health and safety risks; and put in place measures to control the risks which will remove or reduce them to the lowest possible level. The risk assessment must also take into account specific factors including the risks from specific agents, processes and work listed in the Annex to the Young Workers Directive. The outcome of the assessment determines whether young people can be permitted do the work.

9. The Management Regulations contain a list of specific work which is prohibited for young people (Regulation 19(2)). Under no circumstances can children of compulsory school age undertake this work. Young people under 18 years but above compulsory school age can do this type of work only under special circumstances (Regulation 19(3):

- where the work is necessary for their training;
- under proper supervision by a competent person; and
- providing the risks are reduced to the lowest level, so far as is reasonably practicable.

10. A list of work covered under Article 3, agreed after consultation with the TUC and CBI, is attached at Appendix B. The list will be periodically reviewed in consultation with the TUC and CBI.

Measures to promote understanding and compliance

11. Employers’ duties in this regard are explained in guidance, for example “Young people at work. A guide for employers”. The Approved Code of Practice and guidance publication “Management of health and safety at Work. Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999” also provides employers with advice on how to comply with the law, including the provisions for young people. Where appropriate, Approved Codes of Practice on specific topics e.g. woodworking and agriculture give advice on responsibilities towards young people. (See enclosed publications.)
12. There is considerable Inter-Department and agency working to raise awareness of the protections introduced by the Young Workers Directive among all stakeholders involved in training and work experience programmes for young people. The guidance “Managing health and safety on work experience. A guide for organisers” emphasises the duties for all key players, including employers who provide work placements (enclosed).

13. In Great Britain, HSE aims to reach young people through various initiatives, e.g:

- an important programme of work to develop risk management as an important life-skill for young people in the educational system;

- influencing vocational courses and qualifications (particularly where risks are identified in particular sectors such as woodworking) (Annex 4);

- qualitative research into the most effective means of communicating health and safety messages to young people

14. Enforcement Officers routinely give employers advice on meeting the relevant requirements of the Management Regulations during visits whether young people are in paid work or on work experience schemes.

15. Any relevant HSE initiatives would normally be mirrored in Northern Ireland.

**Article 4 – Categories of work excluded from the Convention**


**Article 5 – Limiting the scope of the Convention**

17. Not applicable.

**Article 6 – Work done as part of a course of education or training**

18. Section 560 of the Education Act 1996 as amended by section 112 of the School Standards and Framework Act 1998 enables students of compulsory school age to participate in schemes of work experience where arrangements have been made by the LEA, or school governing body on its behalf, as part of a student’s education.

19. The LEA, or school governing body on its behalf, must be satisfied that adequate arrangements are in place to ensure that the obligations placed upon the LEA are being met.

20. The Education Act 1996 places certain limitations on the sorts of work in which students can be employed. The Act prohibits work experience placements where the work concerned is subject to a statutory restriction based on age limits
expressed as a number of years. This preserves the effect of certain byelaws which contain specific provision expressed in this way, for example prohibiting certain work for anyone under 16 years old. The Act forbids the making of arrangements for work experience for children in circumstances where it would be unlawful to employ young persons aged between 16 and 18, and applies to students employed in schemes of work experience any restrictions relating to the employment of such young persons. However, young people can do work otherwise prohibited by aged under the Employment of Women, Young Persons and Children Act 1920, provided they are on approved programmes of work experience.

21. The Confederation of British Industry and Trades Union Congress were consulted on the guidance, along with a range of other interested organisations and practitioners.

**Article 7 - light work for 13-15 year olds and work for 15 year olds**

22. The Children and Young Persons Act 1933, as amended, requires local authority byelaws to list the specific areas of employment in which a child aged 13 may undertake light duties. Light work may also be undertaken by young person aged 14 or over in unspecified areas and byelaws list work that no child or young person below the minimum school age may do. In April 1998, with the coming into force of the Children (Protection at Work) Regulations 1998, the Government provided local authorities with a model byelaw identifying areas that they might wish to consider for inclusion. In June 2000 the Children (Protection at Work) Regulations 2000 removed the concession that had previously allowed children between the ages of 10 –13 to undertake light work for their parents in agriculture and horticulture. In Northern Ireland, children aged between 13 and the upper limit of compulsory school age (around 16) may only work in occupations which are specified in the Employment of Children Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1996.

**Article 8- Artistic performances**

23. Subject to the provisions of the Children and Young Persons Act 1963 (sections 37 – 43), and Regulations made under it, a child below the minimum school leaving age may take part in a performance if licensed to do so by the local authority in which s/he lives. The application for such a licence is detailed and only if the local authority is satisfied about the child’s fitness and health and with the arrangements made for his or her kind treatment and education will a licence be granted. Generally a child under 14 may not be licensed at all unless it is to act or dance a part that cannot be taken by someone older, and the performance and his or her part in it are wholly or mainly musical. Sporting activities and modeling are covered by the regulations.


25. Exceptions to the licensing requirement are made in the case of performances arranged by schools and by certain other bodies approved by the Secretary of State or the local authority, as long as the child is not paid.
26. The Children (Public Performances) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1996, made under the provisions of the Children (NI) Order 1995 provide for licences to be issued authorising children to take part in public performances. Licences must be applied for by the person responsible for the production of the performance to the local education and library boards which are designated as the licensing authorities. Applications must be made at least 30 days before the performance and the licensing authority may obtain such additional information as it considers necessary before granting the licence. The regulations also specify the form of the application for a licence and of the licence itself.

27. The conditions and restrictions on the granting of licences in Northern Ireland include:

- the number of days on which the child has performed in the previous twelve months;

- a declaration that the part to be acted or danced cannot be taken other than by a child under 14;

- the child is medically fit;

- satisfaction by the licensing authorities as to the impact on the child's education;

- requirements as to earnings, supervision, lodgings, place of performance and transport;

- employment in other forms of employment.

