Taking into account the Sami people, an indigenous people, also recognized as a national minority living in four different countries in northern Europe (Norway, Sweden, Finland and Russia),

Considering the competences and the consultative status of the Sami parliaments established in three of these countries (Finland, Norway and Sweden),

Notwithstanding the uniqueness of the experience of indigenous (and minority) parliaments,

There are still some pre-conditions which need to be ensured, and may highlighted as follows:

- **‘Soft’ versus ‘hard’ forms of consultation:** The Sami Parliaments are ‘consultative mechanisms’, but serve mainly an advisory function, and no mandatory action follows the hearing of the Sami parliaments in Norway and Sweden. Notwithstanding their increased political status, they have limited decision-making authority, and their influence on Sami economic, social and cultural issues is therefore limited. The case of Finland should be formally apart, since in principle the government authorities have an obligation to negotiate with the Sami Parliament any act that may affect directly or indirectly the (listed) Sami issues in Section 9 of the Finnish Sami Act. However, no formal forum or body has been structured to fulfil this obligation, and the negotiation procedure still needs to be further developed.

- **‘Genuine’ representation:** In the elections of the Sami parliaments. The figures confirm this aspect in Norway and Sweden. Moreover, the mandate and the consultative status of the Sami parliaments resulted to be unclear on certain occasions.

- **‘Cultural’ Autonomy:** Albeit forms of ‘cultural’ autonomy are exercised by the Sami parliaments in Finland and in Norway, whereas in Sweden ‘cultural’ autonomy has not been implemented yet despite repeated attempts by the Sami organizations and national committees.

---

1 References to “Background Document by the Independent Expert on Minority Issues, Gay Mcdougall, on minorities and effective political participation” (UN Doc. A/HRC/6/Final/2009/3, 8 October 2009):
- section IV. Preconditions for and obstacles to effective political participation, paras.26, 27 and 28;
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2 See Rainer Hofmann, “Political Participation of Minorities”, in EYMI (2006/7), 5-17, 14.
5 Para.178 and at 32, Advisory Committee Second Opinion on Finland, adopted on 2 March 2006, published on 20 April 2006. According to the Finnish central authorities the negotiation policy is working, while the Sami parliament has claimed rather a form of ‘soft’ consultation, since many of their proposals did not influence the final documents as it should. See para.155, Advisory Committee Second Opinion on Finland, adopted on 2 March 2006, published on 20 April 2006.
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8 In Finland, during 2008 the Finnish Ministries of Justice and Agriculture have been negotiating new legislation in the field of land ownership, land use and reindeer herding in the Sami traditional territories, formally consulting the Sami Parliament. Nevertheless neither was clear the mandate of the Sami Parliament on these issues, nor the communication was transparent, especially vis-à-vis those Sami organizations and communities of reindeer herders directly affected. IWGIA, op.cit. note 3, 35-36.
9 In Sweden, in particular, the Sami Parliament is very limited, and rather concentrated on reindeer husbandry (Para. 24 et seq. Advisory Committee Second Opinion on Sweden, adopted on 8 November 2007, published on 30 January 2008. See also the debate in the Swedish parliament about the law on the Sami parliament as reported by Jøsafsen, op.cit. note 4, 16. In particular, the Swedish Lagting (house of the Swedish Parliament) in Section 1 of the Sami Assembly Act (Act No. 41/1989), declared that the Sami Parliament should have been delegated the management of Sami culture issues (Jøsafsen, op.cit. note 4, 20). In a recent case reported by the newspapers on reindeer herding, the governmental working group on Sami issues proposed a major involvement of the Sami Parliament when dealing with issues affecting their living conditions. (The Local, “More freedom proposed for Sami reindeer herders”, The Local, 16 September 2009, at <http://www.thelocal.se/22114/20090916>). A report commissioned by the Swedish government to a Sami Parliamentary Commission has been submitted in 2002. It underlined the importance of a constitutional recognition of the Sami ‘cultural’ autonomy, and a certain level of self-government, but this has not been implemented yet. Baer, Lars-Anders Baer, “The Rights of Indigenous Peoples – A Brief Introduction in the Context of the Sámi”, 8 JMG (2001), 245-267, 258-259.
Finally, issues of discrimination and cross-border cooperation, especially vis-à-vis the Sami resident in Russia and the adoption of the Nordic Sami Convention, should be taken into account. Moreover, Sami participation at state level still needs to be ensured in all the three Nordic countries.  

The following measures and considerations may serve as suggestions, also in similar cases:

- **Empowering the three Sami parliaments with (effective) binding consultations on acts directly affecting Sami issues.** The spectrum of the ‘veto powers’ and the consequent block or paralysis of acts’ enactment procedures could be (partially) avoided by, e.g., a reinforced procedure of enactment. For instance, a twofold approval on an act affecting Sami issues by a Parliamentary Commission and the Sami Parliament, and a Conciliatory committee in case of disagreement raised by the two-thirds of the Sami Parliament on the second turn, could be a fair compromise. Other means may be envisaged, especially according to the peculiar characteristics of the country and the community.

- **Pursuing a genuine representation in the Sami parliaments.** Information campaigns may help in involving the Sami living in the three countries, as well as means of participation of the Sami political parties.

- **Enhancing the participation of Sami in state and local public administrations,** via either (genuine) Sami representatives in the national parliaments or in the regional and local governments, or ad hoc cooperation between the local administrations and the Sami Parliaments, as, e.g., in the case of the Troms County Municipality in Norway.  

In Sweden and Finland, the standards of participation and consultation guaranteed by the ILO Convention No.169 would certainly help to enhance the political participation of the Sami people. Norway ratified the ILO Convention No.169 in 1990.

Finally, as renown, political participation does not only enhance minority identity, but also minority-majority peaceful relations, and, therefore, national stability.
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