

Updated draft legally binding instrument to regulate, in international human rights law, the activities of transnational corporations and other business enterprises

Preamble

The States Parties to this (Legally Binding Instrument),

(PP1) *Reaffirming* all the principles and purposes set out in the Charter of the United Nations; **(Chile, Panama, Malawi)**

(PP1) *Reaffirming* ~~all~~ the principles and purposes ~~set out in~~ of the Charter of the United Nations **and upholding the principles of sovereign equality, peaceful settlement of disputes, and maintenance of the territorial integrity and political independence of States as set out in Article 2 of the United Nations Charter; (Egypt, Cuba, Bolivia, Iran)**

(PP2) *Recalling* the nine core international human rights treaties adopted by the United Nations, and the eight fundamental conventions adopted by the International Labour Organization, as well as other relevant international human rights treaties and conventions adopted by the United Nations and by the International Labour Organization;

(PP2) *Recalling* the ~~nine~~ core international human rights treaties adopted by the United Nations, and the eight fundamental conventions adopted by the International Labour Organization, as well as other relevant international human rights ~~instruments treaties and conventions~~ adopted by the United Nations and by the International Labour Organization; **(Panama, Chile, Honduras, Malawi)**

(PP2) *Recalling* the ~~nine~~ core international human rights treaties adopted by the United Nations, and the ~~eight~~ fundamental conventions adopted by the International Labour Organization, as well as other relevant international human rights treaties and conventions adopted by the United Nations and by the International Labour Organization; **(Mexico, Cuba, Egypt, Chile, Panama, Brazil, Ecuador, Algeria, South Africa, Ghana)**

(PP2) *Recalling* the ~~nine core~~ international human rights treaties adopted by the United Nations, and the ~~eight~~ fundamental conventions adopted by the International Labour Organization, as well as other relevant international human rights treaties and conventions adopted by the United Nations and **labour standards adopted** by the International Labour Organization; **(United Kingdom, Chile, Panama, Honduras, Ecuador, South Africa, Malawi)**

(PP2) *Recalling* the ~~nine core~~ international human rights treaties adopted by the United Nations, and the ~~eight~~ fundamental conventions adopted by the International Labour Organization, as well as other relevant international human rights treaties and conventions adopted by the United Nations and by the

International Labour Organization, **including its labour standards**; (Mexico, Peru, South Africa, Ghana)

(PP2 bis) Reaffirming the principles of sovereign equality, territorial integrity and the duty not to intervene in matters within the domestic jurisdiction of any State, as stipulated in resolution 2625 approving the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Cooperation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations; (Mexico, Argentina, Chile, Panama, Peru)

(Suggests deleting Articles 14.1 and 14.2: Mexico, Panama)

(Opposes deletion: Argentina)

(PP3) *Recalling also* the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action, and all other internationally agreed human rights Declarations, as well as the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development;

(PP3) *Recalling also* the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action, and all other ~~internationally agreed~~ human rights Declarations, as well as the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development; **(Panama, Argentina, Uruguay, Peru)**

(Keep “internationally agreed”: Egypt, Cuba, Algeria, Ghana, Iran)

(PP3) *Recalling also* the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action, and all other internationally agreed human rights Declarations, as well as the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development **and the Declaration on the Right to Development and the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action**; **(Egypt, China, South Africa, Namibia, Iran)**

(PP3) *Recalling also* the Universal Declaration of Human Rights ~~and~~, the Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action, ~~and all other internationally agreed human rights Declarations~~, as well as the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development; **(USA)**

(PP3) *Recalling also* the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action, **the Declaration on the Right to Development, the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action, the UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders, the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, relevant ILO Conventions**, and all other internationally agreed human rights Declarations, as well as the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development; **(Brazil, Honduras, Malawi)**

(Suggests “Declaration on the Right and Responsibility of Individuals, Groups and Organs of Society to Promote and Protect Universally Recognized Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms”: South Africa, China)

(Has reservations with listing out all declarations: China)

(PP3) *Recalling also* the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action, **UN Declaration on the Rights of Peasants and Other People Working in Rural Areas**, and all other internationally agreed human rights Declarations,

as well as the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development; **(Bolivia, South Africa, Malawi, Colombia, Egypt)**

(PP3) *Recalling also* the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action, and all other internationally **recognized agreed**-human rights Declarations, as well as the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development; **(Ghana)**

(Suggests similar change throughout: Ghana)

(PP4) *Reaffirming* the fundamental human rights and the dignity and worth of the human person, in the equal rights of men and women and the need to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom while respecting the obligations arising from treaties and other sources of international law, as set out in the Charter of the United Nations; **(Egypt, Iran)**

(PP4) *Reaffirming* the fundamental human rights and the dignity and worth of the human person, ~~in~~ the equal rights of **all persons men and women** and the need to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom while respecting the obligations arising from treaties and other sources of international law, as set out in the Charter of the United Nations; **(Mexico, Cuba, Argentina, USA, Chile, Uruguay, Panama, Brazil, Bolivia, Ecuador, Peru, South Africa, Malawi, Colombia)**

(PP4) *Reaffirming* the fundamental human rights and the dignity and worth of the human person, in the equal rights of men and women and the need to promote social progress and better standards **living in accordance with the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural rights and of life in larger freedom while respecting the obligations arising from treaties and other sources of international law, as set out in** the Charter of the United Nations; **(United Kingdom)**

(PP5) *Reaffirming* that all human rights are universal, indivisible, interdependent, inter-related, and inalienable, and should be applied in a non-discriminatory way;

(PP6) *Reaffirming* the right of every person to be equal before the law, to equal protection of the law, and to have effective access to justice and remedy in case of violations of international human rights law;

(PP6) *Reaffirming* the right of every person to be equal before the law, to equal protection of the law, and to have effective access to justice and remedy in case of violations of international human rights law **and international humanitarian law**; **(Panama, Cuba, Malawi)**

(PP6) *Reaffirming* the right of every person to be equal before the law, to equal protection of the law, and to have effective **and equal** access to justice and remedy in case of violations of international human rights law **and international humanitarian law**; **(Mexico, Chile, Panama, Colombia)**

(PP6) *Reaffirming* the right of every person to be equal before the law, to equal protection of the law, and to have effective access to justice and remedy in case of violations of ~~international~~-human rights **law**; **(China)**

(PP6) *Reaffirming* the rights of every person **as they relate to equality** before the law, ~~to equal~~ protection of the law, and ~~to have~~ effective access to justice and remedy in cases of violations of international human rights law; **(USA)**

(PP7) *Stressing* that the primary obligation to respect, protect, fulfill and promote human rights and fundamental freedoms lie with the State, and that States must protect against human rights abuses by third parties, including business enterprises, and to ensure respect for and implementation of international human rights law, and to respect and ensure respect for international humanitarian law in all circumstances;

(Suggests removing references to “international humanitarian law” throughout: Russia)

(PP7) *Stressing* that the primary obligation to respect, protect, fulfill and promote human rights and fundamental freedoms lie with the State, and that States must protect against human rights abuses by third parties, including business enterprises, and to ensure respect for and implementation of international human rights law, and to respect and ensure respect for international humanitarian law [in all circumstances]; **(Panama (seeking clarification))**

(PP7) *Stressing* that the primary obligation to respect, protect, fulfill and promote human rights and fundamental freedoms lie with the State, and that States must protect against human rights abuses by third parties, including business enterprises, **within their territory, jurisdiction, or otherwise under their control**, and to ensure respect for and implementation of international human rights law, and to respect and ensure respect for international humanitarian law in all circumstances; **(Mexico, Egypt)**

(PP7) *Stressing* that the primary obligation to respect, protect, fulfill and promote human rights and fundamental freedoms lie with the State, and that States must protect against human rights abuses by third parties, including **transnational corporations business enterprises**, and to ensure respect for and implementation of international human rights law, and to respect and ensure respect for international humanitarian law in all circumstances; **(Cuba, Egypt, Bolivia, Algeria, South Africa, Malawi, Colombia, China, Iran)**

(Opposes change: Mexico, Peru)

(PP7) *Stressing* that the primary obligation to respect, protect, fulfill and promote human rights and fundamental freedoms lie with the State, and that States must protect against human rights abuses by third parties, including **transnational corporations and other** business enterprises, and to ensure respect for and implementation of international human rights law, and to respect and ensure respect for international humanitarian law in all circumstances; **(Honduras, Cuba, Colombia)**

(Suggests similar change for PPs 11 and 12: Honduras, Colombia)

(PP 7 bis) Emphasizing that the obligation of States to protect, respect, and fulfill human rights and fundamental freedoms in the context of all business activities including those of

transnational character also extends to legal instruments and policies of trade, finance, taxation, development, and other agreements of this nature; (Honduras, Colombia)

(Not in position to support: Panama)

(PP8) *Recalling* the United Nations Charter Articles 55 and 56 on international cooperation, including in particular with regard to universal respect for, and observance of, human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction of any kind: **(China, Colombia, Iran)**

(Suggests moving this to PP 1 bis: Panama, Cuba)

(PP8) *Recalling* the United Nations **Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights**~~Charter Articles 55 and 56 on international cooperation~~, including in particular with regard to universal respect for, and observance of, human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction of any kind: **(United Kingdom)**

(Opposes change: Colombia, Egypt)

(PP8) *Recalling* the United Nations **Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights** and Charter Articles 55 and 56 on international cooperation, including in particular with regard to universal respect for, and observance of, human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction of any kind: **(Argentina, Chile, Honduras, Malawi)**

(PP8) *Recalling* the United Nations **Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights** and Charter Articles 55 and 56 on international cooperation, including in particular with regard to universal respect for, and observance of, human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction of any kind: **(Egypt, Namibia)**

(PP8) *Recalling* **Article 2 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights**~~the United Nations Charter Articles 55 and 56 on international cooperation, including in particular~~ with regard to universal respect for, and observance of, human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction of any kind: **(USA)**

(Opposes proposal: Cuba)

(PP8) *Recalling* the United Nations Charter Articles 55 and 56 on international cooperation, including in particular with regard to universal respect for, and observance of, human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction of any kind **including race, ethnicity, sex, language, religion, political opinion, national or social origin:**
(Brazil)

(Opposes list: Mexico)

(PP9) *Recognizing* that, in all actions concerning children, including in the context of business activities, the best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration, and shall be respected in pursuing remedies for violations of the rights of the child;

(Suggests merging PPs 9, 14, 15: China)

(PP9) *Recognizing* that, in all actions concerning children, including in the context of business activities, the best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration, and shall be respected in pursuing remedies for violations of the rights of the child, **and that such**

remedies should take into account the need for child-sensitive procedures at all levels; (Panama, Mexico, Chile, Uruguay, Brazil, Peru, South Africa, Ghana)