Article 9 and Part III – Supervision, Enforcement and Employers Records

Child employment work permits

28. Each local authority is responsible for ensuring that the regulations and byelaws governing child employment legislation are complied with. This duty normally rests with the education welfare service of the local authority which is responsible for issuing work permits and performance licences under the regulations. When a child below the minimum school leaving age is employed, within eight days of commencement the employer must submit an application for a work permit to the local authority. The application is detailed and includes information on the exact duties to be undertaken, the days and times at which the work will take place, the wages to be paid, confirmation that the child is fit for the job identified (the local authority may seek a full medical if it is thought to be appropriate), that a risk assessment has been completed and that the school has given permission. If the job changes in any way a new license must be sought. In Northern Ireland, education and library boards are responsible for issuing work permits. Enforcement of child employment law is also undertaken by education and library boards.
29. The local authority is responsible for supervising, enforcing and investigating any breaches of the regulations. Each local authority will make a decision on record-keeping. Figures are not collected centrally.

30. Education Welfare Officers also work closely with schools and families to resolve attendance issues. They do this by helping schools to monitor and analyse attendance data; identifying problems that are affecting the child’s attendance at school and agreeing plans for improving attendance with parents. If a pupil fails to attend school regularly the LEA can prosecute their parent(s).

Health and safety enforcement

31. In Great Britain the Department for Transport is responsible for legislation on the health and safety of people at work, and those affected by work activity, on the recommendations of the Health and Safety Commission and enforced through the HSE and local authorities. The powers of the Commission and enforcing authorities in respect of policy, legislation and enforcement are given and executed under the primary health and safety legislation: the Health and Safety at Work Act etc. 1974. In Northern Ireland, the functions of DIT, HSC/HSE, and local authorities are discharged by, respectively, the Department of Enterprise, Trade and Investment, the Health and Safety Executive for Northern Ireland (HSENI) and District Councils. Their powers are exercised under the Health and Safety at Work (Northern Ireland) Order 1978.

32. Although the Department of Health (DoH) deals with child employment issues such as their working hours and permitted work in certain activities (the Education Department in Scotland), the Health and Safety Commission leads on the health and safety of all people at work, including those below the age of 18 years i.e. young people and children. Thus children employed under the DoH permitting scheme are subject to the health and safety (e.g. risk assessment) regulations made under the Management Regulations which HSE and local authorities enforce.

33. The enforcement of health and safety in respect of people of all ages is governed by the Commission’s Enforcement Policy Statement (Annex 5) and HSENI’s enforcement policy statement (enclosed), which target enforcement activity in relation to risk. Page 18 of the Annex 5 document covers the range of penalties available for health and safety offences under the relevant legislation.

34. Activity is based on a firm legal foundation, primarily the Management Regulations, but HSE also continues to identify and deal with concerns arising from particular topics or sectors. Since 1997 when the Management Regulations were amended to implement the Young Persons Directive, there have been 19 Improvement Notices and 14 prosecutions relating to offences connected with the employment of young persons below the age of 18. 9 Notices have been issued and 8 prosecutions have been taken under the Prevention of Accidents to Children in Agriculture Regulations 1998 since they came into force in 1999. There are no corresponding Northern Ireland Regulations to these 1998 Regulations; however, equivalent legislation is being prepared.

---

8 See Annex 4 for an explanation of Improvement and Prohibition Notices and prosecutions etc.
35. HSE in Great Britain and HSENI in Northern Ireland enforce the child employment provisions of the Employment of Women, Young Persons Act 1920. There is no evidence of widespread illegal child employment in industrial undertakings but HSE takes the issue very seriously. When it comes across breaches of the 1920 Act in the course of regulatory contacts with industry, the HSE always considers taking enforcement action, including prosecution. Between 1986/87 and 1999/00 HSE took forward 102 cases of illegal child employment and secured 81 convictions (Annex 6).

Health and injury data

36. Annex 7 provides data on health and injuries collected by HSE. HSE collects data by age from employers' reports made under the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 1995 on work-related accidents, including all fatal and major accidents, diseases and dangerous occurrences, to the relevant enforcing authority: either HSE or the local authority. Similar data is gathered in Northern Ireland under the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1997 (SR No 455). The data is contained in the HSENI Annual Report for 2000 to 2001 (copy enclosed). HSE augments this data through research into information collected centrally for the Labour Force Survey. The Survey is conducted annually in around 60,000 households and includes questions on workplace injury which provides estimates of the number of injured workers by e.g. age and gender. Data on health is also collected from various sources such as specialist physicians dealing with particular diseases.

37. Young people are not at the highest health and safety risk in the UK working population, although those new to work, especially males, are most likely to have a minor accident. Research commissioned by HSE into data on the relative risk of injury between different age groups after allowing for the effects of occupations, hours of work and other job characteristics shows that:

- there is no evidence to suggest a higher risk of reportable injury (over 4 days absence) in young workers, men or women, compared with older ones;
- the rate of fatal injury is lowest in young male workers and increases with age;
- there is no substantial variation in risk of injury among female workers by age;
- men aged 16-24 years have a substantially higher risk of all workplace injury than older male workers (40% higher than 45-54 age group); and
- workers of all ages in the first few months with their employer have the highest rate of injury (once annualised to a 12 month period).

---

9 Includes injuries with less than 4 days absence as recalled by LFS working respondents.
38. All UK employers are required through their Income Tax and National Insurance obligations to keep records of the names and dates of birth of employees under 18 years of age.

Part IV

39. Not applicable.

Part V

40. Details of how the Convention is applied are covered in previous sections, and various statistical data and reports are enclosed.

