

११०५९ १५८८ ५११५५११५ १५ ४११ ३११०५३ ५१०५-५१



Begin All Things By First Using The All

**:At-sik-hata :Nation of :Yamasee-Moors “Conscientious Objection to Military Service”**

<https://documents-dds-ny.un.org/doc/RESOLUTION/GEN/G12/152/88/PDF/G1215288.pdf?OpenElement>

Calls upon all States to continue to review, as appropriate, their laws, policies and practices relating to conscientious objection to military service, including by considering, inter alia, introducing alternatives to military service, in the light of the present resolution.

[http://www.etymonline.com/index.php?allowed\\_in\\_frame=0&search=conscientious](http://www.etymonline.com/index.php?allowed_in_frame=0&search=conscientious)

**conscientious objector(n.)** 1896, in reference to those with religious scruples about mandatory vaccination. Military Sence predominated from World War I.

**Conscience(n.)** early 13c., from Old Frence Conscience “conscience, innermost thoughts, desires, intentins; feelings” (12c.), from Latin conscientia “knowledge within oneself, sense of right, a moral sense,” rom conscientem(nominative consciens), present participle of conscire, “be(mutually) aware,” From com-“with,” or “thoroughly”(cee com-) + scire “to know”(see science).

[http://www.etymonline.com/index.php?term=conscience&allowed\\_in\\_frame=0](http://www.etymonline.com/index.php?term=conscience&allowed_in_frame=0)

**Military :** mid-15c., from Middle French *militaire* (14c.), from Latin *militaris* "of soldiers or war, of military service, warlike," from *miles* (genitive *militis*) "soldier," of unknown origin, perhaps ultimately from Etruscan, or else meaning "one who marches in a troop," and thus connected to Sanskrit *melah* "assembly," Greek *homilos* "assembled crowd, throng." Related: *Militarily*. Old English had *militisc*, from Latin. *Military-industrial complex* coined 1961 in farewell speech of U.S. president Dwight D. Eisenhower.

**Military(n.)** “Soldiers generally, “ 1757, from military(adj.). Earlier, “a military man”(1736). [http://www.etymonline.com/index.php?allowed\\_in\\_frame=0&search=military](http://www.etymonline.com/index.php?allowed_in_frame=0&search=military)

**General Orders No. 100 : The Lieber Code**

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE GOVERNMENT OF ARMIES OF THE UNITED STATES IN THE FIELD

**Art. 33. It is no longer considered lawful - on the contrary, it is held to be a serious breach of the law of war - to force the subjects of the enemy into the service of the victorious government, except the latter should proclaim, after a fair and complete conquest of the hostile country or district, that it is resolved to keep the country, district, or place permanently as its own and make it a portion of its own country.**

[http://avalon.law.yale.edu/19th\\_century/lieber.asp#sec2](http://avalon.law.yale.edu/19th_century/lieber.asp#sec2)

RELEVANT INFORMATION FOR REPORT ON CONSCIENTIOUS OBJECTION TO MILITARY SERVICE:

1. "BLACK" has NO STANDING AT LAW. As such they are NOT Entitled to Human Rights as they are not considered Human.
2. "Black" People have no rights Affected because they are not considered Human under International Law. "Black" is not a race, language, land, country, culture, ethnicity and as such is not entitled to Human Right and Protections under International law.
3. "Black"(African, African-Americas, African-Canadian, Afro-Latino, Afro-Caribbean etc) and those who visually look "Black" (sic/color-of-law- construct)have no rights which are affected.(Unless the do an SF-181 and Self-Identify as White and in other cases American-Indian).
4. The Military cannot be sued.
5. Article 1 Indigenous peoples have the right to the full enjoyment, as a collective or as individuals, of all human rights and fundamental freedoms as recognized in the Charter of the United Nations, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights<sup>4</sup> and international human rights law.
6. Article 3 Indigenous peoples have the right to self-determination. By virtue of that right they freely determine their political status and freely pursue their economic, social and cultural development.
7. Article 8 - 1. Indigenous peoples and individuals have the right not to be subjected to forced assimilation or destruction of their culture. 2. States shall provide effective mechanisms for prevention of, and redress for: (a) Any action which has the aim or effect of depriving them of their integrity as distinct peoples, or of their cultural values or ethnic identities; (b) Any action which has the aim or effect of dispossessing them of their lands, territories or resources; (c) Any form of forced population transfer which has the aim or effect of violating or undermining any of their rights; (d) Any form of forced assimilation or integration; (e) Any form of propaganda designed to promote or incite racial or ethnic discrimination directed against them.
8. Article 10 Indigenous peoples shall not be forcibly removed from their lands or territories. No relocation shall take place without the free, prior and informed consent of the indigenous peoples concerned and after agreement on just and fair compensation and, where possible, with the option of return.
9. Article 11 - 1. Indigenous peoples have the right to practise and revitalize their cultural traditions and customs. This includes the right to maintain, protect and develop the past, present and future manifestations of their cultures, such as archaeological and historical sites, artefacts, designs, ceremonies, technologies and visual and performing arts and literature. 2. States shall provide redress through effective mechanisms, which may include restitution, developed in conjunction with indigenous peoples, with respect to their cultural, intellectual, religious and spiritual property taken without their free, prior and informed consent or in violation of their laws,