(PP9) ~~Recognizing-Recalling~~ Article 3 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, which provides that, in all actions concerning children, ~~including in the context of business activities~~, the best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration, and shall be respected in pursuing remedies for violations of the rights of the child; (USA)

(PP10) *Acknowledging* that all business enterprises have the potential to foster sustainable development through an increased productivity, inclusive economic growth and job creation that promote and respect internationally recognized human rights and fundamental freedoms;

(PP10) *Acknowledging* that all business enterprises have the potential to foster sustainable development through an increased productivity, inclusive economic growth and job creation that promote and respect ~~internationally recognized~~ human rights and fundamental freedoms; (Panama, Mexico, Argentina, Chile, Uruguay, Peru, Colombia)
(Keep “internationally recognized”: Egypt, USA, China)

(PP10) *Acknowledging* that all business enterprises have the potential to foster sustainable development through an increased productivity, inclusive economic growth, **livelihood opportunities** and job creation that promote and respect internationally recognized human rights and fundamental freedoms **while reducing vulnerability to exploitation**; (United Kingdom)

(PP10) *Acknowledging* that ~~all~~ business enterprises have the potential to foster sustainable development **and job creation while respecting through an increased productivity, inclusive economic growth and job creation that promote and respect** internationally recognized human rights, **labour rights, health and safety standards, the environment**, and fundamental freedoms **in accordance with relevant international standards and agreements**; (Brazil, Honduras, Colombia)

(PP10) *Acknowledging* that all **transnational corporations** ~~business enterprises~~ have the potential to foster sustainable development through an increased productivity, inclusive economic growth and job creation that promote and respect internationally recognized human rights and fundamental freedoms; (Algeria, South Africa)

(Suggests similar change for PPs 11, 12, 13: Algeria)

(Suggests similar change for PP 12: South Africa)

(PP11) *Emphasizing* that business enterprises play a crucial role in the social and economic development as well as the implementation of the Agenda 2030 for Sustainable Development; (Suggests merging with PP 10: Panama)

(PP11) *Emphasizing* that business enterprises play a crucial role in the social and economic development as well as the implementation of the **2030** Agenda ~~2030~~ for Sustainable Development; (Mexico)

(PP11) *Emphasizing* that business enterprises play a crucial role in the social and economic development as well as the implementation of the Agenda 2030 for Sustainable Development **recognizing the benefits of inclusive trade and investment for reducing vulnerability to exploitation while expecting businesses to respect human rights throughout their operations;** (United Kingdom)

(PP11) ~~*Underlining*~~*Emphasizing* that **transnational corporations and other business enterprises of transnational character regardless of their size, sector, location, operational context, ownership and structure have the obligation to respect all human rights including by preventing and avoiding human rights violations that are committed all along its global production chain, directly and indirectly linked to their operations, products or services by their business relationships** ~~play a crucial role in the social and economic development as well as the implementation of the Agenda 2030 for Sustainable Development;~~ (Cameroon, South Africa, Ghana)

(Reserves position: Panama)

(PP11) ~~*Underlining*~~*Emphasizing* that **transnational corporations and other business enterprises of transnational character regardless of their size, sector, location, operational context, ownership and structure have the obligation to respect all human rights including by preventing and avoiding human rights violations that are committed all along its global production chain, including investors, shareholders, economic conglomerates, banks, and pension funds that finance transnational operations and are directly and indirectly linked to their operations, products or services by their business relationships** ~~play a crucial role in the social and economic development as well as the implementation of the Agenda 2030 for Sustainable Development;~~ (Ghana)

(Reserves position: Panama)

(PP11) *Emphasizing* that **transnational corporations and other business enterprises of transnational character regardless of their size, sector, location, operational context, ownership and structure have the obligation to respect all human rights including by preventing and avoiding human rights abuses** ~~violations~~ **that are committed all along its global production chain, directly and indirectly linked to their operations, products or services by their business relationships and play a crucial role in the social and economic development as well as the implementation of the Agenda 2030 for Sustainable Development;** (Egypt)

(PP 11 bis) *To affirm* the importance of the pro persona principle and the principle of the primacy of the most favourable norm to the human person in the interpretation of any conflicting provision contained in international trade, investment, finance, taxation, environmental and climate change, development cooperation, and security agreements; (Brazil, Honduras, Colombia)

(Not in position to support: Panama)

(PP 11 ter) To affirm the primacy of human rights obligations in relation to any conflicting provision contained in international trade, investment, finance, taxation, environmental and climate change, development cooperation and security agreements; (Palestine)

(Not in position to support: Panama)

(PP12) *Underlining* that business enterprises, regardless of their size, sector, location, operational context, ownership and structure have the responsibility to respect internationally recognized human rights, including by avoiding causing or contributing to human rights abuses through their own activities and addressing such abuses when they occur, as well as by preventing human rights abuses or mitigating human rights risks linked to their operations, products or services by their business relationships;

(PP12) *Underlining* that business enterprises, regardless of their size, sector, location, operational context, ownership and structure have the responsibility to respect ~~internationally recognized~~ human rights, including by avoiding causing or contributing to human rights abuses through their own activities and addressing such abuses when they occur, as well as by preventing human rights abuses or mitigating human rights risks linked to their operations, products or services by their business relationships; **(Panama, Argentina, Uruguay, Mexico, Peru)**

(Keep “internationally recognized”: Egypt, USA)

(PP12) *Underlining* that ~~transnational corporations business enterprises~~, regardless of their size, sector, location, operational context, ownership and structure have the ~~obligation responsibility~~ to respect internationally recognized human rights, including by avoiding causing or contributing to human rights abuses through their own activities and addressing such abuses when they occur, as well as by preventing human rights abuses or mitigating human rights risks linked to their operations, products or services by their business relationships; **(Cuba, Egypt, Bolivia, South Africa, Malawi, Colombia)**

(Keep “business enterprises”: Chile)

(Suggests including footnote from resolution 26/9 after “business enterprises”: Chile)

(Keep “responsibility”: Panama, Peru)

(PP12) *Underlining* that ~~transnational corporations business enterprises~~, regardless of their size, sector, location, operational context, ownership and structure have the ~~obligation responsibility~~ to respect internationally recognized human rights, including by avoiding causing or contributing to human rights abuses through their own activities and addressing such abuses when they occur, as well as by preventing human rights abuses or mitigating human rights risks **directly** linked to their operations, products or services by their business relationships; **(China)**

(PP12) *Underlining* that business enterprises, regardless of their size, sector, location, operational context, ownership and structure have the responsibility to respect internationally recognized human rights **as set out in the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights**, including by avoiding causing or contributing to human rights abuses through their own activities and addressing such abuses when they occur, as

well as by preventing human rights abuses or mitigating human rights risks linked to their operations, products or services by their business relationships; **(United Kingdom)**
(Opposes change: Egypt)

(PP12) *Underlining* that **transnational corporations and other** business enterprises, regardless of their size, sector, location, operational context, ownership and structure have the ~~**obligation responsibility**~~ to respect internationally recognized human rights, including by avoiding causing or contributing to human rights abuses **and violations** through their own activities and addressing such abuses **and violations** when they occur, as well as by preventing human rights abuses **and violations directly** or ~~**indirectly mitigating human rights risks**~~ linked to their operations, products or services by their business relationships; **(Brazil, Honduras, Cuba, Colombia)**

(PP12) *Underlining* that **transnational corporations and other** business enterprises, regardless of their size, sector, location, operational context, ownership and structure have the ~~**obligation responsibility**~~ to respect internationally recognized human rights, including by avoiding causing or contributing to human rights abuses **and violations** through their own activities and addressing such abuses **and violations** when they occur, as well as by preventing human rights abuses **and violations directly** or ~~**indirectly mitigating human rights risks**~~ linked to their operations, products or services **including financial and economic services** by their business relationships; **(Honduras, Cuba)**

(PP13) *Emphasizing* that civil society actors, including human rights defenders, have an important and legitimate role in promoting the respect of human rights by business enterprises, and in preventing, mitigating and in seeking effective remedy for business-related human rights abuses, and that States have the obligation to take all appropriate measures to ensure an enabling and safe environment for the exercise of such role;

(PP13) *Emphasizing* that civil society actors, including human rights defenders, have an important and legitimate role in promoting the respect of human rights by business enterprises, and in preventing, mitigating and in seeking effective remedy for business-related human rights abuses, and that States ~~**should have the obligation to**~~ take all appropriate measures to ensure an enabling and safe environment for the exercise of such role; **(USA)**

(PP13) *Emphasizing* that civil society actors, including human rights defenders, have an important and legitimate role in promoting the respect of human rights by business enterprises, and in preventing, mitigating and in seeking effective remedy for business-related human rights abuses **and violations**, and that States, **together with transnational corporations and other business enterprises**, have the obligation to take all appropriate measures to ensure an enabling and safe environment for the exercise of such role; **(Brazil, Colombia)**

(PP13) *Emphasizing* that civil society actors, including **individuals, groups and organs of society to promote and protect universally-recognized human rights and fundamental freedoms** ~~**human rights defenders**~~, have an important and legitimate role in promoting the respect of human rights by business enterprises, and in preventing,

mitigating and in seeking effective remedy for business-related human rights abuses, and that States **should have the obligation** to take all appropriate measures to ensure an enabling and safe environment for the exercise of such role; **(China)**

(Keep “human rights defenders”: Mexico)

(PP13) *Emphasizing* that civil society actors, including **individuals, groups and organs of society to promote and protect universally-recognized human rights and fundamental freedoms human rights defenders**, have an important and legitimate role in promoting the respect of human rights by business enterprises, and in preventing, mitigating and in seeking effective remedy for business-related human rights abuses, and that States have the obligation to take all appropriate measures to ensure an enabling and safe environment for the exercise of such role; **(Egypt)**

(PP14) *Recognizing* the distinctive and disproportionate impact of business-related human rights abuses on women and girls, children, indigenous peoples, persons with disabilities, people of African descent, older persons, migrants and refugees, and other persons in vulnerable situation, as well as the need for a business and human rights perspective that takes into account specific circumstances and vulnerabilities of different rights-holders and the structural obstacles for obtaining remedies for these persons;

(PP14) *Recognizing* the distinctive and disproportionate impact of business-related human rights abuses on women and girls, children, ~~i~~Indigenous ~~p~~Peoples, persons with disabilities, people of African descent, older persons, migrants and refugees, and other persons in vulnerable **or marginalized** situations, as well as the need for a business and human rights perspective that takes into account specific circumstances and vulnerabilities of different rights-holders and the structural obstacles for obtaining remedies for these persons; **(Panama, Chile)**

(PP14) *Recognizing* the distinctive and disproportionate impact of business-related human rights abuses on women and girls, children, ~~i~~Indigenous ~~p~~Peoples, persons with disabilities, people of African descent, older persons, migrants and refugees, and other persons in vulnerable situation, as well as the need for a ~~business and~~ human rights perspective that takes into account specific circumstances and vulnerabilities of different rights-holders and the structural obstacles for obtaining remedies for these persons; **(Mexico, Chile, Panama)**