Part VI

41. Copies of this report have been sent to the CBI and TUC.
Appendix A

UK LEGISLATION, REGULATIONS AND GOOD PRACTICE RELEVANT TO ILO CONVENTIONS 138 AND 182

Annexes

1. Employment of Women, Young Persons and Children Act 1920
2. EC Directive 94/33/EC on the Protection of Young People at Work
4. Sector Specific Action on Young People
5. HSC Enforcement policy statement
6. Statistics on proceedings relating to illegal employment of children
7. Healthy and Safety Statistics relating to Age
8. Young People at work – HSE guide for employers
10. Managing Health and Safety on Work Experience - HSE guide for organisers
13. The Ionising Radiations Regulations 1999
14. The Control of Lead at Work Regulations 1998
15. The Coal and Other Safety-Lamp Mines (Explosives) Regulations 1993
16. The Miscellaneous Mines (Explosives) Regulations 1959
17. Mines and Quarries Act 1954
18. The Coal and Other Mines (Sidings) Order 1956
19. The Shipbuilding and Ship-repairing Regulations 1960
20. The Coal and Other Mines (Locomotives) Order 1956
21. The Carriage of Explosives by Road Regulations 1996
22. The Docks Regulations 1988
23. HSC Code of Practice and Guidance on Safety use of work equipment
24. HSC Code of Practice and Guidance on Safe use of woodworking machinery
25. HSC Code of Practice on Preventing accidents to children in agriculture
26. HSC Code of Practice and Guidance on safe use of power presses
27. HSC Code of Practice and Guidance on safe use of lifting equipment
28. HSE Guidance on Carriage of Explosives by Road
29. HSC Code of Practice and Guidance on working with ionising radiation
30. HSC Code of Practice and Guidance on control of lead at work
31. The Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 1995
32. The Children and Young Persons Act 1933 (sections 18 – 33)
33. The Children and Young persons Act 1963 (sections 34-44)
34. The Children (Performances) Regulations 1968
35. The Children (Protection at Work) Regulations 1998
36. The Children (Performances) (Miscellaneous Amendment)) Regulations 1998
37. The Children (Performances) Amendment Regulations 2000
38. The Children (Protection at Work) Regulations 2000
39. The Children (Performances) (Amendment) (No. 2) Regulations 2000
40. The Children (Protection at Work) (No. 2) Regulations 2000
41. The model child employment byelaw and covering letter
42. The model child performance application form and covering letter.
43. The Children (Protection at Work) (Scotland) Regulations 2000
44. The Children and Young Persons (Scotland) Act 1937
45. The Children (Northern Ireland) Order 1995 (Articles 133 – 148)
46. The Children (Public Performances) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1996

47. The Education and Libraries (Northern Ireland) Order 1986 (Article 46)

48. The Employment of Children Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1996

49. The HSENI Policy Statement on Enforcement.

50. Management of Health and safety at Work Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2000 No 388.

51. Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1997 No 455.

52. Health and Safety Executive for Northern Ireland Corporate plan 2000-2005

**53. Health and Safety Executive for Northern Ireland Annual Report and Statement of Accounts 1st April 2000 to 31st March 2001.**


56. Secure Boarders, Safehaven: Integration with diversity in modern Britain-UK White Paper

57. National Plan for Safeguarding Children from Commercial Sexual Exploitation – Published by Department of Health, Home Office, National Assembly for Wales, Scottish Executive, and Northern Ireland Office
Appendix B

Work likely to harm the health and safety of young people below the age of 18 years

1. Firstly children must be protected at work from any risks to their health or safety which are a consequence of their lack of experience, of absence of awareness of existing or potential risks or the fact that they have not yet fully matured. The following list from Regulation 19 of the Management Regulations and corresponding Northern Ireland Regulations covers a wide spectrum of work likely to harm the health and safety of children below the age of 18 years:

- work which is beyond the child’s physical or psychological capacity;

- work involving harmful exposure to agents which are toxic, carcinogenic, cause heritable genetic damage or harm to the unborn child or which in any other way chronically affect human health;

- work involving harmful exposure to radiation;

- work involving the risk of accidents which it may reasonably be assumed cannot be recognised or avoided by young persons owing to their insufficient attention to safety or lack of experience or training; or

- work in which there is a risk to health from:
  
  (i) extreme cold or heat;
  (ii) noise; or
  (iii) vibration,

In the UK young people below 18 years but above the minimum school leaving age\(^\text{10}\) may be engaged in these types of work providing that it is necessary for their training\(^\text{11}\); that they will be supervised by a competent person; and that any risk will be reduced to the lowest level that is reasonably practicable. This accords with the proviso in Recommendation II, Paragraph 4.

2. In addition the following types of work should be included on the draft list as currently prohibited or restricted in the United Kingdom, for children below the age of 18 years, either in legislation, Approved Codes of Practice or HSE’s agreement with industry:

Carriage of dangerous goods

\(^{10}\) The school leaving date is the last Friday in June in the school year in which a child reaches the age of 16 years. In Scotland children who reach the age of 16 between 1 March and 30 September can leave school on 31 May. Children with birthdays later than 30 September may leave on commencement of the Christmas holiday.

\(^{11}\) “Training” includes Government-funded training schemes for school leavers, modern apprenticeships, in-house training arrangements and work qualifying for assessment for NVQs, e.g. craft skills.
- using or being employed as a driver or attendant of any vehicle for the carriage of explosives;
- being responsible for the security of the explosives concerned; or
- entering the vehicle except in the presence of and under the supervision of someone at least 18 years old.

**Biological agents**
- handling animals infected with Hazard Group 4 biological agents.

**Compressed air**
- working in compressed air (HSE supports current industry practice but has no basis for regulating this).

**Docks**
- operating a powered lifting appliance in the course of dock operations, unless undergoing a suitable course of training under proper supervision of a competent person. (Members of Her Majesty's Forces are exempt from this prohibition).

**Explosives**
- working in explosives buildings except in the presence and under the supervision of a person aged 21 or over.