traditions and customs. Article 12 1. Indigenous peoples have the right to manifest, practise, develop and teach their spiritual and religious traditions, customs and ceremonies; the right to maintain, protect, and have access in privacy to their religious and cultural sites; the right to the use and control of their ceremonial objects; and the right to the repatriation of their human remains. 2. States shall seek to enable the access and/or repatriation of ceremonial objects and human remains in their possession through fair, transparent and effective mechanisms developed in conjunction with indigenous peoples concerned.

10. Article 30 - 1. Military activities shall not take place in the lands or territories of indigenous peoples, unless justified by a relevant public interest or otherwise freely agreed with or requested by the indigenous peoples concerned. 2. States shall undertake effective consultations with the indigenous peoples concerned, through appropriate procedures and in particular through their representative institutions, prior to using their lands or territories for military activities.

11. Article 31 - 1. Indigenous peoples have the right to maintain, control, protect and develop their cultural heritage, traditional knowledge and traditional cultural expressions, as well as the manifestations of their sciences, technologies and cultures, including human and genetic resources, seeds, medicines, knowledge of the properties of fauna and flora, oral traditions, literatures, designs, sports and traditional games and visual and performing arts. They also have the right to maintain, control, protect and develop their intellectual property over such cultural heritage, traditional knowledge, and traditional cultural expressions.

**12. Governments should Consider the following:**

i) All “**BLACK**” “Black”(African, African-Americas, African-Canadian, Afro-Latino, Afro-Caribbean etc) and those who visually look “Black” (sic/color-of-law-construct)have no rights. However, this brings up a problem that those of “Black”(African, African-Americas, African-Canadian, Afro-Latino, Afro-Caribbean etc) and those who visually look “Black” (sic/color-of-law- construct) categories have sworn false oaths; false oaths means those “Black”(African, African-Americas, African-Canadian, Afro-Latino, Afro-Caribbean etc) and those who visually look “Black” (sic/color-of-law- construct)have conducted Human Rights Violations, Genocide, Apartheid, etc.with the full knowledge, endorsement and participation by said military.

ii) Matthew Chapter 5: 33-37 <sup>33</sup> Again, ye have heard that it hath been said by them of old time, Thou shalt not forswear thyself, but shalt perform unto the Lord thine oaths:  
<sup>34</sup> But I say unto you, Swear not at all; neither by heaven; for it is God's throne:  
<sup>35</sup> Nor by the earth; for it is his footstool: neither by Jerusalem; for it is the city of the great King.  
<sup>36</sup> Neither shalt thou swear by thy head, because thou canst not make one hair white or black.  
<sup>37</sup> But let your communication be, Yea, yea; Nay, nay: for whatsoever is more than these cometh of evil.

iii) It is Valid to note that Most if not all Military have a Religious branch and since it is a matter of public record that the Bible in the New Testatment quotes “Jesus” as “God’s” “Son” on Earth, ALL Oaths are Fraudulent. This means that ALL Military for States (United Nations Members and Non-Members) are guilty of violation their Religious Contractual Obligations.( See; Exdus Chapter 20: 13-16).

- iv) The Military which conscripted “Black”(African, African-Americans, African-Canadian, Afro-Latino, Afro-Caribbean etc) and those who visually look “Black” (sic/color-of-law-construct)have no rights is guilty of Violating International Law and the liber code in Art. 33.

v. "If my sons did not want wars, there would be none." Gutle Schnaper Rothschild  
<https://www.truthseekers.com/post/17634>

v) Mishnah about going before 71 judges before going to war.