(PP14) *Recognizing* the distinctive and disproportionate **human rights** impact **caused by business of business-related human rights abuses** on women and girls, children, indigenous peoples, persons with disabilities, people of African descent, older persons, migrants and refugees, and other persons in vulnerable situation, as well as the need for a business and human rights perspective that takes into account specific circumstances and vulnerabilities of different rights-holders and the structural obstacles for obtaining remedies for these persons; **(United Kingdom, Panama)**

(PP14) *Recognizing* the distinctive and disproportionate impact of business-related human rights abuses on women and girls, children, indigenous peoples, **peasants and other people working in rural areas**, persons with disabilities, people of African descent, older

persons, migrants and refugees, and other persons in vulnerable situation, as well as the need for a business and human rights perspective that takes into account specific circumstances and vulnerabilities of different rights-holders and the structural obstacles for obtaining remedies for these persons; **(Bolivia, Mexico, Cuba, Ecuador, Peru, South Africa, Colombia, Indonesia)**

(PP14) *Recognizing* the distinctive and disproportionate impact of business-related human rights abuses on women and girls, children, indigenous peoples, **peasants and other people working in rural areas, local communities**, persons with disabilities, people of African descent, older persons, migrants and refugees, and other persons in vulnerable **or marginalized** situation, **and emphasizing that the interest of different rights holders shall be fully respected in pursuing remedies for violations of their rights, as well as the need for a business and human rights perspective that takes into account specific circumstances and vulnerabilities of different rights-holders and the structural obstacles for obtaining remedies for these persons;** **(China, Egypt)**

(PP14) *Recognizing* the distinctive and disproportionate impact of business-related human rights abuses on women and girls, children, indigenous peoples, **local communities**, persons with disabilities, people of African descent, older persons, migrants and refugees, and other persons in vulnerable **or marginalized** situations, as well as the need for a business and human rights perspective that takes into account specific circumstances and vulnerabilities of different rights-holders and the structural obstacles for obtaining remedies for these persons; **(Indonesia)**

(Keep out reference to “local communities”: Mexico)

(PP14) *Recognizing* the distinctive and disproportionate impact of business-related human rights abuses on women and girls, children, ~~indigenous peoples, local or traditional communities~~, persons with disabilities, people of African descent, older persons, migrants and refugees, and other persons in vulnerable **or marginalized** situations, as well as the need for a business and human rights perspective that takes into account specific circumstances and vulnerabilities of different rights-holders and the structural obstacles for obtaining remedies for these persons; **(Ghana)**

(PP14 bis) *Recognizing* the case of business activities and business relationships in conflict-affected areas and heightened risks of abuses, such as gender-based and sexual violence, the use of child soldiers and the worst forms of child labour; (United Kingdom, Panama, Colombia)

(Reserves position: Cuba)

(PP14 ter) *Emphasizing* the need for States and business enterprises to also integrate a disability inclusion perspective in their actions, in line with the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, and ILO Conventions on Vocational Rehabilitation and Employment (Disabled Persons) and Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) which guarantees the right to mainstream vocational training, employment, and social protection; (United Kingdom, Panama, Peru, Colombia)

(Reserves position: Cuba)

(PP15) *Emphasizing* the need for States and business enterprises to integrate a gender perspective in all their measures, in line with the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action, the ILO Convention 190 concerning the elimination of violence and harassment in the world of work, the Gender Guidance for the Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, and other relevant international standards; **(Algeria)**

(Suggests merging PPs 9, 14, 15: China)

(PP15) *Emphasizing* the need for States and business enterprises to integrate a gender **and age-responsive approach perspective** in all their measures, in line with the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action, the ILO Convention 190 concerning the elimination of violence and harassment in the world of work, the Gender Guidance for the Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, and other relevant international standards; **(Panama, Argentina, Chile, Uruguay, Brazil, Peru)**

(PP15) *Emphasizing* the need for States and business enterprises **to adopt measures that are inclusive and gender responsive to integrate a gender perspective in all their measures**, in line with the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action, the ILO Convention 190 concerning the elimination of violence and harassment in the world of work, the Gender Guidance for the Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, and other relevant international standards; **(Mexico, Argentina, Chile, Uruguay, Panama, Bolivia, Ecuador, Peru)**

(Does not support “gender responsive”: Iran)

(PP15) *Emphasizing* the need for States and business enterprises to integrate a gender perspective in all their measures, in line with **international instruments such as** the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action, the ILO Convention 190 concerning the elimination of violence and harassment in the world of work, **as well as practices such as** the Gender Guidance for the Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, ~~and other relevant international standards~~; **(USA)**

(PP15) *Emphasizing* the need for States and **transnational corporations business enterprises** to integrate a gender perspective in all their measures, in line with the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action, the ILO Convention 190 concerning the elimination of violence and harassment in the world of work, the Gender Guidance for the Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, and other relevant international standards; **(Malawi)**

(PP15) *Emphasizing* the need for States and business enterprises to integrate a gender perspective in all their measures, in line with the Convention on the Elimination of All

Forms of Discrimination against Women, the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action, the ILO Convention 190 concerning the elimination of violence and harassment in the world of work, ~~and the Gender Guidance for~~ the Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, ~~and other relevant international standards~~; (Egypt)

(PP16) *Taking into account* the work undertaken by the United Nations Commission on Human Rights and the Human Rights Council on the question of the responsibilities of transnational corporations and other business enterprises with respect to human rights, in particular Resolution 26/9; (Panama)

(PP16) *Taking into account* the work undertaken by the United Nations Commission on Human Rights and the Human Rights Council on the question of the **obligations responsibilities** of transnational corporations and other business enterprises with respect to human rights, in particular Resolution 26/9; (Cuba, Egypt, Malawi)

(Keep “responsibilities”: Panama, Peru)

(PP16) *Taking into account* the work undertaken by the United Nations ~~Commission on Human Rights and the Human Rights Council~~ on the UNGPs and the work of the UN Global Compact ~~question of the responsibilities of transnational corporations and other business enterprises with respect to human rights, in particular Resolution 26/9~~; (United Kingdom)

(Opposes proposal: Cuba)

(PP17) *Recognizing* the contribution and complementary role that the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights: Implementing the United Nations “Protect, Respect and Remedy” Framework have played in that regard and to advancing respect for human rights in the business activities;

(PP17) *Recognizing* the contribution and complementary role that the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights: Implementing the United Nations “Protect, Respect and Remedy” Framework have played in that regard and to advancing respect for human rights in ~~the~~ business activities; (Mexico)

(PP18) *Noting* the ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the Tripartite Declaration of Principles concerning Multinational Enterprises and Social Policy;

(PP18) ~~*Noting*~~ **Stressing** the **growing economic might of some business entities, in particular transnational corporations and their particular responsibilities and impacts on human, labour, and environmental rights** ~~ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the Tripartite Declaration of Principles concerning Multinational Enterprises and Social Policy~~; (Cameroon, Ghana)

(PP 18 bis) *Recalling* that transnational corporations and other business enterprises of transnational character have obligations derived from international human rights law and that these obligations are different, exist independently and in addition of the legal framework in force in the host and home States; (Cameroon, Colombia, Ghana)

(Reserves position: Panama)

(PP19) *Desiring* to clarify and facilitate effective implementation of the obligations of States regarding business-related human rights abuses and the responsibilities of business enterprises in that regard; **(Panama)**

(PP19) *Desiring* to clarify and facilitate effective implementation of the obligations of States regarding business-related human rights abuses and the **obligations responsibilities** of business enterprises in that regard; **(Cuba)**

(Keep “responsibilities”: Panama, Peru)

(PP19) *Desiring* to clarify and facilitate effective implementation of the obligations of States regarding business-related human rights abuses and the responsibilities of **transnational corporations and other** business enterprises of **transnational character** in that regard; **(China, Cuba, Iran)**

(PP19) *Desiring* to clarify and facilitate effective implementation of the obligations of States regarding business-related human rights abuses **and violations** and the **duties and responsibilities** of **transnational corporations and other** business enterprises **derived from international human rights law** in that regard; **(Brazil, Cuba)**

Have agreed as follows:

Article 1. Definitions

1.1. “**Victim**” shall mean any person or group of persons who suffered a human rights abuse in the context of business activities, irrespective of the nationality or domicile of the victim. The term “victim” may also include the immediate family members or dependents of the direct victim. A person shall be considered a victim regardless of whether the perpetrator of the human rights abuse is identified, apprehended, prosecuted, or convicted.

(Suggests “habitual residence” instead of “domicile”: Russia)

1.1. “**Victim**” shall mean any person or group of persons who suffered a human rights abuse in the context of business activities, irrespective of the nationality or domicile of the victim. The term “victim” may also include the immediate family members or dependents of the direct victim, **and persons who have suffered harm in intervening to assist victims in distress or to prevent victimization**. A person shall be considered a victim regardless of whether the perpetrator of the human rights abuse is identified, apprehended, prosecuted, or convicted. **(Mexico, Panama, Chile, Ecuador, Malawi)**

1.1. “**Victim**” shall mean any person or group of persons **or affected communities** who suffered a human rights abuse **or violation** in the context of business activities, **as a result of acts or omissions** ~~irrespective of the nationality or domicile of the victim~~. The term “victim” may also include the immediate family members or dependents of the direct victim. A person shall be considered a victim regardless of whether the perpetrator of the human rights abuse is identified, apprehended, prosecuted, or convicted. **(Brazil)**

1.1. **“Victim”** shall mean any person or group of persons who suffered a human rights abuse in the context of business activities **undertaken by transnational corporations and other business enterprises of transnational character, irrespective of the nationality or domicile of the victim.** The term “victim” may also include, **where appropriate in accordance with domestic law,** the immediate family members or dependents of the direct victim. ~~A person shall be considered a victim regardless of whether the perpetrator of the human rights abuse is identified, apprehended, prosecuted, or convicted.~~ (China, Saudi Arabia)

1.1. **“Victim”** shall mean any person or group of persons who suffered a human rights abuse in the context of business activities, irrespective of the nationality or domicile of the victim. The term “victim” may also include the immediate family members or dependents of the direct victim **who suffered harm.** A person shall be considered a victim regardless of whether the perpetrator of the human rights abuse is identified, apprehended, prosecuted, or convicted. (South Africa)

1.1. **“Victim”** shall mean any person or group of persons who suffered a human rights ~~violation abuse~~ in the context of **transnational** business activities, irrespective of the nationality or domicile of the victim. The term “victim” may also include the immediate family members or dependents of the direct victim. A person **or group of persons** shall be considered a victim regardless of whether the perpetrator of the human rights **violation abuse** is identified, apprehended, prosecuted, or convicted. (Colombia)

1.1. **“Victim”** shall mean any person or group of persons who suffered a human rights abuse **or violation** in the context of business activities, irrespective of the nationality or domicile of the victim. The term “victim” may also include the immediate family members or dependents of the direct victim. A person shall be considered a victim regardless of whether the perpetrator of the human rights abuse **or violation** is identified, apprehended, prosecuted, or convicted. (Honduras)

1.2. **“Adverse human rights impact”** shall mean a harm which corresponds to a reduction in or removal of a person’s ability to enjoy an internationally recognized human right.
(Delete: Mexico, China, Chile, Ecuador, Colombia, Honduras)

1.2. **“Adverse human rights impact”** shall mean a harm which corresponds to a reduction in or removal of a person’s ability to enjoy an ~~internationally recognized~~ human right.
(Panama)
(Keep “internationally recognized”: Ghana)

1.3. **“Human rights abuse”** shall mean any acts or omissions that take place in connection with business activities and results in an adverse human rights impact..