**Ionising Radiation**
- working with ionising radiation involving doses beyond the limits for young people per calendar year:
  - 6 millisieverts for young trainees* under 18 years (30% of the adult limit)
  - 1 millisievert for employees below 18 years who are not trainees (the same limit as for the general public).

* trainees (including students) are defined as being aged 16 years or above receiving instruction or training involving work with ionising radiation.
(Young people under 18 years cannot be included in the list of employees classified under the Ionising Radiations Regulations 1999 and supporting Approved Code of Practice as likely to receive doses higher than 6 millisieverts per calendar year from radiation exposure.)

**Lead**

- working in:

  **lead smelting and refining processes**:  
  work involving the handling, treatment, sintering, smelting or refining of ores or materials containing not less than 5 per cent lead;

  **lead-acid battery manufacturing processes**

  the manipulation of lead oxides; mixing or pasting in connection with the manufacture or repair of lead-acid batteries; the melting or casting of lead; the trimming, abrading or cutting of pasted plates in connection with the manufacture or repair of lead-acid batteries;

  - cleaning any place where any of the above processes are carried out;

(Where the exposure to lead is significant, employees under the age of 18 years must be under medical surveillance and have their blood is monitored every 3 months for levels of lead. If the level reaches 50µg/dl for young males or 30 µg/dl for young females (the suspension level), they must not do work which exposes them to lead until their blood level drops below 50 µg/dl/30 µg/dl respectively.)

**Lift trucks**

- operating lift trucks on docks premises

(Young people under 18 years should not be allowed to operate lift trucks on other premises without adequate supervision unless they have the necessary competence and maturity, as well as having successfully completed appropriate training.)

**Mines**

- being in charge of winding and rope haulage equipment (when carrying passengers and when passengers are not carried);

- being in charge of conveyors at work faces;

- driving a locomotive (when carrying passengers and when passengers are not carried);
- being employed as a shot firer.

(Age limits in mines and quarries legislation are being considered in the continuing UK review of the law regarding work in mines and quarries.)

**Machinery, equipment and tools**

3. The UK approach to the types of work categorised under Programme II Paragraph 3 (c) on work equipment or machinery, is primarily based on the protections afforded by the Management Regulations and corresponding Northern Ireland Regulations. There is also strong emphasis in Approved Codes of Practice on the importance of training and properly supervising young people because of their relative immaturity and unfamiliarity with the working environment. Where appropriate e.g. in some woodworking operations, the Code will specifically restrict the work of young people. **REPORT**

for the period 1 June 2002 to 31 May 2003 made by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, in accordance with Article 22 of the Constitution of the International Labour Organisation, on the measures taken to give effect to the provisions of the
ratification of which was registered on 22 March 2000

A full, first report was submitted in 2002. Changes and updates to that report are as follows.

**Part II Article 1 General Overview - Paragraph 2 of previous report**

The Sexual Offences Bill (currently before Parliament) introduces a raft of new offences to tackle the sexual abuse of children and their sexual exploitation through prostitution and pornography. A draft of the Bill is available at [www.sexualoffencesbill@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk](http://www.sexualoffencesbill@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk)

Of specific interest are the changes the Bill will make to the offences of trafficking, prostitution and pornography which were noted in the last ILO report for the period ending May 2002.

**The main developments proposed by the Sexual Offences Bill which will impact on the worst forms of child labour are as follows - TRAFFICKING**

- The Sexual Offences Bill re-enacts and expands provisions made in the Nationality, Immigration and Asylum Act 2002 concerning trafficking of people, including children.

- It will introduce the offence of **trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation**. This will widen the offence so that it will become an offence to traffic someone for the purpose of submitting them to a sexual act rather than for the specific purpose of sexually exploiting them for prostitution. It will also cover people who are trafficked for the purpose of being sexually abused (where no money changes hands or financial incentive) and where payment is made in debt repayment or in drugs etc.

- The offence will also cover recruiting, harboring and facilitating the movement of people for the purpose of sexual exploitation.

- The maximum penalty for this offence will be 14 years imprisonment.

- This will hopefully allow us to offer greater protection against all forms of sexual trafficking.

- Under the Children Act 1989, unaccompanied minors (i.e. trafficked children) are the responsibility of local authority social service provision. Similarly, where children are accompanied but it is assessed that the carers are unsuitable, a child is referred to the care of social services, who have a duty to provide appropriate care. Authorities at certain key points of arrival have established protocols outlining the procedures for effective inter-agency co-operation.
PROSTITUTION

- On the issue of prostitution the Sexual Offences Bill is also proposing the introduction new provisions to directly deal with the sexual exploitation of children. These are-

  - Paying for sex with a child
    Maximum penalty where the child is under 13 – Where there is penetration, life, otherwise 14 years.
    Any sexual activity with a child aged 13-15 (16 in N.Ireland) Maximum penalty - 14 years.
    Any sexual activity with a child aged 16 or 17 (Maximum penalty - 7 years)

  - Causing or inciting child prostitution or pornography
    Maximum penalty- 14 years

  - Controlling a child prostitute or a child involved in pornography
    Maximum penalty- 14 years

  - Arranging or facilitating child prostitution or pornography
    Maximum penalty- 14 years

- The Government has announced its intention to examine the scope for a review of prostitution.
  The Government is extremely concerned about the Mafia-style criminality associated with the exploitation of girls and women, the links between prostitution and drug dependency, and the way in which prostitution can blight local communities. There is a great deal of work to be done to establish how best to break the links between the sex trade and organised crime, to help those who are exploited to leave prostitution, and to support local communities to develop effective neighbourhood regeneration schemes. The Sexual Offences Bill goes some way to addressing the ways in which the criminal law can be brought to bear to stop commercial sexual exploitation and we are making some progress in assessing what works in terms of both enforcement against users and abusers, and support for the victims of this trade. But this is a complex area, and there are few easy answers. We recognise that it is time for a sensible debate on the issue so that we can benefit from the views and practical experience of the agencies and voluntary organisations working with those at risk of, or involved with, prostitution. However, there remains a good deal of groundwork to do before we will be in a position to announce the timing of such a debate.