**Numbers 11:16**

vi) According to Exodus Chapter 23:9 “Thou Shall not oppress a Stranger”  
<http://www.sidneyrigdon.com/dbroadhu/PA/Phil1800.htm>

FOR THE SATURDAY EVENING POST.

**FOUR LETTERS.**

**ON AMERICAN HISTORY.**

**By Prof. Rafinesque, to Dr. J. H. M'Culloh, of Baltimore.**

**FIRST LETTER.**

*.....while there is not a Red Man, (nor never was,) in this continent. To this day do they attempt to separate the American languages from all others, while their roots and structure are exactly like many in the Eastern Continent. When we are led by systems, or do not investigate and compare subjects in all their bearings, we are apt to fall into these delusive mistakes. But whoever will take the trouble, (as I have done,) to compare the features, languages, religions, customs, &c. of all the nations of the five parts of this world, Asia, Europe, Africa, Polynesia, and America, will find, (as I have found,) that mankind is a unity with many deviations of features, complexions, languages, religions, governments, civilizations, &c. all derived from single primitive types of those effect, and a common central focus. To evince this result in a single but striking point, doubted to this day by superficial inquirers, it is sufficient to mention that **there were in America, before Columbus came, nations and tribes of the following complexions: coppered, tawny, olive, dusky, white or pale yellow, dark brown, and black; (but none red unless painted,) and that all these complexions are also found in Asia, in Polynesia, and in Africa. The native American Negroes or black Indians, have been seen in Brazil, Guyana, Caraccas, Popayan, Choco, North California, &c. Some of them, such as the Aroras or Caroras of Cumana, were black, but with fine features and long hair, like the Jolofs and Gallas of Africa. Others in New California, latitude 32, called Esteros, are like the Hottentots, Numuquas, Tambukis, and many other Nigritian tribes, not black, but dark brown, yet complete Negroes, with large thick lips, broad flat noses, and very ugly,***

13. AACRAO a a non-profit, voluntary, professional association of more than 11,000 higher education professionals who represent approximately 2,600 institutions in more than 40 countries. (<http://www.aacrao.org/resources/compliance/ipeds-reporting/definitions-for-new-race-and-ethnicity-categories>) endorses the U.S. SF-181 and the Sf 181 Form is Also Promoted by the U.S. Department of Education

on the IPEDS – Race and Ethnicity(<https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/reic/definitions.asp> ; Pie Charts: <https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10203854289157741&set=a.1020385428917735.1073741943.1835861400&type=3&theater> ). These links prove that Black/African-Americans are misidentifying and misclassifying themselves as “Black” and as such cannot nor are they entitled to get justice, remedy, rights, protections under domestic and international law nor recourse domestically and internationally as Black/African-American is not a race and is not human.

NOTE: [Africa \(n.\)](#) 

Latin Africa (terra) "African land, Libya, the Carthaginian territory," fem. of adjective Africus, from Afer "an African," a word of uncertain origin. The Latin word originally was used only in reference to the region around modern Tunisia; it gradually was extended to the whole continent. Derivation from Arabic afar "dust, earth" is tempting, but the early date seems to argue against it. The Middle English word was Affrike. ---

[http://www.etymonline.com/index.php?term=Africa&allowed\\_in\\_frame=0](http://www.etymonline.com/index.php?term=Africa&allowed_in_frame=0)

[black \(n.\)](#) 

Old English blæc "the color black," also "ink," from noun use of [black](#) (adj.) - [http://www.etymonline.com/index.php?allowed\\_in\\_frame=0&search=black](http://www.etymonline.com/index.php?allowed_in_frame=0&search=black) .

NOTE: There is no Definition for “Black” in Black’s Law Dictionary and No Definition for “Black” in Bouvier’s Law Dictionary.(1856)

14. American: **AMER'ICAN**, n. A native of America; originally applied to the aboriginals, or copper-colored races, found here by the Europeans; but now applied to the descendants of Europeans born in America. The name American must always exalt the pride of patriotism. <http://1828.mshaffer.com/d/search/word,America> .