1.3. **“Human rights abuse”** shall mean any **direct or indirect harm suffered in the context of a acts or omissions that take place in connection with** business enterprise’s activities **or relationships, through acts or omissions, against any person or group of persons, that impedes the full enjoyment of and results in an adverse** human rights

~~impact and fundamental freedoms, including the right to a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment. (Mexico, Panama, Brazil, Chile, Ecuador, Honduras)~~

1.3. **“Human rights abuse” shall mean an act by a business that directly undermines the enjoyment of an individual’s human rights to a degree that would constitute a violation of those human rights if carried out by or on behalf of a State within the territory of that State ~~any acts or omissions that take place in connection with business activities and results in an adverse human rights impact.~~ (United Kingdom)**
(Opposes proposal: Honduras)

1.3. **“Human rights abuse” shall mean any direct or indirect harm in the context of business activities through acts or omissions against any person or group of persons that impedes the full enjoyment of universally-recognized human rights and fundamental freedoms, including the right to a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment ~~that take place in connection with business activities and results in an adverse human rights impact.~~ (Egypt)**

1.3. **“Human rights violation abuse” shall mean any acts or omissions that take place in connection with business activities and results in an ~~adverse human rights impact.~~ (Colombia)**

1.3. **“Human rights violation abuse” shall mean any direct or indirect harm in the context of business activities through acts or omissions against any person or group of persons that impedes the full enjoyment of internationally-recognized human rights and fundamental freedoms, including the right to a safe, clean, healthy and sustainable environment ~~that take place in connection with business activities and results in an adverse human rights impact.~~ (Cameroon)**

1.3. **“Human rights violation abuse” shall mean any acts or omissions that take place in connection with business activities and results in an adverse human rights impact.. (Malawi)**

1.4. **“Business activities”** means any economic or other activity, including but not limited to the manufacturing, production, transportation, distribution, commercialization, marketing and retailing of goods and services, undertaken by a natural or legal person, including State-owned enterprises, financial institutions and investment funds, transnational corporations, other business enterprises, joint ventures, and any other business relationship undertaken by a natural or legal person. This includes activities undertaken by electronic means.

1.4. **“Business activities”** means any economic or other activity, including but not limited to the **extraction**, manufacturing, production, transportation, distribution, commercialization, marketing and retailing of goods and services, undertaken by a natural or legal person, including State-owned enterprises, financial institutions and investment funds, transnational corporations, other business enterprises, joint ventures, and any other business relationship undertaken by a natural or legal person. This includes activities undertaken by electronic means. (Mexico, Chile, Ecuador, Honduras)

1.4. **“Business activities”** means any economic or other activity, **in particular those of a transnational character**, including but not limited to the manufacturing, production, transportation, distribution, commercialization, marketing and retailing of goods and services, undertaken by a natural or legal person, including State-owned enterprises, financial institutions and investment funds, transnational corporations, other business enterprises, joint ventures, and any other business relationship undertaken by a natural or legal person. This includes activities undertaken by electronic means. **(Brazil)**

1.4. **“Business activities”** means any economic ~~or other~~ activity **undertaken by a natural or legal person**, including but not limited to the manufacturing, production, transportation, distribution, commercialization, marketing and retailing of goods and services; ~~undertaken by a natural or legal person, State-owned enterprises, financial institutions and investment funds, transnational corporations, other business enterprises, joint ventures, and any other business relationship undertaken by a natural or legal person. This includes activities undertaken by electronic means.~~ **(China, Saudi Arabia)**

1.4. **“Business activities”** means any economic or other activity, including but not limited to the manufacturing, production, transportation, distribution, commercialization, marketing and retailing of goods and services, undertaken by **transnational corporations and other business enterprises of transnational character (a natural or legal person)**, **which can be private, public or mix**, including ~~State-owned enterprises~~, financial institutions and investment funds ~~or, transnational corporations, other business enterprises~~, joint ventures, ~~and any other business relationship undertaken by a natural or legal person.~~ This includes activities undertaken by electronic means. **(Côte d'Ivoire (on behalf of African Group), Ghana, South Africa, Egypt, Colombia, Cameroon, Malawi, Bolivia, Honduras)**

1.4. **“Business activities”** means any economic or other activity, including but not limited to the manufacturing, production, transportation, distribution, commercialization, marketing and retailing of goods and services, undertaken by **transnational corporations and other business enterprises of transnational character along their global value chain (a natural or legal person)**, ~~which can be private, public or mix, including State-owned enterprises, financial institutions and investment funds or, transnational corporations, other business enterprises, joint ventures, and any other business relationship undertaken by a natural or legal person. This includes activities undertaken by electronic means.~~ **(Ghana, Egypt)**

1.4. **“Business activities”** means any economic or other activity, including but not limited to the manufacturing, production, transportation, distribution, commercialization, marketing and retailing of goods and services, **financing, ensuring, and investing in the aforementioned processes** undertaken by **transnational corporations and other business enterprises of transnational character (a natural or legal person)**, **which can be private, public or mix**, including ~~State-owned enterprises~~, financial institutions and investment funds ~~or, transnational corporations, other business enterprises~~, joint ventures, ~~and any~~

~~other business relationship undertaken by a natural or legal person.~~ This includes activities undertaken by electronic means. **(Honduras)**

1.5. **“Business activities of a transnational character”** means any business activity described in Article 1.4. above, when:

- (a) It is undertaken in more than one jurisdiction or State; or
- (b) It is undertaken in one State but a significant part of its preparation, planning, direction, control, design, processing, manufacturing, storage or distribution, takes place through any business relationship in another State or jurisdiction; or
- (c) It is undertaken in one State but has significant effect in another State or jurisdiction.
(Delete provision: China)

1.5 bis. “Other business enterprises” denotes all business enterprises that have a transnational character in their operational activities, and does not apply to local businesses registered in terms of relevant domestic law. (Iran, China, Egypt, Bolivia, Pakistan, Cuba)

1.6. **“Business relationship”** refers to any relationship between natural or legal persons, including State and non-State entities, to conduct business activities, including those activities conducted through affiliates, subsidiaries, agents, suppliers, partnerships, joint venture, beneficial proprietorship, or any other structure or relationship, including throughout their value chains, as provided under the domestic law of the State, including activities undertaken by electronic means.

1.6. **“Business relationship”** refers to any relationship between natural or legal persons, including State and non-State entities, to conduct business activities, including those activities conducted through affiliates, subsidiaries, agents, suppliers, partnerships, joint venture, beneficial proprietorship, **and that of financial institutions** or any other structure or relationship, including throughout their value chains, as provided under the domestic law of the State, including activities undertaken by electronic means. **(Brazil)**

1.7. **“Regional integration organization”** shall mean an organization constituted by sovereign States of a given region, to which its member States have transferred competence in respect of matters governed by this (Legally Binding Instrument). Such organizations shall declare, in their instruments of formal confirmation or accession, their level of competence in respect of matters governed by this (Legally Binding Instrument), and they shall subsequently inform the depositary of any substantial modification to such competence. References to “States Parties” in the present (Legally Binding Instrument) shall apply to such organizations within the limits of their competence.

(Suggests moving this to final provision of article: China)

1.8. **“Human rights due diligence”** shall mean the processes by which business enterprises identify, prevent, mitigate and account for how they address their adverse human rights impacts. While these processes will vary in complexity with the size of a business enterprise, the risk of severe adverse human rights impacts, and the nature and context of the operations of that business enterprise, these processes will in every case comprise the following elements:

**(Delete Article 1.8 in its entirety and move to Article 6: Mexico, Chile, Colombia)
(Keep definition of “human rights due diligence”: Russia)**

1.8. **“Human rights due diligence”** shall mean the processes by which business enterprises identify, prevent, mitigate and account for how they address their adverse human rights impacts. While these processes will vary in complexity with the size of a business enterprise, the risk of severe adverse human rights impacts, and the nature and context of the operations of that business enterprise, these processes will in every case comprise **at least** the following elements: **(Brazil, Ecuador, Malawi)**

1.8. **“Human rights due diligence”** shall mean the processes by which business enterprises identify, prevent, ~~mitigate~~ and account for how they address their adverse human rights impacts. While these processes will vary in complexity with the size of a business enterprise, the risk of severe adverse human rights impacts, and the nature and context of the operations of that business enterprise, these processes will in every case comprise the following elements: **(Ghana)**

(a) identifying and assessing any adverse human rights impacts with which the business enterprise may be involved through its own activities or as a result of its business relationships;

(b) taking appropriate measures to prevent and mitigate such adverse human rights impacts;
(b) taking appropriate measures to prevent ~~and mitigate~~ such adverse human rights impacts; **(Ghana)**

(c) monitoring the effectiveness of its measures to address such adverse human rights impacts; and

(d) communicating how the relevant business enterprise addresses such adverse human rights impacts regularly and in an accessible manner to stakeholders, particularly to affected and potentially affected persons.

(d) communicating how the relevant business enterprise addresses such adverse human rights impacts regularly and in an accessible manner to stakeholders, particularly to **rights holders affected and potentially affected persons**. **(Ghana)**

1.9. **“Remedy”** shall mean the restoration of a victim of a human rights abuse to the position they would have been had the abuse not occurred, or as nearly as is possible in the circumstances. An “effective remedy” involves reparations that are adequate, effective, and prompt; are gender and age responsive; and may draw from a range of forms of remedy such as restitution, compensation, rehabilitation, satisfaction, such as cessation of abuse, apologies, and sanctions), as well as and guarantees of non-repetition.