- The Government is very concerned about the involvement of children and young people in prostitution. It recognises that the majority of these children and young people are the victims of coercion or abuse. The Government's aim is to deter children from entering, or staying in prostitution and believes that the best way to achieve this is through a multi agency approach. Key areas for future action here are the National Plan for Safeguarding Children from Commercial Sexual Exploitation and Safeguarding Children involved in Prostitution guidance.
N.B.

There is also a range of child sex offences to protect children under 16 (and 18 in certain defined circumstances) from sexual abuse or exploitation that is not commercially motivated. Strict liability offences are being introduced to cover sexual activity with a child under 13 -

**Rape of a child under 13** (maximum penalty - life imprisonment),

**Assault of a child under 13 by penetration** (maximum penalty - life imprisonment)

and;

**Causing a child under 13 to engage in sexual activity** (maximum penalty for activity involving penetration, - life imprisonment, otherwise 14 years).

In addition there is a range of new offences relating to all forms of ostensibly consensual but unlawful sexual activity involving children aged 13 to 15, for example -

**Sexual activity with a child** (maximum penalty - 14 years) and;

**Causing a child to engage in sexual activity** (maximum penalty - 14 years).

**Pornography**

- Under present law, making child pornography, (defined as indecent photographs or pseudo-photographs of child) is an offence under section 1 of the Protection of Children Act 1978. This is quite apart from the other offences against the child’s person which have been committed in order to make the images. The maximum penalty for making pornography is 10 years and a fine may be imposed as well.

- In the Sexual Offences Bill we intend to raise the age of children that the above provision protects from under 16 to under 18. This will bring us into line with international agreements.

**Paragraph 10 of previous report**

The trafficking toolkit has now been published and is available on the website at [http://www.crimereduction.gov.uk/toolkits](http://www.crimereduction.gov.uk/toolkits).

**Paragraph 46 of previous report (5th sentence) to read**

“Such byelaws must be confirmed by the Secretary of State and in Scotland by Scottish Ministers before coming into force.”

**Paragraph 47 of previous report (to add the following sentence at the end of the paragraph)**

“Similar arrangements exist in Northern Ireland”

**Paragraph 58 of previous report (to add the following sentence at the end of the paragraph)**
"The Northern Ireland curriculum is currently in the process of being revised. Detailed proposals on Education for Employability have yet to be received: however it is likely that the issues of Health and Safety and Risk Awareness will be covered within the final proposals."

**Paragraph 63**

The first tripartite meeting on monitoring Convention 82 (and 138) was held in May 2003: participants included officials from various government departments as well as representatives from the TUC and CBI.

**Paragraph 65**

Following machinery of Government changes, the parent Department for legislation on the health and safety of people at work has changed from the Department for Transport (DfT) to the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP).

**Paragraphs 68 – 70**

**Health and Safety Enforcement and Health and Injury Data**

During 2001/2002 there were eight improvement notices issued under the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations relating to offences connected to the employment of young persons.

During 2001/2002 there was one prohibition notice issued under the Employment of Women, Young Persons and Children Act 1920.

During 2001/02 three prosecutions were taken under the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations relating to offences connected to the employment of young persons.

Health and Injury data, collected by HSE and HSENI for 2001/02 is at Annex A. A copy of the HSC publication ‘Health and Safety Statistics Highlights 2001/02’ is enclosed.

**Northern Ireland**

During 2001/2002 no improvement notices were issued under the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations (Northern Ireland) relating to offences connected to the employment of young persons.

During 2001/2002 no prohibition notices were issued in Northern Ireland under the Employment of Women, Young Persons and Children Act 1920.

During 2001/2002 no prosecutions were taken under the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations (Northern Ireland) relating to offences relating to offences connected to the employment of young persons.
Annex A

Injuries to workers and members of the public (aged 01-15 years) as reported to HSE and Local Authorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fatal</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Over-3-day</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001/02</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4564</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4584</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Injuries on work experience to 14-18 year olds in Great Britain, reported to HSE and Local Authorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Fatality</th>
<th>Major Injury</th>
<th>Over 3 day / minor</th>
<th>Sector Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001/02</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Injuries to workers (age 18 years and under), as reported to HSE and Local Authorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Fatality</th>
<th>Major Injury</th>
<th>Over 3 day / minor</th>
<th>Sector Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001/02</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>1023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Services</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>2582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>3230</td>
<td>4217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rate of fatal injury to male\(^{(a)}\) workers by age, as reported to all enforcing authorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age band</th>
<th>Rate, per 100,000, of fatal injury 01/02p</th>
<th>Number of fatalities 01/02p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-24</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages(^{(b)})</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(p =\) provisional

\(^{(a)}\) Figures are for male workers only as 96-98% of fatal injuries are to men
(b) the total number of fatal injuries for all ages includes those where age is not recorded (21 in 01/02p)

Rates of injury to male and female workers by age, as reported to all enforcing authorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age band</th>
<th>Major Male</th>
<th>Major Female</th>
<th>Over-3-day Male</th>
<th>Over-3-day Female</th>
<th>Total non-fatal Male</th>
<th>Total non-fatal Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-19</td>
<td>144.4</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>506.0</td>
<td>187.6</td>
<td>650.4</td>
<td>240.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>146.7</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>656.8</td>
<td>229.9</td>
<td>793.5</td>
<td>278.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>134.5</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>696.5</td>
<td>235.5</td>
<td>831.0</td>
<td>275.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>135.8</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>729.7</td>
<td>267.7</td>
<td>865.5</td>
<td>308.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>142.3</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>673.9</td>
<td>270.1</td>
<td>816.2</td>
<td>329.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>149.8</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>610.7</td>
<td>265.5</td>
<td>760.5</td>
<td>345.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-64</td>
<td>169.7</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>626.8</td>
<td>218.7</td>
<td>796.5</td>
<td>308.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>108.3</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>182.1</td>
<td>106.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>156.7</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>718.4</td>
<td>272.9</td>
<td>875.1</td>
<td>330.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p = provisional

N.B. all statistics are from HSE's Safety and Enforcement Statistics Branch

Northern Ireland

Please note these statistics only apply to accidents reported to HSENI.