The fact that “Black”(African, African-Americans, African-Canadian, Afro-Latino, Afro-Caribbean etc) and those who visually look “Black” (sic/color-of-law- construct)have no rights which are affected(Unless the do an SF-181 and Self-Identify as White and in other cases American-Indian) have **no standing at law** and therefore they not recognized at Law and in Law, reveals the truth that “Black”(African, African-Americans, African-Canadian, Afro-Latino, Afro-Caribbean etc) and those who visually look “Black” (sic/color-of-law-construct)have no rights which are affected(Unless the do an SF-181 and Self-Identify as White and in other cases American-Indian) are not entitled to Human Rights, Indigenous Rights or any other kind of rights. See Dred Scott Decision( Scott v. Sanford 1857 – NOTE: this case has never been overturned by the U.S. Supreme Court. <https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/60/393/> ; The infamous, oft-quoted conclusion of the Supreme Court’s decision, written by Chief Justice **Roger B. Taney**, was that current or former slaves and their descendants had “**no rights which the white man was bound to respect.**”). Those in the legal community will point to 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment being the “solution” to this problem; however, the evidence which supports the fact Black/ African-American has no standing at law are the following:

14. The Security Council members: *The **permanent members** of the [United Nations Security Council](#), also known as the **Permanent Five, Big Five, or P5**, include the following five governments: [China](#), [France](#), [Russia](#), the [United Kingdom](#), and the [United States](#). The members represent the five [great powers](#) considered the victors of [World War II](#).<sup>[1]</sup> Each*

of the permanent members has the power to veto, enabling them to prevent the adoption of any "substantive" draft Council resolution, regardless of the level of international support for the draft. -

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Permanent\\_members\\_of\\_the\\_United\\_Nations\\_Security\\_Council](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Permanent_members_of_the_United_Nations_Security_Council) , ALL Know that "Black" has NO STANDING at law Internationally so they know they are under no obligation to consider "Black", "Black"(African, African-Americas, African-Canadian, Afro-Latino, Afro-Caribbean etc) and those who visually look "Black" (sic/color-of-law- construct) because they have no rights which are affected.(Unless the do an SF-181 and Self-Identify as White and in other cases American-Indian) and no Human rights. Nor do they need to ensure that "Black" Black"(African, African-Americas, African-Canadian, Afro-Latino, Afro-Caribbean etc) and those who visually look "Black" (sic/color-of-law- construct) because they have no rights and no have enjoyment of human rights because they know "Black" has NO STANDING at law. "Black"(African, African-Americas, African-Canadian, Afro-Latino, Afro-Caribbean etc) and those who visually look "Black" (sic/color-of-law- construct)have no rights which are affected(Unless the do an SF-181 and Self-Identify as White and in other cases American-Indian).

15. We are not aware of a refusal or refusals by a government to authorize a proposed arms transfer on the grounds of the risk of diversion of the arms, because "Black"(African, African-Americas, African-Canadian, Afro-Latino, Afro-Caribbean etc) and those who visually look "Black" (sic/color-of-law- construct)have no rights which are affected. <https://www.scribd.com/document/338150224/At-sik-hata-Nation-Submission-U-N-Input-Arms-Transfers-Human-Rights-19>

16. The Vatican is a Bank: The Institute for Works of Religion (IOR), commonly referred to as the Vatican Bank, is a privately held financial institution located inside Vatican City. Founded in 1942, the IOR's role is to safeguard and administer property intended for works of religion or charity. The bank accepts deposits only from top Church officials and entities, according to Italian legal scholar [Settimio Caridi](#). It is run by a president but overseen by five cardinals who report directly to the Vatican and the Vatican's secretary of state. Because so little is known about the bank's daily operations and transactions, it has often been called "the most secret bank in the world." - <http://www.forbes.com/sites/realspin/2012/06/26/the-vatican-bank-the-most-secret-bank-in-the-world/#59ed5f5b63ac>

17. The Vatican as well as all Christian Denominations deal in Chattels, Financial Securities and Investments. War is an investment and the Vatican/Holy See is also one of its financiers.

18. In the video provided below a friend of humanity, Santos Bonacci tells the Pope, Jorge Borgoglio, aka Pope Francisco, to stop the Vatican's greed. They turned everyone into decedents and slaves to steal their energy and Estate Trusts or Mortmain Credit Accounts. This is the reason for all the wars and tax slavery caused by the Vatican controlled Crown Banks, as explained in the text immediately below.