(Suggests removing “of a human rights abuse” after “victim” throughout: Russia)

1.9. **“Remedy”** shall mean the ~~right restoration~~ of a victim ~~of a human rights abuse~~ to **equal and effective access to justice, adequate, effective and prompt reparation for harm suffered, and access to relevant information concerning human rights abuses**

~~and reparation mechanisms the position they would have been had the abuse not occurred, or as nearly as is possible in the circumstances.~~ An “effective remedy” involves reparations that are adequate, effective, and prompt; are gender- and age-responsive; and may draw from a range of forms of remedy such as restitution, compensation, rehabilitation, satisfaction, (such as cessation of abuse, apologies, and sanctions), as well as ~~and~~ guarantees of non-repetition. **(Mexico, Panama, Brazil, Chile)**

1.9. **“Remedy” shall mean the right restoration of a victim of a human rights abuse to equal and effective access to justice, adequate, effective and prompt reparation for harm suffered, and access to relevant information concerning human rights abuses and reparation mechanisms the position they would have been had the abuse not occurred, or as nearly as is possible in the circumstances.** An “effective remedy” involves reparations that are adequate, effective, and prompt; are gender- and age-responsive; **disability inclusive**; and may draw from a range of forms of remedy such as restitution, compensation, rehabilitation, satisfaction, (such as cessation of abuse, apologies, and sanctions), as well as ~~and~~ guarantees of non-repetition. **(Panama, Ecuador)**

1.9. **“Remedy” shall mean the right restoration of a victim of a human rights abuse to equal and effective access to justice, adequate, effective and prompt reparation for harm suffered, and access to relevant information concerning human rights abuses and violations and reparation mechanisms the position they would have been had the abuse not occurred, or as nearly as is possible in the circumstances.** An “effective remedy” involves **full** reparations that are adequate, effective, and prompt; are gender- and age-responsive; and may draw from a range of ~~measures forms of remedy~~ such as restitution, compensation, rehabilitation, satisfaction, (such as cessation of abuse, apologies, and sanctions), as well as ~~and~~ guarantees of non-repetition. **(Brazil, Chile)**

(Suggests splitting into two provisions, with second for “effective remedy”: Brazil, Malawi)

1.9. **“Remedy” shall mean the restoration of a victim of a human rights abuse to the position they would have been had the abuse not occurred, or as nearly as is possible in the circumstances.** An “effective remedy” involves reparations that are adequate, effective, and prompt; are gender, ~~and~~ **and disability** responsive; and may draw from a range of forms of remedy such as restitution, compensation, rehabilitation, satisfaction, such as cessation of abuse, apologies, and sanctions), as well as ~~and~~ guarantees of non-repetition. **(United Kingdom, Mexico)**

1.9. **“Remedy” shall mean the restoration of a person or group of persons of a community who have suffered victim of a human rights abuse to the position they would have been had the abuse not occurred, or as nearly as is possible in the circumstances.** An “effective remedy” involves reparations that are adequate, effective, and prompt **in relation to the affected individuals and/or communities; are gender and age responsive; and may draw from a range of forms of remedy such as restitution, compensation, rehabilitation, satisfaction, such as cessation of abuse, apologies, and sanctions), as well as and guarantees of non-repetition.** **(Ghana)**

1.9. “**Remedy**” shall mean the restoration of a victim of a human rights abuse to the position they would have been had the abuse not occurred, or as nearly as is possible in the circumstances. An “effective remedy” involves reparations that are adequate, **participative effective**, and prompt; are gender and age responsive; and may draw from a range of forms of remedy such as restitution, compensation, rehabilitation, satisfaction, such as cessation of abuse, apologies, and sanctions), as well as and guarantees of non-repetition. **(Honduras)**

1.10. “**Relevant State agencies**” means judicial bodies, competent authorities and other agencies and related services relevant to administrative supervision and enforcement of the measures referred to in this (Legally Binding Instrument) to address human rights abuse, and may include courts, law enforcement bodies, regulatory authorities, administrative supervision bodies, and other State-based non-judicial mechanisms.

(Delete: Mexico, Panama, Colombia, Honduras)

1.10. “**Relevant State authorities agencies**” means judicial bodies, competent authorities and other agencies and related services relevant to administrative supervision and enforcement of the measures referred to in this (Legally Binding Instrument) to address human rights abuses **and violations**, and may include courts, law enforcement bodies, regulatory authorities, administrative supervision bodies, and other State-based non-judicial mechanisms. **(Brazil)**

Article 2. Statement of Purpose

The purpose of this (Legally Binding Instrument) is:

(a) To clarify and facilitate effective implementation of the obligation of States to respect, protect, fulfill and promote human rights in the context of business activities, particularly those of transnational character; **(Panama, Mexico)**

(a) To clarify and facilitate effective implementation of the obligation of States to respect, protect, fulfill and promote human rights in the context of business activities, ~~particularly those~~ of transnational character; **(China, Iran, Russia)**

(a) To clarify and facilitate effective implementation of the obligation of States to respect, protect, fulfill and promote human rights in the context of business activities, ~~particularly those~~ of transnational character **and other business activities**; **(Cuba, Iran, Bolivia)**

(Suggests similar change to other provisions: Cuba)

(a) To clarify and facilitate effective implementation of the obligation of States to respect, protect, fulfill and promote human rights in the context of business activities, ~~particularly those of transnational character~~; **(United Kingdom, USA)**

(a bis) To regulate the activities of transnational corporations and other business enterprises with a transnational character within the framework of international human rights law; (Egypt, Colombia, Iran)

(b) To clarify and ensure respect and fulfillment of the human rights responsibilities of business enterprises;

(Reserves position: United Kingdom)

(b) To clarify and ensure respect and fulfillment of the human rights responsibilities of **transnational corporations and other** business enterprises **of transnational character**; (China, Iran, Russia)

(Opposes change: USA)

(b) To clarify and ensure respect and fulfillment of the human rights **obligations** ~~responsibilities~~ of **transnational corporations and other** business enterprises **of transnational character**; (Egypt, Colombia)

(b) To clarify and **facilitate effective implementation** ~~ensure respect and fulfillment~~ of the human rights responsibilities of business enterprises; (Mexico, Panama, Chile, Peru)

(b) To clarify and ensure respect and fulfillment of the human rights **obligations** ~~responsibilities~~ of **transnational corporations and other** business enterprises; (Brazil)

(b) To clarify and ensure respect and fulfillment of the human rights **obligations** ~~responsibilities~~ of business enterprises; (Cuba)

(Keep “responsibilities”: USA)

(c) To prevent the occurrence of human rights abuses in the context of business activities by effective mechanisms for monitoring, enforceability and accountability;

(Secretariat note: Mexico made a proposal to merge Article 2(c-e) into a new Article 2(c). Their proposal is reproduced in full at the end of this article, without indications of added/deleted text)

(c) To prevent the occurrence of human rights abuses in the context of business activities **of transnational character** by effective mechanisms for monitoring, enforceability and accountability; (China, Egypt, Colombia, Iran)

(Opposes change: USA)

(c) To prevent the occurrence of human rights abuses **and violations** in the context of business activities by effective mechanisms for monitoring, enforceability and accountability; (Brazil)

(c) To prevent the occurrence of human rights abuses in the context of business activities **through responsible operations at national and transnational levels**

~~and by~~ effective mechanisms for monitoring, ~~enforcement enforceability~~ and accountability; **(United Kingdom)**

(d) To ensure access to gender-responsive, child-sensitive and victim-centred justice and effective, adequate and timely remedy for victims of human rights abuses in the context of business activities;

(d) To ensure access to ~~gender-responsive, child-sensitive and victim-centred justice and~~ effective, adequate and timely remedy for victims of human rights abuses in the context of business activities **of transnational character, in a way sensitive to the protection of victims, in particular women, children, and other persons in vulnerable and marginalized situations; (China, Egypt, Iran, Russia)**

(Opposes change: Chile, Peru, Mexico)

(d) To ensure access to gender-responsive, child-sensitive, **disability-inclusive** and victim-centred justice and effective, adequate and timely remedy for victims of human rights abuses in the context of business activities; **(Panama, Chile, Peru, United Kingdom, Mexico)**

(d) To ensure access to gender- **and age**-responsive, child-sensitive and victim-centred justice and effective, adequate and timely remedy for victims of human rights abuses **and violations** in the context of business activities; **(Brazil)**

(d) To ensure access to gender- **and age**-responsive, child-sensitive and victim-centred justice and effective, adequate and timely remedy for victims of human rights ~~abuses and violations~~ in the context of business activities; **(Colombia)**

(e) To facilitate and strengthen mutual legal assistance and international cooperation to prevent and mitigate human rights abuses in the context of business activities, particularly those of transnational character, and provide access to justice and effective, adequate, and timely remedy for victims.

(e) To facilitate and strengthen mutual legal assistance and international cooperation to prevent and mitigate human rights abuses in the context of business activities, ~~particularly those~~ of transnational character, and provide access to justice and effective, adequate, and timely remedy for victims. **(China, Egypt, Russia)**

(e) To facilitate and strengthen mutual legal assistance and international cooperation to prevent ~~and mitigate~~ human rights abuses in the context of business activities, ~~particularly those~~ of transnational character, and provide access to justice and effective, adequate, and timely remedy for victims. **(Egypt)**

(e) To facilitate and strengthen mutual legal assistance and international cooperation to prevent and mitigate human rights **violations abuses** in the context of business activities, ~~particularly those~~ of transnational character,

and provide access to justice and effective, adequate, and timely remedy for victims. **(Colombia)**

(e) To facilitate and strengthen mutual legal assistance and international cooperation to prevent ~~and mitigate~~ human rights abuses **and violations** in the context of business activities, particularly those of transnational character, and provide access to justice and effective, adequate, and timely remedy for victims. **(Brazil)**

(e) To facilitate and strengthen mutual legal assistance and international cooperation to prevent and mitigate human rights abuses in the context of business activities, ~~particularly those of transnational character~~, and provide access to justice and effective, adequate, and timely remedy for victims. **(United Kingdom)**

(Mexico proposal for new Article 2(c) in full)

(c) To prevent human rights abuses deriving from business activities, facilitating access to remedy, and strengthening mutual legal assistance and international cooperation. **(Mexico, Chile, Peru, Panama)**

Article 3. Scope

3.1. This (Legally Binding Instrument) shall apply to all business activities, including business activities of a transnational character. **(Mexico, Panama, Chile, Peru, USA)**
(Has reservations: Honduras)

3.1. This (Legally Binding Instrument) shall apply to **transnational corporations and other business enterprises** ~~all business activities, including business activities~~ of a transnational character. **(Russia, Ghana, Iran, Malawi, China, South Africa, Algeria, Indonesia, Pakistan, Cuba, Honduras, Colombia)**
(Reserves position: Mexico)

3.1. This (Legally Binding Instrument) shall apply to **transnational corporations and other business enterprises of a transnational character including across their value chains** ~~all business activities, including business activities~~ of a transnational character. **(Ghana, South Africa, Egypt)**

3.1. This (Legally Binding Instrument) shall apply to all business activities, ~~including business activities of a~~ transnational character **and other business activities**. **(Cuba, Chile, Bolivia, Algeria)**

3.2. Notwithstanding Article 3.1. above, when imposing prevention obligations on business enterprises under this (Legally Binding Instrument), States Parties may establish in their law, a non-discriminatory basis to differentiate how business enterprises discharge these obligations

commensurate with their size, sector, operational context or the severity of impacts on human rights.