Injuries to workers and members of the public aged under 16 years old in 2001/02 as reported to HSENI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fatal</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Over 3 day</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Injuries to 14-18 year olds on work experience in 2001/02 as reported to HSENI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Fatal</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Over 3 day</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Injuries to workers aged 18 years and under in 2001/02 as reported to HSENI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Fatal</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Over 3 day</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rate of injuries in 2001/02 by age band as reported to HSENI
This information cannot be provided as the number of injuries by age band is unavailable.
for the period 1 June 2002 to 31 May 2003 made by the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, in accordance with Article 22 of the Constitution of the International Labour Organisation, on the measures taken to give effect to the provisions of the

MINIMUM AGE CONVENTION, 1973 (NO 138)

ratification of which was registered on 7 June 2000

A full, first report was submitted in 2002. Changes to that report are as follows.

**Paragraph 5**

For clarification only: The minimum age for admission to full time employment or work in England and Wales is the time when a child ceases to be of compulsory school age. Compulsory school age ends on the last Friday in June in the school year in which a child reaches the age of 16 years.

**Paragraph 31**

Following machinery of Government changes, the parent Department for legislation on the health and safety of people at work has changed from the Department for Transport (DfT) to the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP).

**Paragraphs 34 – 36**

**Health and Safety Enforcement and Health and Injury Data, England and Wales**

During 2001/2002 there were eight improvement notices issued under the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations relating to offences connected to the employment of young persons.

During 2001/2002 there was one prohibition notice issued under the Employment of Women, Young Persons and Children Act 1920.

During 2001/02 three prosecutions were taken under the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations relating to offences connected to the employment of young persons.

Health and Injury data collected by HSE and HSENI for 2001/02 is at Annex A.

A copy of the HSC publication 'Health and Safety Statistical Highlights 2001/02' is enclosed.
Northern Ireland

During 2001/2002 no improvement notices were issued under the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations (Northern Ireland) relating to offences connected to the employment of young persons.

During 2001/2002 no prohibiting notices were issued in Northern Ireland under the employment of Women, Young Persons and Childrens Act 1920.

During 2001/02 no prosecutions were taken under the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations (Northern Ireland) relating to offences connected to the employment of young persons.
## Annex A

Injuries to workers and members of the public (aged 01-15 years) as reported to HSE and Local Authorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fatal</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Over-3-day</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001/02</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4564</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4584</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Injuries on work experience to 14-18 year olds in Great Britain, reported to HSE and Local Authorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Fatality</th>
<th>Major Injury</th>
<th>Over 3 day / minor</th>
<th>Sector Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001/02</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Injuries to workers (age 18 years and under), as reported to HSE and Local Authorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Fatality</th>
<th>Major Injury</th>
<th>Over 3 day / minor</th>
<th>Sector Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001/02</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>1023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Services</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>2582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>3230</td>
<td>4217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rate of fatal injury to male(a) workers by age, as reported to all enforcing authorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age band</th>
<th>Rate, per 100,000, of fatal injury 01/02p</th>
<th>Number of fatalities 01/02p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-24</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages(b)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rates of injury to male and female workers by age, as reported to all enforcing authorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age band</th>
<th>Major Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Over-3-day Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total non-fatal Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-19</td>
<td>144.4</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>506.0</td>
<td>187.6</td>
<td>650.4</td>
<td>240.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>146.7</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>656.8</td>
<td>229.9</td>
<td>793.5</td>
<td>278.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>134.5</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>696.5</td>
<td>235.5</td>
<td>831.0</td>
<td>275.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>135.8</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>729.7</td>
<td>267.7</td>
<td>865.5</td>
<td>308.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>142.3</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>673.9</td>
<td>270.1</td>
<td>816.2</td>
<td>329.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>149.8</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>610.7</td>
<td>265.5</td>
<td>760.5</td>
<td>345.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-64</td>
<td>169.7</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>626.8</td>
<td>218.7</td>
<td>796.5</td>
<td>308.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>108.3</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>182.1</td>
<td>106.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>156.7</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>718.4</td>
<td>272.9</td>
<td>875.1</td>
<td>330.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B. all statistics are from HSE’s Safety and Enforcement Statistics Branch

Northern Ireland

Please note these statistics only apply to accidents reported to HSEN1.

Injuries to workers and members of the public aged under 16 years old in 2001/02 as reported to HSEN1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fatal</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Over 3 day</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Injuries to 14-18 year olds on work experience in 2001/02 as reported to HSEN1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Fatal</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Over 3 day</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Injuries to workers aged 18 years and under in 2001/02 as reported to HSENI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Fatal</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Over 3 day</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rate of injuries in 2001/02 by age band as reported to HSENI

This information cannot be provided as the number of injuries by age band is unavailable.

**Monitoring**

4. DTI leads on the Young Workers' Directive and reports to the EC on its implementation, although HSE conducts research into the health and safety of young people and collects relevant health and injury data (see Annex 7 and above).