The Vatican owns the Crown/CITY OF LONDON and so, of course, the Crown Temple B.A.R. They own YOU through the BIRTH CERTIFICATE. You mother abandoned you, her child, to the STATE. Since 1933, upon each new child being borne, the Executors or Administrators of the higher Estate willingly and knowingly convey the beneficial entitlements of the child as Beneficiary into the 1st Cestui Que (Vie) Trust in the form of a Registry Number by registering the Name, thereby creating the Corporate Person and denying the child any rights as an owner of Real Property.

<http://www.rumormillnews.com/cgi-bin/archive2.cgi?read=39548> .

## 19. MESSAGE OF HIS HOLINESS POPE FRANCIS FOR THE CELEBRATION OF THE WORLD DAY OF PEACE

1 JANUARY 2015

### NO LONGER SLAVES, BUT BROTHERS AND SISTERS

1. At the beginning of this New Year, which we welcome as God's gracious gift to all humanity, I offer heartfelt wishes of peace to every man and woman, to all the world's peoples and nations, to heads of state and



government, and to religious leaders. In doing so, I pray for an end to wars, conflicts and the great suffering caused by human agency, by epidemics past and present, and by the devastation wrought by natural disasters. I pray especially that, on the basis of our common calling to cooperate with God and all people of good will for the advancement of harmony and peace in the world, we may resist the temptation to act in a manner unworthy of our humanity. [https://w2.vatican.va/content/francesco/en/messages/peace/documents/papa-francesco\\_20141208\\_messaggio-xlviigiornata-mondiale-pace-2015.html](https://w2.vatican.va/content/francesco/en/messages/peace/documents/papa-francesco_20141208_messaggio-xlviigiornata-mondiale-pace-2015.html) .

## **CONCLUSION**

Until the aforementioned legal facts are accepted, “Black”(African, African-Americans, African-Canadian, Afro-Latino, Afro-Caribbean etc) and those who visually look “Black” (sic/color-of-law- construct) have no rights which are affected (Unless they do an SF-181 and Self-Identify as White and in other cases American-Indian) are implemented and applied, the UN Security Council who have Nuclear weapons and sell arms across the planet, primarily to Poorer countries that are “Black”(African, African-Americans, African-Canadian, Afro-Latino, Afro-Caribbean etc) and those who visually look “Black” (sic/color-of-law- construct) because they have no rights which are affected (Unless they do an SF-181 and Self-Identify as White and in other cases American-Indian) to de-stablish them, are merely giving lip service to the public and privately are admitting that they have no interest in stopping war nor the Human Rights of “Black”(African, African-Americans, African-Canadian, Afro-Latino, Afro-Caribbean etc) and those who visually look “Black” (sic/color-of-law- construct) because they have no human rights nor **any** rights which are affected that requires the UN General Assembly and the UN Security Council to honor, protect and defend. (Unless they do an SF-181 and Self-Identify as White and in other cases White and American-Indian).

As Conscientious Objection to Military Service is concerned, “Black”(African, African-Americans, African-Canadian, Afro-Latino, Afro-Caribbean etc) and those who visually look “Black” (sic/color-of-law- construct), have NO RIGHTS and have no Human Rights and are NOT Considered Human as per the United Nations Charter and International law. “Black”(African, African-Americans, African-Canadian, Afro-Latino, Afro-Caribbean etc) and those who visually look “Black” (sic/color-of-law- construct) cannot conscientiously object, they have no rights that the UN is bound to respect and once conscripted/tricked into Military Service have no rights for compensation, restitution, damages, discrimination, abuse etc. that is done to them. The United Nations knows war is a racket, The UN Security Council knows that war is a racket and the UN General Assembly knows that war is a racket.

Question: does The United Nations, The UN Security Council and the UN General Assembly REALLY want to stop war? What sacrifices and investments are they willing to make? What are they willing to lose?

There should be NO WAR Period. However, since Rothschilds Banking Cartel is in control of central banks , Death is their industry militarily as well as Commercially. The Vatican / The Holy See is also complicit in this as well due to their investments in arms and participation in financing wars.

Until the commercial prison( Rothschilds Bank, Central Banking, Fractional Reserve Banking) is removed there will continue to be war on this planet.

War is a Racket by Major Smedley Darlington Butler <http://www.historyisaweapon.org/defcon1/warracket.html>

*For a great many years, as a soldier, I had a suspicion that war was a racket; not until I retired to WAR is a racket. It always has been.*

*It is possibly the oldest, easily the most profitable, surely the most vicious. It is the only one international in scope. It is the only one in which the profits are reckoned in dollars and the losses in lives.*