3.2. Notwithstanding Article 3.1. above, ~~when imposing prevention obligations on business enterprises under this (Legally Binding Instrument)~~, States Parties may establish in their law, a non-discriminatory basis to differentiate how business enterprises discharge ~~the prevention these~~ obligations **foreseen in Article 6 of this (Legally Binding Instrument)**, commensurate with their size, sector, operational context or the severity of ~~the adverse human rights impacts on human rights~~. **(Mexico, Panama, Chile, Peru)**

3.2. Notwithstanding Article 3.1. above, when imposing **responsibilities to respect human rights** ~~prevention obligations~~ on business enterprises under this (Legally Binding Instrument), States Parties may establish in their law, a non-discriminatory basis to differentiate how business enterprises discharge these **responsibilities** ~~obligations~~ commensurate with their size, sector, operational context or the severity of impacts on human rights. **(Panama, Chile, South Africa)**

**(Reserves right to comment on the issue of “responsibilities” or “obligations”:
South Africa)**

3.2. Notwithstanding Article 3.1. above, when imposing prevention obligations on **transnational** business enterprises under this (Legally Binding Instrument), States Parties may establish in their law, a non-discriminatory basis to differentiate how business enterprises discharge these obligations commensurate with their size, sector, operational context or the severity of impacts on human rights. **(Cuba, Honduras, Bolivia, Colombia, China, Egypt, Iran)**

3.2. Notwithstanding Article 3.1. above, when imposing prevention obligations on business enterprises under this (Legally Binding Instrument), States Parties may establish in their law, a non-discriminatory basis to differentiate how business enterprises discharge these obligations commensurate with their size, sector, operational context or the severity of impacts on human rights, **especially taking into account the relevance of small and medium enterprises and the need to have regulations sensitive to their characteristics.** **(Colombia)**

3.3. This (Legally Binding Instrument) shall cover all internationally recognized human rights and fundamental freedoms binding on the State Parties of this (Legally Binding Instrument).

3.3. This (Legally Binding Instrument) shall cover all ~~internationally~~ recognized human rights and fundamental freedoms ~~binding on the State Parties of this (Legally Binding Instrument)~~. **(Mexico, Colombia)**

3.3. This (Legally Binding Instrument) shall cover all ~~internationally recognized~~ human rights and fundamental freedoms binding on the State Parties of this (Legally Binding Instrument). **(Panama, Peru)**

(Keep “internationally recognized”: Cuba, China, Egypt, Iran)

3.3. This (Legally Binding Instrument) shall cover all internationally recognized human rights ~~instruments and fundamental freedoms binding on the State Parties of this (Legally Binding Instrument)~~. (Ghana, South Africa)

3.3. This (Legally Binding Instrument) shall cover all internationally recognized human rights and fundamental freedoms binding on the State Parties of this (Legally Binding Instrument) **and set out in the human rights treaties to which all contracting States are party as set out in Annex I to this treaty and notified by the depository from time to time.** (United Kingdom)

(Opposes proposal: Cuba)

3.3. This (Legally Binding Instrument) shall cover all internationally recognized human rights and fundamental freedoms binding on the State Parties of this (Legally Binding Instrument) **and set out in the human rights treaties to which all-contracting States are party as set out in Annex I to this treaty and notified by the depository from time to time.** (United Kingdom)

3.3. This (Legally Binding Instrument) shall cover all internationally recognized human rights and fundamental freedoms binding on the State Parties of this (Legally Binding Instrument), **including international human rights law, international humanitarian law, customary international law, and international environmental law.** (Brazil, Honduras)

3.3. This (Legally Binding Instrument) shall cover all **universally internationally** recognized human rights and fundamental freedoms binding on the State Parties of this (Legally Binding Instrument). (Egypt, South Africa)

Article 4. Rights of Victims

4.1. Victims of human rights abuses in the context of business activities shall enjoy all internationally recognized human rights and fundamental freedoms.

4.2. Without prejudice to Article 4.1. above, victims shall:

(a) be treated with humanity and respect for their dignity and human rights, and their safety, physical and psychological well-being and privacy shall be ensured;

(b) be guaranteed the right to life, personal integrity, freedom of opinion and expression, peaceful assembly and association, and free movement;

(c) be guaranteed the right to fair, adequate, effective, prompt, non-discriminatory, appropriate and gender-sensitive access to justice, individual or collective reparation and effective remedy in accordance with this (Legally Binding Instrument) and international law, such as restitution, compensation, rehabilitation, reparation, satisfaction, guarantees of non-repetition, injunction, environmental remediation, and ecological restoration;

(d) be guaranteed the right to submit claims, including by a representative or through class action in appropriate cases, to courts and non-judicial grievance mechanisms of the States Parties to this (Legally Binding Instrument);

(e) be protected from any unlawful interference against their privacy, and from intimidation, and reprisals, before, during and after any proceedings have been instituted, as well as from re-victimization in the course of proceedings for access to effective, prompt and adequate remedy, including through appropriate protective and support services that are gender and age responsive;

(f) be guaranteed access to information, provided in relevant languages and accessible formats to adults and children alike, including those with disabilities, held by business enterprises or relevant State agencies, and legal aid relevant to pursue effective remedy; and

(g) be guaranteed full participation, transparency, and independence in reparation processes, which take into account the differentiated impacts of human rights abuses on specific groups of people and respond adequately to these impacts and their particular needs.

4.3. Nothing in this provision shall be construed to derogate from any higher level of recognition and protection of any human rights of victims or other individuals under international, regional, or national law.

4.4. Victims shall have the right to request State Parties, pending the resolution of a case, to adopt precautionary measures related to urgent situations that present a serious risk of or an ongoing human rights abuse.

Article 5. Protection of Victims

5.1. States Parties shall protect victims, their representatives, families, and witnesses from any unlawful interference with their human rights and fundamental freedoms, including prior, during and after they have instituted any proceedings to seek access to effective, prompt, and adequate remedy, as well as from re-victimization in the course of these proceedings.

5.2. States Parties shall take adequate and effective measures to guarantee a safe and enabling environment for persons, groups and organizations that promote and defend human rights and the environment, so that they are able to exercise their human rights free from any threat, intimidation, violence, insecurity, harassment, or reprisals.

5.3. States Parties shall investigate human rights abuses covered under this (Legally Binding Instrument), effectively, promptly, thoroughly, and impartially, and where appropriate, take action against those natural or legal persons responsible, in accordance with domestic and international law.

5.4. States Parties, pending the resolution of a case, shall adopt, either ex officio or on request by the victim, precautionary measures related to urgent situations that present a serious risk of or an ongoing human rights abuse.

Article 6. Prevention

6.1. States Parties shall regulate effectively the activities of all business enterprises within their territory, jurisdiction, or otherwise under their control, including transnational corporations and other business enterprises that undertake activities of a transnational character.

6.2. State Parties shall adopt appropriate legislative, regulatory, and other measures to:

- (a) prevent the involvement of business enterprises in human rights abuse;
- (b) ensure respect by business enterprises for internationally recognized human rights and fundamental freedoms;
- (c) ensure the practice of human rights due diligence by business enterprises; and,
- (d) promote the active and meaningful participation of individuals and groups, such as trade unions, civil society, non-governmental organizations, indigenous peoples, and community-based organizations, in the development and implementation of laws, policies and other measures to prevent the involvement of business enterprises in human rights abuse.

6.3. State Parties shall ensure that competent authorities relevant to the implementation of Article 6.2 have the necessary independence, in accordance with its legal system, to enable such authorities to carry out their functions effectively and free from any undue influence.

6.4. Measures to achieve the ends referred to in Article 6.2 shall include legally enforceable requirements for business enterprises to undertake human rights due diligence as well as such supporting or ancillary measures as may be needed to ensure that business enterprises while carrying out human rights due diligence:

- (a) undertake and publish on a regular basis human rights impact assessments prior and throughout their operations;
- (b) integrate a gender and age perspective, and takes full and proper account of the differentiated human rights-related risks and adverse human rights impacts experienced by women and girls;
- (c) take particular account of the needs of those who may be at heightened risks of vulnerability or marginalization;

- (d) meaningful consult with potentially affected groups and other relevant stakeholders;
- (e) protect the safety of human rights defenders, journalists, workers, members of indigenous peoples, among others, as well as those who may be subject to retaliation; and
- (f) insofar as engagement with indigenous peoples takes place, undertake such process in accordance with the internationally recognized standards of free, prior, and informed consent.

6.5. Each Party shall take necessary measures to ensure that business enterprises take appropriate steps to prevent human rights abuse by third parties where the enterprise controls, manages or supervises the third party, including through the imposition of a legal duty to prevent such abuse in appropriate cases.

6.6. State Parties shall periodically evaluate the legislative, regulatory, and other measures referred to in Article 6.2 and with a view to determining their adequacy for meeting the aims set out in that Article and shall revise and extend such measures as appropriate.

Article 7. Access to Remedy

7.1. States Parties shall provide their relevant State agencies, with the necessary competence in accordance with this (Legally Binding Instrument) to enable victims' access to adequate, timely and effective remedy and access to justice, and to overcome the specific obstacles which women and groups in vulnerable or marginalized situations face in accessing such mechanisms and remedies.

7.2. State Parties shall, consistent with its domestic legal and administrative systems:

- (a) develop and implement effective policies to promote the accessibility of its relevant State agencies to victims and their representatives, taking into account the particular needs and interests of those victims who may be at risk of vulnerability or marginalization;
- (b) progressively reduce the legal, practical, and other relevant obstacles that, individually or in combination, hinder the ability of a victim from accessing such State agencies for the purposes of seeking an effective remedy; and
- (c) ensure that relevant State agencies can either deliver, or contribute to the delivery of, effective remedies.

7.3. The policies referred to in Article 7.2 (a) shall address, to the extent applicable to the State agency in question:

- (a) the need to ensure that procedures and facilities for accessing and interacting with such agencies are responsive to the needs of the people for whose use they are intended,

including by providing appropriate, adequate, and effective legal aid throughout the legal process;

(b) the need to ensure that victims have ready access to reliable sources of information, in relevant languages and accessible formats to adults and children alike, including those with disabilities, for victims and their representatives, about their human rights, the role and capacity of relevant State agencies in relation to helping victims obtain an effective remedy, the status of their claims, and appropriate support to enable them to participate effectively in all relevant processes, including by facilitating requests for disclosure of relevant information of business-related activities or relationships linked to a human rights abuse;

(c) the implications in terms of access to remedy of imbalances of power as between victims and business enterprises; and

(d) risks of reprisals against victims and others.