5. The Health and Safety Commission's Strategic Plan of Work has ambitious programmes for the coming years to reduce injury and ill health and addressing areas of high identified risk e.g. construction, agriculture and falls from a height. HSE is specifically charged with the duty to pay particular attention to the needs of vulnerable groups - this includes young people and to identify appropriate action. This means that we monitor the position on young people in areas of identified risk to see whether new limits on their work need to be introduced. The Northern Ireland Corporate Plan for 2002-2005 is enclosed.
CHILD LABOUR AND THE INTERNATIONAL LABOUR ORGANISATION (ILO) CONVENTION 182: UK PROGRAMME OF ACTION

Background

1. The UK has made a significant contribution to ensuring that the international framework to tackle child labour throughout the world is in place. The UK played a leading role in negotiating ILO Convention 182 on the Elimination of the Worst Forms of Child Labour, and the ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work both of which are vitally important in the fight against child labour.

2. The UK Government has ratified both of the ILO Child Labour Conventions - Convention 182 (Elimination of the Worst Forms of Child Labour) and Convention 138 (Minimum Age for Employment). Both Conventions are now in force in the UK.

3. The Government also provides substantial financial support to the ILO to help it carry out its work in regard to combating child labour internationally; and to other child labour projects including those involving NGOs.

Children in the UK

4. The UK's approach to combating the worst forms of child labour is based on:

  legislation which protects children from the worst forms of child labour. The UK report on Convention 182 provides details of a range of legislation relevant to combating child labour. As the report explains, the UK has also introduced new offences and increased penalties in regard to activities covered under the Convention and proposes to introduce new legislation which will further strengthen the legislative framework which contributes to combating child labour in the UK.

  monitoring and inspection of children' welfare at and outside work. The UK reports on Convention 182 and Convention 138 provide details of the agencies involved in monitoring activities covered by the Convention; the UK's inter-Departmental and multi-agency approaches (which include interests outside government) to monitoring such activities; and details of prosecutions against illegal child work.

  raising awareness nationally eg through the production and dissemination of a range of information and good practice, including for immigration officers, employers, teachers and others involved in safeguarding the well-being children.

  promoting Convention 182 nationally and internationally including for example by negotiating strongly to help ensure that the UNGASS for Children statement included specific references to Conventions 138 and 182; and participating in relevant events such as the Conference discussion of the ILO Global
tripartite and other consultation. As detailed in the report, workers and employers organisations have been consulted on a range of related issues including the implementation of the health and safety aspects of the Young Workers Directive; and are represented on the Health and Safety Commission along with other key interests. We also intend to meet with the TUC and CBI on an annual basis specifically to discuss the implementation of Convention 182 and 138.

education which is compulsory, free and universal in the UK for children between the ages of 5-16 (4-16 in Northern Ireland). Free provision is also being extended to children under 5. The Government is also successfully promoting continuing education for 16-18 year olds.

The UK contribution to international action

5. The UK provides substantial financial and technical assistance to the ILO’s International Programme for the Elimination of Child Labour and supports other international agencies such as UNICEF.

6. With regard to business, we support efforts by business to ensure that child labour is eliminated from their operations and supply chains through organisations such as the Ethical Trading Initiative and the Resource Centre for Social Dimensions of Business Practice.

7. With regard to civil society we support the work of non-governmental organisations such as Anti-slavery International and Save the Children.

8. The UK is also working with other governments, with the EU, and with international organisations such as the UN. The Government has signed the new Convention against Transnational Organised Crime which includes a Protocol on the trafficking of women and children. We have also signed the optional protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child which strengthens the protection it provides against the sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography; and have ratified other key international instruments as detailed in the report.

9. The UK is also working directly with NGOs and governments to address the problem. Our bilateral programmes support governments working to eliminate child labour, such as the programme in Andhra Pradesh, India. And our Department for International Development is providing £2.9 million for a programme in the Greater Mekong region, covering parts of Cambodia, China, Laos, Thailand and Vietnam which addresses prevention, protection and rehabilitation of trafficked women and children.

10. Further details are provided in the UK report.
Recent Initiatives

11. The Government has recently published a document entitled 'Liberating Children - Combating Hidden and Harmful Child Labour'.

12. The publication aims to increase public awareness and understanding of the worst forms of child labour and what can be done about it. It provides details of the international framework for action, specific Government initiatives to combat child labour, and includes a number of case studies.

13. The document also suggests ways in which civil society and the public can help in the fight against child labour. A copy is enclosed.

Future Action

14. The Government believes it has in place firm policies to prohibit child labour within the UK.

15. We also have in place commitments to strengthen our policies; and to help other countries in their fight to eliminate child labour internationally.

16. But we do not underestimate the challenge. Tackling child labour is an essential component of the way the UK Government works to eliminate poverty, and promote human rights and social justice.

17. Our future action will focus on

   continuing to support and strengthen organisations tackling child labour, internationally, nationally and locally through targeted programmes and by supporting appropriate policies for long-term, sustainable changes which benefit children.

   continuing to promote ILO Convention 182 on the Elimination of the Worst Forms of Child Labour and Convention 138 on the Minimum Age for Employment in national and international fora.

   Monitoring the implementation of the Convention in the UK, including through consultation with other Government Departments.