7.4. The measures to achieve the aims set out in Article 7.2 (b) shall include, to the extent applicable to the State agency in question and necessary to address the obstacle in question:

(a) reducing the financial burden on victims associated with seeking a remedy, for instance through the provision of financial assistance, waiving court fees in appropriate cases, or granting exceptions to claimants in civil litigation from obligations to pay the costs of other parties at the conclusion of proceedings in recognition of the public interest involved;

(b) providing support to relevant State agencies responsible for the enforcement of the measures referred to in Article 6;

(c) ensuring that there is effective deterrence from conduct that may amount to reprisals against victims and others;

(d) adopting measures to facilitate the production of evidence, when appropriate and as applicable, such as the reversal of the burden of proof and the dynamic burden of proof;

(e) ensuring fair and timely disclosure of evidence relevant to litigation or enforcement proceedings; and

(f) ensuring that rules of civil procedure provide for the possibility of group actions in cases arising from allegations of human rights abuse.

7.5. For the purposes of achieving the aims set out in Article 7.2 (c), States shall adopt such legislative and other measures as may be necessary:

(a) to enhance the ability of relevant State agencies to deliver, or to contribute to the delivery of, effective remedies;

(b) to ensure that victims are meaningfully consulted by relevant State agencies with respect to the design and delivery of remedies; and

(c) to enable relevant State agencies to monitor a company's implementation of remedies in cases of human rights abuse and to take appropriate steps to rectify any non-compliance.

Article 8. Legal Liability

8.1. Each State Party shall adopt such measures as may be necessary to establish a comprehensive and adequate system of legal liability of legal and natural persons conducting business activities, within their territory, jurisdiction, or otherwise under their control, for human rights abuses that may arise from their business activities or relationships, including those of transnational character.

8.2. Subject to the legal principles of the State Party, the liability of legal and natural persons referred to in this Article shall be criminal, civil, or administrative, as appropriate to the circumstances. Each State Party shall ensure, consistent with its domestic legal and administrative systems, that the type of liability established under this article shall be:

(a) responsive to the needs of victims as regards remedy; and

(b) commensurate to the gravity of the human rights abuse.

8.3. Subject to the legal principles of the State Party, the liability of legal and natural persons shall be established for:

(a) conspiring to commit human rights abuse; and

(b) aiding, abetting, facilitating, and counselling the commission of human rights abuse.

8.4. Each State Party shall adopt such measures as may be necessary, and consistent with its domestic legal and administrative systems, to ensure that, in cases concerning the liability of legal or natural persons in accordance with this article:

(a) the liability of a legal person is not contingent upon the establishment of liability of a natural person;

(b) the criminal liability, or its functional equivalent, of a legal or natural person is not contingent upon the establishment of the civil liability of that person, and vice versa; and

(c) the liability of a legal or natural person on the basis of Article 8.3 is not contingent upon the establishment of the liability of the main perpetrator for that unlawful act.

8.5. Each State Party shall ensure, consistent with its domestic legal and administrative systems, an appropriate allocation of evidential burdens of proof in judicial and administrative proceedings

that takes account of differences between parties in terms of access to information and resources, including through the measures referred to in Article 7.4 (d), as appropriate to the circumstances.

8.6. Each State Party shall ensure that legal and natural persons held liable in accordance with this Article shall be subject to effective, proportionate, and dissuasive penalties or other sanctions.

Article 9. Jurisdiction

9.1. State Parties shall take such measures as may be necessary to establish its jurisdiction in respect of human rights abuse in cases where:

- (a) the human rights abuse took place, in whole or in part, within the territory or jurisdiction of that State Party;
- (b) the relevant harm was sustained, in whole or in part, within the territory or jurisdiction of that State Party;
- (c) the human rights abuse was carried out by either
 - i. a legal person domiciled in the territory or jurisdiction of that State Party; or
 - ii. a natural person who is a national of, or who has his or her habitual residence in the territory or jurisdiction of, that State Party; and
- (d) a victim seeking remedy through civil law proceedings is a national of, or has his or her habitual residence in the territory or jurisdiction of, that State Party.

9.2. For the purposes of Article 9.1, a legal person is considered domiciled in any territory or jurisdiction in which it has its:

- (a) place of incorporation or registration;
- (b) principal assets or operations;
- (c) central administration or management; or
- (d) principal place of business or activity.

9.3. State Parties shall take such measures as may be necessary, and consistent with its domestic legal and administrative systems, to ensure that decisions by relevant State agencies relating to the exercise of jurisdiction in the cases referred to in Article 9.1 shall respect the rights of victims in accordance with Article 4, including with respect to:

- (a) the discontinuation of legal proceedings on the grounds that there is another, more convenient or more appropriate forum with jurisdiction over the matter; or

(b) the coordination of actions as contemplated in Article 9.4.

9.4. If a State Party exercising its jurisdiction under this Article has been notified, or has otherwise learned, of judicial proceedings taking place in another State Party relating to the same human rights abuse, or any aspect of such human rights abuse, the relevant State agencies of each State shall consult one another with a view to coordinating their actions.

Article 10. Statute of limitations

10.1. State Parties shall adopt such measures as may be necessary to ensure that no limitation period shall apply in relation to the commencement of legal proceedings in relation to human rights abuses which constitute the most serious crimes of concern to the international community as a whole, including war crimes, crimes against humanity or crimes of genocide.

10.2. In legal proceedings regarding human rights abuse not falling within the scope of Article 10.1, each State Party shall adopt such measures as may be necessary to ensure that limitation periods for such proceedings:

- (a) are of a duration that is appropriate in light of the gravity of the human rights abuse;
- (b) are not unduly restrictive in light of the context and circumstances, including the location where the relevant human rights abuse took place or where the relevant harm was sustained, and the length of time needed for relevant harms to be identified; and
- (c) are determined in a way that respects the rights of victims in accordance with Article 4.

Article 11. Applicable Law

11.1. All matters of procedure regarding claims before the competent court which are not specifically regulated in the (Legally Binding Instrument) shall be governed by the law of that court seized on the matter.

11.2. All matters of substance which are not specifically regulated under this (Legally Binding Instrument) may, upon the request of the victim, be governed by the law of another State where:

- (a) the acts or omissions have occurred or produced effects; or
- (b) the natural or legal person alleged to have committed the acts or omissions is domiciled.

Article 12. Mutual Legal Assistance

12.1. States parties shall afford one another the greatest measure of assistance in connection with criminal, civil and administrative proceedings relevant to the enforcement of the measures referred to in Articles 6-8, including assistance to expedite requests from private parties for the transmission and service of documents and for the taking of evidence in civil proceedings.

12.2. States Parties shall carry out their obligations under Article 12.1 in conformity with any treaties or other arrangements on mutual legal assistance that may exist between them.

12.3. States Parties shall cooperate closely with one another to enhance the enforcement of the measures referred to in Articles 6-8. States Parties shall, in particular, take the necessary steps:

(a) to establish, maintain and enhance channels of communication between their relevant State agencies and their counterparts in other States Parties in order to

i. facilitate the secure and rapid exchange of information concerning all aspects of the enforcement of the measures referred to in Articles 6-8, including for the purposes of the early identification of breaches of such measures; and

ii. share information concerning issues, challenges, and lessons learned in the prevention of business involvement in human rights abuse, including with a view to enhancing the effectiveness of competent authorities, agencies and services; and

(b) to facilitate effective coordination between their relevant State agencies and to promote the exchange of personnel and other experts, including, subject to bilateral agreements or arrangements between the States Parties concerned, the posting of liaison officers.

12.4. For the purposes of meeting their obligations under this article, each State Party shall:

(a) ensure that its relevant State agencies have access to the necessary information, support, training and resources to enable personnel to make effective use of the treaties and arrangements referred to in Article 12.2; and

(b) consider entering into or enhancing bilateral or multilateral agreements or arrangements aimed at improving the ease with which and speed at which

i. requests for mutual legal assistance can be made and responded to; and

ii. information can be exchanged between relevant State Agencies for the purposes of enforcement of the measures referred to in Articles 6-8, including through information repositories that provide clarity on points of contact, core process requirements and systems for updates on outstanding requests.

Article 13. International Cooperation

13.1. States Parties shall cooperate in good faith to enable the implementation of their obligations recognized under this (Legally Binding Instrument) and the fulfillment of the purposes of this (Legally Binding Instrument).

13.2. States Parties recognize the importance of international cooperation, including financial and technical assistance and capacity building, for the realization of the purpose of the present (Legally Binding Instrument) and will undertake appropriate and effective measures in this regard, between and among States and, as appropriate, in partnership with relevant international and regional organizations and civil society. Such measures include, but are not limited to:

- (a) promoting effective technical cooperation and capacity-building among policy makers, parliaments, judiciary, national human rights institutions, business enterprises and operators, as well as users of domestic, regional and international grievance mechanisms;
- (b) sharing experiences, good practices, challenges, information and training programs on the implementation of the present (Legally Binding Instrument);
- (c) raising awareness about the rights of victims of business-related human rights abuses and the obligations of States under this (Legally Binding Instrument);
- (d) facilitating cooperation in research and studies on the challenges, good practices and experiences in preventing human rights abuses in the context of business activities, including those of transnational characters;
- (e) contribute, within their available resources, to the International Fund for Victims referred to in Article 15.7 of this (Legally Binding Instrument).

Article 14. Consistency with International Law

14.1. States Parties shall carry out their obligations under this (Legally Binding Instrument) in a manner consistent with, and fully respecting, the principles of sovereign equality and territorial integrity of States.

14.2. Notwithstanding Article 9, nothing in this (Legally Binding Instrument) entitles a State Party to undertake in the territory of another State the exercise of jurisdiction and performance of functions that are reserved exclusively for the authorities of that other State's jurisdiction.

14.3. Nothing in the present (Legally Binding Instrument) shall affect any provisions in the domestic legislation of a State Party or in any regional or international treaty or agreement that is more conducive to the respect, protection, fulfillment and promotion of human rights in the context of business activities and to guaranteeing the access to justice and effective remedy to victims of human rights abuses in the context of business activities, including those of transnational character.

14.4. This (Legally Binding Instrument) shall not affect the rights and obligations of the States Parties under the rules of general international law with respect to State immunity and the international responsibility of States. Earlier treaties relating to the same subject matter as this (Legally Binding Instrument) shall apply only to the extent that their provisions are compatible with this (Legally Binding Instrument), in accordance with Article 30 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties.

14.5. All existing bilateral or multilateral agreements, including regional or sub-regional agreements, on issues relevant to this (Legally Binding Instrument) and its protocols, including trade and investment agreements, shall be interpreted and implemented in a manner that does not undermine or restrict their capacity to fulfill their obligations under this (Legally Binding Instrument) and its protocols, if any, as well as other relevant human rights conventions and instruments.

Article 15. Institutional Arrangements

Committee

15.1. There shall be a Committee established in accordance with the following procedures:

(a) The Committee shall consist of, at the time of entry into force of the present (Legally Binding Instrument), (12) experts. After an additional sixty ratifications or accessions to the (Legally Binding Instrument), the membership of the Committee shall increase by six members, attaining a maximum number of (18) members. The members of the Committee shall serve in their personal capacity and shall be of high moral standing and recognized competence in the field of human rights, public international law or other relevant fields.

(b) The experts shall be elected by the States Parties, consideration being given to equitable geographical distribution, the differences among legal systems, gender and age balanced representation and ensuring that elected experts are not engaged, directly or indirectly, in any activity which might adversely affect the purpose of this (Legally Binding Instrument).

(c) The members of the Committee shall be elected by secret ballot from a list of persons nominated by States Parties. They shall be elected for a term of 4 years and can be re-elected for another term. Each State Party may nominate one person from among its own nationals.

(d) Elections of the members of the Committee shall be held at the Conference of States Parties by majority present and voting. At least four months before the date of each election, the Secretary-General of the United Nations shall address a letter to the States Parties inviting them to submit their nominations within two months. The Secretary-General shall prepare a list in alphabetical order of all persons thus nominated, indicating the State Party which has nominated them, and shall submit it to the States Parties.

(e) The initial election shall be held no later than six months after the date of the entry into force of this (Legally Binding Instrument). The term of six of the members elected at the

first election shall expire at the end of two years; immediately after the first election, the names of these six members shall be chosen by lot by the chairperson of the meeting referred to in this Article.

(f) If a member of the Committee dies or resigns or for any other cause can no longer perform his or her Committee duties, the State Party which nominated him or her shall appoint another expert from among its nationals to serve for the remainder of his or her term, subject to the approval of the majority of the States Parties.

(g) The Committee shall establish its own rules of procedure and elect its officers for a term of two years. They may be re-elected.

(h) The Secretary-General of the United Nations shall provide the necessary staff and facilities for the effective performance of the functions of the Committee under this (Legally Binding Instrument). The Secretary-General of the United Nations shall convene the initial meeting of the Committee. After its initial meeting, the Committee shall meet at such times as shall be provided in its rules of procedure.

(i) With the approval of the General Assembly, the members of the Committee established under the present (Legally Binding Instrument) shall receive emoluments from United Nations resources on such terms and conditions as the Assembly may decide through the established procedures.

15.2. States Parties shall submit to the Committee, through the Secretary-General of the United Nations, reports on the measures they have taken to give effect to their undertakings under this (Legally Binding Instrument), within one year after the entry into force of the (Legally Binding Instrument) for the State Party concerned. Thereafter the States Parties shall submit supplementary reports every four years on any new measures taken and such other reports as the Committee may request.

15.3. The Secretary-General of the United Nations shall transmit the reports to all States Parties.

15.4. The Committee shall have the following functions:

(a) Make general comments and normative recommendations on the understanding and implementation of the (Legally Binding Instrument) based on the examination of reports and information received from the States Parties and other stakeholders;

(b) Consider and provide concluding observations and recommendations on reports submitted by States Parties as it may consider appropriate and forward these to the State Party concerned that may respond with any observations it chooses to the Committee. The Committee may, at its discretion, decide to include these suggestions and general recommendations in the report of the Committee together with comments, if any, from States Parties;

(c) Provide support to the States Parties in the compilation and communication of information required for the implementation of the provisions of the (Legally Binding Instrument);

(d) Submit an annual report on its activities under this (Legally Binding Instrument) to the States Parties and to the General Assembly of the United Nations;

(e) The Committee may recommend to the General Assembly to request the Secretary-General to undertake on its behalf studies on specific issues relating to the present (Legally Binding Instrument).

Conference of States Parties

15.5. The States Parties shall meet regularly in a Conference of States Parties in order to consider any matter with regard to the implementation of the (Legally Binding Instrument), including any further development needed towards fulfilling its purposes.

15.6. No later than six months after the entry into force of the present (Legally Binding Instrument), the Conference of the States Parties shall be convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations. The subsequent meetings shall be convened by the Secretary-General of the United Nations biennially or upon the decision of the Conference of States Parties.

International Fund for Victims

15.7. States Parties shall establish an International Fund for Victims covered under this (Legally Binding Instrument), to provide legal and financial aid to victims, taking into account the additional barriers faced by women, children, persons with disabilities, Indigenous peoples, migrants, refugees, internally displaced persons, and other vulnerable or marginalized persons or groups in seeking access to remedies. This Fund shall be established at most after (X) years of the entry into force of this (Legally Binding Instrument). The Conference of States Parties shall define and establish the relevant provisions for the functioning of the Fund.

Article 16. Implementation

16.1. States Parties shall take all necessary legislative, administrative or other action including the establishment of adequate monitoring mechanisms to ensure effective implementation of this (Legally Binding Instrument).

16.2. Each State Party shall furnish copies (including in electronic form or online links) of its laws and regulations that give effect to this (Legally Binding Instrument) and of any subsequent changes to such laws and regulations or a description thereof, within [6 months] of their enactment, to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, which shall be made publicly available.

16.3. Special attention shall be undertaken in the cases of business activities in conflict- affected areas including taking action to identify, prevent and mitigate the human rights- related risks of these activities and business relationships and to assess and address the heightened risks of abuses,

paying special attention to both gender-based and sexual violence, the use of child soldiers and the worst forms of child labour, including forced and hazardous child labour.

16.4. In implementing this (Legally Binding Instrument), States Parties shall address the specific impacts of business activities on while giving special attention to those facing heightened risks of human rights abuse within the context of business activities, such as, but not limited to, women, children, persons with disabilities, indigenous peoples, people of African descent, older persons, migrants, refugees and internal displaced persons.

16.5. The application and interpretation of these Articles shall be consistent with international law, including international human rights law and international humanitarian law, and shall be without any discrimination of any kind or on any ground, without exception.

16.6. In implementing this Legally Binding Instrument, State Parties shall protect public policies and decision making spaces from undue political influence by businesses.

Article 17. Relations with Protocols

17.1. This (Legally Binding Instrument) may be supplemented by one or more protocols.

17.2. In order to become a Party to a protocol, a State or a regional integration organization must also be a Party to this (Legally Binding Instrument).

17.3. A State Party to this (Legally Binding Instrument) is not bound by a protocol unless it becomes a Party to the protocol in accordance with the provisions thereof.

17.4. Any protocol to this (Legally Binding Instrument) shall be interpreted together with this (Legally Binding Instrument), taking into account the purpose of that protocol.

Article 18. Settlement of Disputes

18.1. If a dispute arises between two or more States Parties about the interpretation or application of this (Legally Binding Instrument), they shall seek a solution by negotiation or by any other means of dispute settlement acceptable to the parties to the dispute.

18.2. When signing, ratifying, accepting, approving or acceding to this (Legally Binding Instrument), or at any time thereafter, a State Party may declare in writing to the Depositary that, for a dispute not resolved in accordance with paragraph 1 of this article, it accepts one or both of the following means of dispute settlement as compulsory in relation to any State Party accepting the same obligation:

- (a) Submission of the dispute to the International Court of Justice;

(b) Arbitration in accordance with the procedure and organization mutually agreed by both States Parties.

18.3. If the States Parties to the dispute have accepted both means of dispute settlement referred to in paragraph 2 of this article, the dispute may be submitted only to the International Court of Justice, unless the States Parties agree otherwise.

Article 19. Signature, Ratification, Acceptance, Approval and Accession

19.1. The present (Legally Binding Instrument) shall be open for signature by all States and by regional integration organizations at United Nations Headquarters in New York as of (date).

19.2. The present (Legally Binding Instrument) shall be subject to ratification, acceptance or approval by signatory States and to formal confirmation by signatory regional integration organizations. It shall be open for accession by any State or regional integration organization which has not signed the (Legally Binding Instrument).

19.3. This (Legally Binding Instrument) shall apply to regional integration organizations within the limits of their competence; subsequently they shall inform the depositary of any substantial modification in the extent of their competence. Such organizations may exercise their right to vote in the Conference of States Parties with a number of votes equal to the number of their member States that are Parties to this (Legally Binding Instrument). Such right to vote shall not be exercised if any of its member States exercises its right, and vice versa.

Article 20. Entry into Force

20.1. The present (Legally Binding Instrument) shall enter into force on the thirtieth day after the deposit of the [---] instrument of ratification or accession.

20.2. For each State or regional integration organization ratifying, formally confirming or acceding to the (Legally Binding Instrument) after the deposit of the [---] such instrument, the (Legally Binding Instrument) shall enter into force on the thirtieth day after the deposit of its own such instrument.

Article 21. Amendments

21.1. Any State Party may propose an amendment to the present (Legally Binding Instrument) and submit it to the Secretary-General of the United Nations. The Secretary-General shall communicate any proposed amendments to States Parties, with a request to be notified whether they favour a conference of States Parties for the purpose of considering and deciding upon the

proposals. In the event that, within four months from the date of such communication, at least one-third of the States Parties favour such a conference, the Secretary-General shall convene the conference under the auspices of the United Nations. Any amendment adopted by a majority of two-thirds of the States Parties present and voting in the Conference of the States Parties shall be submitted by the Secretary-General to all States Parties for acceptance.

21.2. An amendment adopted and approved in accordance with this Article shall enter into force on the thirtieth day after the number of instruments of acceptance deposited reaches two-thirds of the number of States Parties at the date of adoption of the amendment. Thereafter, the amendment shall enter into force for any State Party on the thirtieth day following the deposit of its own instrument of acceptance. An amendment shall be binding only on those States Parties which have accepted it.

21.3. If so decided by the Conference of States Parties by consensus, an amendment adopted and approved in accordance with this Article which relates exclusively to the establishment of the Committee or its functions, and the Conference of States Parties shall enter into force for all States Parties on the thirtieth day after the number of instruments of acceptance deposited reaches two-thirds of the number of States Parties at the date of adoption of the amendment.

Article 22. Reservations

22.1. Reservations incompatible with the object and purpose of the present (Legally Binding Instrument) shall not be permitted.

22.2. Reservations may be withdrawn at any time.

Article 23. Denunciation

A State Party may denounce the present (Legally Binding Instrument) by written notification to the Secretary-General of the United Nations. The denunciation shall become effective one year after the date of receipt of the notification by the Secretary-General.

Article 24. Depositary and Languages

24.1. The Secretary-General of the United Nations shall be the depositary of the present (Legally Binding Instrument).

24.2. The Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish texts of the present (Legally Binding Instrument) shall be equally authentic.

In witness thereof the undersigned plenipotentiaries, being duly authorized thereto by their respective Governments, have signed the present (Legally Binding Instrument):