   Continuing to consult on Convention 182 on a tripartite basis specifically consulting UK workers and employers organisations on monitoring of the implementation of the Convention; and the list of hazardous work covered under Article 3 (d).
Table 2 Crimes recorded by the police; persons cautioned and defendants proceeded against at magistrates' courts and convicted\(^{(1)}\) at all courts for violent and sexual offences against young people where the age of the victim is identified by the offence, 1999/2000-2002/2003\(^{(2)}\) (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offences</th>
<th>Persons proceeded against</th>
<th>Persons convicted(^{(1)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sexual offences (continued.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempted rape of a female aged under 16(^{(3)})</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempted rape of a male aged under 16(^{(5)})</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indecent assault on a female under 16</td>
<td>1,628</td>
<td>1,792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlawful sexual intercourse with girl under 13</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlawful sexual intercourse with girl under 16</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incest with a girl under 13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incesting girl under 16 to have incestuous sexual intercourse</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Householder permitting unlawful sexual intercourse with girl under 16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person responsible for girl under 16 causing or encouraging her prostitution etc.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male aged 21 or over procuring or attempting to procure a male under 15 of gross indecency with another male(^{(6)})</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction of unmarried girl under 16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction of unmarried girl under 18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross indecency with boys aged 16 and under(^{(4)})</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross indecency with girls aged 16 and under(^{(8)})</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other indictable offences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take or make indecent photographs, or pseudo-photographs of children</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUMMARY OFFENCES**

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cruelty to or neglect of children</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possession of an indecent photograph, or pseudo-photograph of a child</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Includes persons proceeded against in earlier years or for other offences.
(2) Recorded crime and court proceedings data are not comparable since the former relates to the number of offences recorded by the police whilst the latter relates to offenders. 2003 data on the numbers of persons cautioned, proceeded against or convicted will not be available until December 2004.
(3) Buggery and attempted buggery offences under the Sexual Offences Act 1968 Section 12 as amended by the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994, Section 143.
(4) Indecency between males offences under the Sexual Offences Act 1968 Section 12 as amended by the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994, Section 144.
(5) Rape and attempted rape offences under the Sexual Offences Act 1968 Section 1 as amended by the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994, Section 142.
(6) Refers to children aged less than 14 prior to 2001.
Source: Offending and Criminal Justice Group (RDS), Home Office
ad-hoc 096-04
Table 2 'Crimes recorded by the police: persons cautioned and defendants proceeded against at magistrates’ courts and convicted\(^{(1)}\) at all courts for violent and sexual offences against young people where the age of the victim is identified by the offence, 1999/2000-2002/2003\(^{(2)}\)(continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>England and Wales</th>
<th>Number of persons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persons proceeded against</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INDICTABLE OFFENCES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Violence against the person</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murder of infant under 1 year of age</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infanticide</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child destruction</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruelty to, or neglect of children</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abandoning children under 2 years</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child abduction</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concealment of birth</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sexual offences</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buggery with a boy under the age of 16 or with a woman or an animal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempt to commit buggery with a boy under the age of 16 or with a woman or an animal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buggery by a male of a male under 16(^{(3)})</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buggery by a male aged 21 or over with a male aged 16 or 17(^{(3)})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buggery by a male aged 18-20 with a male aged 16 or 17(^{(3)})</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buggery by a male with a female under 16(^{(3)})</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buggery by a male aged 21 or over with a female aged 16 or 17(^{(3)})</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buggery by a male aged 18-20 with a female aged 16 or 17(^{(3)})</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indecent assault on male person under 16 years</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross indecency by a male aged 21 or over with a male aged under 16(^{(4)})</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross indecency by a male aged 18-20 with a male aged under 16(^{(4)})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape of a female aged under 16(^{(5)})</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape of a male aged under 16(^{(5)})</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offences</td>
<td>Number of offences</td>
<td>Number of persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2001/2002</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sexual offences (continued)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempted rape of a female aged under 16(6)</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempted rape of a male aged under 16(6)</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indecent assault on a female under 16</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlawful sexual intercourse with girl under 13</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlawful sexual intercourse with girl under 16</td>
<td>1,270</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incest with a girl under 13</td>
<td>1,237</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incest with a girl under 13 to have incestuous sexual intercourse</td>
<td>1,331</td>
<td>1,514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Householder permitting unlawful sexual intercourse with girl under 16</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person responsible for girl under 16 causing or encouraging her prostitution etc.</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male aged 21 or over procuring or attempting to procure a male under 18 of gross indecency with another male(8)</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction of unmarried girl under 16</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction of unmarried girl under 18</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross indecency with boys aged 16 and under (8)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross indecency with girls aged 16 and under (8)</td>
<td>1,365</td>
<td>1,336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,661</td>
<td>1,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Indictable Offences</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take or make indecent photographs, or pseudo-photographs, of children</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUMMARY OFFENCES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruelty to or neglect of children</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possession of an indecent photograph, or pseudo-photograph, of a child</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2  Crimes recorded by the police: persons cautioned and defendants proceeded against at magistrates’ courts and convicted\(^{(1)}\) at all courts for violent and sexual offences against young people where the age of the victim is identified by the offence, 1999/2000-2002/2003\(^{(2)}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offences</th>
<th>Recorded crime</th>
<th>Persons cautioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000/2001</td>
<td>2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2001/2002</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2002/2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INDICTABLE OFFENCES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Violence against the person</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murder of infant under 1 year of age</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infanticide</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child destruction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruelty to, or neglect of children</td>
<td>2,631</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abandoning children under 2 years</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child abduction</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concealment of birth</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sexual offences</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buggery with a boy under the age of 16 or with</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a woman or an animal</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempt to commit buggery with a boy under</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the age of 16 or with a woman or an animal</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buggery by a male of a male under 16(^{(3)})</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buggery by a male aged 21 or over with a male</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aged 16 or 17(^{(4)})</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buggery by a male aged 18-20 with a male aged</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 or 17(^{(4)})</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buggery by a male with a female under 16(^{(5)})</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buggery by a male aged 21 or over with a</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female aged 16 or 17(^{(4)})</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buggery by a male aged 18-20 with a female</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aged 16 or 17(^{(4)})</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indecent assault on male person under 16 years</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross indecency by a male aged 21 or over with</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a male aged under 16(^{(6)})</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross indecency by a male aged 18-20 with a</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male aged under 16(^{(6)})</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape of a female aged under 16(^{(6)})</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape of a male aged under 16(^{(6)})</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>